1. NAME OF PROPERTY

Historic Name: COLUMBIA RIVER HIGHWAY

Other Name/Site Number: Historic Columbia River Highway

2. LOCATION

Street & Number: Historic Columbia River Highway No. 100

City/Town: Portland, Columbia River Gorge including the cities of Hood River, and Mosier

State: Oregon  County: Multnomah/Hood River/Wasco  Code: 051/027/065  Zip Code: 97209-4037

3. CLASSIFICATION

Ownership of Property  Category of Property
Private:  ___  Building(s):  ___
Public-Local:  ___  District:  X
Public-State:  X  Site:  ___
Public-Federal:  X  Structure:  ___
Object:  ___

Number of Resources within Property

Contributing  Noncontributing
6 buildings
43 sites
5 16 structures
54 3 objects
54 19 Total

Number of Contributing Resources Previously Listed in the National Register: approximately 50

Name of Related Multiple Property Listing: N/A
4. STATE/FEDERAL AGENCY CERTIFICATION

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, I hereby certify that this \underline{X} nomination \underline{___} request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property \underline{___} meets \underline{___} does not meet the National Register Criteria.

\underline{Signature of Certifying Official} \underline{Date}

State or Federal Agency and Bureau

In my opinion, the property \underline{___} meets \underline{___} does not meet the National Register criteria.

\underline{Signature of Commenting or Other Official} \underline{Date}

State or Federal Agency and Bureau

5. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this property is:

\underline{___} Entered in the National Register
\underline{___} Determined eligible for the National Register
\underline{___} Determined not eligible for the National Register
\underline{___} Removed from the National Register
\underline{___} Other (explain): ________________________________

\underline{Signature of Keeper} \underline{Date of Action}
6. FUNCTION OR USE

Historic: Landscape Sub: natural feature
Transportation Sub: road-related (vehicular)
Recreation and Culture Sub: outdoor recreation

Current: Landscape Sub: natural feature
Transportation Sub: road-related (vehicular)
Transportation Sub: pedestrian-related
Recreation and Culture Sub: outdoor recreation

7. DESCRIPTION

Architectural Classification: N/A

Materials:

Foundation:
Walls:
Roof:
Other: Pavements and curbs: Packed Earth, Gravel, Warrenite Bitulithic Asphalt, Reinforced-Concrete
Structures: Reinforced-Concrete, Basalt Rubble, Wood.
Describe Present and Historic Physical Appearance.

Summary
The Columbia River Highway (CRH) National Historic Landmark District is located in the state of Oregon, along the south side of the Columbia River between the cities of Troutdale (14.2 miles east of Portland) and The Dalles (88 miles east of Portland). The CRH was the first modern highway constructed in the Pacific Northwest and the first scenic highway constructed in the United States. The road became a trunk route from Portland’s large commercial center to eastern Oregon and points beyond. The highway’s alignment remains true to the plan that Samuel C. Lancaster and others envisioned for its original configuration. The road is the pinnacle of early-20th-century rural highway design created to take visitors to the Columbia River Gorge’s most breathtaking and beautiful natural wonders and scenic vistas. The CRH was constructed between 1913 and 1922.

Much of the CRH possesses an extraordinary integrity to the period of construction. All of the western 24.3 miles, from Troutdale to Warrendale, is on its original alignment except at Oneonta Gorge Creek, where in 1948 it was slightly realigned to bypass Oneonta Tunnel and crosses Oneonta Creek on a 1948 reinforced-concrete girder span, and west of Dodson, where it is briefly lost in a freeway interchange. All of the engineering features associated with this portion of the highway, including the original Oneonta Gorge Creek Bridge, the Oneonta Tunnel, and Interstate 84’s Toothrock Tunnel, are intact.

Portions of the CRH between Warrendale and Hood River were sacrificed in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s for construction of the water-level route that became Interstate 84. Those discontinuous segments that remain between Warrendale and Hood River, however, possess much original integrity, including masonry walls, bridges, viaducts, and pavement. Nevertheless, while they meet the National Register-level of integrity, the National Historic Landmark (NHL) requirement for a high degree of integrity is less pervasive. The Oregon Department of Transportation is rehabilitating several of these segments for non-motorized use as part of the Historic Columbia River Highway State Trail. One section, between Tanner Creek and Cascade Locks, possesses a high degree of integrity and is included in the NHL historic district.

By 1999, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) is well along in its project to restore the long-abandoned Hood River to Mosier section of the CRH as part of the HCRH State Trail. This includes removing the additional pavement width from the road to reestablish the original pavement and shoulder widths. The department is restoring and, where needed, rebuilding sections of masonry guard wall. It has replaced the “W” rail and “C” rail steel guardrails with the timber 1920s Standard Guard Fence. Finally, ODOT has restored several bridges and reopened two tunnels. This section of the HCRH State Trail possesses a high degree of integrity and is included in the NHL historic district.

From Mosier to The Dalles, the CRH maintains its original alignment except for a half-mile-segment west of Tooley Lake, near HMP 83. This small section was destroyed and realigned when Interstate 84 was constructed. All of the engineering structures and features associated with the highway remain intact, including four bridges constructed in 1920-21.
Roadway width has been maintained at its original 24 feet throughout the nominated portions of the CRH. However the route’s original configuration of 18 feet of pavement and two 3-foot shoulders was modified in the 1930s or 1940s when the pavement was extended the full 24 feet to accommodate larger and faster automobiles and transport trucks. At no time were masonry guard walls or concrete railings relocated to provide additional roadway width beyond the original 24 feet.

Overview of the Columbia River Highway through the Columbia River Gorge

Historic District Boundaries and Sections

The 1983 National Register (NR) nomination for the CRH Historic District defined a linear resource that was 60-feet wide (30-feet either side of the roadway’s centerline) and equal to its original right-of-way. The district was wider at several locations to incorporate slopes, other geological or highway-related engineering features, and the public recreation areas intertwined with the route’s history. The district also traversed cities and communities on the streets where the CRH passed. There, the district was confined to the curb line or edge of pavement. The NHL nomination relies on the same general boundary definitions.

The NR nomination described the resource as consisting of a discontinuous 55 miles of the original 73.8-mile route (see Figures #2, #3 & #4). This was broken down into a western segment of 21.6 miles, running from Troutdale to the Dodson interchange, and an eastern segment of 14.6 miles, running from Mosier to The Dalles. Of the original 37.6-mile middle section, only 19.3 miles were extant. Large portions of the CRH in that section were lost to construction of a water-level route in the 1930s, 1950s, and 1960s that became Interstate 84. What existed there in 1983 was either abandoned or functioned as frontage roads, county roads, or city streets. The NR nomination included all extant portions of the highway from Troutdale to The Dalles regardless of whether the roadbed was in public or private ownership, or whether it was abandoned or in use.

National Historic Landmark Boundaries and Segments

The NHL nomination will encompass major portions of the NR district. For ease of understanding this complex resource, it is broken down into segments referenced by “Historic Mile Posts” (HMPs). All portions of the CRH included in the NHL historic district are in public ownership.

Historic Mile Posts (HMPs) on the Columbia River Highway

Mileposts were established along the CRH at the time of construction. According to a “Mile Posting Data” log of the entire highway that the Oregon State Highway Department (OSHD) prepared in 1924, HMP 0.00 was established as the intersection of SW Washington Street and SW Broadway in downtown Portland. The route leading to the beginning of the CRH and nominated district, followed Portland’s arterial system for about six miles before picking up the Base Line Road (also known as Stark Street) or the Sandy Road (later known as Sandy Boulevard). Stark Street intersected the CRH on the Sandy River (Stark Street) Bridge, at HMP 16.7. The Sandy Road crossed the Sandy River two miles downstream over the Sandy River Bridge at Troutdale before heading into the county’s road system. The roadway between the Sandy River Bridge at Troutdale and the Sandy River (Stark Street) Bridge was added as a second access route to the CRH, a few years after work originally began on the highway.\(^1\)

\(^1\)See the entire section of F. N. Drinkhall, “Field Notes: Mile Posting Data, Upper Col. River Hwy., Lower Col. River Hwy., and Old Oregon Trail,” Oregon State Highway Department, Salem, 1924, devoted to the “Upper
The Sandy River Bridge at Troutdale is 2.5 miles northwest of the Stark Street structure, so its HMP has been calculated as 14.2. For purposes of this nomination for the CRH, and the 1983 NR nomination for the CRH Historic District, HMP 14.2 was determined as the western most point of the nominated property. Beginning there, the CRH is summarized as follows:

**Segment 1—Sandy River to Warrendale (HMP 14.2 to 38.5)**

This segment of the CRH runs from the Sandy River Bridge at Troutdale to the eastern end of Warrendale, where the route becomes an eastbound on-ramp to Interstate 84. Throughout the entire segment, the highway retains much of its original integrity, with no subsequent realignments. One short break of less than one mile exists at the west end of Dodson, near HMP 37, where the original alignment was lost to approach ramps for exit 35 on Interstate 84.

The portion of the CRH from the Sandy River to its junction with Larch Mountain Road (HMP 23), retains its original character as a country road. All of this section, except for 1.5 miles of the 2.5 miles between the Sandy River (Troutdale) Bridge and the Sandy River (Stark St.) Bridge, predates the highway. It was part of an extensive farm-to-market road system in eastern Multnomah County that radiated from Portland to its hinterland. The 1.5 miles of improvements, which is immediately north and west of the Sandy River (Stark Street) Bridge, is a water-level alignment created through substantial cliff side cuts along the Sandy River. It was built in 1916 as part of the CRH’s original construction and bypassed a county road connecting with the Sandy River Bridge at Troutdale that had 20 percent grades.

The countryside between HMP 14.2 and HMP 23 consists of minor housing developments, rural restaurant structures, country villages, cane berry fields, and fruit tree orchards. Both Sandy River bridges have been repaired and preserved to retain their historic character. The only variation from original integrity in this segment is the overlying of asphaltic concrete pavement from the original 18-foot width to the full 24-foot roadway width some time in the last 82 years. This was probably completed during the 1930s to accommodate increased truck traffic. Intermittently, the pavement has been widened beyond the roadway’s original 24-foot configuration for traffic safety at intersections, driveways, and school bus pullouts.

The portion of the CRH from HMP 23 to HMP 38.5 is often called the “waterfall section.” Its western terminus is at the junction with Larch Mountain Road, just west of the former Larch Mountain Viaduct (this structure failed years ago because of an unstable hillside and was replaced with a modern “gabion” wall and fill material). This beginning point is near the Portland Women’s Forum State Scenic Viewpoint, the former site of Chanticleer Inn, where in 1913 Samuel Lancaster and Samuel Hill looked over the Columbia River Gorge and began mapping out the CRH’s location. The eastern terminus is near the eastern end of the community of Warrendale, where the highway becomes the eastbound on-ramp to Interstate 84.

This segment has several large cascades and many smaller, seasonal falls. It also includes two NR-listed properties: Vista House, a public comfort station and interpretive structure dating from 1918 (at HMP 24); and the Multnomah Falls Recreation Area, which encompasses Multnomah Falls and Multnomah Falls Lodge, a 1926 Cascadian-style restaurant/interpretive center (at HMP Col. River Hwy.”
32). There are also several other state parks and Forest Service recreation sites along this section of the CRH.

Many man-made structures were built in this section, including several one-of-a-kind reinforced-concrete bridges and box culverts to cross numerous small streams. Mortared masonry guard walls provide traffic protection along outside curves. Masonry retaining walls carry the road along the Columbia Gorge’s steep hillsides. Guard rocks were often used on top of retaining walls to demarcate the edge of pavement.

This CRH segment has eight unique reinforced-concrete bridges and several box culverts dating from 1915. All of them have undergone periodic structural inspections and continue to meet current load demands for the highway. Since 1987, cosmetic restoration has taken place on the concrete railings on several of the bridges. This has included recasting of some concrete spindles, replastering of decorative panels, or pointing masonry on most large spans. In addition, ODOT masons have replaced deteriorated mortar on guard walls, repaired dry masonry retaining walls, and reinstalled guard rocks.

One span, the Oneonta Gorge Creek Bridge (1915), was bypassed in 1948 when the highway was rerouted on a parallel span around Oneonta Tunnel. The 1915 bridge remains in good original shape, with some deterioration of the railing panels. It serves as part of a parking area for visitors to Oneonta Gorge and gives visitors the opportunity to see a CRH bridge in unrestored condition.

The CRH maintains its original alignment, concrete gutters, drainage tile, and curbing. Pavement overlays since the 1930s, however, have been altered from the original 18-foot asphalt travel lanes and three-foot shoulders to 24 feet of asphalt pavement (total width is still maintained at 24 feet).

In the 1980s, ODOT carried out two restoration projects on this CRH segment. It recreated original concrete mileposts, patterned after two surviving posts, and installed them here and along all driveable sections of the highway. The agency also replaced deteriorated “C-rail” and “W-rail” metal guardrail along this highway segment.

From the Sandy River to the Larch Mountain Road junction, ODOT installed a single-rail wooden guardrail that met current highway crash standards. This rail replacement project represented an early restoration effort along the highway. At the time of the highway’s construction, however, the Oregon State Highway Department developed a two-rail wooden guardrail for use on this road and throughout the state’s highway system. It became a standard rail for the Bureau of Public Roads during the 1920s (see Figure #8).

By 1996, ODOT had developed and crash-tested a new two-rail steel-backed wooden guardrail for use on the driveable portions. It replicated the original two-rail wooden guard fence, but met a modern 50 mile-per-hour crash test. None of the alterations described here compromise the ambience of a rural country road. The CRH in this segment possesses a high level of historic integrity.
Segment 2—Tanner Creek to Cascade Locks (HMP 41.7 to 45.8)

From Warrendale to Hood River, the CRH consists of many discontinuous roadway segments, either abandoned or taken off line. In 1937, the US Bureau of Public Roads completed a realignment of the portion from Tanner Creek to Cascade Locks as part of the Bonneville Dam construction project. Because projected pool levels behind the dam would flood the nearby Union Pacific Railroad mainline near Eagle Creek, the track was rerouted to the south, bisecting a portion of the CRH's right-of-way. This created the need to realign the highway from Tanner Creek (near the current Bonneville Dam interchange) to the western end of Cascade Locks.

The new highway alignment was a water-level route with broad, sweeping curves. It was extended eastward and westward in the 1950s as a new water-level route. It was upgraded in the 1960s to a four-lane highway (Interstate 84). The new roadway cut long arcs through the CRH alignment from Cascade Locks to the Hood River (east of the city of Hood River). It left many discontinuous, but very visible and accessible highway traces, including the portion from Tanner Creek to the Cascade Locks.

In 1996, ODOT reopened the CRH segment between Tanner Creek and Eagle Creek (between HMP 41.7 and 42.8) for non-motorized use as part of the HCRH State Trail. The rehabilitated segment includes the Toothrock and Eagle Creek viaducts.

As part of the rehabilitation project in this segment, ODOT replaced spindles on the railings of Toothrock Viaduct. Masons repointed or reconstructed guard walls on the nearby Eagle Creek Viaduct. They also rebuilt a section of the Eagle Creek Viaduct crushed under a rockslide in the 1980s. The masons replicated a nearby pedestrian observatory area, Eagle's Nest. A new pedestrian bridge replaced a segment of the original highway lost during construction of Toothrock Tunnel's east portal in 1936. This new structure serves as a vital link in reconnecting Tanner Creek with Eagle Creek on the HCRH State Trail.

The roadway extends an eighth of a mile east of the new pedestrian bridge before abruptly ending far above the 1937 realignment. There, a concrete staircase, constructed in 1996, enables bicyclists and hikers to reach the next section of the CRH, an off-ramp from Interstate 84 which leads to the Eagle Creek Campground (HMP 42.8). The USDA Forest Service's Oregon National Forest developed this area along the highway in 1915 as a camping and picnic facility.

The Eagle Creek Bridge (1915) is the eastern most span constructed on the CRH in Multnomah County. It is a reinforced-concrete arch faced in basalt rock. The structure, with a nearby pedestrian alcove, has received regular maintenance and is in very good original condition (see Figure #15).

The Eagle Creek Campground is the oldest improved USDA Forest Service campground in the United States and was a very popular destination with travelers on the CRH. Popularity and subsequent overuse of the campground prompted the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC), in the mid-1930s, to enlarge the recreation area to include a community kitchen, public comfort station, and trail registry booth, along with additional day and overnight use areas. The CCC also constructed a wooden pedestrian suspension bridge spanning Eagle Creek. It provided access to

---

several trails in the campground’s vicinity. Severe weather in 1997 destroyed this structure, but the Forest Service has planned for its reconstruction (see Figure 14).

The Eagle Creek Overlook, which is adjacent to the campground and north of the 1937 highway realignment, was also a CCC project. It included a shelter and other improvements, and offered a vantage point for those eager to watch Bonneville Dam’s construction.

In 1999, the FHWA’s Western Federal Lands Highway Division completed rehabilitation of the 2.4-mile Eagle Creek to Cascade Locks section (HMP 42.8 to 45) of the CRH for non-motorized use. Within a quarter mile east of the Eagle Creek Campground, the highway’s alignment headed south, away from the river. At HMP 43.6, it crosses Ruckel Creek on a masonry-walled 10-foot slab span constructed in 1917.

A short section east of Ruckel Creek Bridge, the highway takes an 800-foot detour route dating from 1937. It rejoins the original alignment below the south shoulder of present-day Interstate 84. As part of the 1999 FHWA project, a pedestrian tunnel was constructed under the Interstate 84 alignment. North of the four-lane highway, the HCRH State Trail follows a new alignment eastward for 2,000 feet before continuing on the original CRH roadbed to the Bridge of the Gods, in Cascade Locks, at HMP 45.8.

Toothrock Tunnel, with its rustic-style portal masonry, was completed in 1937 and now serves eastbound Interstate 84. It has a strong association with the CRH and is included within the district in part because of its significant role in taking the Tanner Creek to Cascade Locks highway realignment through Tooth Rock.

**Segment 3—Hood River to The Dalles (HMP 65.8 to 88.4)**

This segment of the CRH Historic District begins at the intersection of 13th and Oak streets in Hood River, the western boundary of one of the city’s older residential neighborhoods. The highway follows Oak Street east through a tree-lined residential development and a predominantly early-20th-century business district, before turning south for two blocks on 2nd Street, and then east on State Street to the city limits at the Hood River. The CRH crosses the Hood River and intersects with Oregon 35 at HMP 67.

Much of the CRH between Hood River and Mosier is best known for the Hood River Loops and the Mosier Twin Tunnels. The state highway department abandoned this section in the early 1950s when the water-level route opened. The Hood River Loops carry the road up and out of the river valley to skirt along the cliffs of the Columbia River Gorge on grades of 5 percent or less and on curves with turning radii of 100 feet or more. The portion to the Hood River County—Wasco County line became a county road, serving residences and several gravel pits. With closure of this portion of the old road in the mid-1950s, the right-of-way in Wasco County to HMP 73.1, including the Mosier Twin Tunnels (HMP 72) reverted to surrounding private landowners.

The Mosier Twin Tunnels were constructed a mile east of the Wasco County line and completed in 1921. They consist of two bores, in tandem, running 390 feet. They carried visitors from a cliff-faced road on the west to a plateau on the east, overlooking the Columbia River. Features include two windows in the east tunnel, an observation gallery between the tunnels, and a masonry-railed cliff walk. The tunnels were partially wood lined and originally had masonry...
portals. By the 1930s, larger vehicles required widening the tunnels. New linings were installed and the portal rings were enlarged and refaced with imitation voussoirs cast in concrete. Highway officials noted early on that continual rockfall made traveling dangerous in CRH section immediately west of the tunnels. In 1953, at the completion of the water-level route between Hood River and Mosier, the Oregon State Highway Engineer ordered the Mosier Twin Tunnels closed permanently and filled with rubble.

Since the late 1980s, ODOT and the Historic Columbia River Highway Advisory Committee have sought to reopen the tunnels and the Hood River to Mosier section of the road for recreational use, as another segment of the HCRH State Trail. ODOT is the lead agency on this project, partnering with the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD). The tunnels were reopened and restored in 1996. Masonry guard walls east and west of the tunnels have been pointed or completely rebuilt using local stone and original construction techniques.

ODOT has removed extra pavement width laid in the 1930s, to recreate the original 18-foot roadway with 2-foot shoulders in this section. In addition, the department has recreated the 1920s two-rail wooden guard fence to original specifications and installed it at locations noted in the 1924 Mile Posting Data log. The agency also installed reproduction concrete mileposts along the HCRH State Trail.

Because of constant danger from rockfall between the tunnels and west of the tunnels, ODOT proposed construction of two catchment structures to shield recreationalists from falling chunks of basalt. The agency completed a reinforced-concrete rock catchment structure between the tunnels in 1996. A similar one west of the west tunnel is under construction and is scheduled for completion in 2000. The designs of both structures have met the approval of the HCRH Advisory Committee and the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) as being compatible with the highway’s historic integrity. The Oregon SHPO’s determination of “No Adverse Effect” was accepted by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. Both designs also meet the visual quality objectives of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (CRGNSA) Management Plan (1992).

One other significant resource in this segment, the Rock Creek Bridge (1918), in Wasco County, lost its reinforced-concrete parapet walls years ago in favor of wooden posts and rails. In the mid-1990s, ODOT re-created the original concrete railings for this structure.

From Mosier, the CRH is a 24-foot paved two-lane road with minimal unpaved shoulders, begins just west of Mosier. It passes through the town, which overlooks the Columbia River, before heading through two miles of orchards. By HMP 75.55, the road again climbs to a windswept plateau where Memaloose Overlook (HMP 76.3), an original CRH feature, provides unobstructed views up and down the Columbia River and toward Memaloose Island, a former traditional American Indian burial place. At HMP 79.9, the highway begins a winding descent from the Rowena Crest Overlook, a large masonry-walled pedestrian viewing area, to the community of Rowena, at river’s edge. Again, the highway’s designers used loops to create a grade not exceeding 5 percent or ideally undercutting a 200-foot turning radius. This is the third set of loops along the HCRH, which also include the Figure-Eight Loops near Crown Point and the Hood River Loops near Hood River.
Two major structures are crossed in this section of highway: the Mosier Creek Bridge (1920), at HMP 73.7, and the Dry Canyon Creek Bridge (1921), at HMP 79.7. Both are reinforced-concrete ribbed deck arch spans designed by Oregon State Bridge Engineer Conde B. McCullough. They are in very good original condition with some concrete patching completed on the parapet walls. As with other driveable CRH sections, ODOT has replaced the odd assortment of metal guardrail profiles found in this segment with its crash-tested, steel-backed two-rail wooden guard fence.

From Rowena to The Dalles, the CRH leaves its rural country road character and enters the The Dalles urban area. It continues as a 24-foot two-lane facility with minimal shoulders. Scattered residences, some contemporary with the highway and others of a more modern vintage, are visible immediately adjacent to the route. A few commercial buildings date from the CRH’s early years, including a gas station and a motor court. The Chenoweth Creek Bridge (1920) is a 60-foot reinforced-concrete deck girder structure consisting of three 20-foot spans. Its original concrete guardrails were removed years ago, but are being restored by ODOT. Chenoweth Creek, at The Dalles city limits, marks the CRH Historic District’s eastern terminus.

Many of the restaurants and other business structures that were constructed along the CRH in the 1920s and 1930s, during the road’s heyday, have vanished. Gasoline stations, motor courts, and hot dog stands, appeared along the highway despite the protests of proponents who believed that the CRH was becoming too commercialized. The loss of these structures, ironically, has helped to restore much of the waterfalls section to the uncluttered setting that it possessed in its early years. Of those buildings remaining, some continue to serve their original purpose. Others, that originally served meals to travelers, but for many years functioned as private homes, once again offer hospitality as bed and breakfast establishments. Those inns and country restaurants that remain alongside the CRH continue to contribute to the highway’s original setting.

The only substantial alteration along the highway is the modest development of state-owned parks and Forest Service recreation sites that have existed almost since the road’s opening. They are a testament to the highway’s long-lasting recreation popularity. This work included establishing parking areas and roadside pullouts for visitors. Today, any alterations or additions to these roadside improvements must comply with the CRGNSA Management Plan (1992) and meet SHPO approval. The Management Plan requires that no project on or adjacent to the CRH have an “Adverse Effect,” as defined in 36 CFR 800, on the highway.

**Nomenclature**

The NR nomination for the CRH listed the route as the “Columbia River Highway.” It was a major component of the Oregon State Highway System’s “Columbia River Highway No. 2,” which went from Astoria (at the mouth of the Columbia River) to Portland to The Dalles and ending some 60 miles east of The Dalles, where it became Old Oregon Trail Highway No. 6. The entire road was designed to the high engineering standards found on the portion in the scenic Columbia River Gorge. The water-level route that succeeded the CRH between Portland and The Dalles was eventually designated as Interstate 84. When this road was opened in the early 1950s as a two-lane route, it became known as the “Columbia River
Highway No. 2.” The segmented driveable portions of the old road were assigned several highway names and numbers.\(^3\)

In 1987, the Oregon lawmakers approved Senate Bill 766, which defined those portions of the original Columbia River Highway constructed in Multnomah, Hood River, and Wasco counties from 1913 to 1922 as the “Historic Columbia River Highway.” On 21 July 1993, the Oregon Transportation Commission renamed the entire route as “Historic Columbia River Highway No. 100.” Senate Bill 766 declared it public policy that Oregon preserve and restore the “continuity and historic integrity” of the CRH for “public use and enjoyment.” It also provided for a citizen/agency committee to advise the ODOT director and the Oregon Transportation Commission on the highway’s restoration and preservation. Since then, ODOT began in earnest to restore the road’s driveable sections and reclaim abandoned sections for conversion into a trail for non-motorized use. In the mid-1990s, ODOT completed its repurchase of sections of the highway held in private hands or by local governments. All portions of the CRH are in public ownership. Some resources in this nomination and outside of the current right-of-way boundaries (30-feet either side of centerline) are held by OPRD or the USDA Forest Service—Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (CRGNSA).\(^4\)

**Previous Documentation of the Columbia River Highway**

In addition to the CRH’s listing in the National Register in 1983, Vista House (HMP 23.9) was listed in 1974 and the “Multnomah Falls Lodge and Footpath” (HMP 32.1) were listed in 1981. The Historic American Engineering Record completed three projects that in whole or in part looked at the CRH. These include the “Columbia River Highway Project, 1981,” the “Oregon Historic Bridges Recording Project, 1990,” and the “Historic Columbia River Highway Recording Project, 1994, 1995.”

---

\(^3\)Between 1913 and 1920, Oregon’s earliest state highway commissioners developed on paper a state highway system. Initially, this included the primary, or “trunk,” routes, such as “Pacific Highway No. 1,” from Portland to the California border; “Columbia River Highway No. 2,” from Astoria to west of Pendleton; “The Dalles-California Highway No. 4, from The Dalles to the California border; “Old Oregon Trail Highway No. 6, beginning at the east end of Columbia River Highway No. 2 and heading east to the Idaho border. Later on, as the US route system developed, Pacific Highway No. 1 was also known as US 99; the Columbia River Highway No 2 and the Old Oregon Trail Highway No. 6 were also known as US 30; and The Dalles-California Highway was also known as US 97. Interstate 5 is now known as Pacific Highway No. 1. The portion of the Columbia River Highway from Astoria to Portland remains as US 30, but is now known as the “Lower Columbia River Highway No. 2W.” Interstate 84 east of Portland has taken over designations as Columbia River Highway No. 2 and Old Oregon Trail Highway No. 6.

\(^4\)The Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-663) created the USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA to administer National Forest lands within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Much of these lands were formerly in Gifford Pinchot National Forest north of the river and formerly in Mount Hood National Forest south of the river. The USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA also provides technical support for state and local governments on non-federal lands within the Scenic Area and has administrative offices in Hood River, Oregon.
DESCRIPTION OF CONTRIBUTING RESOURCES IN THE DISTRICT
The following description of contributing resources is divided into five categories:

Spatial Organization
Circulation
Topography
Vegetation
Structures, Buildings, and Objects

Spatial organization refers to the composition and sequence of outdoor spaces within the district. Circulation refers to the means and patterns of movement through the district. Topography refers to the ways in which the landscape planning responds to the site’s topographic features, and also to modification of that topography. Vegetation also refers both to the response to existing vegetation, and to the management of vegetation through pruning, removal, or addition of trees and shrubs. Structures, buildings, and objects include all the contributing structures, buildings, and objects in the district.

