

NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARK NOMINATION

NPS Form 10-900

USDI/NPS NRHP Registration Form (Rev. 8-86)

OMB No. 1024-0018

GROVE STREET CEMETERY

Page 1

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

1. NAME OF PROPERTY

Historic Name: GROVE STREET CEMETERY

Other Name/Site Number: NEW HAVEN CITY BURIAL GROUND

2. LOCATION

Street & Number: Grove Street

Not for publication:___

City/Town: New Haven

Vicinity:___

State: Connecticut County: New Haven Code: CT009

Zip Code: 06511

3. CLASSIFICATION

Ownership of Property

Private: X
Public-Local: ___
Public-State: ___
Public-Federal: ___

Category of Property

Building(s): ___
District: X
Site: ___
Structure: ___
Object: ___

Number of Resources within Property

Contributing

1
1
3
5

Noncontributing

1 buildings
sites
structures
objects
1 Total

Number of Contributing Resources Previously Listed in the National Register: 20

Name of Related Multiple Property Listing: N/A

GROVE STREET CEMETERY

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

4. STATE/FEDERAL AGENCY CERTIFICATION

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, I hereby certify that this ___ nomination ___ request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property ___ meets ___ does not meet the National Register Criteria.

Signature of Certifying Official

Date

State or Federal Agency and Bureau

In my opinion, the property ___ meets ___ does not meet the National Register criteria.

Signature of Commenting or Other Official

Date

State or Federal Agency and Bureau

5. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this property is:

- ___ Entered in the National Register
- ___ Determined eligible for the National Register
- ___ Determined not eligible for the National Register
- ___ Removed from the National Register
- ___ Other (explain): _____

Signature of Keeper

Date of Action

GROVE STREET CEMETERY

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

6. FUNCTION OR USE

Historic: Funerary

Sub: Cemetery

Current: Funerary

Sub: Cemetery

7. DESCRIPTION

Architectural Classification: Mid-19th Century: Exotic Revival, Gothic Revival

Materials:

Foundation:

Walls:

Roof:

Other: Sandstone, Marble, Slate, Granite, Cast iron

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 4**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

Describe Present and Historic Physical Appearance.**Present and Historic Physical Appearance:**

New Haven's Grove Street Cemetery is a level parcel of land about 18 acres in extent, located among the buildings of Yale University on the north side of the city's downtown. The cemetery, which dates from 1796, takes the shape of an irregular five-sided polygon, bordered by Grove Street on the south (the front), Ashmun Street on the west, Lock and Canal Streets on the north, and Prospect Street on the east. The entrance to the cemetery is marked by a large brownstone gateway in the Egyptian Revival Style, one of several improvements that were undertaken in the 1840s. The front of the cemetery along Grove Street is enclosed by a cast-iron picket fence, the sections of which extend between open square cast-iron columns that have coved cornices bearing winged-disk ornaments, or ferothers, and large urn finials. The other sides are enclosed by an 8-foot high wall built of brownstone rubble masonry with a wide joint of pink-colored mortar. At each of the major corners, the wall meets a large square pillar with a pronounced batter and a single coved cornice molding as the capital. Lesser piers mark out intermediary sections of the wall, which has cut brownstone coping slabs.

The entrance gates are conceived as an interpretation of the sort of Egyptian temple entrance such as might be found set into a slope on the middle reaches of the Nile. Measuring 48 feet by 18 feet in plan and constructed of a random ashlar masonry, the entrance has two large square-plan pylons, again with a pronounced batter, supporting a wide entablature. The opening between the pylons is further divided by two large columns, behind which are corresponding square pillars. The columns achieve an Egyptian effect with alternating smooth and fluted drums and large lotus-bud capitals. Other Egyptian-Revival details include corners carved as a roll molding wrapped with bands of cloth, a coved cornice with stylized papyrus-leaf ornamentation, and an elaborate carved ferother with globe, wings, and pair of cobras centered above the frieze, which bears the words, "The Dead Shall be Raised." Cast-iron gates similar to the front fence close off the openings. Large cast-iron doors lead to interior rooms within the pylons, the floors of which are paved with brick. A bronze bell is mounted on the cemetery (north) side. Some surface repair on the entrance is not a good color match.

Immediately within the gate is a one-story brick chapel built in 1872 to accommodate burial ceremonies during inclement weather. The chapel has a T-shaped plan, 22 feet by 37 feet overall. The steeply pitched slate-covered roof has a large pointed-arch dormer placed off-center on the south elevation facing the gate, below which is the double-door entrance. Near the peak of the roof is a large gilded butterfly or moth (it has also been interpreted as a bee). The chapel is Gothic Revival, with a steeply pitched slate roof and blunt pointed-arch openings; it formerly had a steeple. The chapel is now used as offices for the cemetery's superintendent.