Historic District Overall
Spatial Organization
The Columbia River Highway (CRH) parallels the south shore of the “Great River of the West,” that Meriwether Lewis and William Clark navigated in the early 19th century and that Native peoples traveled as part of there trading network in the Pacific Northwest. At 1,400 miles, the Columbia is the second longest river in the United States. It cuts westward through the Cascade Range of volcanic mountains at near sea level through the Columbia River Gorge, from the arid inland plateau near The Dalles to the mouth of the Sandy River, near Troutdale, some 75 miles down stream. The river forms a natural boundary between the states of Oregon and Washington for nearly 300 miles. The Columbia River Gorge cuts a mile-wide channel through basalt formations, leaving cliffs rising an average of 1,500 to 3,000 feet above water level on the Oregon side. The Gorge averages three miles wide. The CRH begins at Troutdale, 15 miles east of Portland. It meanders eastward along the basalt cliffs, sometimes on top, sometimes near the bottoms, but often hugging the cliff faces, visiting the many lush alcoves with their waterfalls and streams. Closer to The Dalles, the highway follows the fence lines of the many fruit orchards found in the plateau country’s drier, warmer climate.

Prominent geologist John Eliot Allen wrote that, “The geologic evolution of the Columbia River Gorge is a result of 40 million years of predominantly volcanic activity.” But, he added that “it also involves faulting, folding, uplift and subsidence, erosion and sedimentation, repeated northward movement of the [Columbia River] valley, a period of cataclysmic flooding, and finally extensive landsliding. The Gorge thus exhibits a remarkable diversity of geologic events, dating back millions of years, and matched by few other places in North America.” Periodic ice age flooding on the Columbia, between 12,800 and 15,000 years ago, stripped the eastern part of the Gorge of its topsoil and scoured out channeled basalt areas known as scablands near The Dalles. They also left in their wake hanging valleys in the Gorge’s narrowest part, turning mountain streams into the many cascading waterfalls seen there today. The walls are also crumbling into the river, forming huge talus slopes and landslides of as much as 14 square miles. Volcanism created the Cascade Mountains. Geologically speaking, the entire Gorge is young and unstable, and is the only location along the range of mountains consisting of the Cascades and the Sierra Nevada where a river cuts though from east to west, to the sea.
There are 25 mapped waterfalls in the Columbia River Gorge, and eleven can be seen from Interstate 84 or the CRH. The south side of the Gorge has the largest concentration of high waterfalls in North America. Of these, Multnomah Falls is the tallest. With a vertical drop of 620 feet, it is also ranks high among waterfalls in the United States.

The Columbia River Gorge is an area of wide-ranging climatic and vegetative growth patterns. The Cascade Range is a barrier to the eastward movement of moist air from the Pacific Ocean. Rainfall averages 42 inches annually west of the mountains. Levels of 100 to 150 inches at the middle of the Gorge are not uncommon. However, precipitation at The Dalles is about 14 inches annually. This rain shadow effect causes the striking and rapid transition in vegetation from the Cascades’ moist west slopes to central Washington and Oregon’s dry plateau.

Because the Gorge is a near sea-level channel through a mountain range with peaks such as Mount Hood rising to heights greater than 11,000 feet, it also has a vertical gradient of differing environmental conditions. The steep side canyons, for instance, clasp in their walls damp microclimates unique to the region for their plant and animal life. The exceptional combination of natural, geological, cultural, and scenic resources led to the Gorge’s designation as a national scenic area in 1986.

**Circulation**

When completed in 1922, the CRH provided the only automotive link between Portland and The Dalles, the gateway to eastern Oregon’s high plateau country. During the mid-1920s, it was designated part of US 30 as part of the national highway system. The Pacific Highway, later US 99, was constructed at about the same time, with the goal of connecting Washington, Oregon, and California with a north-south highway through Seattle, Portland, and Los Angeles. The CRH connected with other routes as the nationwide highway system developed.

In 1937, the portion of the CRH between Tanner Creek and Cascade Locks was bypassed as part of the Bonneville Dam construction on the Columbia. The new alignment included Toothrock Tunnel. During the early 1950s, a new, two-lane water level route, founded on fill material dredged from the river, replaced much of the highway from Troutdale to The Dalles. By the 1960s, this route became a four-lane, limited-access highway, Interstate 80N, later renumbered Interstate 84.

Beginning in the early 1950s as the state constructed more and more of the water-level route, the CRH was cut up into several secondary highways and county roads. Other parts were destroyed. Those portions still owned by the state were assigned new names and route numbers. The waterfalls section from Troutdale to Dodson just beyond Multnomah Falls, for instance, was renamed the “Crown Point Highway, No. 125.” The section from Mosier to The Dalles was known as the “Mosier—The Dalles Highway, No. 292.” Much of the route between Dodson and Hood River had been abandoned, with significant structures still in place. Some parts were destroyed. Those portions through the cities of Cascade Locks and Hood River continued to function as city streets and as business loops for Interstate 84, which also carries the designation as U.S. 30 through most of its length. Since 1993, the segmented route from Troutdale to The Dalles was renamed the “Historic Columbia River Highway (HCRH) No. 100”—a single route in the Oregon State Highway System.
The CRH serves primarily tourists and local residents, as it did in the teens and early 1920s, while nearby Interstate 84 carries heavy through traffic. Tourists visiting waterfalls comprise much of the traffic on the CRH’s Crown Point Highway section. A majority of them travel the road from west to east, because they originate their trips from Portland. Conversely, the CRH’s Mosier to The Dalles Highway section serves mostly local traffic as a farm-to-market road to The Dalles. A segment through Cascade Locks functions as Wa-Na-Pa Street, the city’s main business route and now as access from Interstate 84. At the eastern end of the business district, the CRH becomes Forest Lane, a road that continues east of the city for a few miles through a rural residential area before ending in a freeway frontage road.

In Hood River, the CRH continues to serve as the main entrance to the city from the west. It also functions as a business loop for Interstate 84. East of the city’s business district, the CRH crosses the Hood River Bridge. From there, the road progresses toward the city of Mosier, first ascending the Hood River Loops. This highway segment reverted to county ownership in 1954. From HMP 67 to 68.5, it provides access to homes and businesses. Just east of there, it served a county gravel pit.

In 1954, the OSHD abandoned the highway’s Mosier Twin Tunnel section, with the right-of-way reverting to adjacent landowners. From 1987 onward, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) has reacquired ownership of this entire section of the CRH from Hood River to Mosier. The portion from HMP 67 to 68.5 continues to serve local homes and businesses, along with providing access to a west trailhead for the Hood River to Mosier section of the HCRH State Trail. From HMP 68.5, the roadway is designated for non-motorized use and connects with an east trailhead near Mosier, at HMP 73. Restoration of the Mosier Twin Tunnels, masonry guard walls, two-rail wooden guard fences, and the original 18-foot pavement width is ongoing.

**Topography**

Samuel C. Lancaster and others who laid out the CRH envisioned it, in part, as the line that connected the dots, so to speak, in the Columbia River Gorge. The “control points,” or “beauty spots” as Lancaster called them, were the natural wonders—the waterfalls, the rock formations, the abundant vegetation—often tucked away in alcoves or side canyons, or scenic vistas. These resources made this section of the river so inviting to late-19th- and 20th-century tourists who ventured up the Gorge from Portland on steamboats and excursion trains. As part of his plan, Lancaster employed the “Lying Lightly on the Land” philosophy a decade before the term had been coined and before the National Park Service had put the policy in place.

**Vegetation**

As originally envisioned, the CRH provided pleasure drivers a way to gain access to some of the most beautiful natural landscapes in the country. When the road was constructed, much of the Gorge had recently been logged of all large trees for timber and small, riparian zone trees for steamboat fuel. Dense ground cover and fast-growing trees soon took over the landscape. But originally, minimal vegetation framed the beautiful vistas seen from the highway. In more recent years, particularly in the waterfall section, trees and ground cover had grown so thick in places that the views were completely obscured. The Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (CRGNSA) Management Plan has vegetation management along the CRH as an objective in its “Scenic Resources Enhancement Strategies.” ODOT and other agencies developed a “Corridor Visual Inventory” in 1990 that addresses vegetation removal and management strategies for the CRH (Historic Columbia River Highway), Interstate 84, and Washington State Route 14.
(following the Columbia’s north shore), to recapture the majestic views possible from these highways when they were constructed. Some of the vistas, obscured for decades, have been reclaimed along the highway.

Vegetation along the highway and in the Columbia River Gorge is quite varied. The westernmost section, from HMP 14.2 to 19, has many exotic plant species associated with developments, along with large, dense vegetation. Riparian species near the Sandy River include horsetails, cottonwoods, alders, cedars, and willows. A rolling pastoral setting is evident from HMP 19 to 23. Grassy hills, berries, small-scale agriculture, and occasional Douglas-fir trees create a very open spatial setting.

From HMP 23 to about 42, the vegetation is of the type associated with a steep forested Gorge. This includes a very diverse mix of species and age classes. It takes on a “rain forest” appearance with ferns and moss. Very large, old growth trees, such as Douglas-fir, are rare, but truly add an impressive visual dimension. Himalayan blackberry and English ivy appear indigenous to the visitor, but in reality are invasive species that are overly abundant in this portion of the Gorge. The thick vegetation here creates the setting for a very intimate foreground landscape, offering a rich and diverse visual experience. Here and there, breaks in the vegetation give views of the sharply sculpted cliff faces along with the river, with their striking waterfalls. The river and similar cliffs on the river’s north shore are also visible. In this same zone, the CRH intermittently runs along the cliff bases, where visitors occasionally experience the river bottomland. Red alder, willow, and Oregon ash are all found there among huge basalt monoliths. Some views have been recreated through vegetation management to capture vistas that were possible from the CRH at its time of construction.

From about HMP 42 to 60, dense Douglas-fir, with a few scattered hardwoods, abound. Ferns, mosses, and grasses are also present in some areas. The river is visible occasionally, but is not a prominent element in this landscape. The Gorge’s geology is less striking here, east of the narrowest section of the river’s passage through the Cascades.

From Hood River to The Dalles, HMP 60 to 88, the landscape is quite different. Rainfall is significantly lower here than on the west slopes of the Cascades or in the western Gorge itself. On the plateau above the river, the hearty Oregon white oak and Ponderosa pine have replaced rainforest species. The road also passes cherry orchards, grass savannas, and channel scablands.

Structures, and Compatible Cultural Landscape Features, Natural Features, Buildings, and Objects on the Columbia River Highway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS1.</th>
<th>Structure:</th>
<th>Columbia River Highway</th>
<th>HAER No. OR-36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Troutdale to The Dalles, HMP 14.2 to 88.0</td>
<td>Date: 1913-22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designer:</td>
<td>Samuel C. Lancaster, J. A. Elliott, Roy A Klein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Structural descriptions in this section were largely prepared from reports written by Robert W. Hadlow, Ph.D., for the Historic American Engineering Record’s Historic Columbia River Highway Recording Project of 1994 and 1995.
Segment 1—Sandy River to Warrendale (HMP 14.2 to 38.5)

Structures and Objects
Contributing Structures:

CS2. Structure: **Sandy River Bridge at Troutdale, No. 2019**
Location: HMP 14.2
Designer: Waddell and Harrington, Kansas City
Builder: Oregon Bridge and Construction Co.
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

HAER No. OR-36-A
Date: 1912

The first modern bridge on what became the CRH, this structure consists of one 40-foot steel plate girder span and two nine-panel 162-foot Pratt through-truss spans. It has an 18-foot roadway. The bridge forms one of two entrances to the CRH from the west, and was originally part of Multnomah County’s rural road network.

CS3. Structure: **Sandy River (Stark St.) Bridge, No. 11112**
Location: HMP 16.7
Builder: George Griffin and the Portland Bridge Co.
Owner: Multnomah County, Oregon

HAER No. OR-36-B
Date: 1914

One of the oldest steel truss highway bridges in Oregon, this structure consists of one ten-panel 200'-2½" riveted Pratt camel-back through truss steel span and one 5-panel 77'-6" Warren pony truss. Total length is 277'-8½". The deck is 20 feet wide. This bridge forms the second western entrance to the CRH, on Stark Street/Baseline Road, which begins in the heart of downtown Portland. At the time of the highway’s construction, the Portland Automobile Club established a camp for its members near this bridge (and outside the NHL district boundaries).

CS4. Structure: **Crown Point Viaduct, No. 4524**
Location: HMP 23.9
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

HAER No. OR-36-C
Date: 1914

This 560-foot spiral viaduct was constructed of reinforced concrete and runs for 225 degrees of a circle around Crown Point. It functions as a 7-foot-wide sidewalk and curb with a 4-foot-high parapet wall on the outside of a 24-foot roadway cut into the rock formation. A dry masonry retaining wall stabilizes the hillside above and below the viaduct and masonry parapet walls that ring Vista House (see under “Buildings”), the sandstone public comfort station completed on top of Crown Point in 1918.

CS5. Structure: **Figure-Eight Loops**
Location: HMP 24-26
Designer: S. C. Lancaster
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

HAER No. OR-36
Date: 1914
This CRH section is called the “Figure-Eight Loops” because it curves back on itself four times within 40 acres as it makes a 600-foot descent between Crown Point and Latourell Falls. Here, its designer, Lancaster, “developed distance” to maintain a grade of 5 percent or less and a minimum 100-foot turning radius. The Figure-Eight Loops were constructed with an elaborate system of concrete curbs, gutters, and drop inlet, along with tiled drains and culverts, to keep water from standing on the pavement and causing road deterioration and safety hazards.

CS6. Structure: Latourell Creek Bridge
Location: HMP 26.1
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This bridge consists of three 80-foot reinforced-concrete braced-spandrel deck arches. Total length, including approaches, is 316 feet. It has a 17-foot-wide road deck and 3-foot sidewalks. Cap-and-spindle railings here represent a member of the family of railing types found on CRH structures (see Figures #12 & #13).

CS7. Structure: Shepperd’s Dell Bridge, No. 4528
Location: HMP 27.4
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This bridge consists of a single 100-foot, open spandrel, reinforced-concrete ribbed deck arch. Total length with approaches is 150 feet. It has a 17-foot-wide roadway, two sidewalks, and spindle-and-cap railings. A staircase at the eastern end leads down to a masonry-walled pedestrian trail that takes visitors to the stream. A bronze plaque on the southeast masonry railing end post reads:

SHEPPERD’S DELL
Presented to the
City of Portland
by George Shepperd
May 6th 1915

CS8. Structure: Masonry Culvert, No. 4529
Location: HMP 27.55
Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
Builder: Pacific Bridge Co., Portland, and masons
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This culvert consists of a single 8-foot reinforced-concrete deck slab span with masonry walls and floor.

CS9. Structure: Cattle Pass, No. 4530
Location: HMP 27.75
Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This culvert consists of a single 8-foot reinforced-concrete deck slab span. The underpass also has concrete walls and floor. A local landowner required construction of this Cattle Pass so that his herd could migrate to both sides of a pasture bisected by the highway's construction.

CS10. Structure: Bridal Veil Falls Bridge, No. 823  
Location: HMP 28.4  
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  
HAER No. OR-36-E  
Date: 1914

This bridge is a skewed 100-foot reinforced-concrete deck girder span in which the solid railings serve as continuous beams. The transverse deck support members function as deck girders. Width out-to-out is 23'2", curb-to-curb is 21 feet. The unique design allowed the bridge to span both the falls and a nearby lumber company’s log flumes.

CS11. Structure: Wahkeena Creek Bridge, No. 4533  
Location: HMP 31.6  
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  
Date: 1914

This 18-foot bridge is a simple reinforced-concrete slab span.

CS12. Structure: West Multnomah Falls Viaduct, No. 840  
Location: HMP 31.9  
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  
HAER No. OR-36-G  
Date: 1914

This 400-foot viaduct consists of twenty 20-foot reinforced-concrete slab spans. Two parallel rows of 16-foot square columns, 17'-6" apart, support the deck. Roadway width is about 18 feet. The structure was designed to ride along the hillside above the railroad mainline because of tight right-of-way clearances. A concrete retaining wall runs along its south elevation. The arched railings were constructed of plaster concrete and metal lath. They represent a member of the family of bridge railing designs found on the CRH.

CS13. Structure: Multnomah Creek Bridge, No. 4534  
Location: HMP 32.1  
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  
HAER No. OR-36-H  
Date: 1914

This 67-foot reinforced-concrete structure includes a 40-foot five-rib solid spandrel arch. It provides an 18-foot-wide road deck. The concrete rails consist of segmental arch panels with beveled caps and concrete end posts.
CS14. Structure: East Multnomah Falls Viaduct, No. 841
   Location: HMP 32.3
   Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

   This 860-foot viaduct originally consisted of forty-three 20-foot reinforced-concrete slab spans. The deck was supported by two parallel rows of 16-foot-square columns, 17'-6" apart. Roadway width is about 18 feet. To provide greater stability to the structure, the Oregon State Highway Department, in 1922, added sets of intermediate posts and transverse walls at the midpoint of each span. Like the West Multnomah Falls Viaduct, this structure rises up the hillside because of tight right-of-way clearances with the nearby railroad mainline, and has a concrete retaining wall running along its south elevation. The arched railings were constructed of plaster concrete and metal lath. They represent a member of the family of bridge railing designs found on the CRH.

CS15. Structure: Oneonta Gorge Creek Bridge, No. 4542
   Location: HMP 34.3
   Builder: The Construction Company, Portland
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

   This four-span 80-foot reinforced-concrete deck girder trestle is 24 feet wide and has a roadway measuring 22 feet. The curb and guardrail form an integral unit, cantilevered out from the outside deck girder. The delicate arched railing panels were constructed from plaster concrete and metal lath, and are identical to those seen on the Multnomah Falls viaducts. A staircase at the western end leads down to the creek, where visitors were encouraged to walk upstream 0.5 miles to view Oneonta Falls.

CS16. Structure: Oneonta Tunnel
   Location: HMP 34.3
   Designer: S. C. Lancaster
   Builder: S. P. White and Co., Vancouver, WA
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

   This tunnel consists of a 125-foot straight bore through a 200-foot-tall outcropping of Columbia River basalt. The 20-foot bore has a vertical clearance of just over 19 feet. Concrete was injected into the basalt prior to cutting the tunnel to prevent the outcropping from crumbling onto a nearby railroad mainline. The tunnel was lined with timber sets and lagging. It was bypassed and filled with rubble in 1948.

CS17. Structure: Horsetail Falls Bridge, No. 4543
   Location: HMP 34.6
   Builder: The Construction Company, Portland
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

   This three-span 60-foot reinforced-concrete deck girder trestle is 24 feet wide and has a roadway measuring 22 feet. The curb and guardrail form an integral unit, cantilevered out from the girder.
The delicate arched railing panels were constructed from plaster concrete and metal lath. They are identical to those found on the Oneonta Gorge Creek Bridge (1914) and the Multnomah Falls viaducts and represent one of the family of railing designs found on the CRH.

CS18. Structure: **Masonry Culvert**
- Location: HMP 37.5
- Date: 1915
- Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
- Builder: Unknown
- Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This masonry culvert, and another at HMP 37.7, consists of a reinforced concrete slab span with masonry retaining walls and floor.

CS19. Structure: **Masonry Culvert**
- Location: HMP 37.7
- Date: 1915
- Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
- Builder: Unknown
- Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This masonry culvert, and another at HMP 37.5, consists of a reinforced concrete slab span with masonry retaining walls and floor.

CO1. Object: **Forest Service Masonry Sign Post**
- Location: Near HMP 31
- Date: 1920s
- Designer: USDA Forest Service—Oregon National Forest (Mount Hood NF, 1924-86)
- Owner: USDA Forest Service—Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (CRGNSA), since 1986

This masonry sign post, constructed of mortared rubble, once displayed an entrance sign for Oregon National Forest, and after 1924 the Mount Hood National Forest. The post is in poor condition since a vehicle hit it in 1996.

CO2. Object: **Masonry Drinking Fountain**
- Location: Near HMP 35.5
- Date: 1920s
- Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
- Builder: Unknown
- Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This semi-circular masonry trough and faucet provided water for visitors and their vehicles. It was part of a fountain construction project conducted along many Oregon state highways in the 1920s.
NCS1. Structure: Pedestrian Overlook  
Location: HMP 23.6  
Date: 1995  
Designer: Richard Fix, Oregon Dept. of Transportation  
Builder: Richard Fix  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  

This pedestrian overlook, just west of Crown Point, consists of a slip-form grout-lock wall of a design similar to but not identical to guard walls seen throughout the CRH and concrete seats. It did not replace a previous structure, but provides a new safe vehicle pullout and pedestrian alcove to capture views of the Columbia River Gorge.

NCS2. Structure: Masonry Culvert Barrier  
Location: HMP 27.5  
Date: 1995  
Designer: Richard Fix, Oregon Dept. of Transportation  
Builder: Richard Fix  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  

This three-sided basalt masonry structure is just west of Shepperd’s Dell Bridge. It is of the slip-form grout-lock design with concrete caps and end posts. Its style blends well with the architectural elements of other structures associated with the CRH. The barrier prevents pedestrians and bicyclists from falling into a deep masonry culvert that diverts runoff under the roadway.

NCS3. Structure: Bridge west of Oneonta Gorge Creek  
Location: HMP 33  
Date: c. 1980  
Designer: Unknown  
Builder: Unknown  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  

Historically, there has been a structure at this crossing of an unnamed creek since the CRH’s construction. The present masonry parapet walls on this small span date from the early 1980s, and represent an unsuccessful attempt to “restore” this bridge in the highway’s style.

NCS4. Structure: Oneonta Gorge Creek Bridge, No. 7108A  
Location: HMP 34.3  
Date: 1948  
Designer: Glenn S. Paxson, State Bridge Engineer  
Builder: Unknown  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation  

This reinforced-concrete deck girder span is 48 feet long and was constructed on abutments from a previous railroad bridge. The span bypassed the 1914 Oneonta Gorge Creek Bridge and the Oneonta Tunnel. The railroad’s mainline was realigned on fill material and its bridge was moved to a new location over the stream.
Cultural Landscape Features

Portland Women’s Forum State Scenic Viewpoint
This small state park is located at Chanticleer Point, where the first long-distance view of the Columbia River Gorge is encountered east of Portland. The boundaries for this historic developed area are within the NHL district and are the same as those included in the existing NR historic district for the CRH. Here, for travelers in the early part 20th century, Chanticleer Inn offered country dining with a view of the Gorge off its veranda. The location was as far east from Portland on the county’s road network as anyone could drive prior to the CRH’s construction. Some diners even traveled by train to Rooster Rock, at the river’s edge and then by automobile or carriage up a steep road to the Inn, some 700 feet above the river. The site was important in the CRH’s development because promoters and boosters met there to plan the route and continued as a popular destination once the highway was completed.

Chanticleer Inn burned in the 1930s. Subsequently, the Portland Women’s Forum, which was active in preserving the Columbia River Gorge’s natural beauty, gained title to the property. It donated the parcel to the state for a park in 1962. Additional acreage was acquired in 1970.

Spatial Organization
Portland Women’s Forum State Scenic Viewpoint and the county road right-of-way through the park represent a 9.26-acre portion of the NHL nominated property. The park consists of a northward oriented parking area bordered by sidewalks. Attention is directed eastward toward the Columbia River Gorge. Several plaques are found along the park’s eastern edge. They include memorials to the Portland Women’s Forum. A large rock, located at the park entrance, is a memorial to Samuel Hill, the CRH’s visionary. It was dedicated on 13 May 1932.

Circulation
The park is entered from the south. Vehicles move northward on a downhill slope toward diagonal parking places. Sidewalks direct pedestrian movement to the park’s eastern side. There, visitors can view the Columbia River Gorge from an elevation of over 700 feet and read interpretive signage and memorial plaques.

Topography
Much of this park is a flat, rectangular, paved parking area bordered on the west and north by thick vegetation and relatively open on the east and south. A pedestrian walk and masonry walls run along the east side, where visitors are directed to take in the impressive view of the Gorge, including Vista House and Crown Point, with Beacon Rock in the distance. An observation plaza is located in the park’s northeast corner.

Vegetation
Vegetation in Portland Women’s Forum State Scenic Viewpoint consists mostly of manicured lawns. Ornamental shrubs and other vegetation screen views in all directions except eastward, toward the Gorge.
Objects
Contributing Objects:
CO3. Object: Samuel Hill Memorial  Date: 1932
    Location: HMP 22.0
    Designer: John G. Edwards
    Builder: Alonzo Lewis, bas-relief sculptor
    Owner: Oregon Parks and Recreation Department

In 1930, this 50-ton basalt boulder was moved from the Rocky Butte Quarry, in east Portland, to its present site, at the CRH’s intersection with the driveway leading to the Portland Women’s Forum State Scenic Viewpoint, as a memorial for Samuel Hill. Seattle sculptor Alonzo Victor Lewis designed several bronze bas-reliefs for the rock, including three panels measuring 24" x 36" that depict Gorge history (aboriginal life, Lewis and Clark meeting the Indians along the Columbia, and transportation along the river before the CRH). Alonzo Victor Lewis also designed a round relief bust of Samuel Hill, along with a dedicatory plaque. The boulder was placed on a platform consisting of three basalt steps. Sixteen stone posts, each weighing one ton, were placed around the rock in a circle 45 feet in diameter. Hand-made wrought-iron chains connect the bollards. Four openings provide entrances to the enclosure.

Crown Point State Scenic Corridor (Vista House)
Buildings
Contributing Building:
CB1. Building: Crown Point Vista House  HABS No. OR-163
Date: 1918  HAER No. OR-36-D
    Location: HMP 23.9
    Designer: Edgar M. Lazarus, Portland
    Builder: Multnomah County
    Owner: Oregon Parks and Recreation Department

This octagonal building was constructed of reinforced concrete covered with a sandstone masonry veneer. Much of the interior is covered in Alaskan marble. The building was designed as a public comfort station and memorial to Oregon pioneers. A noteworthy example of architecture influenced by the Jugendstil, or German new art movement, the design includes a visitor gallery, a roof top balcony, and basement rest rooms. It was listed in the NR in 1974.

Guy W. Talbot State Park/Latourell Falls Developed Area
The Guy Talbot State Park is located along both sides of the CRH. It began with a 125-acre gift from Guy W. and Geraldine W. Talbot to the state of Oregon, and is noted as the first state park in Multnomah County. Subsequently, the park grew to just over 371 acres. The NHL district includes a 13.0-acre portion of the Guy W. Talbot State Park at the Latourell Creek Bridge, on the CRH, along with the streambed there and the falls. It includes Latourell Falls, a 249-foot cascade directly south of the CRH on Latourell Creek. The falls takes its name from the family who lived in the area and the town to the north. The boundaries for this historic developed area are within the larger NHL district and are the same as those included in the existing NR historic district for the CRH.
Spatial Organization
Latourell Creek is crossed at about 2.5 miles east of Crown Point. The nearby Latourell Falls is the first of many spectacular high falls that visitors encounter as they travel from west to east along the CRH. This creek, along with the many others which originate from the mountains south of the Columbia River Gorge, have little volume and roll off the basalt formations to create the tall, narrow, wispy, picturesque cataracts for which the area is noted.

Latourell Falls is located about 100 yards south of the Latourell Creek Bridge. Ira Williams, in his *Geologic History of the Columbia River Gorge, Interpreted from the Columbia River Highway*, (Portland, 1923), wrote that this falls is

at the apex of a broadly wedge-shaped cove formed by the recession of the falls as the stream has slowly eaten its way into the hard basalt of the canyon wall. It is a bold sheer front of black columnar basalt down which the water plunges. The maintenance of perpendicularity is largely favored by the pronounced columnar jointing, the columns being in general upright so that when they break away they do so parallel to the face of the cliff. Near the base of the falls the columns are conspicuously larger and vary in position from vertical to inclined or nearly recumbent. The large undercut or cavernous recess back of the falls is doubtless due to this varying attitude of the columns and to the additional fact that the columnar basalt here is in contact with one of a more platy structure, that gives way more rapidly under the incessant pounding action of the falling water and its load.

Circulation
A parking lot south of the CRH, at the eastern end of the Latourell Creek Bridge is the starting point of a trail that meanders through the undergrowth to Latourell Creek, below the falls. Masonry walls that date from the highway’s original construction line portions of the trail. After crossing the stream on a small wooden span, visitors reach an overlook near the pool at the base of the falls. The incessant pounding action of the falling water hitting the plunge pool, and the uplifting breezes and spray that its power generates, mesmerizes visitors and draws them in closer, if only temporarily, to a oneness with nature’s mighty wonders.

Topography
The Guy W. Talbot State Park/Latourell Falls Developed Area is characterized by a trail system that, like the CRH, is of cliff-face construction. Masonry retaining walls and guard walls further connect it to the highway’s design and sensitivity to the natural landscape. Latourell Falls today is still visible from the CRH, as it was at the time of the highway’s construction. It entices visitors to further explore the setting’s inviting solitude.

Structures and Objects
Contributing Structures:
CS20. Structure: **Masonry Retaining Walls, Trails, and Falls Overlook**
Location: HMP 26.1 Date: 1914
Designer: S. C. Lancaster
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation and Oregon Parks and Recreation Department
Masonry retaining walls similar to those seen along the CRH mark the borders of trails leading to Latourell Falls from the historic highway.

Non-Contributing Objects:

NCO1. Object: Guy W. Talbot State Park Plaque
Location: HMP 26.1
Designer: Unknown
Owner: Oregon Parks and Recreation Department

Date: 1939

The large bronze plaque was erected on the north side of the CRH and reads:

Guy Webster Talbot
Gave Latourell falls and this park
To the People of Oregon
in 1914 and 1929
Erected in his honor
By Members of the birthday club

Member names were listed below the legend.

Shepperd’s Dell State Natural Area

George G. Shepperd, a local farmer of modest means, gave the initial 10.03 acres of this park to the city of Portland in May 1915 as a memorial to his wife. By 1940, the land had become part of the state parks system and was subsequently enlarged to 343.99 acres. The original 10-acre parcel that Shepperd donated contains the falls, the streambed, and the improved trail. The boundaries for this historic developed area are within the larger NHL district and are the same as those included in the existing NR historic district for the CRH.

Spatial Organization

Shepperd’s Dell, once known as Young Creek, is located in a sheltered alcove south of the CRH. Its flow rolls down a series of small, rocky cascades. Because the CRH traverses almost unbroken walls of vertical basalt east and west of Shepperd’s Dell, the stream is only briefly visible from the highway, on the Shepperd’s Dell Bridge.

Circulation

Visitors to this attraction park their vehicles in a small, unimproved pullout east of the alcove. A short staircase at the eastern end of the bridge takes visitors down a trail that hugs the curved cliff-face of the alcove and leads to the stream. Mortared basalt rubble walls mark the trail’s edge and also provide a visual continuity between the trail and the CRH, with its masonry guard walls. A straight-on view of the Shepperd’s Dell Bridge is possible from the trail’s end at the stream.

Topography

Construction of a trail from the Shepperd’s Dell Bridge to the stream allows visitors to see the cascades of water the trail’s entire length, from the moment they reach the top of the steps at the end of the bridge. This heightens the experience for summer visitors, especially, as they anticipate reaching the cool refreshing air near the stream. The trail’s cliff-face construction, masonry guard wall, and gentle grade echo the CRH’s design philosophy on a human scale.
Structures
Contributing Structures:
CS21. Structure: **Concrete Staircase and Masonry Guard Wall on Paved Trail to Young Creek**
   Location: HMP 27.4
   Designer: S. C. Lancaster
   Builder: Unknown
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation and Oregon Parks and Recreation Department
   Date: 1914

From the Shepperd’s Dell Bridge’s southeast corner, a short reinforced-concrete staircase leads to a narrow pedestrian trail leading around the face of an alcove to Young Creek. A concrete-capped masonry guard wall flanks the path, and a small viewing platform near the stream marks its endpoint.

**Wahkeena Falls Recreation Area**
Simon Benson purchased a 400-acre tract, which included Wahkeena Falls, and deeded it to the city of Portland in 1915 for use as a park. Subsequently, the land was divided between the Oregon State Parks Division and the USDA Forest Service to become Benson State Park (near Multnomah Falls) and the Wahkeena Falls Recreation Area. In the 1930s, the Forest Service developed land north of the CRH into a day-use area as part of a Civilian Conservation Corps project to improve its facilities in the Gorge.

The boundaries for this historic developed area are within the larger NHL district and are the same as those included in the existing NR historic district for the CRH. It contains a 25.3-acre parcel south of the Wahkeena Falls Bridge on the CRH, including Wahkeena Falls trails and pedestrian bridge over the stream, south of and uphill from the highway. The developed area north of the CRH is not included in the NHL nomination.

**Spatial Organization**
The 242-foot Wahkeena Falls, south of the CRH, results from the confluence of two small streams. It consists of a series of alternating vertical drops and cascades along a steeply sloping basalt formation. The masonry footbridge spans the stream at the base of the falls. The stream continues 300 feet down the hillside, in its own steep narrow canyon before it reaches the Wahkeena Creek Bridge and the CRH.

**Circulation**
Visitors can pick up the trail to the Wahkeena Falls Footbridge at the parking lot’s western end. It zigzags up the hillside 0.2 miles to the falls and bridge. Masonry retaining walls shore up the trail at intermittent locations. The bridge has 3-foot-high masonry guard walls, similar to those found on the CRH. The north wall rail runs several feet either side of the bridge. This trail continues on beyond the bridge, heading east. Outside of the NHL district, it forks, leading to the summit of Larch Mountain, to the Multnomah Falls Recreation Site, or towards Bridal Veil and other scenic sites. Another trail begins at the parking lot and takes a more direct route to Multnomah Falls, just uphill and parallel to the CRH.
Topography
The moderately difficult trail is tucked into the hillside and cliff-face construction leads from the CRH to the Wahkeena Falls Footbridge. It offers visitors the opportunity to experience up-close the Columbia River Gorge’s basalt formations, vegetation, and panoramic views from the footbridge and reach out and touch a large waterfall. Masonry retaining walls above and below the trail help maintain its integrity and also make it visually subordinate from the CRH.

Structures and Objects
Contributing Structures:
CS22. Structure: Wahkeena Falls Footbridge and Masonry Guard Walls
Location: HMP 31.6 Date: 1914
Builder: Unknown
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

This rubble masonry footbridge is 46 feet long and 8 feet wide and contains a semi-circular barrel arch with a 14-foot opening. The masonry guard walls, with concrete caps, continue east and west of the bridge for some distance. Simon Benson paid for the bridge’s construction, as he did for the Multnomah Falls Footbridge.

CS23. Structure: Pedestrian Trails
Location: HMP 31.6 Date: 1914
Designer: S. C. Lancaster
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

Non-Contributing Objects:
NCO2. Object: Simon Benson Memorial Plaque
Location: HMP 31.6 Date: 1940s
Builder: Benson Polytechnic High School, Portland
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

This bronze plaque with a likeness of Benson reads:

SIMON BENSON
1851 to 1942
LUMBERMAN AND PHILANTHROPIST
Originator of Ocean Going Log Rafts Sponsor of the Columbia River Highway Benefactor of Benson Polytechnic School Donor of the Benson Tract Containing Multnomah Falls Wahkeena Falls and Benson State Park

Multnomah Falls Recreation Area
Portland hotel owner and philanthropist Simon Benson purchased a 400-acre tract, which included Multnomah Falls, and deeded it to the city of Portland in 1915 for use as a park. The site is noted for its walking trails and its pedestrian bridge over the lower falls. In 1925, the city of Portland constructed Multnomah Falls Lodge, a day-use facility offering meals and traveler information. Beginning in 1939, the city gave up its land holdings and buildings in the Columbia Gorge either to the state highway department’s State Parks Division or to the USDA Forest
Service—Mount Hood National Forest. By 1943, Portland completed transfer of the Multnomah Falls site and lodge to the Forest Service.

The boundaries for this historic developed area are within the larger NHL district and are the same as those included in the 1981 NR Nomination of “Multnomah Falls Lodge and Footpath” and in the existing NR historic district for the CRH. Multnomah Falls annually receives over 2 million visitors and is the most popular natural site in Oregon.

Spatial Organization
The 620-foot Multnomah Falls is south of the CRH, tucked in a north-facing alcove of basalt formations and is part of Multnomah Creek, which empties into Benson Lake, and eventually into the Columbia River. The falls consists of an upper cascade of 541 feet, a plunge pool, and a lower falls of 69 feet. A reinforced-concrete arch spans the lower falls. Multnomah Falls Lodge is northwest of the falls. It is north facing and is sited along the CRH’s south edge. A paved, level plaza, bordered on the east by rubble masonry walls, is located north and east of the Lodge. This area is also the site for several commemorative plaques, a drinking fountain, and park benches. Two masonry bordered trails and a wheelchair ramp lead south from the Lodge to an observation plaza near the lower plunge pool.

Circulation
The trail system has received some modifications since 1916 to accommodate a diversity of visitors. Three trails, one following Multnomah Creek, one climbing several short staircases, and one following ramps, take visitors south from the Lodge to the observation plaza near the lower plunge pool. From there, a trial zigzags up the cliff face west of the falls at grades not exceeding 15 percent. It then crosses the lower falls on a pedestrian-scale reinforced-concrete arch, the Benson Footbridge. East of the bridge, the trail originally headed south, first to the top of Multnomah Falls and then, outside of the NHL district, to the top of Larch Mountain. The total distance from the lodge is about seven miles. However a portion east of the Benson Footbridge collapsed during the winter of 1997-98 and has closed direct access from Multnomah Falls Lodge to Larch Mountain. Other trails, running generally in an east-west direction and above Multnomah Falls, connect with the Larch Mountain trail and take visitors west to Wahkeena Falls or east to Oneonta Gorge. A relatively easy trail leaves the site just west of the Lodge and south of the CRH also takes visitors along the hillside just above the highway to Wahkeena Falls.

Topography
The Multnomah Falls Recreation Area has a varied topography. It consists of northward-facing semi-circular alcove, with the falls tucked in the back wall. Vegetation and rocky terrain characterize the landscape between the Lodge and the falls. The back walls of the alcove are near vertical.

Buildings, Structures, Objects
Contributing Buildings:
CB2. Building: Multnomah Falls Lodge
Location: HMP 32.1
Designer: A. E. Doyle, Portland
Builder: Waale-Shattuck, Portland
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA
Date: 1925, 1926
Since 1915, when the CRH opened for travel as far as Multnomah Falls, the site attracted concessionaires who catered to motorists’ needs. They included sandwich vendors and others who set up stands near the former OWRN siding at Multnomah Falls. In 1925, the city of Portland completed the first phase of Multnomah Falls Lodge, a day-use facility that could provide travelers with meals and provide relief from the Gorge’s weather. Noted Portland architect A. E. Doyle designed the stone Cascadia-style building. Waale-Shattuck Company constructed it for $40,000. The Lodge’s exterior walls were faced in native split fieldstone laid irregularly. Wood framing was used in the upper story and roof system. The steeply-pitched cedar-shingled gable roof includes dormers and massive chimneys. Doyle had already completed several large projects in Portland, including the Multnomah County Central Library, the U.S. National Bank of Oregon Building, the Meier and Frank Department Store, and the Benson Hotel.

In 1926, a wing was added to the eastern end of the 1925 building. Subsequent alterations were made to the interior and exterior over the next several decades. Most have been accomplished with sensitivity to the original structure. The building houses a restaurant, gift shop, interpretive center, snack bar, and restrooms.

Contributing Structures:

CS24. Structure: Multnomah Falls Footbridge, No. 4534 (Benson Footbridge) and Trail
Location: HMP 32.1
Date: 1914
Builder: Robert L. Ringer, for the Pacific Bridge Company, Portland
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

Spanning the lower cascade of Multnomah Falls, the Benson Footbridge is a 45-foot reinforced-concrete parabolic barrel deck arch anchored into the rock cliffs (total length is 52 feet). Railings were constructed of pre-cast concrete cylindrical balusters and beveled caps. Curtain walls were made of spandrel columns topped with abbreviated, arched walls. The trail leads from Multnomah Falls Lodge to the bridge, and beyond to the summit of Larch Mountain.

Non-Contributing Structures:

NCS5. Structure: Pedestrian Bridge north of the CRH
Location: 32.1
Date: 1960s
Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
Builder: Unknown
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This is a concrete slab span constructed to convey visitors coming from the nearby Interstate 84 interchange parking lot across Multnomah Creek to the Lodge.

Objects
Contributing Objects:
CO4. Object: DAR Masonry Drinking Fountain
Location: HMP 32.1
Date: 1916
Designer: Daughters of the American Revolution
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

In 1916, during dedication ceremonies at the site marking the opening of the CRH in Multnomah County, the Multnomah Chapter of the Daughters of the American Revolution, Portland, Oregon, dedicated this fountain to the “Oregon Pioneers 1836 to 1859.”

Non-Contributing Objects:
NCO3. Object: Simon Benson Memorial Plaque
Location: HMP 32.1
Builder: Benson Polytechnic High School, Portland
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA
Date: 1940s

This bronze plaque includes a likeness of Benson’s face. It is a duplicate of the plaque located at the Wahkeena Falls Recreation Site (see above). The plaque reads:

SIMON BENSON
1851 to 1942
LUMBERMAN AND PHILANTHROPIST
Originator of Ocean Going Log Rafts Sponsor of the Columbia River Highway Benefactor of Benson Polytechnic School Donor of the Benson Tract Containing Multnomah Falls Wahkeena Falls and Benson State Park

Horsetail Falls Developed Area
This developed area has always been a popular site for visitors traveling along the CRH. The falls often over shoots its plunge pool, south of the highway, and sprays passing motorists. During the highway’s early years, summer visitors in open top automobiles must have found this experience especially refreshing. The USDA Forest Service has twice improved this site to accommodate its increasing popularity. The Horsetail Falls Developed Area also functions as the trailhead for trails upstream and to Oneonta Gorge.

Spatial Organization
Horsetail Falls empties into a plunge pool southeast of the Horsetail Falls Bridge on the CRH. Masonry walls dating from 1940 and 1985, and of the NPS “Rustic” style, define the plunge pool’s northeast boundary. A picnic area is located east of the pool. A roofed information kiosk, of timber construction, and with a masonry base, is directly east of the picnic area. Nearby, is located a large bronze cog, once part of a fountain located at Wahkeena Falls. On the north side of the CRH, a parking lot was improved and enlarged in 1985. It is bordered with “Rustic”-style walls and masonry curbs.

Circulation
Visitors either picnic at the table east of the plunge pool or walk down to the water on a nearby ramp. Horsetail Falls is also popular with photographers, who often gather near the Horsetail Falls Bridge or on the CRH to capture the falls on film.

Topography
The Horsetail Falls Recreation Area is relatively flat, except for the falls’ plunge pool, which is several feet below highway grade.
Structures and Objects
Non-Contributing Structures:

   Location: HMP 34.6
   Designer: Civilian Conservation Corps
   Builder: Civilian Conservation Corps
   Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA
   Date: 1940

The USDA Forest Service improved the area south of the CRH and near the Horsetail Falls plunge pool in 1940. This work included construction of masonry walls in the NPS “Rustic”-style, and an information kiosk. The Kiosk has a masonry base, with “1940” carved in the rock. A roofed timber structure, in a complimentary “Rustic” style is mounted above the base. These structures were refurbished during a 1985 project designed to enlarge the developed area.

NCS7. Structure: Masonry Walls and Parking Area
   Location: HMP 34.6
   Designer: USDA Forest Service
   Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA
   Date: 1985

In 1985, the USDA Forest Service landscaped a parking lot north of the CRH at this site. It also refurbished the existing walls south of the highway and constructed others in the same architectural style.

Contributing Objects:

CO5. Object: Portland Rotary Club Bronze Fountain
   Location: HMP 34.6
   Designer: Portland Rotary Club
   Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA
   Date: 1916

The Portland chapter of Rotary International dedicated this large bronze cog, the symbol of the organization, at Wahkeena Falls in 1916. It was the centerpiece of a large fountain. In 1985, the artwork was installed at Horsetail Falls, without its fountain base. The legend on one side of the cog reads “Portland Rotary Club 1916.” On the reverse, the legend read the organization’s motto, “He Profits Most Who Serves Best.”

Segment 2—Tanner Creek to Cascade Locks (HMP 41.7 to 45.8)

Structures

CS25. Structure: Toothrock and Eagle Creek Viaducts
   Location: HMP 42.2
   Designer: L. W. Metzger, Oregon State Highway Dept.
   Builder: Unknown; rebuilt by Richard Fix, 1996
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation
   HAER No. OR-36-N
   Date: 1915, 1996

For about 224 feet, the highway skirted around the cliff behind Tooth Rock, a cuspidal formation, rising to nearly 200 feet above the river. Metzger designed two reinforced-concrete deck girder structures consisting of 20- to 30-foot spans. It appears that only railing treatment
differentiates the Toothrock and Eagle Creek viaducts (HAER No. OR-36-N). In reality, the Toothrock Viaduct is like other spans of this type found on the CRH—a reinforced-concrete deck-girder span. The Eagle Creek structure, however, is really a half-viaduct and is only 12 feet wide. The rest of the roadbed was established on a ledge. Use of this type of span permitted a great reduction in expensive excavation work while still maintaining a 24-foot roadbed.

The Toothrock Viaduct used the delicate spindle-and-cap railing panel similar to those seen on the Shepperd’s Dell and Moffett Creek bridges, which contrasted well with the rugged surroundings. Conversely, the Eagle Creek Viaduct’s rubble masonry railing with arched drainage openings and concrete cap, complemented the landscape and continued, without interruption, the adjacent masonry guard walls and retaining walls.

One span of the Toothrock Viaduct collapsed under the weight of fallen rock. Masonry railing had deteriorated. Water penetration had caused deterioration in the concrete spindle-and-cap railing panels on the Eagle Creek Viaduct. ODOT crews rehabilitated both structures in 1996. At the midpoint between these spans, Lancaster created a pedestrian overlook with masonry parapet walls and concrete benches, and called it “Eagle’s Nest.” This pedestrian structure was destroyed decades later, but completely rebuilt in 1996. It is a non-contributing structure.

CS26. Structure: Toothrock Tunnel, No. 4555
   Location: Near HMP 42.2
   (MP 41.25E on Interstate 84)
   Designer: US Bureau of Public Roads
   Builder: Orino, Berkemeier and Saremal
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

   This two-lane 827-foot tunnel was bored through Tooth Rock as part of the Bonneville Dam construction project. It originally provided a 26-foot roadway and 4-foot sidewalks. Maximum clearance was 20 feet.

   The CRH was realigned from Tanner Creek to Cascade Locks because the dam’s backwaters would flood the adjacent Union Pacific main track. Realigning the rail line meant rerouting the highway through this section. Toothrock Tunnel’s construction represents the next generation in tunnel design in the Columbia Gorge and takes a nod both to modern technology and scenic preservation.

   The US Bureau of Public Roads claimed that at the time of its opening, Toothrock Tunnel was the first rural tunnel in North America illuminated for daylight driving. The state-of-the-art lighting system lessened the abrupt changes in light levels that drivers experienced upon entering and leaving tunnels. In addition, the tunnel’s rustic-style portal masonry was in keeping with the aesthetic approach to stonework that the BPR espoused in the 1930s for structures on National Park Service roads. It is one of seven tunnels constructed in Oregon where Works Progress Administration masons constructed rustic-style masonry portal rings and walls.

   According to H. D. Farmer, supervisor of the tunnel’s construction, the BPR studied the Tooth Rock site and concluded that “skewed arch portals best fit into the topographic environment.” In addition, the design would not unduly scar the landscape and would least interfere with the old highway alignment that wound around the cliffs above the tunnel and was in service throughout
the project. The BPR also envisioned preserving the old highway alignment at this location for a recreational trail.

In 1969, the tunnel was deepened three feet to add vertical clearance. In addition, the sidewalks were cut back to widen travel lanes. Neither modification significantly altered this important road resource.

CS27. Structure: Pedestrian Overlook near Eagle Creek Bridge  
Location: HMP 42.7  
Designer: S. C. Lancaster  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This masonry pedestrian alcove at the northwest corner of the Eagle Creek Bridge provides seating areas and a point of view for observing salmon runs in the nearby stream.

CS28. Structure: Eagle Creek Bridge, No. 52  
Location: HMP 42.7  
Designer: L. W. Metzger, Oregon State Highway Dept.  
Builder: Pacific Bridge Company, Portland  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This 100-foot reinforced-concrete structure includes a 60-foot open-spandrel deck arch with a basalt rubble veneer. Width is about 23 feet, with a 20-foot roadway.

CS29. Structure: Ruckel Creek Bridge  
Location: HMP 44.6  
Designer: L. W. Metzger, Oregon State Highway Dept.  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This modest reinforced-concrete slab span carries the CRH over Ruckel Creek. A four-arch masonry guard wall on the span’s north shoulder had partially collapsed since the structure was abandoned in the late 1930s. The FHWA rebuilt the wall in 1999.

Non-Contributing Structures:
NCS8. Structure: Toothrock Trailhead, HCRH State Trail  
Location: HMP 41.3  
Designer: Oregon Department of Transportation  
Builder: K-2 Construction Company, Hood River  
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This trailhead near Tanner Creek provides parking for visitors accessing the Tanner Creek to Eagle Creek section of the HCRH State Trail. It is not an original feature of the highway.

NCS9. Structure: Eagle’s Nest (Pedestrian Alcove)  
Location: HMP 42.2  
Designer: Richard Fix, Oregon Dept. of Transportation  
Builder: Richard Fix
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This basalt masonry pedestrian alcove, with concrete cap, approximates an overlook that was built as part of the CRH’s original construction. However, it is not an exact replica of the original structure, which was destroyed in the mid-1930s during construction of a section of the water-level route that eventually replaced the CRH as the trunk route through the Gorge.

NCS10. Structure: Toothrock Tunnel Bridge
   Location: HMP 42.3     Date: 1996
   Designer: Robert Kaspari, Oregon Department of Transportation
   Builder: K-2 Construction Company, Hood River
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This pre-cast concrete bridge provides pedestrian access across a portion of the Tanner Creek to Eagle Creek section of the HCRH State Trail. It replaces an original segment of the CRH that was lost during construction of the Toothrock Tunnel in 1937.

NCS11. Structure: Eagle Creek Park Stairway
   Location: HMP 42.5     Date: 1996
   Designer: Robert Kaspari, Oregon Department of Transportation
   Builder: K-2 Construction Company, Hood River
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This concrete stairway provides pedestrian access from the eastern end of the Tanner Creek to Eagle Creek section of the HCRH State Trail to the Eagle Creek Bridge and the Eagle Creek Recreation Area. It replaces a section of roadway lost during construction of the Toothrock Tunnel in 1937.

NCS12. Structure: Interstate 84 Underpass
   Location: HMP 44.4     Date: 1999
   Designer: John Capri, FHWA—WFHLHD
   Builder: CEMS
   Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This 145-foot pre-cast concrete underpass tunnel includes portals faced in basalt. Its construction made continuous again the portion of the CRH from Eagle Creek to Cascade Locks. The 1930s highway realignment between Bonneville and Cascade Locks (later Interstate 84) had bisected this portion of the CRH. The FHWA—Western Federal Lands Highway Division headed up rehabilitation of the CRH between Eagle Creek and Cascade Locks as part of the HCRH State Trail, including construction of this underpass.

NCS13. Structure: Cascade Locks Trailhead, HCRH State Trail
   Location: HMP 45.8     Date: 1999
   Designer: John Capri, FHWA—WFHLHD
   Builder: CEMS
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This trailhead under the south approach to the Bridge of the Gods provides parking for visitors accessing the Eagle Creek to Cascade Locks section of the HCRH State Trail. It is not an original feature of the highway.

Eagle Creek Recreation Area
(Eagle Creek Campground and Eagle Creek Overlook Picnic Area)
In 1915, the Oregon National Forest (by 1924 the Mount Hood National Forest) established the first improved forest campground in the United States near Eagle Creek, south and east of the CRH, as the Eagle Creek Forest Camp. It included day-use picnic facilities, good water, and sanitary conveniences. By the 1930s, the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) had greatly enlarged the Eagle Creek Campground, constructing several major buildings. From 1915 to 1937, a privately owned campground northeast of the bridge rented cabins with attached garages. A two-story lodge offered home cooking, fishing supplies, and groceries. The Cascade Salmon Hatchery was constructed on this site in the 1950s. A suspension bridge constructed over Eagle Creek in 1936 to provide access to the Eagle Creek Trail was destroyed in a winter storm in 1996 (see Figures #14 & #15).

Spatial Organization
The Eagle Creek Campground is east of Eagle Creek and south of the original CRH alignment at this location. All structures there date from the CCC era and include a Community Kitchen, a Public Comfort Station, and a Registry Booth generally located along a campground loop road. Low stone walls line the road. Stoves, water fountains, tables, and stairways are all part of the CCC construction at the campground. Another loop road continues beyond the Registry Booth and climbs the hillside to several more recent drive-in camp spots.

The Registry Booth provided a sign-in point for hikers using the Eagle Creek Trail. The CCC also built a pedestrian suspension bridge that led to nearby hiking trails on the west side of Eagle Creek. These included the relatively easy section of Trail 400 called the Shady Glen Trail. It includes an interpretive loop with species placards and a stone fountain.

The Eagle Creek Overlook is north of the Eagle Creek Campground. It is located on a point high above the Columbia River and north of Interstate 84. This area provides a group camping or picnicking and originally offered premium views of Bonneville Dam’s construction. The Eagle Creek Overlook Building provides shelter for group picnics. A masonry retaining wall runs the length of the bluff in front of the building.

Circulation
Traffic enters the Eagle Creek Recreation Area from exit 41 on Interstate 84. The exit ramp is a portion of the CRH alignment. It continues across the Eagle Creek Bridge. Southeast of the bridge, visitors enter the campground’s major improved areas on loop roads. The Eagle Creek Overlook is accessed by a roadway under the Interstate 84 bridges and the Union Pacific Railroad bridge.

---

5For information on Eagle Creek Campground, see “Bonneville Lake . . . Boon to Recreation Seekers,” Portland Oregonian, 5 September 1937, p. 10.
Topography

The heart of the Eagle Creek Campground is located in a coniferous near Eagle Creek. Buildings were sited among the trees east and uphill from the stream, likely outside of its floodplain. The Eagle Creek Overlook development takes advantage of the height gained from a rocky outcropping directly east of the mouth of Eagle Creek to provide scenic vistas for visitors.

Structures and Buildings

Contributing Structures:

CS30. Structure: Masonry Walls and Walkways
Location: HMP 42.7 Date: 1936
Designer: Civilian Conservation Corps
Builders: Civilian Conservation Corps
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

At the Eagle Creek Campground and the Eagle Creek Overlook, the CCC constructed masonry walls and walkways in the “Rustic” style from local basalt.

Contributing Buildings:

CB3. Building: Public Comfort Station (Big John) HAER No. OR-36-P
Location: HMP 42.7 Date: 1936
Designer: Civilian Conservation Corps
Builder: Civilian Conservation Corps
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

The CCC constructed this public comfort station following Plan No. 923 its Recreation Plans Handbook. As with the other CCC buildings in this ensemble of structures, native stone, peeled logs, and cedar shakes were the primary construction materials.

CB4. Building: Registry Booth HAER No. OR-36-P
Location: HMP 42.7 Date: 1936
Designer: Civilian Conservation Corps
Builder: Civilian Conservation Corps
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

This rustic structure provides shelter for Forest Service trail staff.

CB5. Building: Community Kitchen HAER No. OR-36-P
Location: HMP 42.7 Date: 1936
Designer: Civilian Conservation Corps
Builder: Civilian Conservation Corps
Owner: USDA Forest Service—CRGNSA

This open-air kitchen building has a central hearth and provides shelter for group gatherings. It is similar in design to the community kitchen located in the CCC development at the Wahkeena Falls Recreation Area, north of the CRH.

CB6. Building: Eagle Creek Overlook HAER No. OR-36-P
Location: HMP 42.7 Date: 1936
This building includes an enclosed dining room, with fireplace, and restrooms.