The cemetery reflects the rigid geometric layout created at its inception in 1796. The burials are arranged in rectangular family and institutional plots arrayed in long tiers running in a north-south direction parallel to Prospect Street, divided by roadways between the tiers. Most tiers are 60 feet in width, with the center tier somewhat narrower. The roadways, most of which are unpaved, have granite curbs and cast-iron medallions set into the verge to number the lots, an improvement undertaken in the 1880s. About two-thirds of the way in, the cemetery is bisected by a cross-road, Myrtle Path. Formerly, there were several more cross ways, vestiges of which remain at the western edge, but most were discontinued in the late 19th-century as a way of

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 5**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

providing more burial space. Since at least the 1860s, the lanes within the cemetery have been named for trees and shrubs.

The burials within the cemetery are marked by a wide variety of monuments dating from the early colonial era to the present time, though most are 19th-century in origin. They take many forms, including tablets, obelisks, and pedestals (Photographs 7-8). There are both family-owned and institutional plots, with the latter generally more densely utilized. The colonial-period stones occur in two situations, depending upon when they were relocated from New Haven's earlier cemetery on what is now the Green. Some families relocated markers of family members to their Grove Street plots between 1796 and 1822, arranging them either as monuments seemingly associated with actual remains or as a border at the edge of their plot. The majority of early stones, some dating from the 1630s, are arranged alphabetically along the west and north walls, where they were moved in the 1880s from earlier locations within the Grove Street Cemetery. The colonial-era markers are predominantly tablets bearing a winged face or death's-head; most are of local brownstone, though some are of blue-gray slate and exhibit carving styles associated with the Boston area. Some 18th-century stones memorializing Yale professors are inscribed in Latin. There are also a few stones in the form of slabs on pillars, such as that memorializing Thomas Clap, one-time president of Yale College, who died in 1767.

The early 19th-century stones include many in the form of urns on pedestals, in both brownstone and white marble. Other symbols of mourning and resurrection abound, including shrouds, heavenly lyres, willows, and sheaves of wheat. Some of the pedestals are quite large, approaching their neighboring obelisks in height. A few of the later 19th-century monuments are of cast-metal with a bluish tone, apparently an alloy of zinc, and there are some that make use of colorful imported Italian marbles.

There are many large obelisks in the Grove Street Cemetery, including Classical four-sided shafts (themselves modeled on Egyptian precedents), Gothic-Revival detailed shafts, and shafts that are explicitly Egyptian with papyrus-leaf capitals. Other large monuments in the cemetery take the shape of sarcophaguses, including three executed in brownstone c.1830 that were modeled on the tomb of Roman general Scipio Africanus. There are a few mounded tombs with brownstone ends, but only one full-sized tomb, located in the elaborate Egyptian-Revival Sheffield-St. John plot. A number of monuments take the form of miniature works of architecture, including Greek temples and a triumphal arch; a variation on this theme is the "ruins" represented by broken Classical columns.

Although resurrection, redemption, and mourning symbols predominate in the decorative carving of all periods, the late 19th-century markers increasingly incorporate decorations that are particularly appropriate to the deceased, such as the use of Masonic symbols; the depiction of personal events; occupational references such as ships for mariners; and even portraiture, the culmination of which is the full-figure sculpture of fireman James T. Hemingway. Such use of three-dimensional rather than relief carving is the exception, though there are a few notable mourning figures.

Many of the family plots have some kind of enclosure, though changing tastes and scrap-metal drives reportedly have reduced what was once a much larger number. The cast-iron fencing that remains includes examples of Classical, Gothic, and Egyptian motifs, as well as traditional symbols of death such as urns and the hourglass. The second-most common type of enclosure is

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 6**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

an iron fence running between stone posts. Other types include the use of a low granite curb and the unique brownstone fence erected by the Ritters, a family of New Haven stone carvers.

The cemetery's plantings today consist mostly of widely spaced shade trees, the largest of which are American elms. There remain also remnants of the landscaping that occurred in the 1840s, particularly in the large cedars and hemlocks informally arranged in many of the family plots, plantings which are very similar to (and may be descended from) the dense evergreen plantings of that period. The hedge along the front iron fence also was called for in the 1840s plan, though it originally extended along the brick walls as well, until that space was claimed for the older monuments. Nothing appears to remain of the original 1796 planting scheme, which called for regular rows of Lombardy poplars, along with a poplar grove and meadow at the rear of the cemetery.

The historic name has been in common use since at least 1871, when *Benham's New Haven Directory and Annual Advertiser* first referred to the burial ground as the "Grove Street Cemetery"; that name also appears on the map *City and Vicinity of New Haven* (1877) by James P. Bogart and Horace Andrews, which claimed to be based upon City Engineer records. Atwater (1887) used the term "Grove Street Cemetery" exclusively, and the City Council referred to the property's owners as the "Grove Street Cemetery Association" in its minutes of September 10, 1888.