**Segment 3—Hood River to The Dalles (HMP 65.8 to 88.48)**

**Structures**

**Contributing Structures:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS31. Structure:</th>
<th><strong>Hood River Loops</strong></th>
<th>HAER No. OR-36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>HMP 67.07 to 67.6</td>
<td>Date: 1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designer:</td>
<td>Roy A. Klein, Oregon State Highway Dept.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These loops are similar to the Figure-Eight Loops and consist of a series of curves winding over 300 feet from the Hood River to the top of a bluff at an elevation of 400 feet. Klein maintained Lancaster’s standards here, developing distance to locate a grade of 5 percent or less, with minimum 100-foot turning radii, to bring the CRH up and out of the Hood River Valley and head east toward Mosier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS32. Structure:</th>
<th><strong>Rock Slide Viaduct, No. 504</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>HMP 69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designer:</td>
<td>C. B. McCullough, Oregon State Highway Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Builder:</td>
<td>Oregon State Highway Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This 34-foot reinforced-concrete viaduct has only one guard wall, of masonry construction, located on the north side of the span.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS33. Structure:</th>
<th><strong>County Line Overlook</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>HMP 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designer:</td>
<td>Oregon State Highway Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Builder:</td>
<td>Unknown; restored in 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This expanse of slip-form grout-lock masonry wall. Originally provided an opportunity for travelers to view the unusual geologic formations found in this section of the Columbia Gorge. The Overlook takes its name from the location at the Hood River County—Wasco County border. This wall was abandoned for over forty years until it was rebuilt as part of the Hood River to Mosier project in 1995.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS34. Structure:</th>
<th><strong>Mosier Twin Tunnels, No. 653</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>HMP 72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designer:</td>
<td>Oregon State Highway Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Builder:</td>
<td>A. D. Kern, Portland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| HAER No. OR-36-T | Date: 1920, 1921, 1938, 1953, 1996 |
These tunnels were bored out of a basalt outcropping. They consist of an 81-foot west bore, 24 feet of open space, and a 288-foot east bore. Total length was 493 feet with 369 feet of that in bores. The original vertical clearance was 16 feet, with an 8'-8" radius measured from a springline of 7'-4" from the roadbed. Roadway width was 17'-4". Two windows measured 8 to 10 feet and were bored in the east tunnel. A cliff walk was constructed between the western most of the two windows to the open mid-tunnel area.

The tunnels were completed in 1920. Because of severe rockfall, however, the tunnel portals were faced in basalt masonry veneer in 1921. At the same time, the tunnels were partially lined with Port Orford cedar lagging and timber sets. In 1938, the tunnel portals were widened to accommodate larger automobiles and transport trucks, and the tunnels were relined with cedar lagging and sets.

Concerns over rockfall persisted since the tunnels’ construction. In 1954, they were filled with rubble debris and bypassed in favor of a new water-level highway constructed adjacent to the Columbia River on dredged fill material. The tunnels were reopened in 1996 as part of restoration work on the HCRH State Trail section between Hood River and Mosier.

CS35. Structure: Rock Creek Bridge
Location: HMP 73.2 Date: 1918, 1996
Designer: L. W. Metzger, Oregon State Highway Dept.
Builder: Oregon State Highway Department
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This 44-foot reinforced-concrete structure consists of two 22-foot slab spans. Railings were similar to those on the Tanner Creek Bridge. Decades ago, they were removed and replaced with wooden rails. In 1996, ODOT reconstructed the original concrete railings.

CS36. Structure: Mosier Creek Bridge, No. 498
Location: HMP 73.7 Date: 1920
Designer: C. B. McCullough, Oregon State Highway Department
Builder: Lindstrom and Feigenson, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This 182-foot reinforced-concrete structure includes a 110-foot open-spandrel ribbed deck arch, and is the first of a group of bridges that McCullough created for the highway. Here, he incorporated many classical design elements in railing panels, spandrel columns, and brackets that became part of his signature style.

CS37. Structure: Memaloose Overlook
Location: HMP 76.3 Date: 1920
Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
Owner: Oregon Parks and Recreation Department
This scenic overlook is north of the CRH and contains a basalt rubble masonry wall and graveled paths. It gives visitors a view of Memaloose Island, one of several islands in this stretch of the Columbia where local Indians buried their dead. The plaque there replaces one with an unknown origin.

CS38. Structure: **Hog Creek Canyon (Rowena Dell) Bridge, No. 523**
Location: HMP 79.0 Date: 1920
Designer: C. B. McCullough, Oregon State Highway Department
Builder: Oregon Bridge and Construction Company
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This structure is a 20-foot reinforced concrete deck girder span. Original concrete railings were upgraded in the 1950s.

CS39. Structure: **Dry Canyon Creek Bridge, No. 524**
HAER No. OR-30
Location: HMP 79.7 Date: 1921
Designer: C. B. McCullough, Oregon State Highway Department
Builder: Whitman and Kuckenberg, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This 101-foot reinforced-concrete structure includes a 75-foot open-spandrel ribbed deck arch. Artistic details throughout are signature features of C. B. McCullough-designed bridges.

CS40. Structure: **Rowena Crest Overlook, Mayer State Park**
Location: HMP 79.8 Date: 1924
Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
Owner: Oregon Parks and Recreation Department

This overlook, within a five-acre tract, provides a view of the Columbia River and surrounding plateau from an elevation of 747 feet. It is bordered by a masonry guard wall characteristic of those found on the CRH. Rowena Crest Overlook is part of Mayer State Park, created when successful East Coast industrialist and local orchardist Mark A. Mayer donated 260 acres to the state for park purposes.

CS41. Structure: **Rowena Loops**
Location: HMP 80 to 82 Date: 1921
Builder: A. D. Kern, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This set of loops is similar to the Figure-Eight Loops and the Hood River Loops. They carry the CRH from Rowena Crest, at an elevation of 747 feet, to less than 200 feet, near the river’s edge. The designer followed the formula that Samuel Lancaster created at the onset of the highway’s construction. He developed distance to carry the highway between these two points with 5 percent maximum grades and 200-foot minimum turning radii.
CS42. Structure: **Pedestrian Observatory east of Rowena Crest Overlook**
Location: HMP 80.0 Date: 1921
Designer: Oregon State Highway Department
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This basalt masonry pedestrian alcove with concrete caps just east of and below Rowena Crest Overlook gave drivers the opportunity to view the Columbia River and fertile bottomland near the community of Rowena.

CS43. Structure: **Chenoweth Creek Bridge, No. 506**
Location: HMP 88.5 Date: 1920
Designer: C. B. McCullough, Oregon State Highway Department
Builder: A. D. Kern, Portland
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This bridge is a 60-foot reinforced-concrete deck girder span consisting of three 20-foot multi-beam spans. Its original reinforced-concrete parapet rails have been replaced with steel “W” rail. Chenoweth Creek Bridge marks the eastern end of the CRH Historic District.

Non-Contributing Structures:

NCS14. Structure: **Mark O. Hatfield West Trailhead, HCRH State Trail**
Location: HMP 68.1 Date: 1999
Designer: Bibi Gaston
Builder: JAL Construction
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This trailhead provides parking for visitors accessing the Hood River to Mosier section of the HCRH State Trail, which is part of the CRH. The trailhead is not an original feature of the highway.

NCS15. Structure: **West Tunnel Catchment Structure, Mosier Twin Tunnels**
Location: HMP 71.5 Date: 2000
Designer: HNTB
Builder: JAL Construction
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This reinforced cellular concrete structure provides rockfall protection below basalt cliffs west of the west portal of the west Mosier tunnel.

NCS16. Structure: **Mid-Tunnel Catchment Structure, Mosier Twin Tunnels**
Location: HMP 72.0 Date: 1996
Designer: HNTB
Builder: N. B. Hatch
Owner: Oregon Department of Transportation

This reinforced cellular concrete structure provides rockfall protection in the open area between the Mosier Twin Tunnels for visitors to the Hood River to Mosier section of the HCRH State Trail, which is part of the CRH.
8. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE
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Summary

The Columbia River Highway (CRH) National Historic Landmark District meets NHL Criterion 1 as an outstanding example of modern highway development in 20th-century America for its pioneering advances in road design. These include the adherence to grade and curve standards, and the use of comprehensive drainage systems, dry and mortared masonry walls, reinforced-concrete bridges, and asphaltic concrete pavement on a rural, mountain road during the formative years of modern highway building in the United States. The district meets NHL Criterion 4 as the single most important contribution to the fields of civil engineering and landscape architecture by Samuel C. Lancaster and as an exemplar example of American landscape architecture, specifically as the first scenic highway in the United States. The CRH’s aesthetic and engineering achievements greatly influenced the design and construction of other scenic highways, including national park roads, in the 1920s and 1930s. A combination of advanced engineering with landscape architectural elements as embodied in the CRH put in practice the concept of “landscape engineering” in modern highway design a decade before it was employed by the National Park Service on the Going-to-the-Sun Road and throughout the national park system.

Nearly forty extant roads constructed in the United States during the first decades of the 20th century possess state or national significance. These range from the Bronx River Parkway, to the Generals Highway, to the Pennsylvania Turnpike. Often, the terms “scenic highways” and “parkways” are used synonymously. Scenic highways are best described as those roads constructed to provide motorists with the opportunity to see up-close the landscape’s natural beauty. Parkways, though, are roads or streets often associated with city beautiful campaigns, many of which swept the United States in the late 19th and early 20th centuries.

Many scenic highways, and those parkways that are more accurately described as scenic highways, are associated with the country’s national park system and were constructed in the years following the First World War. True parkways were often part of a movement to create park-like settings out of wastelands. Other roads such as the Lincoln Highway, the Dixie Highway, and Route 66 are not considered scenic highways or parkways. They possess their significance largely for pioneering the nation’s modern, transcontinental highway system.

Constructed from 1913 to 1922, the CRH predates all other scenic highways in the United States, including the Storm King Highway, the Wawona Road, and Skyline Drive. The CRH is contemporary with the Bronx River Parkway. It predates, however, the Merritt Parkway, the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway, and the Arroyo Seco Parkway.

A story of modern highway construction in the Pacific Northwest and the United States cannot be told without discussing the contributions of Samuel C. Lancaster, engineer and landscape architect; and Samuel Hill, entrepreneur and good roads proponent. The two men converged on Washington and Oregon in the first two decades of the 20th century and became strong forces in improving the region’s road system. The high point of their work was Oregon’s Columbia River Highway, more recently known as the Historic Columbia River Highway (HCRH). It was
constructed between 1913 and 1922, and spanned 74 miles from Troutdale (15 miles east of Portland) to The Dalles (88 miles east of Portland).

The CRH, and its associated designed landscape, was a technical and civic achievement of its time, successfully mixing sensitivity to the magnificent landscape with ambitious engineering. In the CRH, Lancaster emulated the European style carriage roads in the Columbia River Gorge, while also designing and constructing a highway to advanced engineering standards. Throughout the route, Lancaster and subsequent locating engineers held fast to a design protocol that he developed after years of practical engineering experience and experimentation. It included accepting no grade greater than 5 percent, nor laying out a curve with less than a 200-foot turning radius. The use of reinforced-concrete bridges, combined with masonry guard walls and retaining walls, both on the road and on associated pedestrian trails, brought together the new with the old—the most advanced highway structures with the tried and tested, and all made by hand.¹

In setting design standards for the CRH, Lancaster wore the hats of engineer and landscape architect. He artfully created an engineering achievement sympathetic to the natural landscape and in doing so made the Columbia River Gorge’s idyllic natural setting accessible to tourists without unduly marring its beauty. Lancaster’s CRH truly embodied the National Park Service’s “Lying Lightly on the Land” philosophy, but a full decade before the concept was adopted for NPS roads and trails.

**Historic Context**

**Introduction**

*Sunset Magazine*’s Howard O. Rogers wrote that he had seen Niagara Falls, the Grand Canyon, Pike’s Peak, and Yellowstone Park, which he marveled at and became awestruck, but after driving the CRH through the Columbia River Gorge, in 1917, he believed that the highway was “a grand achievement in the science of modern road-building—nothing short of a national asset.” In 1920, the periodical *Excavating Engineer*, believed that the CRH “stands today as undoubtedly the greatest monument to the road building industry in the West.” “That most modern of roads,” was Walter Winston Crosby’s estimation of the CRH in his 1928 textbook entitled *Highway Location and Surveying.* Harriet Salt stated in her 1937 volume entitled *Mighty Engineering Feats: Clear and Concise Descriptions of Ten of the Greatest American Engineering Feats* that the CRH was “one of the world’s greatest examples of highway engineering.”²

¹Lancaster’s design protocol included the exception that he would include curve radii of 100 feet in roadway designs. For each 50-foot reduction in curve radii, however, he dropped the grade by 1 percent. See Henry L. Bowlby, “The Columbia Highway in Oregon,” special edition of *Contracting*, entitled “Columbia River Highway,” 1; Dwight A. Smith, “Columbia River Highway Historic District: Nomination of the Old Columbia River Highway in the Columbia Gorge to the National Register of Historic Places, Multnomah, Hood River, and Wasco Counties, Oregon” (Salem, OR: Oregon Department of Transportation, Highway Division, Technical Services Branch, Environmental Section, 1984), 3; Henry L. Bowlby, “The Columbia Highway in Oregon,” *Engineering News* 73, no. 2 (14 January 1915): 62. See also, Rufus Holman’s quote in, “Highway Up Gorge Sam Hill’s Dream,” *Portland Oregonian*, 24 April 1932, s. 1, p. 16.

The CRH, however, is significant for more than engineering. John Yeon, a successful lumberman and later “Roadmaster” of its construction, simply saw this highway as “the greatest single asset not only in Oregon, but in the West.” Phil Townsend Hanna, editor of the Los Angeles-based *Western Highways Builder*, wrote that “The hardy and honest people of Oregon have built the greatest highway in the world . . . no matter from what angle you consider it, as a transportation artery, as a scenic boulevard, or as an engineering feat.” United States President Theodore Roosevelt believed that in the CRH, Oregon “had the most remarkable road engineering in the United States, which for scenic grandeur is not equaled anywhere.” During a drive over the CRH in 1915, Major General George Washington Goethals, builder of the Panama Canal, said that the highway “is splendid engineering, and absolutely without equal in America for scenic interest.” John Arthur Elliott, a locating engineer on the CRH, eloquently summed up the entire rationale for the route’s alignment and construction. He wrote,

> The ideals sought [for the Columbia River Highway] were not the usual economic features and considerations given the location of a trunk highway. Grades, curvature, distance and even expense were sacrificed to reach some scenic vista or to develop a particularly interesting point. All the natural beauty spots were fixed as control points and the location adjusted to include them. Although the highway would have a commercial value in connecting the Coast country with the eastern areas, no consideration was given the commercial over scenic requirements. The one prevailing idea in the location and construction was to make this highway a great scenic boulevard surpassing all other highways of the world.³

“There is but one Columbia River Gorge [that] God put into this comparatively short space,” Samuel C. Lancaster wrote, “[with] so many beautiful waterfalls, canyons, cliffs and mountain domes.” “Men from all climes,” he believed “will wonder at its wild grandure [sic] when once it is made accessible [sic] by this great highway.” But, in addition, Lancaster, Hill, and several local promoters sought to create a route that employed the most advanced techniques available for road construction. In reflecting on the work’s progress, Lancaster acknowledged that because of the country’s rugged nature, with its wind and rain and winter weather, construction had been “slow and tedious and somewhat more expensive than ordinary work.” But he saw it as

---

3Yeon also considered Hanna’s comments as very significant because “the people of California are loth to concede superiority in road matters to any place.” Hanna is quoted in J. B. Yeon to Honorable Board of County Commissioners, Multnomah County, 27 April 1921, in folder 01/002—“Columbia River Highway—J. B. Yeon’s Resignation . . .,” Clerk of the Board Road Files, Multnomah County Archives, Portland, Oregon; M. C. George, *The Columbia Highway through the Gorge of the Cascades from Portland to the Dalles* (Portland: James, Kerns and Abbott Co. [1923]), 6; Goethals is quoted both in M. C. George and in Lancaster, *The Columbia: America’s Great Highway through the Cascade Mountains to the Sea*, 2d. ed. (Portland, 1916), 134; John Arthur Elliott, “The Location and Construction of the Mitchell Point Section of the Columbia River Highway, Oregon” (C.E. thesis, University of Washington, 1929), 2-3; Linda Flint McClelland believed that the CRH established the state of the art for building scenic roads in mountainous areas. See her volume, *Presenting Nature: The Historic Landscape Design of the National Park Service, 1916 to 1942* (Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1993), 103.
an extremely worthwhile task, “for if the road is completed according to plans, it will rival if not surpass anything to be found in the civilized world.” It will be the “King of Roads.”

In a more practical light, many observers saw the CRH as a lifeline connecting Portland with the many commercial and agricultural areas along the Columbia River. Some even envisioned it as one of the spokes of similarly constructed routes radiating out towards central Washington and the Inland Empire of eastern Washington and northern Idaho, and meeting routes leading to other parts of the region and the nation.

The CRH gained national and international attention through its appearance in professional periodicals such as *Engineering News, Contracting, Engineering and Contracting, Good Roads,* and *Public Roads.* It also received widespread coverage in more popular writing, such as *Sunset the Pacific Monthly,* and *Scientific American.* Those in academia in the years immediately after the First World War offered the CRH in their highway engineering textbooks as a new standard in modern road building.

**Samuel C. Lancaster**

Even though many see Samuel C. Lancaster as the preeminent player in early twentieth-century road building in the Pacific Northwest because of his work on the CRH, his role in the region began several years earlier in Washington State. Late in the first decade of the twentieth century, the Seattle Park Department employed him as a consulting engineer, where he helped design and oversee construction of a system of parks and boulevards outlined by the well-known landscape architect, John C. Olmsted. These contributions to Seattle’s coming of age were part of the city’s preparations for the Alaska-Yukon-Pacific Exposition of 1909, which also included an extensive regrading of Seattle’s hilly business district. The city’s civic leaders were determined to beautify Seattle for the event, which was a self-promotion vehicle to celebrate its phenomenal recent growth and bright future. A look at Lancaster’s role in Pacific Northwest road building needs to begin, though, with an understanding of his formative years—when illness opened up opportunities for him to hone his skills as a young and energetic civil engineer.

---


Lancaster grew up in the South. Born in 1864 in Magnolia, Mississippi. His family moved to Jackson, Tennessee, in the 1870s with hopes for economic prosperity. There, Samuel studied engineering at Union University, a Southern Baptist-sponsored school, but in 1881 his father died. This change of circumstances cut short Samuel’s formal education. Like so many engineering students and aspiring young engineers in the late nineteenth century, Lancaster hired on with the Illinois Central Railroad as a construction engineer. He soon moved on to the Gulf, Colorado and the Santa Fe Railroad, but a life-threatening case of typhoid fever and polio, in 1886, ended his brief engineering career with the railroads.7

Lancaster recovered and in 1889 he found employment back home with the city of Jackson, Tennessee, as its engineer. A year earlier, Jackson residents, living in a city rich with muddy streets toured other cities free of charge on the Illinois Central’s lines to view improved road and sewer systems. The railroad encouraged Jackson and other cities along its lines to modernize because it saw economic growth for itself if these communities showed prosperity. Jackson’s citizens brought back with them a strong conviction that their city should carry out its own civic improvements. Lancaster installed sewer, water and light systems, paved streets, and constructed parks. But by far, Lancaster’s most ambitious undertaking was designing and building a half-million-dollar model system of hard-surfaced roads in and around Jackson, for surrounding Madison County in 1903.8

The federal government’s Office of Public Road Inquiries and Secretary of Agriculture James Wilson noted Lancaster’s successes. Wilson soon appointed him a consulting engineer with the Office and sent him on a nationwide tour to preach the “Gospel of Good Roads.” But it took the combination of Lancaster’s know-how and entrepreneur Samuel Hill’s vision to make a lasting impression on Pacific Northwest road building. Lancaster first encountered Samuel Hill in 1906 at a Washington State Good Roads Association (WSGRA) meeting in Yakima, where members discussed the effect of the 1905 act that created the Washington State Highway Commission and raised the annual levy for highways.9

Samuel Hill and the Pacific Northwest

Samuel Hill was a wealthy Northern Pacific Railroad attorney, financier, and son-in-law of Great Northern Railway president James J. Hill. Samuel Hill was born in North Carolina in 1857 to a physician and his wife who possessed strong Unionist convictions during the outbreak of the Civil War. They fled the South for Minneapolis, Minnesota, where Hill’s father soon died. Young Samuel worked at meager jobs before earning a bachelor’s degree from Harvard in 1879.

Hill soon studied law and sold real estate in Minneapolis. His success in business and law drew the attention of James J. Hill, who hired him as a member of the Great Northern’s legal staff. Samuel Hill married James Hill’s daughter, Mary.¹⁰

Samuel Hill took on additional responsibilities in James J. Hill’s industrial empire. In 1895, James J. Hill named Samuel Hill president of the Seattle Gas and Electric Company. Samuel Hill brought with him to the state of Washington his entrepreneurial skill and a strong personal interest in good roads. By 1899 he helped found the WSGRA and by 1904 he had become well known in good roads’ circles, even testifying before Congress on the subject.¹¹

At the 1906 WSGRA convention in Yakima, Samuel Hill and Lancaster had struck up a close, lifelong friendship—the key to it was their mutual passion for good roads. Shortly, Hill convinced Secretary Wilson to loan Lancaster for six months to lobby in Washington for increased state aid for road construction during the 1907 state legislative session. Six months, though, was not long enough to convince lawmakers to make a stronger commitment to good roads.¹²

Meanwhile, Hill convinced Seattle Park Department commissioner Reginald H. Thomson to hire Lancaster to oversee the design and construction of a $7 million park and boulevard system concept outlined by John C. Olmsted in 1903 as part of Seattle’s preparation for the Alaska-Yukon-Pacific Exposition of 1909. The plan added fifty-miles of boulevards ringing the city and 2,000 acres to Seattle’s already large park system.¹³

Lancaster and the board gave priority to Lake Washington’s western shore, immediately east of Seattle’s downtown business district. There, Lancaster created a thirty-foot macadam roadway of easy grades and gentle curves, with a concrete sidewalk paralleling it near the water’s edge. A row of shade trees was planted along a parking strip to tie in the parkway with the naturally wooded slopes. Where needed, Lancaster designed ornamental concrete bridges and culverts to span the many creeks that emptied into Lake Washington. In sum, he had taken the practical experience he had gained just a few years earlier in Tennessee and applied it where it also required a strong awareness of aesthetic considerations and sensitivity to the natural surroundings’ creative beauty.¹⁴

¹³Thomson was a good friend of Hill’s and also a fellow WSGRA charter member. See Tuhy, Sam Hill, The Prince of Castle Nowhere, 133; Carlos A. Schwantes, The Pacific Northwest: An Interpretive History (Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1989), 196-96, 216-171; [Seattle] Board of Park Commissioners, Parks, Playgrounds and Boulevards (Seattle: The Pacific Press, 1909), 7, 9, 11-12.
Department of Highway Engineering, University of Washington

Meanwhile, with all the enthusiasm for good roads in Seattle, and throughout Washington and the nation, Hill proclaimed his gospel to more influential groups, including the University of Washington Board of Regents. In 1907, he convinced its members to establish a highway engineering curriculum at the University with Lancaster as its chair. The position was the first of its type in the country, and interestingly, Lancaster was one of three faculty members without any collegiate credentials, and a full professor at that! Hill’s dream of creating a large supply of highway engineers to improve Washington’s road system seemed fulfilled as nearly two hundred students enrolled in Lancaster’s first class. They included Frank A. Kittredge and John A. Elliott. Both men studied under Lancaster and within a decade had made their mark on Pacific Northwest roads. In 1909-10, Kittredge located and oversaw construction of portions of what eventually became Washington State Route 8, along the north shore of the Columbia River, near Lyle, in Skamania County. In 1913-14, he located the Pacific Highway in Jackson County, Oregon, near the California State line. Elliott surveyed a route for the CRH in Hood River County and designed the Mitchell Point Tunnel.15

Kittredge later became one of the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads’ (BPR) best locating engineers, gaining much experience in laying out park roads. By the mid-1920s, he established the alignment for what became Logan Pass on the Going-to-the-Sun Road in Glacier National Park and believed that it would “exhibit the grandeur of the park to the maximum.” The National Park Service thought highly of Kittredge and in 1927 promoted him to chief engineer. Meanwhile, Elliott, then an engineer with the BPR, helped draw up a long-term agreement between his agency and the NPS in 1925 to cooperate on park road design and construction. He eventually became the ranking engineer for Region 6 of the BPR (Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and Arkansas).16

First International Road Congress, Paris, 1908

In October 1908, Hill the veteran European traveler, sailed for Paris as a delegate to the First International Road Congress (the International Congress on the Adaptation of Roads to the New Means of Locomotion), where he represented the state of Washington and the WSGRA. He brought with him, at his own expense, Lancaster, Seattle Park Department commissioner Thomson, and Henry L. Bowlby, then a fellow engineering faculty member of Lancaster at the University of Washington. All four were good roads’ backers and were part of the American

---

15Lancaster was one of 52 professors, associate professors, and assistant professors at the University of Washington. He was one of three who had no collegiate credentials. Many lecturers and instructors held no college degrees. See Bulletin of the University of Washington, 10th Biennial Report of the Board of Regents of the University of Washington to the Governor of Washington, 1909, series 1, January 1909, no. 48, 15, 37-41; Tuhy, Sam Hill, The Prince of Castle Nowhere, 133; Fahl, 106; and Edmond S. Meany, History of the State of Washington (New York: Macmillan Co., 1942) 308.
delegation of twenty, out of 2,150, who attended the Congress. They also toured by automobile much of continental Europe and the British Isles, where they saw first-hand examples of both new and well-tested road building techniques. They hoped to bring back fresh ideas that they might find particularly useful in the Pacific Northwest. Hill had in mind two routes. The first followed the Columbia River’s north shore as a cross-state trunk route. The second ran from Canada to Mexico—from Blaine, Washington (north of Seattle) to Portland to San Diego. It eventually became the Pacific Highway.  

The four travelers spent much of their time along Germany’s Rhine River, looking at the rock retaining walls still there from Charlemagne’s time. They were also impressed by local masonry that they saw in Italy. Finally, they were taken with central Switzerland’s premier road, the Axenstrasse (Axen Street) along Lake Lucerne, between the cantons of Schwyz and Uri. The road dated from 1865 and included massive excavation and a nearly 500-foot windowed tunnel, hewn out of Valangien limestone escarpments. Masonry guard walls and parapets bordered the Axenstrasse for much of its length. 

The Swiss road truly inspired Hill and he told Lancaster and others that he planned to build a similar highway in the Pacific Northwest. He wanted the world to “come out and see the beauties of the land out of door ... [to] realize the magnificence and grandeur of the Columbia River Gorge.” Hill subsequently made several more trips to Europe to investigate roads and road building techniques. 

Upon returning from the First International Road Congress and his investigation of European road building, Hill immediately delved into preparing for the first American Congress of Road Builders that he planned for Seattle in July 1909 to run in conjunction with the Alaska-Yukon-Pacific Exposition. A “Good Roads Building” was even erected as part of the fair to exhibit modern road construction methods. This structure ultimately housed the University of Washington’s Department of Highway Engineering. 

Hill pressed on with his good roads’ campaign in Washington State. Lawmakers created the Office of State Highway Commissioner in 1905 to provide some direction in establishing a statewide road system, but counties were reluctant to give up their jurisdiction over road matters to state officials. Hill and the WSGRA lobbied successfully in 1907 for a practical state aid plan

---

17 Tuhy, Sam Hill, The Prince of Castle Nowhere, 133-34; Fred Lockley mentioned that Bowlby went as part of this group, see his History of the Columbia River Valley, from The Dalles to the Sea (Chicago: S. J. Clarke Publishing Co., 1928), 831-32.


for highway construction and improvement. With it came an expanded state highway board, and
a commissioner given wide powers and authority to carry out the work.\textsuperscript{21}

In 1908, Governor Marion E. Hay sought out Hill to lead an advisory highway board to garner
public support for state-directed road improvements. Meanwhile, ardent good roads “nay
sayers,” who saw roads as a costly extravagance, continually criticized the Hay administration
for its role in highway matters, in particular the current highway commissioner’s incompetence.
In August 1909, on Hill’s recommendation, Bowlby became the new highway commissioner.\textsuperscript{22}

\textit{Henry L. Bowlby in Washington}

Bowlby had come to the University of Washington in 1905 as an instructor in civil engineering
and became well acquainted with Lancaster who was then designing Seattle’s boulevard system.
By 1908, Major Bowlby worked with Lancaster in formulating the institution’s highway
engineering curriculum.

Born on 31 December 1879 in Crete, Nebraska, Bowlby entered the U.S. Military Academy as
an 18-year-old after completing his junior year at the University of Nebraska. He never finished
his education at West Point, nor was he ever commissioned as an officer in the U.S. Army.
Instead, in the spring of 1901, Bowlby was expelled from the Academy amid accusations that he
sympathized with fellow classmates who breached the institution’s military discipline by
engaging in horseplay in the mess hall. Kicked out of West Point, Bowlby and other former
cadets headed for Ecuador, where they soon found work laying out railroads. Bowlby honed his
skills as a civil engineer and returned to the U.S. in 1904. Along with his compatriots, he refused
President Theodore Roosevelt’s offer of a military commission. Bowlby instead returned to the
University of Nebraska where he earned both a bachelor’s degree and a graduate degree in civil
engineering. By 1905, he was on the faculty at the University of Washington.\textsuperscript{23}

Bowlby seemed a logical choice to lead Washington’s road program. His education, and
practical experience made him just what Hay was looking for in filling the post. During his
tenure, Bowlby put into place several programs and recommended many others to firmly define
his department’s role as a forward-thinking state highway agency that promoted the design,
construction, and maintenance of an efficient and economical highway system for the state of
Washington. No one can speculate about how many of the innovations came from Bowlby and
how many came from Hill. It is an understatement to say that Hill relished his position as
Highway Advisory Board chair, for Bowlby later acknowledged when Hay appointed him

\textsuperscript{21}Rindell, 10-18. The law also required that the new commissioner be both a civil engineer and a surveyor.
See Rindell, 27-30; and “History of Roads and Highways in the State of Washington,” TMs, c.1939, p. 23, held by
the Washington State Department of Transportation Library, Olympia.

\textsuperscript{22}Hermas John Bergman, “Progressive of the Right: Marion E. Hay, Governor of Washington, 1909-1913”
Commission for the Period Ending September 30, 1910} (Olympia, WA: E. L. Boardman, Public Printer, 1910),
preface; Rindell, 35-36, 38.

\textsuperscript{23}Fred Lockley, “Prank of West Point Cadet Saves Highway Engineer for Oregon,” \textit{Portland Oregon
Journal}, 7 June 1914, sec. 5, p. 1; see also \textit{Bulletin of the University of Washington, 10th Biennial Report of the
Board of Regents of the University of Washington to the Governor of Washington}, 1909, series 1, January 1909, no.
48, p. 39.
highway commissioner that Hill would be Bowlby’s “‘boss’ and give [him his] orders in road matters.”

Bowlby established a modern highway department, but faced strong opposition from citizens who favored county control of road matters. Uproar over Bowlby’s activities clearly divided Washington State politics between the WSGRA and its sympathizers, including Hill, and “anti-state aid” forces. Opponents to state-supported highway improvements seized control of the 1910 WSGRA convention in Walla Walla, eroding Hill’s and other “Old Guard” domination over the organization. Moreover, in 1911, anti-state aid lawmakers foiled their colleagues’ attempts to select a cross-state trunk route before the legislative session ended. Governor Hay chose not to call a special session to sort out the trunk route stalemate and instead turned toward controversy surrounding the highway commissioner. Bowlby finally resigned under pressure in late March 1911, and his actions had a far-reaching effect upon Washington State road building and Washington State politics.

**Bowlby’s Resignation**

Bowlby’s resignation and Hay’s weakness over calling an extraordinary legislative session infuriated Hill. He threatened to leave the state for Oregon if the lawmakers and Hay did not approve of his Columbia River trunk route, which incidentally ran through his Maryhill ranch, and his failed lobbying efforts directed at his old friend Hay to retain Bowlby further eroded his loyalty. Hill increasingly claimed more authority. It all came to a head in Bremerton in May 1911 when Hill publicly blamed Hay for the state’s stagnant road program. He even demanded a recall election to remove the governor from office. Hill was through with Hay. He hoped the climate would improve with a new governor; it worsened.

Even though Hill campaigned vigorously for Democrat Ernest Lister as Hay’s political opponent for the 1912 gubernatorial race and saw Hay go down in defeat, Lister’s tight money policy ended any hopes for Hill of ever seeing a cross-state route along the Columbia River’s north shore. Hill closed up his houses in Seattle and Maryhill and moved to Portland, Oregon, where

---


26 According to the Seattle Times, his reply to Hay gave the governor “a graphic description of his feelings.” The Times added that Hill’s reply was “of such a torrid nature that the mail clerks handled the missive with tongs.” See, “Hill No Longer Political Friend of State Chief,” Seattle Times, 26 May 1911, pp. 1 and 5. In 1909-10, Frank A. Kittredge oversaw locating and, with convict labor, construction of a segment of a north shore road along the Columbia River, between Washougal and Lyle, in Clark and Skamania counties. Kittredge’s road represented a disconnected portion of a proposed, but unfunded cross-state trunk route between Vancouver and Prosser, Washington. See Third Biennial Report of the [Washington State] Highway Commission for the Period Ending September 30, 1910, Exhibit A.
he hoped he could help design and see constructed his cross-state highway along the Columbia River’s south shore.  

Hill was no stranger to Portland. In 1909, he purchased the Home Telephone and Telegraph Company, a small communications interest that provided automatic dial telephone service to a growing number of Portlanders in direct competition with Pacific Telephone and Telegraph, part of the Bell System. In just a few short years, he became one of Portland’s most energetic boosters and in turn lobbied vigorously for popular support for close friend Governor Oswald West’s progressive stance on road issues. 

Lancaster and Construction of the Maryhill Loops

Meanwhile, as the political debate over highway legislation was underway in Olympia, Hill also pursued independently experimentation in roadway design and construction. He began it as early as 1909, building service roads at his planned Quaker utopian community, Maryhill, and adjacent 7,000 acre ranch along the Columbia River’s north shore, some 100 miles east of Portland. But in 1911, with his stock in Washington politics declining, Hill called on his old friend and highway expert, Lancaster, to conduct comprehensive road design studies there. He sought to learn about road drainage, binding materials, and grade requirements in hopes of selling Washington politicians on the idea of undertaking a statewide comprehensive highway construction program. He looked forward to the day when Washington had the best hard-surface road construction anywhere. 

Hill spent more than $100,000 of his own money for Lancaster to construct 7.5 miles of asphaltic macadam roads on his Maryhill ranch. The principal route climbed over 1,400 feet from the Spokane, Portland and Seattle Railway siding along the Columbia, near Maryhill, through the Klickitat Hills to overlook south-central Washington’s fertile Goldendale Valley. Hill sought to show the world that durable hard-surfaced roads were an economically feasible alternative to rutty summer trails and muddy winter wallows. For a society less reliant on horses and wagons to transport people and freight, and increasingly reliant on automobiles, good roads were needed for efficient movement of traffic. Hill believed that his roads at Maryhill would “serve as a model for asphaltic macadam construction.” 

Hill believed Lancaster could develop for him the most economical and efficient means for constructing hard-surfaced roads with gradual curves and slight grades. Modern road surface experimentation was in its formative years. Lancaster referred to his experience in Tennessee and with the Lake Washington Boulevard in Seattle. He had also studied European road construction techniques while attending the First International Road Congress, with Hill, in Paris, in 1908. At Maryhill, he faced the real problem of overcoming steep terrain in laying out a 

27 A combination of Bull Moose fever and Hay’s status-quo mentality helped Democrats to win in Washington State politics. Bergman, 298; Rindell, 61.
28 Rindell, 61; Tuhy, Sam Hill, The Prince of Castle Nowhere, 117-22, 139.
road from the Columbia’s edge to the crest of the Klickitat Hills. The simple, direct route included very steep grades upwards of 20 percent, providing difficult going for the ablest of teams and the most powerful of automobiles, not to mention the need for good brakes in making the descent. Highway authorities at the turn of the century had calculated how much the capacity of animals—horses, mules, or oxen—diminished with the increase in steepness of grades and this Lancaster directly applied to motorized vehicles. He concluded that the optimum grade for roads, taking into account horsepower and gearing, along with braking ability, was something less than 6 percent.31

Much as the railroads had done in decades past, Lancaster “developed distance” to hold to a maximum grade by building an alignment that included a series of loops. He also faced the real problem of calculating curves that were gradual enough so that they were not merely “switchbacks,” or “zigzags,” with extremely tight corners. Instead, his loops consisted of curves with a minimum 100-foot turning radius to allow teams and wagons, and motor vehicles, to traverse them without having to jockey around to proceed onto the next tangent road section.

Early 20th-century road authorities agreed that water-bound macadam roads were far superior to simple gravel roads because of their durability under horse and wagon traffic. They consisted of compacted layers of broken stone, stone dust, and water, which formed a tight long-lasting matrix. Rainfall helped make the roads durable because it washed new dust, created by the abrasion of horseshoes and steel wagon tires moving along the surface, into the crevices. Automobiles, though, wrecked this relationship between steel tires and macadam roads, because their pneumatic rubber tires did not generate the rejuvenating dust. In fact, they carried away any dust that was present on the road surface to the surrounding landscape, thus leaving the macadam roads to come apart. Some experts believed that the macadam road might better stand up to automobile traffic with a simple surface application of tar. But Lancaster sought to find the “recipe” for an “asphaltic-macadam” road for automobile and horse-drawn traffic that water-bound macadam had provided for horses and wagons.32

Lancaster sought to develop roads at Maryhill that would serve as models for asphaltic macadam construction. His experiment focused on several different types. In an article presumably penned by Hill, readers were warned that, “THESE ARE DEMONSTRATION ROADS AND ALL MISTAKES MADE IN CONSTRUCTION ARE LEFT TO SHOW HOW NOT TO DO IT.” Four sections of experimental road initially consisted of the standard water-bound macadam, but on three of these Lancaster applied wearing surfaces consisting of different asphalt recipes (see Figure #7). He brought in several 1,000-gallon railroad tank-cars of 90 percent

---

31“Roads at Maryhill” [pp. 1-2]; Third Biennial Report of the [Washington State] Highway Commission for the Period Ending September 30, 1910, 7-8; Lancaster, “Practical Road Building in Madison County, Tennessee,” 335. In 1911 “Permanent Highway Act,” which repealed earlier state-aid laws. The Act called for construction of permanent highways along main lines of travel, either beginning at some trade center or being an extension of a road beginning at a trade center. They were to be graded to a width of not less than 16 feet and surfaced with macadam, stone, gravel, or some other durable material for a width of not less than 12 feet. Ideally, grades were not to exceed five percent and in no case more than ten percent.

asphaltic oil from the Standard Oil Company of California. Lancaster eventually found one recipe that he praised for its durability under continuous heavy traffic.  

At Maryhill, Lancaster and Hill conducted both pure and applied research in the field of highway engineering. It was at the cutting edge during the infancy of a new science to understand the properties of binding rock and petroleum products in creating durable, long-lasting road surfaces, and it had direct application in the field of highway engineering, both nationally and internationally. The “Maryhill Loops Road” became the first asphalt-covered highway in the state of Washington.  

At Maryhill, Lancaster also applied economical and aesthetically pleasing solutions for shoring up roadway fills and providing safety rails and edge of pavement markers along the experimental roads. He used the locally-plentiful volcanic basalt in creating dry masonry retaining walls, wet masonry guard walls, and coping stones, also known as guard rocks.

Lancaster also sought to reduce construction costs by improving upon tried and true excavation machinery then in use. He even designed a special wagon to haul and spread stone and asphalt mixes. It was constructed of steel, unlike the common wooden slat wagon, and could haul four cubic yards, double the ordinary amount. Lancaster’s wagon also had special main axles and steel tires arranged to carry most of the weight, while using the same number of mules for power. In addition, the new wagon could spread stone or asphalt evenly and at a much faster rate than the old-fashioned model, thus reducing time and costs and the need for extra crews of men. This wagon design was later used on the CRH.

By 1912, Hill increasingly looked to Oregon and, in particular, its lawmakers and prominent Portland businessmen, to back his pet project of a Columbia River highway. Meanwhile, Lancaster added to his reputation as a scenic road proponent when in December 1912 the Rainier National Park Committee, an organization of Seattle and Tacoma, Washington, promoters, hired him to lobby Congress to complete the park’s road system, thus making it accessible to nature lovers and automobile enthusiasts.

After much hard work, Lancaster succeeded in gaining a modest appropriation for Mount Rainier National Park road improvement. But just as important, local newspapers in western Washington covered his work and many soon held him in high regard as one who could gather energy to construct other even greater roads in the Pacific Northwest.
Early Roads in the Columbia River Gorge

By 1913, Hill convinced Oregon lawmakers of the need for a cross-state highway, one that would connect the many small towns along the Columbia River and eventually form a trunk route carrying traffic and commerce to and from Portland and connecting the city with a blossoming interstate road system. The highway's construction represented the cooperation of three county governments, state lawmakers, philanthropic businessmen, and the general public at a time when local control over local issues was the preferred form of government.

It was not difficult to persuade Oregon lawmakers of the road’s need, for as early as the 1850s, individuals attempted to conquer the Columbia River Gorge’s miles of steep basalt. No one had yet succeeded. Pioneers who followed the Oregon Trail in the 1840s reached The Dalles after traveling west nearly 2,000 miles from St. Louis. Only then did they face the most difficult part of their journey—rafting the Columbia River more than 70 miles to the Sandy River delta where they reassembled their wagons and followed a trail to Oregon City. The Gorge was the obstacle. In 1845, Samuel Barlow carved out a primitive road from The Dalles to Oregon City, heading south around Mount Hood. His trail, however, still made for an arduous overland journey, which was more difficult than anything the pioneers had encountered in crossing the continent (see Figure #5).

The first wagon road in the Gorge ran from the town of Bonneville to the site of the future Cascade Locks—a distance of six miles—and was completed in 1856. It climbed to an elevation of over 400 feet on steep grades around a portage at the Cascades of the Columbia River. This road only ran a short distance, however, and met the needs of a select few. Journeys on it, carrying supplies from Fort Vancouver to men stationed east of the Cascade Mountains, proved onerous. By 1872, the Oregon legislature designated $50,000 for building a wagon road from the mouth of the Sandy River, 18 miles east of Portland, through the Gorge to The Dalles. The money was soon expended and four years later another $50,000 was appropriated. Even though the road was completed, travel on it proved difficult. The alignment was crooked and narrow with heavy grades, often exceeding 20 percent. “The Dalles-to-Sandy Wagon Road,” was never really practicable for travel.39

Only in 1882 was the Gorge accessible with a continuous overland route when the Oregon Railway and Navigation Company (ORN) constructed a water-level track from Portland to The Dalles. It served the company and its successor, the Oregon-Washington Railroad and Navigation Company (OWRN), as the mainline to the grain rich Columbia River basin and plateau. For the next thirty years, the line provided the only real alternative to steamboats for travel along the river. By the first decade of the 20th century, with the advent of the automobile, the widespread Good Roads’ Movement came to Portland. Enthusiasts called for a new road through the Gorge to the town of Hood River, some 60 miles east of Portland. One even paid to survey a 16-foot-wide road with grades nearing 17 percent. Multnomah County commissioners

moved forward with the project in 1911, favoring a 20-foot roadway and grades nearing 9 percent. The route proved a good first try, but county forces constructed several miles of the road which, in reality, was of little improvement over the 19th-century route that preceded it. Work ceased when the county ran into difficulty concerning right-of-way with the ORN. The rail company had naturally located its line on the best alignment, and even over parts of the 1872 road. It sought gentle grades and found them closest to the river’s edge and away from the nearby cliffs. Although the county eventually negotiated a right-of-way agreement with the ORN, it seemed inevitable that the road would be costly to construct and without firm support from the public, work on it ceased.40

Meanwhile, in 1912, Oregon Governor Oswald West had been experimenting with convict labor in building roads in southern Oregon. Portland lumberman and good roads’ enthusiast Simon Benson donated $10,000 to fund a labor camp to construct a road across Shellrock Mountain, a large unstable talus slope in Hood River County in the Columbia River Gorge. Many regarded this landform as the supreme obstacle, a barrier to traffic on any route through the Gorge. It was so impassable for pioneers that they stopped just east of the slope to make rafts to float the Columbia to the Cascades, where they could portage. Attempts were made to build a road across Shellrock Mountain, but they always failed within a short time.41

Governor West’s prison crew built a road around the mountain’s base and proved for the time being that Shellrock Mountain could be conquered. The project also brought an injection of optimism for constructing a new motor route through the Columbia River Gorge. Many also saw it as a Progressive Era attempt at using convict labor by teaching the prisoners a useful skill. Even though the project ultimately failed, it was not for lack of trying. Instead, it called attention to the need for comprehensive engineering of a route through the Gorge. The endeavor also marked the beginning of an all out effort at substantial roadwork between Portland and The Dalles.42

Meanwhile, Hill desperately wanted to construct a highway in the Columbia River Gorge. With Lancaster’s experiments at Maryhill complete, he had compelling evidence that this road and others could be built with proper engineering and at a reasonable cost to taxpayers. He enlisted a corps of influential Portland businessmen and fellow good roads’ proponents to campaign for a Gorge road. They included Julius Meier, Portland department store proprietor and later Governor of Oregon; Henry Pittock, publisher of the Oregonian newspaper; C. S. “Sam” Jackson, publisher of the Oregon Journal newspaper; Rufus Holman, Multnomah County Commissioner and later U.S. Senator; and Simon Benson. Hill promoted the project with his usual zeal. According to C. Lester Horn, another Portlander involved in the campaign, Hill sold the concept of a Gorge highway with the “publicity, political maneuvering and strategy” he was noted for in his previous good roads’ campaigns. Hill believed it was a pity that all the beautiful waterfalls, rock formations, and forests along the Columbia River were accorded only quick glances from train passengers traveling along the ORN’s main line. But more importantly, he perceived that a good motor route paralleling the rail line through this magnificent splendor

would “prove an irresistible magnet which would draw more people to the Pacific Northwest” and “more traffic for the railroads, both passenger and freight.”

During the November elections in 1912, Oregonians were confused by a multitude of good roads’ measures and voted down the lot. By then, Oregon was the last of the far-western states to create a state highway department and consequently it was far behind its neighbors, especially California and Washington, in organizing some sort of comprehensive highway plan. According to one journalist of the time, C. E. Fisher of Sunset Magazine, Oregonians “realized their mistake and hoped for favorable legislative action.” Road conditions in Oregon were primitive. With 37,000 miles of roads in the state at the time, only 10 percent were hard surfaced to serve the 12,000 automobiles already in Oregon. Bad roads severely limited any travel outside Pacific Northwest cities.

Hill took advantage of the situation. Determined to impress lawmakers with the advantages of good roads and ready with the life-size models at his Maryhill, Washington, estate, he invited the entire Oregon legislature as his guests there in February 1913. While traveling by special train through the Gorge from Portland, Hill pointed out to them in the Gorge what he considered the world’s “blue-ribbon scenery.” He also presented an illustrated lecture on road building, utilizing slides he had prepared from his trips to Europe, and showed them the Maryhill Loops. Evidently, Hill’s piece of salesmanship was convincing. Shortly after their return to Salem, the lawmakers created the Oregon State Highway Commission. This was their first step towards initiating a statewide comprehensive road plan that included the Pacific Highway connecting Portland with Seattle and Los Angeles, a central Oregon route from The Dalles southward to the California border, and a Columbia River highway from Portland to The Dalles.

In addition to promoting an extensive statewide road-building campaign, lawmakers charged the new highway commission with cultivating local support for road construction bonds, since it had no construction budget of its own. At Hill’s insistence, the commission hired Henry L. Bowlby, a proven mover in regional road matters, as state highway engineer to oversee development of a statewide trunk route system. Hill then turned to Multnomah County, where in July, Commission Chair Rufus Holman led the formation of a county advisory board on roads and highways. Among others, members included Amos S. Benson (Simon Benson’s son) and Sam Jackson. Hill’s timing was perfect, for previously, a group of “mossbacked” detractors had

45 Fisher, “Interesting Westerner; A National Road-Builder” Sunset, the Pacific Monthly 31 (September 1913): 542-43. In an Oregon Journal article dated 13 August 1913, Hill exclaimed that “there are 30 Switzerlands in Oregon.” See Fahl, “S. C. Lancaster,” 114. Tuhy, Sam Hill, The Prince of Castle Nowhere, 140-41. The routes that Hill envisioned for the state system are still in use. The Pacific Highway was later renamed US 99. More recently, Interstate 5 took over as the primary north-south route from Portland to the California border. The Dalles—California Highway became US 97. In more recent years, 64 miles running south from The Dalles, was redesignated US 197, with an alternate route to Biggs, 20 miles east of The Dalles on the Columbia River, numbered as US 97. From there, it crossed the river and used a portion of Lancaster’s experimental roads on Hill’s estate to climb the Klickitat Hills and enter south-central Washington’s Goldendale Valley. The Washington State Department of Highways bypassed the Maryhill Loops Road shortly after World War II with a new, sweeping grade.
dominated Multnomah County government and did not sympathize with good roads’ promoters. Hill had induced Holman and other good roads’ enthusiasts to seek commission seats. They won the election and were able to advance Hill’s cause. The Advisory Board met with the county commissioners in late August 1913 at the Chanticleer Inn, a country restaurant some twenty miles east of Portland, high atop a cliff overlooking the Columbia River Gorge. Holman, Benson, Jackson, and others convinced a majority of the county commissioners to support the highway’s construction through the Gorge. In addition, they recommended that the commissioners hire Samuel C. Lancaster to supervise the project. Pacific Northwest road building took a great step forward when Hill, Lancaster, and Bowlby were together once again.46

Julius Meier was convinced that Lancaster had the experience and know-how to secure “the best construction at the minimum cost.” The commissioners hired him as consulting engineer at a very good salary. But William L. Lightner opposed employing Lancaster because he believed that the engineer’s recommendation for a road with high standards was unneeded and expensive. “Nay sayers” like Lightner lost out to others who saw high-quality taxpayer-supported improvement projects as worthy efforts. Portlanders at that time only had to look to the Lake Washington Boulevard and Mount Rainier road-building activities as ways of solidifying Puget Sound as the center of the Pacific Northwest. Improving access to Portland’s hinterlands was good for the city. Citizens from as far away as eastern Washington saw a highway in the Columbia Gorge as worthwhile because it might reduce their reliance on Seattle and Tacoma for commerce. This new route could also improve social cohesiveness between Portland and the surrounding area. To remove the road’s possible divisiveness from county politics, the majority of commissioners who supported it asked the Oregon State Highway Commission to take charge of laying out the route, with Lancaster’s guidance. They also appropriated $75,000 from county coffers as seed money to finance the highway. Lancaster became an assistant state highway engineer dedicated to this project and received generous compensation.47

The Columbia River Highway in Multnomah County, Oregon
Surveying Begins, Multnomah County, September 1913

Lancaster had a difficult task ahead in mapping out the Columbia River Highway (CRH) through Multnomah County to the Hood River County line. He faced many challenges that would have eclipsed the skills of most civil engineers of the day. Since much of the highway’s alignment from Troutdale to Chanticleer Inn followed a portion of the county’s extensive market road system, Lancaster began his survey just east of the restaurant, at the point where Larch Mountain Road begins a grade. Standing there, he “realized the magnitude of [this] task and the splendid opportunity [it] presented.”48

From September 1913 through January 1914, Lancaster and his crews projected a line for the highway for some 21 miles east of Chanticleer. He founded it on “easy grades not exceeding 5 percent and graceful curves.” He had established tight design parameters for the highway. These included a minimum 200-foot turning radius on curves and a maximum 5 percent grade.

---

47 Fahl, “S. C. Lancaster,” 111-12; Lancaster, as assistant state highway engineer, was paid $450 per month. Bowlby, as state highway engineer, received $3,000 per annum ($250 per month).
In rare cases, he allowed a turning radius of 100 feet, but lowered grades by 1 percent for every 50-foot reduction from 200 feet. Lancaster also created a roadway width averaging 24 feet, with 18 feet of macadamized and later asphalt travel lanes, and two 3-foot gravel shoulders. He evidently drew on many sources to establish the design criteria for the CRH. Previously, Lancaster stuck to a standard of 6 percent grades in Tennessee and on the Maryhill Loops Road. He also used a 100-foot minimum curve radius at Hill’s estate. The 5 percent standard evolved from the 1911 Permanent Highway Law in Washington. Drafted while Hill was chairman of the Washington State Highway Advisory Board and Bowlby was highway commissioner, it defined a “Permanent Highway” as an improved road not less than sixteen feet wide and with no grade exceeding 5 percent.\footnote{Lancaster to A. S. Benson, 7 February 1914. See William R. Roy, “Washington’s State Highways and Highway Department,” Municipal Journal 39, no. 10 (9 September 1915): 344. George Goodwin’s proposed “Transmountain Highway” in Glacier National Park, called for a 20-foot graded road, grades as high as 8 percent, and a maximum 50-foot turning radius on curves. Frank A. Kittredge’s 1924 survey adopted for the Logan Pass section of the Going-to-the-Sun Road included a grade maximum of 6 percent, with 100-foot radii for open curves and 200-foot radii for blind curves. Kittredge’s standards for the GTTSR are in line with Lancaster’s standards for the HCRH, which were established in 1913. See “National Historic Landmark Nomination, Going-to-the-Sun Road, Glacier National Park,” Susan Begley and Ethan Carr, 1996, pp. 23 and 29-30.}

Parts of the proposed route in Multnomah County required a complete topographic survey. Lancaster sought to find the most practicable route, but at the same time he hoped to locate the road “so as to take advantage of the magnificent landscape and natural beauty of the region.” He took great pains to secure the best alignment for the road, and associated trail system, because he was convinced that this was not “an ordinary country highway.” Lancaster believed that he was opening up the Columbia Gorge’s “hidden waterfalls and mountain crags, dark wood, fern-clad coves, and all else that a wise creator [sic] chose to make for the pleasure and enjoyment of the children of men.” His rationale fell in line with the thinking of the great 19th-century landscape architect Andrew Jackson Downing, who promoted curvilinear lines and gradual, graceful curves in aligning roadways and trails to follow the land’s natural contours, taking visitors to the natural points of interest (see Figure \#6). This is part of Downing’s philosophy of landscape preservation that greatly influenced the thinking of Frank A. Waugh, Henry Hubbard, and others who, by the 1920s and 1930s, were helping to set National Park Service (NPS) policy in designing and maintaining its growing road and trail systems.\footnote{S. C. Lancaster to A. S. Benson, 7 February 1914, box 4, folder “Multnomah County, 1914,” RG 76A-90, Oregon State Archives, Salem; Oregon Journal, 3 January 1915, picture supplement, p. 2; Fahl, “S. C. Lancaster,” 114; Linda Flint McClelland, Presenting Nature: The Historic Landscape Design of the National Park Service, 1916-1942 (Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1993), 11-19, 40-41, 106-108; Waugh was Downing’s strongest 20th-century follower. McClelland believed that Hubbard and Theodora Kimball’s volume, An Introduction to the Study of Landscape Design, (New York: Macmillan, 1917), was “the single most influential source that inspired national and state par designer in the 1920s and 1930s.” See McClelland, 45.} In Lancaster, the CRH’s promoters had found an engineer with a rare blend of technical skill and romantic appreciation for nature. Deeply religious, his philosophy coincided with that of John Muir and other preservationists who revered the wildness of God’s unspoiled work. Lancaster even wrote that, “I am thankful to God for His goodness in permitting me to have a part in building this broad thoroughfare as a frame to the beautiful picture He created.” It was widely believed by early-twentieth-century progressives that natural surroundings could help heal some of the ills of urban life. Crowded city dwellers needed access to the “wilderness” for social and
spiritual health. In the Columbia Gorge, as Lancaster described it, “Tired men and women with their little children may enjoy the beauty of nature’s art gallery and recreate themselves.”