"Grove Street Cemetery", while not the oldest name, has been in use the longest period of time of any common or proper name. Previously, the property was not referred to in a consistent manner, even by its own committee. The term "New Burying Ground," taken from its 1797 charter, was used about equally with "New Haven Burying Ground," at least until the creation of Evergreen Cemetery (1849). "Burial Ground" also appears in place of "Burying Ground" in a few of the early accounts. The present proper name, New Haven City Burial Ground, dates from a revision to its charter enacted in 1852.

In counting the resources of the property, the cemetery as a whole is counted as one site, including the family-plot enclosures, lanes, and plantings. The entrance gate, front cast-iron fence, and stone perimeter wall are each counted as a contributing structure, while the chapel is counted as a contributing building. A low shed of 20th-century construction along the west wall is a noncontributing building. The monuments have not been enumerated individually as objects. Numbering in the thousands, they are considered part of the landscape and contribute to the NHL as follows:

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 7**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

Contributing as landscape features (if placed or added prior to 1920):

17th and 18th-century tablets with lettering only
18th-century tablets with winged soul effigies
18th-century table stones
19th-century tablets
obelisks, ziggurats, and full columns
urn-on-pedestal markers
pedestal-shaped markers
broken columns
cross-shaped markers
sarcophagus-shaped markers
monuments in the form of miniature temples, arches, etc.
mourning figures and other three-dimensional statuary
mounded tombs
full-sized tomb
miscellaneous 19th and early 20th-century (before 1920) markers

Noncontributing as landscape features:

markers placed or added since 1920

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 9**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

State Significance of Property, and Justify Criteria, Criteria Considerations, and Areas and Periods of Significance Noted Above.**Summary**

New Haven's Grove Street Cemetery represents a milestone in the historical development of the cemetery as a distinct institution. Incorporated in 1797, the cemetery association was formed by a group of private citizens intent on creating a dignified and functional burying ground for the entire community. Previously in America providing burial space had been a secondary function undertaken by the civil government, religious societies, or individual families. "During the later half of the eighteenth century, churchyards and municipal graveyards became the target of reform efforts in Europe and America...When yellow fever plagued New Haven in the 1790s, public attention began to focus on the city's over-filled public burial ground. A group of wealthy citizens rallied around statesman James Hillhouse to create an alternative: the New Haven Burying Ground. Founded in 1796, this institution operated as a private, non-sectarian corporation."¹

The Grove Street Cemetery is also significant because of the architectural qualities of its Egyptian Revival enclosure and entrance gate. The gate is the work of the influential architect Henry Austin and is regarded as one of the country's leading examples of the Egyptian Revival style. Considered as landscape architecture, the cemetery illustrates the evolution of the American cemetery as a distinctive landscape: the rational grid of the 18th century, the Romanticism of the early 19th century, and the lawn-park ideal of the late 19th century are all in evidence. Finally, the Grove Street Cemetery is important in the history of art because its monuments embody the distinctive characteristics of a number of different styles and periods of funerary carving.

Historical Development

Although death was an important part of colonial New England culture, and the funeral feast an important social event, the dead themselves received relatively little attention. Among the Congregationalist majority, funerals were not religious rites but instead simple civil ceremonies in which a minister did not necessarily take part, and burying grounds were regarded as repositories of unimportant earthly remains, not consecrated sacred places. The proximity of the burying ground to the church building was primarily a coincidence: land for both meetinghouses and burying grounds were provided out of the common land set aside by each town or religious society, and so tended to be clustered together, along with the settlement's earliest school building. The markers erected by colonial New Englanders appear to have been intended not so

¹Richard C. Etlin, "Landscapes of Eternity: Funerary Architecture and the Cemetery, 1793-1881," *Oppositions* 8 (Spring 1977): 15, 18; Stanley French, "The Cemetery as Cultural Institution: The Establishment of Mount Auburn and the "Rural Cemetery" Movement," *American Quarterly* 26, no. 1 (March 1974): 43; David Schuyler, "The Evolution of the Anglo-American Rural Cemetery: Landscape Architecture as Social and Cultural History," *Journal of Garden History* 4, no. 3 (July-September 1984): 292-94; David C. Sloane, *The Last Great Necessity: Cemeteries in American History* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press), 28-34. (As quoted in Aaron V. Wunsch, "Laurel Hill Cemetery National Historic Landmark" nomination form, 1998, National Historic Landmarks Survey files, National Park Service, Washington, DC: 20.)