He was so taken by Multnomah Falls, some 30 miles east of Portland, for instance that the words he wrote to describe it erupted from his heart and soul. He believed that the 620-foot cascade was ideal, “It is pleasing to look upon in every mood,” he wrote, “it charms like magic, it woos like an ardent lover; it refreshes the soul; and invites to loftier, purer things.” Lancaster envisioned Multnomah Falls as a destination for motorists much as it had been for steamship passengers and train travelers in previous decades. He saw Multnomah Falls as the single most important natural feature in the Gorge.

According to historian Ronald J. Fahl, “For weeks, [in Multnomah County] Lancaster and his cohorts literally pulled themselves over the rocky and wooded terrain—taking photographs, drawing up blueprints, and always planning for a roadway that would blend subtly with the environment.” Roy A. Klein, locating engineer for the Wasco County portion of the CRH and later Oregon State Highway Engineer during the 1920s, believed that in doing this, Lancaster laid out a road that met or surpassed “the highest engineering standards of the age.” Construction began in Multnomah County in October 1913, once the first several miles had been located and right-of-way secured. Five work camps were initially set up to house and feed the 600 to 700 men who provided labor on the route. Most were near the OWRN mainline to take advantage of timely transportation of supplies from Portland.

Throughout Multnomah County, Lancaster relied on a well-known and well-liked local lumber baron, John B. Yeon, to coordinate the work crews’ every effort. As a champion of the road, Yeon showed an early interest in its construction. He accepted the county commissioners’ request to become “Roadmaster,” and for two years, assisted by Simon Benson’s son Amos, he managed the gangs of several hundred who worked the picks and shovels, upwards of 2,200 men by the completion of work in Multnomah County. Yeon was the perfect choice for this role because he had proven his abilities at directing crews of lumbermen to work efficiently and conscientiously under less than ideal and often harsh environments. Lastly, Yeon was well off financially and took a token compensation of one dollar per year for his efforts. His commitment to good roads in Oregon continued with his appointment to the Oregon State Highway Commission in the early 1920s.

**Drainage**

The CRH was constructed with a comprehensive urban-like drainage system to preserve the road itself from destruction. Because of the Gorge’s heavy rainfall, water is an ever-present problem for much of the year along the CRH between Troutdale and Hood River. Often, the road was

---


crowned to encourage drainage. Other means were necessary to take away storm water and hillside drainage, to prevent it from accumulating at the edge of the roadway, where it might undermine the surface and subsurface layers.

Lancaster designed a drainage system that had more in common with the city setting of Seattle’s Lake Washington Boulevard than with rural Multnomah County. It was one that included concrete curbs, side drains, and gutters along the edge of pavement to channel water to drop inlets and tiling under the roadbed to empty runoff into local streams. In other instances, he employed simple French drains. Some of these gravel-filled trenches incorporated porous drain tiles to accommodate larger runoffs. Finally, where Lancaster anticipated the largest amounts of hillside flows, he had masons build box culverts to safely pass the water under the roadway. Lancaster’s arrangement of drainage structures on the Figure-Eight Loops and elsewhere included at least 9,000 feet of gutter. His system was purposely elaborate, but yet very functional because each element helped direct rainfall and runoff away from the road surface in an orderly and efficient manner. Though costly to construct, the drainage system’s use realized a reduction in overall projected outlays for the CRH (see Figure #7).54

Oneonta Tunnel, HMP 34.3

Lancaster and his staff faced a great challenge in conquering Oneonta Bluff, a northward protruding basalt formation just east of Oneonta Gorge. It presented an obstacle to constructing the road any farther east towards the Multnomah County line. The railroad had already taken the only available land skirting around the formation’s north face. During late 1913, the Multnomah County Road Department called for bids to excavate the Oneonta Tunnel. What appeared as a simple 125-foot straight bore was anything but easy to create. The Columbia River basalt found there had frequent cleavages and was commonly known as “dice” rock because it broke up into small fragments when disturbed. To compound this problem, even a narrow 20-foot-wide bore, like the proposed Oneonta Tunnel, left only 18 feet of natural rock between its outer wall and the cliff face which abutted the OWRN main line. The railroad company’s worst fear was that in boring the tunnel, crews might cause the entire basalt formation to come crashing down onto the track, closing the mainline indefinitely. Lancaster, though, devised a successful plan to stabilize the dice rock prior to boring the tunnel. He instructed crews to inject concrete into the crevasses to hold together the basalt and permit them to carry out their work with minimal rock fall. By late spring 1914, the tunnel was completed and subsequently lined with timber sets and lagging because of rock fall issues. With Oneonta Bridge open a few short months later, the CRH was passable to Horsetail Falls (see Figure #11).55

Oneonta Tunnel’s narrow width presented dangers for traffic. Because of the formation’s delicate nature, widening the bore was not possible. By 1948, the OWRN agreed to move its mainline on fill material and allow the highway department to reroute the CRH around Oneonta Bluff. The tunnel was then backfilled and exists in a mothballed state.

54Interviews, Pierce and Hadlow with Fix, Summer 1995; Brady, “The Columbia River Highway in Oregon,” 168. Experiments at Maryhill, just a few years before, showed Lancaster that adequate sub-base preparation was essential to a first-class, long-lasting road.
Even though the roadway itself had been completed by late 1914 from Chanticleer Inn to Eagle Creek, in east Multnomah County, a distance of about 20 miles, the bridges, viaducts, and masonry work often lagged behind. In part, this was because of the separation of duties. Yeon had completed the grubbing and clearing of Lancaster’s alignment and oversaw the laying down of sub-layers and top layers of a macadam road. The state highway department, however, was responsible for designing and building structures, and it took time to complete plans, seek bids, and award contracts. Funding uncertainty was ever present. In November 1914 for instance, work on masonry structures halted because resources were exhausted.56

**Bridges on the Columbia River Highway in Multnomah County, Oregon**

With engineering, economics, and aesthetics in mind, a handful of designers under the guidance of bridge engineers Charles H. Purcell and his successor, Conde B. McCullough, created the unique spans found along the CRH. Unlike the one-size-fits-all, run-of-the-mill steel truss bridges that were popular at the time, each structure was tailor-made for its location. Reinforced concrete, a relatively new bridge construction material, was the medium of choice for its durability and plasticity.

The Columbia River Gorge’s natural outcroppings often served as ideal foundations for reinforced-concrete arches. Reinforced-concrete girder spans resting on series of bents dispersed loads at crossings with poor pier foundations. Each site for the larger bridges had a character that demanded custom structures to take advantage of natural features or to compensate for natural deficiencies. In addition, the many bridges along the CRH combined forward-thinking engineering with aesthetics. As a result, the highway possesses one of the best early 20th-century collections of reinforced-concrete bridges—an ensemble of unique, seemingly fairytale spans, often nestled in garden-like settings.57

Purcell’s first designer was Karl P. Billner, formerly employed by the Washington State Highway Commission as a resident engineer for Henry L. Bowlby. By September 1914, Purcell had hired Lewis W. Metzger as a second designer. A 1909 Cornell University graduate, Metzger had worked for several engineering concerns in Portland, prior to a short stint in Vancouver, British Columbia.

**Crown Point Viaduct, HMP 23.9**

Lancaster saw Crown Point as a destination for travelers. But the terrain required ingenuity to create a structure to help carry the CRH around the top of the basalt formation. There was only

---


57Purcell served as Oregon State Bridge Engineer from 1913 to 1916. Born in 1883, he earned a B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Nebraska in 1906. He worked briefly for the Union Pacific Railroad before devoting several years to mining companies in the United States and South America. He came to the Pacific Northwest in 1911 and joined the OSHD two years later. Purcell left the state agency to work briefly as a consultant before joining the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads in its Portland office. He moved to California in 1927, where he became the state highway engineer. Purcell is most widely known for his work as chief engineer for the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, which opened in 1936. See Dwight A. Smith, et al, *Historic Highway Bridges of Oregon*, (Portland: Oregon Historical Society Press, 1989), 242, for a succinct biographical essay on Purcell.
enough room on Crown Point to spiral the driving lanes around the top of the bluff and continue
eastward to Latourell Falls, but no space for a sidewalk or railing. Billner created a sophisticated
viaduct system to support the sidewalk along the road cut’s outer edge. Because of terrain, a
series of concrete columns, of varying lengths, supported the 7-foot sidewalk’s outside edge, and
accompanying concrete parapet wall and lamp standards. The inside edge rested on the top of a
masonry wall. Square inclined struts tied together the structure at the bases of the outside bents
and the top of the masonry wall to improve lateral stability. Billner showed his expertise in
structural engineering here and with similar braced concrete viaducts on the CRH near
Multnomah Falls.58

An octagonal reinforced-concrete and masonry observation building and public comfort station,
Vista House, was constructed on the horseshoe-shaped center space in 1918 as a memorial to
Oregon Pioneers. Here, travelers were offered modern, hygienic restrooms and panoramic views
of the Columbia River.

**Latourell Creek Bridge, HMP 26.1**

East of the Figure-Eight Loops, the best crossing for the CRH that Lancaster found over
Latourell Creek was just below Latourell Falls. In studying the site, Billner noted that
foundation conditions at the 300-foot-wide crossing were poor—underlying bedrock covered
with 25 to 50 feet of silt or drift sand. This made a substructure for a “heavy type” of bridge
very expensive. Instead, Billner designed a lightweight reinforced-concrete three-span trussed
arch for the crossing. His three 80-foot spans could easily rest on the soft foundations because of
the bent and bracing systems that he used to disperse loads. In general, it followed the principles
that acclaimed French engineer Armand Considère had only recently perfected. Billner did
everything he could to make his bridge lightweight, but sturdy. He used arch ribs instead of
barrel arches, spandrel columns with diagonal bracing instead of solid spandrel walls, and braced
two-legged bents instead of solid concrete piers. The deck was completed in one continuous
pour lasting 30 hours (see Figures #12 and #13).59

Billner believed that his design for the Latourell Creek Bridge (HAER No. OR-24) was cost
efficient even with all of its formwork, because of the savings in concrete. When completed in
1914, Latourell Creek Bridge was billed as the lightest concrete structure of its size in the
country. Billner also designed for this bridge a railing system of delicate precast concrete
spindles and a plaster concrete cap. This became one member of the family of railing designs
seen throughout the CRH. Billner was satisfied with his design for this bridge because he
believed that the structure reached “a state of harmony with the surroundings.”60

**Shepperd’s Dell Bridge, HMP 27.4**

A little over a mile east of Latourell Creek, Lancaster located the crossing of Young Creek over a
100-foot gorge. He discovered the route across this chasm only “when it seemed impossible to

58See Robert W. Hadlow, “Crown Point Viaduct, HAER No. OR-36-C,” Historic Columbia River Highway
Recording Project, Summer 1995.
59[K. P. Billner] “Reinforced Concrete Bridges on the Columbia River Highway in Multnomah County,”
TM’s, folder “Multnomah County Bridges, 1914, box 2, RG 76A-90, Oregon State Archives, Salem, 1-3; [untitled
manuscript by K. P. Billner] folder “Multnomah County Bridges, 1914, box 4, RG 76A-90, Oregon State Archives,
Salem.
60Ibid.
get by the point of a high mountain any other way.” Young Creek was later renamed Shepperd’s Dell, after George Shepperd, a man of modest means who gave the land surrounding it as a memorial park to his wife, for the public to enjoy. Lancaster had attempted to find a route east of Latourell Creek to replace an old trail, used by locals, with hairpin turns and steep grades. Down below this stretch, as usual, was the OWRN mainline at water level. After studying the sheer cliffs, Lancaster found that by hugging the road around the cliff face on ledge and masonry walls, and by cutting back the rock in the form of a half-tunnel, at one point, he could carry the road around the mountain at about 140 feet in elevation. The only obstacle was the creek’s small gorge.61

Fortunately, Billner found very favorable bridge foundations at the crossing. He constructed the Shepperd’s Dell Bridge (HAER No. OR-23) as a traditional reinforced-concrete deck arch anchored to basalt outcroppings. As an aesthetic component, Billner employed semicircular arched-top spandrel columns above the two parabolic arch ribs, and on them rested the deck. To better distribute the deck loads to the arch ribs at mid-span, however, he created more traditional looking spandrel walls that functioned as solid girder-like structures. Billner also created a spindle-and-cap railing design similar to the system used on the Latourell Creek Bridge. Lancaster laid out a short masonry-railed pedestrian trail leading to the stream.62

During the first few decades of the 20th century, the great Swiss bridge designer Robert Maillart and others experimented with reinforced concrete in attempts to create light and airy, but durable arched structures. Billner’s work here and at Latourell Creek, though different in style from Maillart’s, are important because they helped advance the field of economic reinforced-concrete arch bridge construction.

Bridal Veil Falls Bridge, HMP 28.4

The crossing chosen over Bridal Veil Falls and Creek posed significant difficulties. For decades, the Bridal Veil Lumber Company had operated a mill high above the village on Larch Mountain at a location named Palmer. It rough cut timber there and sent the cants down flumes to planing mills at Bridal Veil. From there, the company shipped finished lumber to markets by rail. Billner was limited in his locations for spanning Bridal Veil Falls Creek because the lumber company had taken choice land between Bridal Veil Falls and the rail siding for its mills. In addition, topography east of Bridal Veil Falls prevented Lancaster from locating the highway anywhere but almost directly over the cataract. To complicate matters, the lumber company used the stream to power its three flumes, which were adjacent to the falls63

Billner was faced with creating a structure in a poor location over several obstacles. For the Bridal Veil Falls Bridge (HAER No. OR-36-E), he designed a relatively unique type of reinforced-concrete deck girder span. Billner created a 110-foot skewed structure in which the parapet walls served as continuous elastic beams. The transverse deck support members actually

---

functioned as deck girders. The span was offset to accommodate the creek. In addition, the 60-foot main span was in reality three shorter spans incorporating two pairs of intermediate bents that broke away 30 degrees from the vertical to connect with the bases of the piers and span the width of the falls. All of this engineering was necessary to maintain vertical clearances that the Bridal Veil Lumber Company required for its flumes. Indeed, while the diagonal bents appeared to the casual observer as simple bracing, they were part of Billner’s solution to conquering a stream crossing laden with physical constraints. The parapet walls might seem over-built to the untrained eye until it was understood that they were 20 inches thick to function as continuous elastic beams, carrying loads to the columns below.  

West and East Multnomah Falls Viaducts, HMP 31.9 and HMP 32.3

At river level the CRH passes by Multnomah Falls, which many observers believe is the most magnificent of all waterfalls along the Columbia. But both east and west of the falls there seemed nowhere suitable for a roadbed. The OWRN mainline had taken nearly all of the available land between the basalt cliffs and talus slopes, and the nearby river. Billner and Lancaster saw it as nearly impossible to pass a road through the area and maintain the standards established for the CRH. Lancaster solved similar problems by constructing the road on fill, or with sensitive cliff-face cuts, and shoring it up with retaining walls. But near Multnomah Falls, the unstable talus slopes made this impracticable. Even minimal cutting and filling at the toe of these mountainsides, held together only by underbrush and timber, might provoke landslides of talus and debris that could cover both the road alignment and the OWRN mainline.

Billner created viaducts—one for each approach to the Multnomah Falls area—which rested on unequal-length columns, anchored to the slopes and nearly overhanging the OWRN right-of-way. They were very similar in design to the Crown Point Viaduct. Billner placed these structures with a minimum of disturbance to the fragile slopes. In the summer of 1914, the Pacific Bridge Company of Portland constructed the 400-foot West Multnomah Falls Viaduct (HAER No. OR-36-G) and the 860-foot East Multnomah Falls Viaduct (HAER No. OR-36-J) out of 20-foot reinforced-concrete girder spans. Billner employed his diagonal bracing system, perfected on the Crown Point Viaduct, between the footings of the uphill and downhill bents, to add structural rigidity and prevent them from slipping down the slope. The key to maintaining the required setback from the OWRN right-of-way was to elevate the structures high enough up the hillside to maintain safe clearances for railway cars.
Both of these viaducts used another in the family of railing designs seen on the CRH. Wire and metal lath created the framework for a flowing lightweight plaster-concrete guard fence that echoed the masonry arch guard walls, with their arched drainage openings, and the arch form utilized in major bridges along the route. It was used also on the Oneonta Gorge Creek Bridge and the Horsetail Falls Bridge on the CRH. Examples of it also appeared on sections of the Pacific Highway constructed in Jackson County, just north of the California state line.

**Multnomah Creek Bridge, HMP 32.1**

Lancaster’s proposed road alignment closely paralleled the OWRN mainline, giving motorists a full view of the long narrow cascade of water of Multnomah Falls as it bounced off basalt cliffs tucked in the north-facing sheer wall of a deep geologic alcove. The CRH spanned Multnomah Creek on a 67-foot 5-ribbed reinforced-concrete deck arch, with solid spandrel walls and pebble-dashed decorative panels. It was another Billner bridge, and like others which rested on similar soft foundations, he found ways to create a light-weight span.

The five arch ribs of the Multnomah Creek Bridge (HAER No. OR-36-H) substituted for a much heavier barrel arch ring, but Billner conceded to additional structural stability over this often rushing stream with solid spandrel walls rather than delicate spandrel columns. For the artistic touch, and to reduce construction costs, rubble wing walls were substituted for reinforced-concrete structures. They also smoothly transitioned into rubble masonry walls that Lancaster used to stabilize the banks of Multnomah Creek as it passed under the bridge.67

**Benson Footbridge, HMP 32.1**

Throughout the 1890s, a timber footbridge spanned the lower segment of Multnomah Falls. It was a popular tourist attraction with steamship and railroad passengers for many years. Simon Benson, at Lancaster’s prompting in 1914, paid for constructing a 45-foot reinforced-concrete deck arch at the same location to provide an opportunity for motoring tourists to view both the upper and lower falls up close. He later purchased the land around Multnomah Falls and other acreage in the Gorge for park land to be managed by the city of Portland. The bridge eventually became part of a 7-mile trail connecting the highway with the top of Multnomah Falls, and beyond to Larch Mountain, a nearby point that afforded a spectacular view of the Columbia River Gorge and the rest of the region’s natural landscape (see Figure #10).68

Billner designed the Benson Footbridge (HAER No. OR-36-I) as a 45-foot parabolic barrel deck arch anchored into the rock cliffs. Delicate spandrel columns and arched curtain walls supported its narrow deck. The precast railing panels echoed the arch theme and the spindles seen at Latourell and Shepperd’s Dell. Billner’s greatest task in building this bridge was logistics. The site was difficult to reach with all equipment and materials carried there without the aid of animals or machinery. An ingenious subcontractor employed an aerial trolley to hoist materials

---

67“Reinforced Concrete Bridges on the Columbia Highway in Multnomah County,” 189; Bowlby, “The Columbia Highway,” 125.
to the site and constructed a simple wooden trussed arch to suspend the span’s forms instead of using falsework.69

**Moffett Creek Bridge, HMP 39.8**

Just east of Warrendale, five miles east of Horsetail Falls Bridge, the Columbia River Gorge tightens up near a series of rapids or cascades to its narrowest point. There, Moffett Creek runs a course from the basalt cliffs through a sandstone canyon to the river. Billner proposed another unique structure for the CRH’s crossing, taking advantage of the uncharacteristically firm foundation material as spring points for an arch. He sought to design a bridge not unlike the one at Shepperd’s Dell in that it would make “a strong appearance.” By January 1914, he had designed two different spans for this crossing. One was a “flexible” structure and the other was a “hinged type.” Lancaster leaned toward constructing the flexible span at that point, but evidence tells us that a three-hinged arch was eventually chosen. Between then and when the bridge design was completed, in early 1915, the OSHC had brought on another bridge engineer, Lewis W. Metzger, and he is credited with the eventual structure’s design. It is unknown whether Metzger created his own bridge or if he carried through with Billner’s proposal. In any case, a three-hinged Melan arch was constructed at the site rather than a flexible bridge, as Lancaster had preferred.70

Metzger began his tenure with the OSHD in September 1914. At Moffett Creek, he is credited with creating one of the longest, shallowest three-hinged arches in the world—one with a clear span of 170 feet and a rise of only 17 feet. Metzger used massive cast-iron hinges at the haunches and at mid span, with large steel pins to carry the load. His design was quite unique for structures not only in the Pacific Northwest but throughout the United States and the rest of the world as well. Interestingly, Metzger consulted with well-known bridge engineer Ralph Modjeski while designing this structure. Modjeski was in Portland for an extended period to design and oversee construction of his Broadway Bridge (HAER No. OR-22), a large rail bascule drawbridge over the Willamette River, in the north end of the Portland’s business district. In reviewing Metzger’s plans, Modjeski made several recommendations aimed at strengthening the bridge to enable it to safely carry anticipated loads. Metzger made Modjeski’s design changes and construction commenced in late spring 1915. It was believed that the Moffett Creek Arch ranked with other similar three-hinged arches in Germany and Spain, and was the longest of this type of span in the United States.71
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70 "Reinforced Concrete Bridges on the Columbia River Highway in Multnomah County," 188; S. C. Lancaster to H. L. Bowlby, 14 January 1914, folder “Multnomah County, 1914,” box 4, 76A-90, Oregon State Archives, Salem. Turn-of-the-century Austrian engineer Josef Melan was noted for his use of curved steel “I” beams for reinforcement in shallow arched concrete bridges. The Melan design helped popularize reinforced concrete as a construction medium for bridges.

71 Ralph Modjeski to H. L. Bowlby, 25 February 1915; Modjeski, by Henry M. Morse, to Bowlby, 13 March 1915; Bowlby to Modjeski, 18 March 1915; and Modjeski, by Morse to [E. I. Cantine], attention C. H. Purcell, 2 April 1915, all in folder “306, Multnomah County, 1915,” box 5, 76A-90, Oregon State Archives, Salem. See also Bowlby, “The Columbia Highway,” 126. For comparisons with several contemporary three-hinged “masonry” bridges, see: [Henry L. Bowlby] to S. C. Lancaster, 20 January 1915, folder “Multnomah County, 1914,” box 4, 76A-90, Oregon State Archives, where Bowlby listed several “which have a similar, or less, rise in proportion
Toothrock and Eagle Creek Viaducts, HMP 42.2

East of Moffett Creek, the CRH encountered several geological obstacles in far eastern Multnomah County that required the road to be founded as much on structures as on cuts. The Columbia River Gorge’s site of the mythical “Bridge of the Gods,” which spanned the narrowest passage through the Cascade mountain range at a point between Tanner Creek and Eagle Creek, had been a barrier even to the military men who attempted to construct a portage road there in the 1850s. These circumstances caused them to head far to the south, avoiding this location altogether with a steep, narrow trail over the cliff tops. The OWRN eventually tunneled underneath “Tooth Rock,” and the sheer cliffs behind it at river level. Lancaster, though, took the middle road by locating the CRH’s alignment up and around the cliff face. The easiest, but by far the most expensive alternative was to cut down the rock slope to form a wide ledge to carry the highway around the promontory. It would have involved time-consuming and costly drilling and blasting an unstable basalt formation some 200 feet above the Columbia and the OWRN mainline and would have left ugly scars on the landscape. Another might have been a tunnel, as was used at Oneonta Gorge or later at Mitchell Point. The compromise was a pair of viaducts hanging on the cliff face.72

It appears that only railing treatment differentiates the Toothrock and Eagle Creek viaducts (HAER No. OR-36-N). In reality, the Toothrock Viaduct is like other spans of this type found on the CRH, but the Eagle Creek structure is a half-viaduct, and is only 12 feet wide. Metzger established the remainder of the roadbed on ledge.

A mission of creating a variety of unique structures along the CRH, but tying them together with a family of railing designs is evident in these two structures. The Toothrock Viaduct used the delicate spindle-and-cap railing panel similar to those seen on the Shepperd’s Dell and Moffett Creek bridges. It contrasted well with Tooth Rock’s rugged surroundings. Conversely, the Eagle Creek Viaduct’s rubble masonry railing with arched drainage openings and concrete cap, complemented the landscape and continued, without interruption, the adjacent masonry guardrails and retaining walls. Lancaster likely believed that the mid-point between these two structures was an ideal location for travelers to pause, to take in the views, and imagine what the “Bridge of the Gods” might have looked like eons ago, and created a pedestrian overlook, to length” as the Moffett Creek Bridge, at 170’, with a 17’ rise. These included the Prince Regent Bridge (n.d.), Munich, Bavaria, 213’, 21’ rise; Mulden Bridge (n.d.), Gochren, Saxony, 200’, 22.5’ rise; Maximilian Joseph Bridge (n.d.), Munich, 200’, 20’ rise; Neckar Bridge (1903), Neckarhausen, Germany, 165’, 13.5’ rise; Donau Bridge (1893), Munderkingen, Wurtemberg, 164’, 16.4’ rise; Nalon Bridge (n.d.), Segados, Spain, 165’, 18.7’ rise; and the Inzlgkofen Bridge (1896), Wurtemberg, 141’, 14.4’ rise, 1896. Bowlby also provided bibliographic references for each citation.

72Bowlby, “The Columbia Highway,” 126; American Indians believed that the promontory was the southern abutment of the mythical Bridge of the Gods, part of the great divide of the Cascade Range spanning the present path of the Columbia River. Modern geologists see it as a cliff immediately downstream and across the river from remnants of the Cascade landslides of about 1260 C.E., which deposited a ½ cubic mile of material from the river’s northern shore into the river channel and diverted its flow a mile to the south. This event eventually dammed the river and created a natural barrier, maybe the Bridge of the Gods. Eventually the lake behind the slide broke through, creating the rapids later known as the Cascades of the Columbia. For early Oregon Pioneers, the outcropping behind Tooth Rock was a barrier to overland travel to Portland as much as the Cascades were a barrier to river traffic. See John Eliot Allen, The Magnificent Gateway: A Layman’s Guide to the Geology of the Columbia River Gorge (Forest Grove, OR: Timber Press, 1979), 52-56, 98.
Eagle’s Nest. Abandoned in 1937, these viaducts were restored and opened for non-motorized traffic in 1996 as part of the Historic Columbia River Highway (HCRH) State Trail.