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 10**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

much to memorialize the dead individual (although that was part of their function) as to convey some lesson to be learned from the deceased's virtuous life or sudden death. Many colonial burying grounds were not enclosed, and so were subject to the meanderings of both pedestrians and grazing animals, and a general air of neglect was not uncommon. Although some family members were buried near each other, there generally was no long-term planning that would provide sufficient space for all the members of a family.

New Haven's colonial burying ground, located on the central common land that became the Green, was overcrowded and in poor repair by the end of the 18th century, when a group of citizens under the leadership of James Hillhouse banded together to create an improved burial ground. Hillhouse reportedly had been troubled by the deteriorated condition of graves both in public burying grounds and in family plots where descendants no longer maintained them. Starting in 1796, he consolidated a ten-acre parcel of land at what was then the north end of the settled part of the city proper. He retained Josiah Meigs to plan a burial ground of several hundred plots, 18 feet by 32 feet, that could be sold to individual families.

Hillhouse was a prominent New Haven citizen, a lawyer, a real-estate speculator, and a political leader; he was a U.S. senator and treasurer of Yale College at the time of the cemetery project. He had in mind "a sacred and inviolable burial place. . . larger, better arranged for the accommodation of families, and by its retired situation, better calculated to impress the mind with a solemnity becoming the repository of the dead."² The old burial ground, sited without much thought on the multi-purpose common in the heart of the city, would be replaced by a special, solemn place, set apart and dedicated to the memory of the dead. Hillhouse and 31 associates were incorporated by a special act of the legislature in October 1797 as the Proprietors of the New Burying Ground.

Although they were a private corporation, the Proprietors clearly had in mind a cemetery that would be a community institution. They specifically allocated plots in the cemetery to the two Congregational societies in New Haven and to the Episcopal Church, with other plots reserved for Yale College, African Americans, New Haven paupers not otherwise provided for, and out-of-towners who had the misfortune to die while traveling through New Haven. This pioneering private, multi-sectarian cemetery was paradoxically more inclusive than Connecticut's earlier publicly supported cemeteries, which by the 1790s had become closely identified with the established tax-supported Congregational church. Timothy Dwight judged New Haven's new cemetery "altogether a singularity in the world" and claimed that it astounded American and foreign visitors alike.³

Perhaps it was inevitable that something like the Grove Street Cemetery would emerge in the 1790s, since that decade was one in which a number of specially chartered institutions were created to address perceived public problems; others include the first turnpike companies to improve the state's highways, the Connecticut School Fund to provide for public education, the Connecticut Missionary Society to Christianize western settlements, and the state's first banks and insurance companies.

²Blanche Linden-Ward, "New Haven's New Burying Ground: The Founding of a Prototypical Institution and its Improvement as a Cemetery," *Association for the Study of Connecticut History Newsletter*, Fall 1987, p. 4.

³*Ibid.*, p. 7.

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 11**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

Although the plots sold slowly at first, by 1814 enough had been sold that eight more acres were purchased and gridded for sale. In keeping with the cemetery's inclusive mission, plots were reserved for two additional church groups, the Baptists and the Methodists. Many families had moved their relatives' markers and remains from the older burial ground to the new family plots. The creation of Temple Street, the erection of new meetinghouses on part of the old common, and the articulation of the Green as a central public park made use of the older cemetery increasingly problematical. In 1812 the cemetery on the Green was closed, and in 1821 the remaining monuments were relocated to Grove Street. The Grove Street cemetery had reached its modern-day extents, though some land at the rear was ceded for use first by the Farmington Canal, then the New Haven and Northampton Railroad, and finally for Lock and Canal Streets.

By the 1830s the cemetery was in need of refurbishment. The city had grown up around the property, and the original wooden fence and gate were insufficient to keep out "the idle, the thoughtless, and the vicious."⁴ The plantings were well passed their prime, and the roadways and individual fences had become deteriorated. A new committee formed to recommend improvements reported its findings in 1839. The report supported continuing the cemetery's concept as a separate place dedicated to the memory of the dead, but with a more sentimental, Romantic emphasis. Citing such precedents as the Muslim cemeteries of Turkey, Pere Lachaise (1803) in Paris, and Mt. Auburn in Massachusetts, the committee recommended a high enclosure to provide a sense of seclusion and a much more dense and varied planting scheme to create a more natural environment. It also commissioned a large Egyptian Revival entrance structure designed by local architect Henry Austin. The Egyptian Revival was well-suited to the committee's purpose. It carried connotations of mystery, solemnity, timelessness, and respect for the dead. It also gave no particular offense to any of the religious groups that made up the increasingly diverse community, though there remained an uneasiness about surrounding a cemetery with details based upon a pagan culture. In accounting for the origins of their ideas, the Committee cited precedents in both the Bible and in the Classical epics for paying attention to the memory of the dead, and they interpreted Egyptian civilization as an ancestor to later Judaic and Christian practices, rather than as a pagan culture.