**Eagle Creek Bridge, HMP 42.7**

The last structure built on the Multnomah County portion of the CRH was the Eagle Creek Bridge (HAER No. OR-36-P), a 60-foot three-rib reinforced-concrete deck arch. The design, like those seen on other CRH bridges, is unique, but in addition, it was the only one completely faced in native stone. Even though the Eagle Creek Bridge is the smallest of the eight arch spans eventually constructed on the CRH between Portland and The Dalles, its design, both from engineering and artistic standpoints, set it apart from the others. The use of three ribs rather than two, and the cage-like arrangement of plain, square columns and struts supporting the road deck gave the structure a clean, modern appearance. Yet, in keeping with the philosophy employed on the CRH’s construction, the bridge was “dressed up” to complement the natural landscape while overtly stating its presence as a man-made object. The span was veneered in the same basalt rubble masonry seen in guard walls and retaining walls throughout the CRH. It is unknown who designed the bridge, but likely Metzger. A part of the structure is a long, narrow masonry alcove constructed at the bridge’s northwest corner. Evidently, Lancaster saw the opportunity to create a pedestrian overlook for observing the many fish seen in Eagle Creek, including fall runs of migratory salmon.73

**Masonry Structure on the Columbia River Highway**

The family of masonry structures seen on the CRH set a standard for the use of artificial rock work on rural roads and was popularized in highway engineering texts and periodicals during the 1920s (see Figures #8 & #9). Their application predates by over a decade any National Park Service efforts under the leadership of Daniel Ray Hull, Thomas C. Vint, and Ernest Davidson, to develop Service-wide masonry standards.74

Construction crews reportedly included many Italians who were known for their masons’ skills. They were adept at creating the kinds of structures which enchanted Hill and Lancaster on their travels to Europe in 1908, especially the masonry construction that they saw on the roads along the Rhine in Germany and the Axenstrasse along Lake Lucerne in Switzerland.75

Basalt rubble masonry forms a key element of the CRH, and is found in dry-laid retaining walls, mortared guard walls, and guard rocks (coping stones). Lancaster likely took a cue from Andrew

---


75Warren, “The Columbia River Highway,” 2. It is unknown if the masons came directly from Italy for this job. Roy Klein once said that they came from no further than the Italian neighborhoods of Portland. In any event, the Italian masons who worked on the HCRH were skilled craftsmen. Several of them went on to complete masonry on Timberline Lodge, a Works Progress Administration ski facility constructed on Mount Hood as part of Franklin D. Roosevelt’s New Deal. See Fahl, “S. C. Lancaster,” note 92, p. 142.
Jackson Downing by using rock structures to unite and harmonize the manmade elements with the natural setting. This was especially evident in the moist, damp setting of the CRH from Troutdale to Hood River, where the mosses and lichens found new homes on these highway structures.76

Retaining walls used around Crown Point and throughout the CRH kept together the roadbed, preventing it from falling away from the cliff faces. They consisted of a footing placed at the toe of slope, and then built up using local basalt rubble. The masons preferred to create tight, dry masonry walls over mortared walls because they allowed water to drain away from the road bed and prevented the walls from blowing out under hydraulic pressure. At the road level, masons finished off the walls either with concrete curbing, or in locations that presented dangerous drop offs, “guard rocks” or coping stones. These boulder-sized pieces of basalt were shaped to appear like teeth. The guard rocks were both aesthetically pleasing and functional.77

The masons also built masonry guard walls, or rubble parapet walls. Lancaster used this form as a way of protecting motorists and pedestrians from crashing or falling over the roadway’s edge. These walls were seen on straight sections of the CRH, but were most predominant on curves. They have an uncanny resemblance to the walls along the Axenstrasse, and consist of slip-form grout-lock basalt-rubble walls with picturesque arched openings and concrete caps (see Figure #9 for construction details).78

Guard walls on the CRH were typically 30 inches tall and consisted of random rubble, with semi-elliptical or semi-circular arched openings and finished with concrete caps. The arched openings were included as much for aesthetics as for function. They improved drainage from the road surfaces—to help prevent pooling—and preserved the walls’ integrity. Guard walls appear on NPS roads in the early 1920s, and take on standardized designs only in 1928 as part of the NPS-BPR agreement. The CRH masonry guard wall, however, saw widespread use in Multnomah County and neighboring Clackamas County throughout the 1920s and up to the mid-1930s, when WPA projects popularized use of the BPR’s crenellated masonry wall designs.79

Pavement on the Columbia River Highway

In April 1915, the Multnomah County electorate decided to pave its CRH sections with Warrenite, a patented bituminous mixture developed by the Warren Construction Company. Despite the measure’s strong resistance at the polls, voters approved the $1.25 million needed to complete the project to improve the CRH’s drivability and long-term durability. The pavement, consisting of a two-inch layer of course-graded tar and aggregate mixture (dense asphaltic concrete), was laid while hot on a crushed rock base, over the macadam roadway originally constructed on the CRH in Multnomah County. It was given a “flush coat” of asphalt to seal it.

---

77Interview, Elaine G. Pierce and Robert W. Hadlow with Richard Fix, Master Mason, ODOT, Summer 1995; guard rocks, or coping stones, appeared also on the carriage roads of Acadia National Park, in Maine, at about the same time as on the HCRH. There, they were known as “Mr. Rockefeller’s teeth,” for John D. Rockefeller, Jr., who financed that large road network, see Ann Rockefeller Roberts, Mr. Rockefeller’s Roads: The Untold Story of Acadia’s Carriage Roads and Their Creator (Camden, ME: Down East Books, 1990).
78Interviews, Pierce and Hadlow with Fix, Summer 1995.
79Ibid.
The Warrenite was judged superior to the standard “Topeka” mix beginning to see popularity elsewhere in the country. It was completed as far as the Multnomah County line by the end of the summer.  

Meanwhile, crews pushed ahead on the segments in Hood River and Wasco counties as money became available. Even though the Columbia River Gorge in Multnomah County had seemed almost insurmountable, the obstacles awaiting locating engineers and structural designers to the east were equally challenging propositions.

**Dedication of the Columbia River Highway**

In June 1916, Portland society turned out for two dedication celebrations for the CRH. Multnomah Falls was the scene of an elaborate and idealized pageant commemorating the Columbia Gorge’s history and lore. Also that day, Samuel Lancaster and the highway’s many promoters spoke to a crowd gathered at Crown Point. Rose petals were scattered and loganberry juice (Oregon’s temperance beverage) was enjoyed by all. At five o’clock in the afternoon, U.S. President Woodrow Wilson touched an electric button in the White House, which sent a telegraphic impulse across the nation and unfurled the American flag at Crown Point.  

---


Vista House

Lancaster envisioned some sort of building constructed in the middle of the Crown Point Viaduct both to provide aid to the weary or stranded motorist, and as a monument to Oregon pioneers. With the backing of many prominent Portlanders and the blessings and financial support of the Multnomah County Commissioners, plans were assembled in 1916 for “Vista House,” a public comfort station and observatory building constructed on the piece of ground encircled by the viaduct.

Prominent Portland architect Edgar M. Lazarus designed a domed octagonal structure with basement rest rooms and caretaker’s quarters, a main floor gallery, and a second-story outdoor observation balcony. The building was constructed in the Jugendstil architecture style, based on the German new art movement, with reinforced concrete and sandstone block veneer, and stained-glass windows. The interior was predominantly Alaskan marble. Vista House was completed in 1918 at a cost of nearly $100,000, well over its original $12,000 budget. Vista House is significant for its long-term association with the CRH. It is also symbolic of the importance that Oregon’s Progressive Era motoring pioneers placed on safety, sanitation, and organized recreation. It is one of the two buildings in the Columbia River Gorge most closely associated with the highway.82

The Columbia River Highway in Hood River County and Wasco County, Oregon

From 1913 to 1915, the Hood River and Wasco county courts arranged for John Arthur Elliott, an OSHD locating engineer, and his crews to prepare a plan and profile for the CRH through their counties. Many voters in both jurisdictions were reluctant to spend the money on constructing the route, let alone employ a locating engineer to survey it. Some were completely satisfied with the present county road system, which included grades of up to 18 percent on routes between Hood River and The Dalles.83

John Arthur Elliott and Hood River County

Elliott began his survey of Hood River County in late 1913. By early February 1914, OSHD crews had located much of the twenty-two miles of the new highway from the Multnomah County line to the city of Hood River. They mostly filled in gaps of the military road that construction of the ORN mainline in the early 1880s had destroyed. Hood River and Wasco counties eventually realigned portions of the military route to avoid its steep grades and tight curves. Meanwhile, rugged terrain between Hood River and Mosier, and indecision among

---


Wasco County residents about the best route to follow between Mosier and The Dalles, delayed agreement on the location for that section of the CRH.84

The OSHD hired Elliott to oversee the road survey through Hood River County because of his reputation as a competent locating engineer with the Washington State Highway Commission. He had earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Washington in 1909 under Lancaster. Even before graduating, Elliott began work with the WSHC, Bowlby, and Hill. Elliott left the state for brief employment with the U.S. Department of the Interior’s Bureau of Reclamation before signing on with the OSHD in 1913. He brought with him the skills of a top engineer, combined with the belief in locating a route that took in the many scenic wonders along the Columbia River in Hood River County. His work complemented greatly his mentor’s engineering abilities and eye for aesthetic qualities seen in the CRH’s construction in Multnomah County.85

By July 1914, Hood River County citizens voted nearly three-to-one for a $75,000 bond issue to begin constructing connector segments of the CRH between the Multnomah County line and the city of Hood River. What may have prompted the issue’s overwhelming popularity was businessman and highway promoter Simon Benson’s guarantee that if the citizens would approve the $75,000 bond, which was the cost estimated by the OSHD to construct this section of road, he would pay for any overruns. To reinforce his commitment, Benson purchased the entire bond issue within a month, and before Labor Day, Hood River County awarded contracts to the Newport Land and Construction Company to complete sections of the CRH west of the city of Hood River. Though the segments funded through the $75,000 bond met the standards set for the highway in Multnomah County, the existing portions of the old military road continued to provide little more than two wheel ruts for travel. The hope, though, was that this construction marked the beginning of a full-scale improvement of the entire route.86

**Mitchell Point Tunnel and Viaduct**

Elliott’s greatest challenge in Hood River County was Mitchell Point, a large basalt headland about four miles west of the city of Hood River. There, the old military road passed a saddle between the 400-foot Little Mitchell Point and the 1,100-foot Big Mitchell Point at an elevation of 250 feet. The route included grades between 10 and 23 percent to bring it up and over the natural passage in the formation. Elliott feared that to carry the CRH over the same saddle he needed to “develop distance” as Lancaster had done in Multnomah County, to keep the grade at something less than 5 percent. One outing of highway enthusiasts illustrated the difficulties in driving over Mitchell Point on the existing wagon road,
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86Hood River County only approved its bond issue to cover construction if Simon Benson would guarantee to make up the difference if costs overran the bond. Indeed, Benson paid at least $13,000 out of his own pocket for expenses in Hood River County. See *Second Annual Report of the Engineer of the Oregon State Highway Commission*, 26-30.
Some machines refused to climb the hill because the oil [gasoline] would settle back in the tank beyond reach of the motors, others had brakes the driver would not trust, but a great many machines were turned back when the man at the wheel took a look at the narrow, winding and rocky path with a wall of rock and gravel on one side and a death dealing abyss on the other.

Elliott chose instead to take a shorter, more direct alignment, but it required finding a location "which would not endanger the railroad and at the same time would not cost excessively." He eventually located his route by cutting a ledge into a cliff, building a viaduct, and tunneling through Lower Mitchell Point. Elliott saw his plan for a cliff-hugging road, viaduct, and tunnel as the practical solution for ending hair-raising and dangerous traveling in this part of the Columbia River Gorge.87

Elliott had learned of the three-windowed tunnel on Switzerland’s Axenstrasse while studying with Lancaster at the University of Washington. In surveying the CRH in the Mitchell Point section, he picked the Lower Mitchell Point for a similar design. He hoped to improve upon the Axenstrasse tunnel, which had pillars between windows built up from masonry, by creating a tunnel on the highway that had no artificial construction. The natural columns, though, could not be too thick, for Elliott feared the windows might take on the appearance of side tunnels. He also chose a curved alignment rather than a straight bore, because he believed "the light effect would be lost." The adits would admit a continuous glow during daylight hours, for which the motorists would not know the source. It was also the most economical construction alternative. The natural portals and window, along with the unlined bore, visually connected the tunnel with its surrounding landscape, by taking on the appearance of a cave—nature’s handiwork—and continue to help make this portion of the CRH visually subordinate to its surroundings.88

In his reconnaissance of the tunnel site, Elliott noted indentations in the cliff wall that he believed were “cheap window locations,” and with some testing, he pinpointed the five that he thought would best illuminate the bore. In addition, the bore’s curvature was such that drivers approaching the tunnel from either end had a head-on view of the central three windows and the rock columns that separated them. To insure that the firm awarded the excavation contract used care in boring the tunnel and in cutting the adits, the highway department contract provided a premium for “close work.” It allowed a variation of 5 percent from the section that Elliott specified without any price adjustment, while overbreak in excess of 10 percent was not tolerated. So while the tunnel was designed with project costs as the first concern, aesthetics and an incentive for accuracy in cutting followed closely behind.89

---


Construction began on the CRH’s Mitchell Point section in March 1915. At the western end, the highway’s alignment left the wagon road’s route, and there the first obstacle was to round a cliff that was too high and too expensive to take out as an open cut. Elliott found that he could hold a line out as far as possible, undercutting the narrowest possible ledge from the cliff for the roadbed (essentially a half-tunnel) and constructing masonry retaining walls to gain width. From there he built a 192-foot reinforced-concrete slab viaduct over a shell rock talus slope, before cutting a 390-foot windowed tunnel through Lower Mitchell Point. From the east portal, the route continued on to rejoin the wagon road’s alignment. The total distance of the Mitchell Point section was .84 miles.90

Elliott defended the tunnel’s construction from detractors who declared it impracticable, expensive, and dangerous. Many contractors even declared the tunnel’s construction impossible, but the Mitchell Point Tunnel and Viaduct opened for traffic in early September 1915. Total costs were about $47,000, which was $3,000 less than the state appropriation.91

Mitchell Point Tunnel became known as the “Tunnel of Many Vistas,” and Samuel Lancaster believed that it was “among the most wonderful pieces of highway construction in the civilized world.” He saw it as “fully equal to the famous ‘Axenstrasse’ [sic] of Switzerland and one of the great features of the Highway.” Indeed, while the Axenstrasse’s tunnel had three windows, the Mitchell Point Tunnel had five. This tunnel’s style was used again on the CRH on the Mosier Twin Tunnels. The portals and windows at both locations were “cave-like” elements that simulated nature’s handiwork. They were later seen in the 1920s on early national park roads. The Zion-Mount Carmel Tunnel, created in Zion National Park in 1930, continued the theme of viewing bays, or adits, for visitors to take in the surrounding natural beauty.92

The Mitchell Point Tunnel was closed in 1953 when a new water-level highway replaced what many considered a narrow, hazardous piece of the CRH. In 1966, the tunnel and viaduct were removed with explosives and machinery during widening of the water-level route to a four-lane freeway configuration. A resource important to Oregon and American transportation history was lost forever.

**Hood River Bridge, HMP 67**

The CRH followed surface streets through the city of Hood River, becoming a major route through its tidy brick business district. At the east end of the city, the route crossed the Hood River. An old timber truss span there used as part of the local road network, had outlived its usefulness. Metzger created a new three-span reinforced-concrete parabolic ribbed deck arch structure for the site. Parker and Banfield, of Portland, completed the 420-foot bridge in 1918 at a cost of nearly $50,000. It was the highway’s single most expensive span. The Hood River

---


Bridge was dismantled in 1982 and replaced by a modern structure. Its destruction sparked a groundswell of support for saving and restoring extant portions of the CRH.93

**Hood River to Mosier Section**

The CRH's Hood River to Mosier section presented some of the most difficult engineering problems on the entire route. Elliott faced two obvious choices in locating the highway in this arid landscape. There was no practical way to build the CRH east of Hood River by following the river. The OWRN had taken the only available land for its roadbed decades before. Instead, Elliott was forced to locate the highway in some of the most rugged terrain found in the Gorge. His first alternative followed the OWRN mainline's general course with some variation in elevation to meet certain passes. The route was just 5.8 miles long and rose only to an elevation of 160 feet, and used portions of an abandoned railroad grade. He estimated the construction as quite costly because of the heavy grading necessary to carry the road around many basalt cliffs. The second alignment left Hood River and went over the Mosier Hills separating the two towns. Elliott’s estimation of constructing a hill route noted equally expensive problems. The existing county road east of Hood River ran on grades of up to 12 percent out of the city to an elevation of nearly 1,600 feet at the summit, before dropping down into Mosier at grades nearing 18 percent. While he could use loops to maintain a grade not exceeding 5 percent out of Hood River, he found it difficult to bring the road back down to Mosier because the hillsides sloped toward the river and ended in a high bluff.94

Both routes, though, had their advantages, the distance between Hood River and Mosier by rail was just over six miles and the river route for the CRH was only slightly longer. But, the proposed summit route, even at 13 miles, had its advantages. Elliott believed that in line with the practice of advertising the CRH as a “scenic highway through the Columbia River gorge,” its design should, in part cater to the wishes of tourists. “The aim of a scenic highway...is to show the country,” wrote Elliott. He added, “Not a traveler goes through Hood River without wondering where Mount Hood is and the famous Hood River orchards are.” Furthermore, “To put a scenic highway down in the river where none of this can be seen would be passing a section made up of views which would leave a lasting impression on the traveler.”95

By late 1916, Elliott left his position with the OSHD to work as the highway engineer for Wasco County. Meanwhile, there was continued skepticism among Wasco County voters about the necessity for any realignment at all. Some recommended merely adding “heavy” fences to the existing steep county road to “assist poor drivers in negotiating the hill.” Others supported the river route because it presented the most economical construction. By October 1917, the OSHD

---

had prepared yet another route plan, this time under the direction of Roy A. Klein, the CRH’s new locating engineer.96

Klein used Lancaster’s method of developing distance to create a series of loops that maintained a grade of less than 5 percent and curves with turning radii no less than 200 feet to take the road out of the Hood River Valley. His technique was similar to Lancaster’s with the Figure-Eight Loops near Crown Point, in Multnomah County, and was the second of three sets of loops used on the CRH. He eventually founded an alignment that followed the river for most of the distance. It was farther away from the OWRN main line than Elliott’s first alternative, to avoid closing the tracks because of rock blasting operations. It reached a summit of 522 feet and the distance between Hood River and Mosier was reduced to just over six miles. The most difficult part of this route, though, was locating along the leading edge of a basalt bench (a portion of the Bingen Anticline) and running it east to a gravel plateau. A pair of tunnels, bored through this unstable basalt formation, became the most efficient, economical solution and was least intrusive on the natural landscape.97

Mosier Twin Tunnels

In 1920, the firm of A. D. Kern of Portland had graded much of the CRH west of Hood River and received the contract to build the Hood River to Mosier section laid out by Klein. This included what became the Mosier Twin Tunnels. It was heavy work that required the use of horse and wagons and several steam shovels. The tunnels, located just inside Wasco County, consisted of an 81-foot bore, followed by 24 feet of open space, and then another bore of 288 feet. Like the Mitchell Point Tunnel, their design included windows. Two of them were cut in the eastern, or longer tunnel. A feature not seen, though, on the Mitchell Point Tunnel was a cliff walk constructed from the area between the tunnels and along a ledge to the western adit of the east tunnel. It consisted of a walkway, concrete steps, and a masonry guardrail. The cliffwalk provided motorists a chance to peer out over the cliff’s edge for a breathtaking glimpse of the Columbia River. Kern had completed its contract by July at a cost of $220,000, financed through voter-approved road construction bonds and Oregon’s new motor vehicle fuel tax—the first “gasoline tax” in the nation. These tunnels showed great sensitivity to the landscape in their design and construction.98


98Kern brought in men, horses, and wagons, along with a Bucyrus 18B steam shovel, a Marion Standard-Gauge 60 shovel, 30 four-yard ore cars and several Ingersoll-Rand air drills. See “Description of the State Highway Department in the Counties of the State, 1919-1920,” Fourth Biennial Report of the Oregon State Highway
Meanwhile, boring the Mosier Twin Tunnels was a monumental task for A. D. Kern, with overbreak a continual worry. Even before the contract was completed, OSHD engineers noted that continuous rockfall in and near the shorter, or west tunnel, had become a “a serious menace to travel in its present condition.” As with the Mitchell Point Tunnel, the natural portals and unlined bores of the Mosier Twin Tunnels harmonize with the surrounding landscape. By late fall 1920, however, rockfall was so regular that the OSHD Bridge Department stabilized the bores with timber sets and cedar lagging. Crews also constructed masonry portals for both tunnels to protect the ends of each bore and create what were described as “pleasing entrances” for motorists.99

By the early 1930s, the OSHD widened the linings and portals to accommodate larger vehicles and by the 1940s installed one-way traffic signals. The tunnels were closed in the early 1950s amid concerns over rockfall, with traffic diverted to the nearly completed water-level highway. They were reopened for non-motorized use as part of the HCRH State Trail in 1997.

**Standard Guard Fence**

Long courses of wooden post and two-rail guard fence, painted white, were erected along the CRH at locations throughout the highway to prevent errant vehicles from running into the adjacent railway mainline. The Standard Guard Fence is symbolic of the CRH’s early dual functions—a scenic highway and a major commercial trunk route. Its stark white color, adopted as a safety measure, is in sharp contrast to the visually subordinate masonry structures found along the road. By 1920, the US Bureau of Public Roads had adopted the Standard Guard Fence for its western Federal-Aid roads. The NPS, in conjunction with the BPR, developed a family of standard guard fences for use on national park roads in 1928.100

**Funding Changes and Personnel Changes in the OSHD**


99 See Bridge Drawing No. 1416, Bridge No. 653, Drawing Files, Bridge Section, ODOT, Salem. In its *Fourth Biennial Report*, pp. 388-89, the OSHD reported that it had advertised for bids for placing a concrete lining in the west tunnel and building a “monumental portal.” A timber lining was chosen over a concrete lining because it could trap the continual rock fall behind the cedar lagging and prevent it from reaching the road. In addition, the Bridge Department also looked into incorporating a castle- or fortress-like tower as part of the new masonry west portal. The tower was never built, and the portal construction was delayed. The Bridge Department actually designed an ambitious masonry and reinforced-concrete rock catch roof structure for the cliff walk. The obvious purpose of the rock catchment was to protect pedestrians from showers of rock breaking away from the unstable formations on the hill above. Nevertheless, the structure was not built, probably because of its projected high costs and aesthetic concerns. Instead, the cliff walk was closed. See Bridge Drawings Nos. 1415 and 1639, Bridge No. 653, Drawing Files, Bridge Section, ODOT, Salem; several pieces of intradepartmental correspondence address the rockfall issues in the Mosier Twin Tunnels. Copies are located in the Thommen Report, Bridge Section, ODOT.

The old state highway commission organization, with the governor, secretary of state, and state treasurer, gave way in 1916 to a governor-appointed citizen’s commission. In addition, the Federal-Aid Road acts of 1916 and 1921 directed states in a comprehensive nationwide highway building program through the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads and eventually brought the federal government in as a full partner in highway improvement projects throughout the nation. Though the CRH’s construction in Hood River and Wasco counties paralleled previous effort on the route in Multnomah County, neither jurisdiction had the large population base and accompanying revenues to wholly fund work on the scale seen on the CRH. Only with federal funding was the highway completed in 1922.

In March 1919, with increased public support for highway improvement measures, the OSHD quickened the pace of its road and bridge building activities. More Oregon construction dollars, combined with federal-aid funds given states on a “use it, or lose it” basis, warranted the highway department’s speedy action in intensifying road and bridge building. The state had relieved from the counties the construction of major highways by addressing them collectively as a statewide trunk route system. Amid agency reorganization and funding realignments came personnel changes. Henry Bowlby had left the department for the BPR in 1915. Herbert Nunn, a young energetic highway engineer, eventually replaced him in 1917. Likewise, Charles Purcell, who oversaw Billner and Metzger in designing and building the many bridges in Multnomah County and Hood River County, went on to other pursuits, eventually designing the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. Oregon needed a qualified engineer to oversee all aspects of bridge design and construction for state highways and for many county roads. In 1919 the highway department hired Conde B. McCullough, a highly competent structural designer as state bridge engineer.

**Mosier Creek Bridge, HMP 73.2**

Progressing eastward in Wasco County, the CRH entered a much more arid country, away from the Gorge and part of a wide open, dry expansive landscape of scrub oak, bunch grass, poison oak, and rattlesnakes. Within a few short miles, it wound down the basaltic hillside from the Mosier Twin Tunnels to the village of Mosier, located at river level. There it offered the first of several opportunities for Conde B. McCullough to show his talents as a master designer of reinforced-concrete arch bridges, designing a span over Mosier Creek.

The OSHD was left without a chief bridge engineer in 1916, when Purcell resigned to pursue other interests. In 1919, after the department’s reorganization and the infusion of federal aid for highway improvement, McCullough was hired as the state bridge engineer. He came to Oregon with a long list of accomplishments in the field of highway bridge design. After graduating from the Iowa State College in civil engineering in 1911, he created a modern bridge design program for the Iowa Highway Commission, under the guidance of his close friend and colleague, State Highway Engineer Thomas H. MacDonald.101

In 1916, he began a short career at the Oregon Agricultural College (later Oregon State University), serving as chair of the structural engineering program. In 1919, with solid

---

101 MacDonald left Iowa to serve as Chief of the federal Bureau of Public Roads, the predecessor of the Federal Highway Administration, from 1919 to 1953.
experience in bridge designing and a C.E. degree from Iowa State, McCullough moved to Salem. He and his staff designed hundreds of bridges throughout the state from 1919 to 1936, including many large reinforced-concrete arch spans. Some of McCullough’s early work in Oregon is seen on the CRH in Wasco County. In this post, he brought himself and Oregon national and international prominence in highway bridge engineering.\textsuperscript{102}

The Mosier Creek Bridge, completed in 1920, includes a 110-foot reinforced-concrete ribbed deck arch with concrete slab approaches. Its open spandrel design and parabolic arch form were very similar to a bridge McCullough had only just recently completed over the Rogue River, at Rock Point, on the Pacific Highway. The Mosier Creek Arch exhibited all the signature characteristics of McCullough’s early arch spans: spandrel columns with semi-circular arched curtain walls, pebble-dashed panels on tapered piers, elbow brackets supporting cantilevered sidewalks, and precast railing panels consisting of segmental arch openings. His interpretation of the arch form, though quite different from the work of Billner and Metzger, lived up to or exceeded the high expectations of Lancaster and his associates when they set out to build the CRH—create a first-class route with efficient, economical structures that were aesthetically pleasing.\textsuperscript{103}

\textit{Dry Canyon Creek Bridge, HMP 79.7}

Moving east from Mosier, the CRH climbed from less than 100 feet to nearly 600 feet as it followed a portion of an old county road network high above the Columbia. Six miles away, and nearly 80 miles from Portland, the road crossed Dry Canyon Creek on another of McCullough’s bridges. The shallow elliptical arch was ideal for this setting. Its decorative work was very much in keeping with what McCullough had included in the Mosier Creek Arch, and his growing collection of reinforced-concrete arch spans across the state.\textsuperscript{104}

The Dry Canyon Creek Bridge, completed in 1921, includes a 75-foot reinforced-concrete ribbed deck arch. Its design is similar to the Mosier Creek Bridge because the natural foundations there, with rock outcroppings, were ideally suited to contain the horizontal thrust of arch structures. Here, again, McCullough, created a structure with spandrel columns, pebble-dashed panels, bracketing, and sophisticated railing panels. It is another example of his early work in Oregon and foreshadows larger undertakings a decade later on the Oregon Coast Highway, where he received national acclaim for his designs. The Dry Canyon Creek Bridge is early McCullough at his best, showing his mastery of complicated structural design and of art in concrete.

\textit{Completion of the Columbia River Highway to The Dalles}

The firm of A. D. Kern, which had won contracts for much of the route in Hood River and Wasco counties, including boring the Mosier Twin Tunnels, began grading this section by late 1919. The work involved taking the CRH down from Rowena Point, at over 600 feet, to Rowena...
Canyon and Rowena Creek, at 100 feet in elevation. New locating engineer J. H. Scott used Lancaster’s method seen already in the Figure Eight Loops and the Hood River Loops. The lack of any appreciable vegetation on the Rowena Loops made them a more striking visual example of advanced engineering than the other two sets. From Rowena Creek, the highway headed east for ten miles to The Dalles along an abandoned railroad alignment with a relatively easy grade.105

On 27 June 1922, the CRH from Portland to The Dalles was officially completed. That day, Simon Benson, with rake in hand, ceremoniously helped spread the “hot stuff” over the roadway near Rowena. His effort symbolically marked the end of a ten-year effort to construct a modern highway through the Columbia River Gorge from Portland to The Dalles. The state highway commission called the feat “probably the most difficult and costly priced highway construction undertaken in America.” The undertaking prompted a statewide road building effort, with the Pacific Highway from Portland, through the Willamette Valley to California, the The Dalles-California Highway through central Oregon, and the Lower Columbia River Highway from Portland west to Astoria and the Pacific coast, along with several secondary farm-to-market routes. By the 1920s, the CRH was extended to just west of Pendleton (where the river turns north into Washington), about 200 miles east of Portland. It connected there with the Old Oregon Trail Highway, which headed southeast to the Idaho state line. All of these roads became part of the national highway system by the mid-1920s and received numerical route designations.

The CRH and the Lower Columbia River Highway, later called U.S. 30, had cost about $11 million to construct. Of this, the state financed $7.6 million, the federal government paid $1.1 million, and the counties covered $2.3 million. Multnomah County was the largest contributor of the counties, with $1.5 million. All of this was a far cry from the initial $75,000 allowance that it had expended in 1913 to begin the highway’s construction.106

Scenic Waysides Recreation Areas and Multnomah Falls Lodge

The CRH opened the Columbia Gorge to expanded recreational use and spawned growth in public and private recreation facilities from Troutdale to The Dalles. These included improved local, state, and federal picnic and camping facilities, public comfort stations, hiking trails, and private restaurants and inns.