The religious connotations of the style were further addressed when the Committee slightly altered Austin's original design to include the Bible verse inscribed on the frieze of the entrance, taken from the fifteenth chapter of Paul's first letter to the church at Corinth. The words undoubtedly held special significance for many 19th-century New Haven residents. The same verse had been excerpted at somewhat greater length on the memorial erected 20 years earlier in the crypt of New Haven's First Church, and the chapter as a whole was regarded as the foundation of the Christian understanding of the Resurrection:

And if Christ be not risen, then is our preaching vain, and your faith is also vain . . . ye are yet in your sins. . . . If after the manner of men I have fought with beasts at Ephesus, what advantageth it me, if the dead rise not? Let us eat and drink, for tomorrow we die. . . . So also is the resurrection of the dead. It is sown in corruption; it is raised in incorruption. It is sown in dishonor; it is raised in glory. It is sown in weakness; it is raised in power. It is sown a natural body; it is raised a spiritual body. . . . In a moment, in a twinkling of an eye, at the last trump: for the trumpet shall sound and the dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed. . . . Then shall be

⁴*Report of the Committee Appointed to Inquire into the Condition of the New Haven Burying Ground and to Propose a Plan for its Improvement* (New Haven: B. Hamlen, 1839).

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 12**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

brought to pass the saying that is written, Death is swallowed up in victory. O death, where is thy sting? O grave, where is thy victory?

In Paul's formulation, the Resurrection of Jesus leads directly to the general resurrection of all the faithfully departed, and by quoting the prophets Isaiah and Hosea, Paul re-interprets the promises of the Old Testament as applicable to Christian believers. For people steeped in their religious traditions (as well as Classical literature and the archaeology of antiquity), the five words on the entrance gate stood as a summary of their culture's entire theology of redemption and resurrection.

The entrance gate, enclosure, and new planting scheme were all carried out in the 1840s. Isaac Thompson performed the masonry work, with Aaron Skinner supervising the project as a whole. The largest expense was not for the entrance (\$5,600) but rather for the enclosure, with the stone wall costing \$11,000 and the iron fence \$3,500. The cost of the new plantings was \$2,400.

Further changes to the cemetery were relatively minor and included the erection of a small brick chapel in 1872; the relocation of most colonial markers along the west and north walls, beginning in 1876; and curbs for the roadways in the 1880s. As the demand for burial space continued, many crossroads were discontinued, and the special spaces once reserved for paupers, strangers, and persons of color were sold off to individuals and families in 1897. The majority of burial spaces in the cemetery had been used by 1920, and there have been only a small number of burials since that time. Thus, the period of significance ends in 1920.

As a consequence of New Haven's status as an important intellectual, commercial, and industrial center throughout much of the 19th century, many nationally prominent figures are interred in the Grove Street Cemetery: scholars such as lexicographer Noah Webster (1758-1843), geographer Jedediah Morse (1761-1826), and Shakespeare critic Delia Salter Bacon (1811-1859); inventors such as Eli Whitney (1765-1825), Charles Goodyear (1800-1860), and Chauncey Jerome (1793-1868); founding father Roger Sherman (1721-1793); scientist Benjamin Silliman (1779-1864); railroad magnate Joseph Sheffield (1793-1882); Lyman Beecher (1775-1863), a leading Protestant preacher and writer; and David Humphreys (1752-1818), one of the first biographers of Washington and a pioneering textile manufacturer. Many others, such as presidents, officers, and professors at Yale and the merchants, lawyers, military men, and manufacturers of New Haven, were well-known as leading figures on the state or local level. Nearly all the persons associated with the development of the cemetery--James Hillhouse, Ithiel Town, Henry Austin, Hezekiah Augur, Denison Olmstead, and Aaron Skinner--are buried there, as are several members of the Ritter family, stonecutters from New Haven whose monuments are among the most striking in the cemetery. Although the significance of Grove Street Cemetery is not primarily commemorative, these associations with people who played important roles in the history of New Haven, the state, and the nation add to its value as an expression of community memory.

Architectural Significance

The Grove Street Cemetery's entrance gates are commonly regarded as one of the leading examples of the Egyptian Revival movement in American architecture. One of a number of exotic and picturesque styles that were popular in the first half of the 19th century, the Egyptian Revival was especially favored for cemetery gates, tombs, and prisons because of the association

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 13**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

of ancient Egypt with monumentality and permanence. The first American use of the style for a cemetery entrance was at Mount Auburn Cemetery outside Boston (1831), but the Grove Street structure is regarded as equally important because it introduced the use of massive masonry (the wooden Mount Auburn gate was later rebuilt in stone), was more three-dimensional than earlier designs, and used more nearly "correct" Egyptian detailing based upon contemporary archaeological knowledge. The sloping sides, exotic columns, coved cornice, and winged orb ornament (a symbol of immortality) are all typical of the details derived from ancient Egypt. Although the design was finished in concept in 1839, the gate was not started until 1845 and took three years to finish. When completed, the Grove Street entrance was regarded as one of New Haven's architectural wonders and is believed to have been the direct inspiration for several other such projects.

The architect of the Grove Street entrance was Henry Austin (1804-1891). A New Haven native, Austin worked in the office of Ithiel Town (1784-1844) before starting his own practice. Through this association (both men served on the committee to re-do the cemetery) he undoubtedly had access to the best sources in America on ancient art and antiquities in Town's renowned library. In a long career, Austin designed many important public and institutional buildings in New Haven, as well as private residences in the Italianate, Gothic Revival, and Stick styles in Connecticut and elsewhere in New England. He is also notable for training many of the state's outstanding architects of subsequent generations. In addition to the entrance, Austin is said to have designed the monument for his own family plot at the Grove Street Cemetery.

The decorative details introduced by Austin for Grove Street's entrance were repeated in the cast-iron gate columns and the brick corner piers of the enclosure. These are believed to have been the work of another committee member, Hezekiah Augur (1791-1858). Trained as a furniture maker, Augur built a reputation as a sculptor in association with a marble bust of Apollo that he produced with Samuel F. B. Morse. Subsequent works included a bust of Oliver Ellsworth for the U.S. Capitol in Washington and commemorative medals for New Haven's bicentennial in 1838. He received an honorary Master of Arts degree from Yale and later unsuccessfully attempted to manufacture an automated woodcarving machine of his own invention.

Landscape Architecture Significance

Grove Street Cemetery is important because it is one of the earliest examples of the cemetery being regarded as a separate landscape with distinctive qualities, even though some of those qualities were subsequently changed. At least three important episodes in the history of American landscape architecture are discernible in the present-day appearance of Grove Street Cemetery. In its first incarnation, the cemetery was carefully divided into identical rectangular plots separated by roadways intersecting at right angles; the only plantings were Lombardy poplars, a species remarkable for uniformity of growth. The plan reflected the Enlightenment's penchant for rationality and order, a preference that was undoubtedly heightened by the rows of nearly identical trees, a planting scheme also used for the grounds of some public buildings of the period such as the Hartford State House. Although the poplars are gone, the rigidity of the original grid is still apparent in the parallel roads between the tiers and in the vestige of crossroads at the western edge of the cemetery. Such a grid reflected not only New Haven's own Classically inspired street plan but also the contemporary grid plans devised for Washington, D.C., and the Western territories. Although English visitor Edward Kendall was generally

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 14**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

admiring of the orderly cemetery when he saw it in the early 1800s, he seems to have thought the uniformity was carried to an extreme.

The person responsible for the Grove Street Cemetery's original rectilinear layout of identical numbered lots was Josiah Meigs (1757-1822), professor of mathematics and natural philosophy at Yale. Himself a Yale graduate (1778), Meigs lived a life of controversy befitting a son of the Enlightenment. He passed the bar in 1783 and later joined Connecticut's newspaper wars as an editor on the side of the federal constitution. He attempted to continue his law career in Bermuda but was forced to leave the island under questionable circumstances. Meigs returned to New Haven in 1794 and began his professorial duties at Yale. Soon thereafter, he angered New Haven's Federalist establishment (which included Hillhouse) with his radical opinions favoring the French Revolution and Jeffersonian politics, and the City and College heaved a collective sigh of relief when he departed in 1800 to become the first president of the University of Georgia.

By the 1830s, the cemetery's poplars were well past their prime, providing an opportunity for a new generation with greater knowledge and expectations of landscaping. Professor Denison Olmstead, Meigs's academic descendent in the department of mathematics and natural philosophy, is credited with the horticultural ideas in the Report of 1839. In place of the monoculture of exotic poplars, the Report urged the planting of a "plentiful supply" of native conifers, such as pine, spruce, hemlock, and cedar, interspersed with deciduous trees common to the area's forests. The Report showed a concern for coordination in plantings by recognizing the role of shade trees in preserving lawn areas from the summer's heat and in the inclusion of a variety of flowering shrubs to provide an ongoing visual and olfactory experience. The Report also urged a more scientific approach to cultivation, calling for trenching and fertilizing the ground in preparation for planting. Although the Committee could do nothing about the no-longer-suburban location of the cemetery, the uninterestingly level ground, or the grid plan, the new plantings provided a more natural environment and the solid wall enclosure made for a greater sense of seclusion, two important ideals of cemetery landscaping in the period. Late 19th-century views of the Grove Street Cemetery show dense vegetation that substantially fulfilled the goals outlined in the Report of 1839.

The last landscape movement that influenced the Grove Street Cemetery was the lawn-park concept. In the 1890s, the Proprietors enacted by-laws that gave their standing committee the right to approve all fences, plantings, and memorials, and from their injunctions against hedges, wooden fences, and posts over 18 inches high, it is apparent that they wished to move toward the lawn-park ideal of an open, unobstructed space. The low granite curbs around many of the family plots undoubtedly reflected the lawn-park movement, and it is probable that the density of plantings along the roads and in the various plots was allowed to decrease through natural attrition in this period.

Artistic Significance

The monuments in the Grove Street Cemetery capsule the entire history of funerary art in America, revealing changes in both cultural attitudes and aesthetic ideals. The earliest stones, though moved from their original location, constitute a large and representative collection of early New England gravestone carving. They include such defining characteristics as the tablet form, low-relief carving, use of the winged figure as a symbol of the departing soul, and vine-like

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 15**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

borders, an allusion to the earthly vineyard in which humanity labors and to the mystical body of Christ. The didactic intent of such memorials is also apparent in numerous grim verses and recitations of personal virtues.

The early 19th-century markers reflect changes in cultural currents away from Puritanism and toward Romantic humanitarianism. In place of the matter-of-fact inevitability of death, funerary symbols and inscriptions increasingly focused on emotional themes, such as the loss of the loved one and the grief that was experienced by the survivors, a trend evidenced by the willows, shrouds, urns, and mourning figures that abound in the Grove Street Cemetery. Another aspect of changing attitudes toward life and death is the greater individualization in 19th-century monuments. In place of the repetitive winged soul effigies of the earlier stones, a much greater variety of materials, forms, and symbols appeared. Remembering the departed individual--as opposed to the virtues exhibited by that individual--became more important; markers were made larger, more stylish, and of costlier materials, and inscriptions and symbols became more specific to the individual.

The funerary carvings in the Grove Street Cemetery reflect aesthetic ideas that had corresponding manifestations in other arts. The neo-classicism of the architecture of the early national period has its counterpart in the cemetery's urn-on-pedestal, obelisk, and column-shaped memorials. The eclectic, picturesque, and sentimental tendencies of Victorian art and architecture can be seen in the increased profusion of carving and other ornament, the more frequent depiction of cherubs and lambs, and the application of Gothic Revival, Greek Revival, and Egyptian Revival architectural details to obelisks and other types of markers. An evolution in the complexity of sculpture itself can be seen: the low-relief carving of colonial stones; the higher relief of early 19th-century urns, willows, and Masonic symbols; narrative tablets depicting occupational symbols or showing angels guiding the departed heavenward; and finally free-standing figures.

Mount Auburn in Boston, Massachusetts began the idea of "rural cemetery" design in the United States by combining "the Romantic landscaping of Pere Lechaise [the first "rural" park-like cemetery located outside of Paris] to an administrative structure like the New Haven Burying Grounds."⁵ Mount Auburn was followed by others such as Laurel Hill Cemetery in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (designated a NHL in 1998), which drew on the Mount Auburn and New Haven precedents.⁶ The Grove Street Cemetery, although lesser known than other rural, planned cemeteries in the United States, in its creation and administration, became an example for other urban areas to follow in creating permanent resting spaces for their dead.

⁵Wunsch, "Laurel Hill Cemetery National Historic Landmark" nomination form, 21.

⁶Wunsch, 26.

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 16**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

9. MAJOR BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

- Atwater, Edward E., ed. *History of the City of New Haven*. New York: W. W. Munsell & Co., 1887.
- Bailey, O. H., and J. C. Hazen. *The City of New Haven, Conn.* Boston, 1879. Bird's-eye view.
- Barber, John W., and Lemuel S. Punderson. *History and Antiquities of New Haven, Conn.* 3rd ed. New Haven: Punderson, Crisand & Co., 1870.
- Bartlett, Ellen Strong. "Grove Street Cemetery," in *Historical Sketches of New Haven*. New Haven: Tuttle, Morehouse & Taylor, 1897.
- Beardsley, William A. "Hezekiah Augur: Woodcarver, Sculptor, and Inventor." *Papers of the New Haven Colony Historical Society*, 10 (1951): 258-85.
- Brown, Elizabeth Mills. *New Haven, a Guide to Architecture and Urban Design*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1976.
- Carrott, Richard G. *The Egyptian Revival: Its Sources, Monuments, and Meaning, 1808-1858*. Berkeley, Ca.: University of California Press, 1978.
- Committee Appointed to Inquire into the Condition of the New Haven Burying Ground and to Propose a Plan for its Improvement. *Report of the Committee*. New Haven: B. Hamlen, 1839. Includes plan of cemetery, 1839.
- Deshefy-Longhi, Teresa. "The Grove Street Cemetery: A Landscape History." Student paper, 1985, University of Connecticut; copy at New Haven Colony Historical Society, New Haven.
- Edwards, B. "The Burial Ground at New-Haven." Pp. 210-15 from an unidentified periodical. Beinecke Library, Yale University, New Haven.
- Etlin, Richard. "Landscapes of Eternity: Funerary Architecture and the Cemetery, 1793-1881," *Oppositions* 8 (Spring 1977).
- French, Stanley. "The Cemetery as Cultural Institution: The Establishment of Mount Auburn and the Rural Cemetery Movement." *American Quarterly* 26, no. 1 (March 1974).
- Grove Street Cemetery Papers. 1796-1953. New Haven Colony Historical Society. Includes Proprietors Records, Hillhouse map of 1800.
- History of the City Burial Ground in New Haven*. New Haven: J. H. Benham, 1863. Includes map by S. W. Searl, 1862.
- Kendall, Edward A. *Travels Through the Northern Parts of the United States, In the year 1808 and 1809*. New York: I. Riley, 1809.

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 17**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

Linden-Ward, Blanche. "New Haven's New Burying Ground: The Founding of a Prototypical Institution and its Improvement as a Cemetery." *Association for the Study of Connecticut History Newsletter*, Fall, 1987, 3-13.

Meigs, Josiah. Map of burial ground. Manuscript. New Haven Land Records, Vol. 56, page 1, New Haven City and Town Clerk.

New Haven Architecture: Selections from the Historic American Buildings Survey. No. 9. Washington: Historic American Buildings Survey, 1970.

Proceedings of the City of New Haven in the Removal of Monuments from its Ancient Burying Ground and in the Opening of a New Ground for Burial. New Haven: Gray and Hewit, 1822. Includes plan of cemetery, 1822.

Schuyler, David. "The Evolution of the Anglo-American Rural Cemetery: Landscape Architecture as Social and Cultural History." *Journal of Garden History* 4, no. 3 (July-September 1984).

Seymour, George Dudley. *New Haven*. New Haven: Tuttle, Morehouse and Taylor, 1942.

Sloane, David C. *The Last Great Necessity: Cemeteries in American History*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.

Townshend, Henry H. *The Grove Street Cemetery; a Paper Read Before the New Haven Colony Historical Society, October 27, 1947*. New Haven: New Haven Colony Historical Society, 1948. Also in *Papers of the New Haven Colony Historical Society*, 10 (1951): 114-46.

Tuthill, Louisa C. *The History of Architecture From the Earliest of Times; Its Present Condition in Europe and the United States*. Philadelphia: Lindsay and Blakiston, 1848.

Wunsch, Aaron V. "Laurel Hill Cemetery National Historic Landmark" nomination form. Washington, DC: National Historic Landmarks Survey files, 1998.

GROVE STREET CEMETERY

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

Previous documentation on file (NPS):

- Preliminary Determination of Individual Listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested.
- Previously Listed in the National Register.
- Previously Determined Eligible by the National Register.
- Designated a National Historic Landmark.
- Recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey: #CT-275
- Recorded by Historic American Engineering Record: #

Primary Location of Additional Data:

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Other State Agency
- Federal Agency
- Local Government
- University
- Other (Specify Repository):

GROVE STREET CEMETERY

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

10. GEOGRAPHICAL DATA

Acreage of Property: 18 acres

UTM References:	Zone	Easting	Northing
A	18	673340	4575650
B	18	673530	4575600
C	18	673650	4575450
D	18	673610	4575220
E	18	673400	4575320

Verbal Boundary Description:

The nominated property includes the parcel recorded in the New Haven Assessor records as Map 259, Block 0331, Parcel 001.

Boundary Justification:

The boundary includes the present and historical extent of the cemetery as laid out in 1796, enlarged in 1814, and enclosed in the 1840s.

GROVE STREET CEMETERY**Page 20**

United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

11. FORM PREPARED BY

Name/Title: Bruce Clouette

Address: Public Archaeology Survey Team, Inc.
P.O. Box 209
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

Telephone: (860) 429-1723

Date: September 29, 1999

Edited by: Carolyn Pitts, John Sprinkle, and Patty Henry
National Historic Landmarks Survey
National Park ServiceU.S. Customs House - 3rd Floor
Second and Chestnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 191061849 C Street, NW (NC-400)
Washington, DC 20240

Telephone: (215) 597-8875 or (202) 343-8163

NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARKS SURVEY