Highway supporters saw a need to preserve the Gorge’s outstanding landscape for enjoyment. Generous citizens, such as Simon Benson, Guy W. Talbot, George Shepperd, and Mark Mayer, donated large pieces of land to the city of Portland, Multnomah County, the state of Oregon, and the USDA Forest Service for public enjoyment. Interestingly, the city of Portland initially owned many of the park sites in the Gorge, along the CRH, even though they were miles outside of the city’s corporate limits.

Multnomah Falls for instance became an important attraction along the highway and is billed as Oregon’s most popular natural tourist attraction, with over 2 million visitors annually. The Multnomah Falls area was such a popular destination for highway travelers even in the early

1920s that the city of Portland began constructing Multnomah Falls Lodge in 1925 and 1926. Designed in the Cascadian style, this day-use facility replaced a small wooden structure that previously provided minimal tourist comforts on the CRH. Multnomah Falls Lodge continues to serve visitors as a welcome refuge from inclement weather.

Because the Oregon State Parks Division of the highway department did not exist until 1925, and the USDA Forest Service was oriented more toward forest management rather than recreational use of forestlands, the role of caretaker for most sites fell to Portland—a city noted for its park system. Only by the time of World War II did Portland retire from managing parks along the CRH and deeded its land at Crown Point, Shepperd’s Dell, the Multnomah Falls Lodge area, and many other sites, to the Oregon State Parks Division or Mount Hood National Forest.

**Stephen T. Mather and the Columbia River Highway**

During the late summer of 1919, Stephen T. Mather, director of the National Park Service, joined by naturalist Madison Grant, traveled to northern California and then the Pacific Northwest to inspect the region’s national parks. He was also interested in possible routes for his “park-to-park” highway, a system of roads that would connect together all of the West’s national parks. After helping set up the “Save-the-Redwoods League,” in California, Mather and Grant traveled north to Crater Lake. From there, they began their journey to Portland along the The Dalles—California Highway (later US 97) through central Oregon. They complained about widespread logging and subsequent loss of scenic beauty along this route. Eventually, they met up with Lancaster at Hood River, and from here they drove the CRH to Portland. Mather gave Lancaster high praise for engineering the CRH with scenic preservation in mind. The route eventually became a secondary link in Mather’s “park-to-park” highway. There is little doubt that Lancaster’s CRH influenced the “Lying Lightly on the Land” philosophy that Mather implemented for National Park Service road and trail design beginning in the 1920s.107

**Obsolescence**

Even by the time of its completion in 1922, the CRH was showing signs of early aging. It had become more popular than Hill, Lancaster, or any of its other original promoters ever anticipated. The widespread use of automobiles and freight trucks throughout the country was clearly evident on the CRH. Lancaster’s vision of traveling along the road’s curves at a top speed of around 25 miles per hour (much less on curves) was a thing of the past, even by the late 1920s. Quickly the route, which was so marveled for its advanced engineering, was destroying itself both physically and philosophically. Motorists tended to speed through beauty spots, more interested in traveling from here to there in as short a time as possible. It was no longer practical for tourists to stop their vehicles in the middle of the road to look at a falls or take in a view of the Columbia Gorge. The OSHD even widened the Mosier Twin Tunnels in the 1930s to accommodate larger automobiles and transport trucks, only to resort to one-way signals in the 1940s to control traffic movements. Rockfall from unstable slopes, especially west of the Mosier Twin Tunnels, were a continual problem.108

---

108 R. Archibald to Herbert Nunn, 3 October 1920; L. V. Koons, District Maintenance Superintendent, to W. E. Chandler, Division Engineer, Bend, 30 March 1942; W. O. Widdows, Assistant Maintenance Engineer, to E. A.
The CRH had become a vital link in Oregon’s and the nation’s highway system. In 1933, the federal government made plans for constructing Bonneville Dam on the Columbia River, which involved creating a large backwater, flooding the Union Pacific’s OWRN main line near Eagle Creek. The BPR decided to realign the tracks over portions of the CRH alignment, creating the need to reroute the highway with an 837-foot tunnel through the Tooth Rock formation and build a new bridge over Eagle Creek. Construction of the tunnel’s east portal in 1937 included cutting away a portion of the CRH east of the Eagle Creek Viaduct—effectively closing forever a segment of the original alignment from Tanner Creek to Eagle Creek as a motor route.109

Lancaster, as early as 1932, proposed that the Columbia Gorge needed a modern water-level route to carry commercial traffic. At the same time, he believed in preserving the CRH for its original purpose. By the late 1930s, the Pacific Northwest Planning Council, the OSHD, and others were taking a serious look at transportation along the Gorge. In the years immediately after World War II, a new wide two-lane facility was constructed to connect Portland with The Dalles. Its gentle curves and gradual hills, mostly located on fill material dredged from the Columbia, made it a road that differed greatly in character from the CRH. However, its designers envisioned this route as a scenic highway through the Gorge.110

By the early 1950s, the OSHD diverted most of the CRH’s traffic to the new two-lane water-level route. It saved segments of the old highway through the falls section in Multnomah County for a tourist route. Other portions in Hood River County and Wasco County became part of local road networks. The state abandoned those portions that included Mitchell Point Tunnel and the Mosier Twin Tunnels, with the bores back-filled to prevent them from becoming attractive nuisances. By the 1960s, construction of the second half of the divided highway through the Gorge, which became Interstate 84, required destruction of Mitchell Point Tunnel.

Collier, Maintenance Engineer, 10 September 1947; Koons to Chandler, 30 March 1942; and W. E. Chandler to E. A. Collier, 3 April 1942, copies in “Thommen Report.”


National Recognition

In 1971, the U.S. Department of the Interior designated Crown Point a National Natural Landmark. Vista House became a National Register property in 1974, whereas the “Multnomah Falls Lodge and Footpath” was listed in 1981. In 1983, 55 miles of the extant 74 miles of the CRH became a National Register of Historic Places-listed linear resource. In 1984, the American Society of Civil Engineers declared the highway a National Historic Civil Engineering Landmark.

The National Park Service, in 1981, completed a comprehensive study of the CRH and issued several documents. One volume, entitled Options for Conservation and Reuse for the CRH, developed strategies for restoring the highway. These included reestablishing the concrete mileposts originally seen along the highway’s entire length. All but two of the original posts were lost and ODOT used the remaining posts as templates.

During the early 1980s, the Oregon Transportation Commission took the position that the CRH should be restored. Members acknowledged, however, that this restoration might not “reflect current design standards.” This policy has been used repeatedly as the basis for “design exceptions.” Between 1985 and 1988, ODOT annually used $150,000 above and beyond normal maintenance dollars, on order of the agency director, for restoration activities.

Congress was one of the CRH’s most influential lobbies when it created Public Law 99-663, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act in 1986, to protect and provide for enhancement of scenic, cultural, recreational, and natural resources of the Columbia River Gorge. The Act called for preserving and restoring the highway’s continuity and historic integrity for public use as a historic road. In addition, the Act called for the creation of recreation trails to connect intact and usable segments.\(^\text{111}\)

Since formation of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (CRGNSA) in 1986, ODOT, in cooperation with the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department, the USDA Forest Service, and the Federal Highway Administration, created a master plan for drivable sections to recreate the atmosphere of a 1920s route. Their vision also included restoring and reopening long-abandoned segments of the CRH for non-motorized use. The first of these, entitled “A Study of the Historic Columbia River Highway,” was completed in 1987; a master plan was written in 1996.

Rehabilitating the Columbia River Highway

In 1987, the Oregon Legislature created the Historic Columbia River Highway Advisory Committee to advise ODOT and OPRD regarding rehabilitation efforts on the route, subsequently known as the “Historic Columbia River Highway” (HCRH). It is composed of two citizen members from Multnomah, Hood River, and Wasco counties, along with the Oregon State Historic Preservation Officer and representatives from ODOT, OPRD, and the Oregon Tourism Commission.

\(^\text{111}\)Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, Public Law 99-663, 99th Cong., 2d sess. (17 November 1986), sec. 12. The act was later codified as 16 USC 544, see in particular 16 USC 544j.
The 1981 NPS *Guide for Maintenance* noted that nearly every section of stonework on the CRH’s drivable sections had mortar problems. In some areas, it was loose, had turned to powder, or was missing. They recommended removal and replacement of deteriorated mortar. In addition, several concrete caps were beyond repair. Beginning in 1983, ODOT masons repaired both mortared masonry and dry masonry structures throughout the highway.

The NPS study also called attention to the deterioration of reinforced-concrete railings on several bridges and viaducts, another important visual element along the highway. Concrete spindles used in the railing panels on three spans were severely cracked because water had penetrated to the reinforcing bar, which was too close to the surface. On other bridges, with a delicate plaster concrete and lath railing treatment, the situation was similar.

The agency’s masons cast new spindles for the panels. Coated reinforcing bar, placed deeper within the new spindles than the original reinforcing gives the new rail greater resistance to deterioration. In certain instances, spindles in good original condition were not replaced, so that visitors could see first hand the restored and original elements. The same is true for the railing caps. Finally, ODOT did not artificially age the replacement spindles and caps with lampblack or commercial products. The Columbia River Gorge’s severe weather conditions, alone, can darken concrete and new masonry in a short time to match original features.

Installation of new mileposts on drivable portions of the route in 1986 and 1987, as suggested by the *Options for Conservation and Reuse*, symbolized ODOT’s commitment to addressing the highway as one resource, and not several state secondary highways that provided transportation links for local traffic. The project is on going because posts are now being placed on the HCRH State Trail segments—once-abandoned portions of the CRH—as they are opened for bicyclists and pedestrians.

The Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area *Management Plan* (1992) forbids any undertaking that has an “adverse effect” on cultural resources, as defined by 36 CFR 800. It is more restrictive than the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, because of this requirement. In addition, most undertakings in the National Scenic Area, whether on the CRH or elsewhere, must be “visually subordinate.” That is, they must not be obvious to the casual observer.

In the mid-1990s, ODOT replaced deteriorated “C-rail” and “W-rail” steel guardrail along the drivable sections of the route. The agency hoped to reproduce the original-style fence during its restoration of the highway. The railing, however, did not meet modern highway crash standards. ODOT developed a similar barrier consisting of wooden posts, steel-backed wooden rails, and hardware. The new rail met a 50-mile-per-hour crash standard performed by the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) and was approved for use on the CRH. The Oregon State Historic Preservation Officer concurred that the project had “No Adverse Effect” on the highway.

ODOT has also completed many restoration projects on formerly abandoned portions of the original alignment. Nearly all of the traces will become part of the HCRH State Trail for non-motorized use. In the Hood River to Mosier section, the agency rebuilt many linear feet of masonry guard walls from their foundations. The availability of photographs of the original structures and standard plans developed in the 1920s made a reconstruction project of this scale
manageable. The resulting product included new courses of masonry faithfully reproduced to the original high standards for craftsmanship. In addition, it installed many linear feet of original-dimension standard wooden guard fence, and removed strips of asphaltic-concrete pavement placed on former gravel shoulders to restore the original 18-foot paved roadway width.

One of the greatest obstacles to rehabilitating the CRH’s Hood River to Mosier section as part of the HCRH State Trail was the Mosier Twin Tunnels, closed since 1953 because of rock fall from unstable basalt formations. In more recent years, a citizens’ movement supported reopening the tunnels, and the project became the highest priority for the HCRH Advisory Committee, ODOT, and OPRD. The project commenced in 1995 with removal of back fill and lining debris from the tunnels, installation of rock bolts and shotcrete in the tunnel ceilings, and partial installation of new lining.

For visitor safety, a new, reinforced-concrete rock catch structure was installed in the area between the tunnels, a similar structure is under construction immediately west of the tunnels. Masonry walls along a pedestrian cliff walk between the tunnels were restored, but remain inaccessible for visitors because of rock fall hazards. The eastern portions of the HCRH State Trail’s Hood River to Mosier section opened to bicycle and pedestrian use in 1997. The western portion is nearing completion. The project has received many accolades, including a “Gorge Stewardship Award” and an “FHWA Environmental Excellence Award” in 1997.

ODOT recycled Port Orford cedar timbers from another state-owned tunnel to complete restoration of the Mosier Twin Tunnels' lining. This was a cost-saving measure, but also an opportunity to reuse rare, large-dimension timbers that are identical to those placed in the tunnels at the time of construction.

In addition to annual maintenance funds for work on the CRH, ODOT has relied on several other sources to carry out restoration and interpretation activities on the highway. These include Federal Aid Highway funds, ISTEA Enhancement funds, Forest Highway funds, and Public Lands Highway Discretionary Funds. It has also found additional support through money authorized by the CRGNSA Act, local agencies, and a large anonymous private donation.

In 1998, the Secretary of the US Department of Transportation designated the HCRH an “All American Road” as part of the FHWA’s National Scenic Byways program. All-American Roads provide visitors with a unique driving experience. For travelers, they are destinations unto themselves and exemplify characteristics of the nation’s culture, history and landscape. In 1999, the secretary also designated the highway as a Millennium Legacy Trail.

**Epilogue—Hill and Lancaster**

For Hill and Lancaster, their connection with the CRH ended all too soon. Hill’s association with the highway faded some as the road was completed through Multnomah County. He soon delved into other pursuits. Hill focused increasingly on building up his Maryhill estate on the Washington shore of the Columbia. Construction began in earnest during the 1920s on his “castle on the Rhine,” a reinforced-concrete mansion. Hill continued to travel the world. He collected globes. He cultivated a personal friendship with Queen Marie of Romania, and became involved in art. Hill also constructed a replica of England’s Stonehenge at Maryhill as a
memorial to World War I veterans. He completed the International Peace Arch at the U.S.-

Hill pursued highway construction after completing the CRH, but not as vigorously. He was still
the good roads’ proponent, however, and proudly promoted the border-to-border Pacific
Highway. Hill even envisioned it extending northward, through Canada, into Alaska, two
decades before construction began on the Alaska Highway. His Washington State Good Roads
(and Transportation) Association marked its 100th anniversary in 1999.\footnote{Ibid., 144-45.}

Although Hill died in 1931 at the age of 74, his early energy in promoting modern highway
construction was not lost. In October 1930, a citizens’ committee appointed by the Multnomah
County commissioners selected a site near Chanticleer Point as the location for a memorial
commemorating Hill’s work to promote and construct the CRH, along with other highways in
the Pacific Northwest. The committee asked Seattle sculptor Alonzo Victor Lewis, to design
several bronze bas-reliefs for a 50-ton chunk of basalt from the Rocky Butte quarry in east
Portland. Unfortunately, Hill died before the monument was dedicated.\footnote{"Hill Memorial Site Committee Chosen; Fund Being Raised, \textit{Portland Oregon Journal}, 6 August 1930, 2; “Stone for Hill Monument Now at Highway Site, \textit{Portland Oregon Journal}, 19 October 1930, s. 1, p. 7.}

On 13 May 1932, on the anniversary of Hill’s birth, Alonzo Victor Lewis’s four bronze bas-
reliefs were unveiled. They depicted a group of Indians camped along the Columbia River,
Lewis and Clark’s Corps of Discovery on the Columbia, nineteenth-century methods of
transportation along the river; and a bust of Hill facing east towards the Gorge he so respected.
Many dignitaries read tributes to Hill, including Oregon Governor Julius Meier; state treasurer
and former Multnomah County commissioner Rufus Holman; and the CRH’s first designer,
Samuel C. Lancaster. One observer saw the memorial as a fitting tribute to Hill because it was
“a monument more in keeping with the character of the man and the great undertaking of the
highway and more representative of the country than a monument of polished marble.” He
added that “It points up the river, where the panorama of the Columbia cutting through the
Cascade range is most impressive. This highway was not a one-man project, but it was Sam Hill
who was responsible for the inception of it.”\footnote{“Road Builder Honored; Huge Granite Monument to Memorialize Sam Hill,” \textit{Portland Oregonian}, 18 April 1932, 4; “Monument Honors Late Road Builder,” \textit{Portland Oregonian}, 14 May 1932, 4. See also, “Highway Up Gorge Sam Hill’s Dream,” \textit{Portland Oregonian}, 24 April 1932, s. 1, p. 16.}
roads that connected Cedar City, Utah, with Zion and Bryce Canyon national parks, and Cedar Breaks National Monument. His most noted achievement with Utah Park Company was his work along the north rim of the Grand Canyon, in Arizona.\textsuperscript{116}

Meanwhile, Lancaster had purchased, in 1922, a 72-acre tract north of the CRH, near the present site of Bonneville Dam. He built a rustic lodge nestled in a stand of virgin timber, overlooking the Columbia River. He also constructed tent cottages and outdoor community fireplaces linked to the lodge by “nature” trails. Lancaster’s Lodge could accommodate more than 200 guests, where they peacefully communed with nature. He also created satellite camps in the mountains south of the Columbia and accessible from the Forest Service’s Eagle Creek Campground. Lancaster saw vast potential in Oregon as a vacationland, like the Swiss Alps, or the national parks.\textsuperscript{117}

Lancaster, though, was not much of a businessman. The resort was a financial failure, so he undertook some small-time consulting jobs. By 1930, he had sold the property, which the state would eventually own. Throughout the 1930s, Lancaster was a great supporter of the Bonneville Dam construction on the Columbia. Interestingly, even as an ardent preservationist, he believed that the dam was something necessary for the greater good of the population, in part because of the increased recreational possibilities that it offered. He even laid out Bonneville Park, in 1935, on part of his former resort grounds, for use by construction workers on the dam.

Samuel Lancaster died from leukemia in 1941 nearly thirty years after he envisioned the CRH from the front veranda of the Chanticleer Inn. Although he went on to other projects in the intervening years, Lancaster’s single most important lifetime accomplishment—his master lifework—remained the Historic Columbia River Highway.\textsuperscript{118}

\textsuperscript{117}Ibid., 129-30.
\textsuperscript{118}Ibid.
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### 10. GEOGRAPHICAL DATA

Acreage of Property: approximately 500 acres  
UTM References:

#### Sheet 1 of 9
The Dalles North, Oregon-Washington, 1:24000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>639120</td>
<td>5054460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>639620</td>
<td>5055620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>639200</td>
<td>5057330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>638770</td>
<td>5057520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>638580</td>
<td>5057930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>637770</td>
<td>5058060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>637000</td>
<td>5058500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>636320</td>
<td>5058550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sheet 2 of 9
Lyle, Washington-Oregon, 1:24000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>633560</td>
<td>5059360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>632550</td>
<td>5059270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>632370</td>
<td>5060150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>631410</td>
<td>5059450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>631320</td>
<td>5060370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>628170</td>
<td>5061010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>627480</td>
<td>5060530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>626700</td>
<td>5060530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sheet 3 of 9
White Salmon, Washington-Oregon, 1:24000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>626160</td>
<td>5060950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>624940</td>
<td>5059940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>624110</td>
<td>5060080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>624100</td>
<td>5059790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>623740</td>
<td>5060170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>622400</td>
<td>5060010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>618290</td>
<td>5061730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>618040</td>
<td>5062050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>616760</td>
<td>5062390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sheet 4 of 9
Hood River, Oregon-Washington, 1:24000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>616030</td>
<td>5062580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sheet 5 of 9
**Bonneville Dam, Washington—Oregon, 1:24000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>584910</td>
<td>5056870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>585810</td>
<td>5056470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>585710</td>
<td>5056310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>585330</td>
<td>5055870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>585170</td>
<td>5055630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>584900</td>
<td>5055400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>584500</td>
<td>5055180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>584110</td>
<td>5054770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>583640</td>
<td>5054530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>583110</td>
<td>5054210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>582970</td>
<td>5054220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>582850</td>
<td>5054120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>582280</td>
<td>5053900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Multnomah Falls, Oregon—Washington, 1:24000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>577880</td>
<td>5051290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>577040</td>
<td>5051250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>575610</td>
<td>5050770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>574810</td>
<td>5050110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>574310</td>
<td>5049770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>574120</td>
<td>5049440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>573090</td>
<td>5048820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>572110</td>
<td>5048640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>570050</td>
<td>5047630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>568430</td>
<td>5047130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Bridal Veil, Oregon—Washington, 1:24000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>566940</td>
<td>5047000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>565860</td>
<td>5046520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>563970</td>
<td>5044580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>561590</td>
<td>5043090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>560000</td>
<td>5042560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>559860</td>
<td>5042250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>559290</td>
<td>5043010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>559150</td>
<td>5042850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>559100</td>
<td>5043030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>558570</td>
<td>5042130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Washougal, Washington—Oregon, 1:24000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>555430</td>
<td>5042080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>553850</td>
<td>5041430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>553670</td>
<td>5040630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>552590</td>
<td>5040450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>552470</td>
<td>5040630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>550290</td>
<td>5040430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>549380</td>
<td>5039900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>549160</td>
<td>5042430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>548800</td>
<td>5042780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sheet 9 of 9
Camas, Washington—Oregon, 1:24000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>548740</td>
<td>5042730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbal Boundary Description:

Columbia River Highway
Multnomah County, Hood River County, and Wasco County, Oregon

The NHL district contains several extant portions of the as-built Columbia River Highway (1913-22) in the Columbia River Gorge, from the Sandy River, city of Troutdale, Multnomah County, eastward through Multnomah County, across the width of Hood River County, and into Wasco County to Chenoweth Creek, at the northwest city limits of The Dalles. The western boundary of the district is the west end of the Sandy River Bridge, No. 2019, located at HMP 14.2 on the Historic Columbia River Highway No. 100. The eastern boundary is the south end of the Chenoweth Creek Bridge, No., 506, located at HMP 88.5 on the Historic Columbia River Highway No. 100. The Sandy River (Stark Street) Bridge, No. 11112, also located near the western boundary of the district, at HMP 16.7, is included in the district. The district area extends from the south end of the bridge to the south right of way line of the Historic Columbia River Highway at the bridge location.

The nomination of the highway creates a narrow, linear-shaped district. The area mileage between the termini is 74.3 miles, the length of the original highway. The nominated highway within that 74.3-mile distance is restricted to 51 of the extant 55 miles. It includes those portions which are still intact with observable engineering features of the original highway present (pavement, guard walls, retaining walls, bridges, viaducts, tunnels, pedestrian overlooks, and distinct cuts and fills) and possess a high-level of integrity. The extant 55 miles of highway is the total of the remaining portions and is not a single continuous section of roadway. The 51 miles within the NHL district, therefore, are not continuous from terminus to terminus, but consists of separate remaining segments of the highway.
The district is divided into three segments:

Segment 1, Sandy River to Warrendale (HMP 14.2 to 38.5), is nearly continuous for 24.3 miles. Segment 2, Tanner Creek to Cascade Locks (HMP 41.7 to 45.8), consists of once-abandoned roadway restored for non-motorized use. Segment 3, Hood River to The Dalles (HMP 65.8 to 88.4), consists of 17.3 miles of drivable roadway and 5.1 miles of restored roadway for non-motorized use.

Excluded from the district are the travel lanes, paved shoulders and interchange areas of Interstate 84 (from Warrendale to Hood River), and intersection areas of other state highways where they coincide with the original route of the Columbia River Highway. These later highway developments most likely destroyed the original highway during their constructions, so the original highway would no longer be extant at these locations.

An exception to the Interstate 84 exclusion is the Toothrock Tunnel (1937), which contains the eastbound lanes of Interstate 84. The tunnel is specifically included in the district because of its association with the Columbia River Highway. It was bored through a prominent basalt formation below and to the south of the CRH, which is carried around north-facing sheer cliffs on the Toothrock and Eagle viaducts. The tunnel is discontinuous with the CRH. The tunnel boundaries extend from portal to portal and also include the masonry retaining walls, lantern and column, and parapets outside the portals. The somewhat lenticular-shaped piece of land north of the tunnel and south of the CRH right-of-way is included in the district.

The intact highway area within the district is 375 acres.

SOURCE: Dwight A. Smith, Environmental Section, Oregon Department of Transportation, Salem, Oregon, 1983. Revised by Robert W. Hadlow, Ph.D., Region 1, Oregon Department of Transportation, 1999.

Portland Women’s Forum State Scenic Viewpoint*
Multnomah County, Oregon

(ROW File 29540—3.71 Acres)

Beginning at the quarter section stake between Sections 25 and 36, in Township 1 North of Range 4 East of the Willamette Meridian; thence on half section line North 900 feet to a point in the center line of Rooster Rock Road; thence West 230 feet to a point in the centerline of Rooster Rock Road, being County Road No. 481, as now surveyed and laid out; and thence in a Southerly direction along the center line of said road to where said center line intersects the line first above described, being in the SE ¼ SW ¼ of said Section 25, Township 1 North of Range 4 East of the Willamette Meridian, EXCEPT that portion used for road purposes.

Also that certain tract beginning at a point North 500 feet along the half section line of Section 25 starting from the quarter section corner between Sections 25 and 36, Township 1 North,

*Portland Women’s Forum State Scenic Viewpoint, a.k.a. Portland Women’s Forum State Park, has a total area of 7.26 acres. The area included in the historic district is 9.26 acres, which includes two acres of the county road right-of-way, which traverses the park but is not in state ownership.
Range 4 East of the Willamette Meridian; running thence East parallel with the South line of said SE ¼ of Section 25 to the center line of Rooster Rock Road, being County Road No. 481; thence running Northwesterly along the center line of said road till the same intersects the half section line of said Section 25 above mentioned; thence South along said half section line to the place of beginning, all being in said Section 25, Township 1 North, Range 4 East of the Willamette Meridian.

Beginning at a point in the West line of the SE ¼ of Section 25, Township 1 North, Range 4 East of the Willamette Meridian, which is located 437.50 feet North 3° 59' 30" east from the Southwest corner of said SE ¼ of Section 25; running thence on the West line of said SE ¼ of Section 25, 62.50 feet to the Northwest corner of a certain 3-acre tract deeded by Martha Dabney to the County of Multnomah, November 7, 1927, and recorded in Book 1114 on Page 329, Deed Records; thence South 86° 58' 30" East on the North line of said 3-acre tract 177.61 feet to a point in the Westerly boundary line of County Road No. 1129; thence Southeasterly on said boundary line on a curve to left of 110 foot radius (the chord of which bears South 28° 6' East 0.99 feet) a distance of 0.99 feet to a point; thence South 74° 2' 20" West, 189.48 feet to the place of beginning, all in Section 25, Township 1 North, Range 4 East of the Willamette Meridian, SUBJECT to all reservations and easements noted in said deed from Martha Dabney to the County of Multnomah; and EXCEPT the portions thereof in the road.

EXCEPT that part of the foregoing included in a deed from Multnomah County, Oregon, to the State of Oregon, recorded in Book 1543, Page 496, Deed Records, which said portion is more particularly described as all the land embraced in the foregoing description lying West of the North-South center line of Section 25, Township 1 North, Range 4 East, of the W. M., as well as the tract conveyed to Multnomah County by deed recorded March 19, 1928 in Book 1134, Page 381, Deed Records.

(ROW File 31041—3.55 Acres)

PARCEL 1
A parcel of land lying in Section 25, Township 1 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian, Multnomah County, Oregon, and being that property described in that certain deed to Elliott J. Staten and Ruby L. Staten, recorded in Book 1754, Page 327 of P. S. Deed Records of Multnomah County; the said parcel being described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of County Road No. 1129, North 10° 40' West 290.55 feet from the quarter section corner on the South side of said section; running thence North 89° 46' West parallel to the South line of said Section 25, 314.55 feet to an iron pipe; thence North 0° 14' East 199.42 feet to an iron pipe in the Southerly line of a tract of land conveyed to Multnomah County by deed recorded in P. S. Deed Book 1134 at Page 382; thence South 77° 36' East along said Southerly line and the same extended, to an intersection with the center line of said Road No. 1129; thence Southerly along said centerline to the point of beginning.

The parcel of land to which this description applies contains 0.95 acre, outside of the existing right of way.
PARCEL 2
A parcel of land lying in Section 25, Township 1 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian, Multnomah County, Oregon, and being that property described in that certain deed to Elliott J. Staten and Ruby L. Staten, recorded in Book 1117, Page 453 of P. S. Deed Records of Multnomah County; the said parcel being described as follows:

Beginning at the quarter section corner between Sections 25 and 36 in Township 1 North, Range 4 East of the Willamette Meridian and running thence North 10° 40' West along the center line of Rooster Rock Road, said road being County Road no. 481 as now surveyed and laid out, a distance of 290.55 feet; thence North 89° 46' West and parallel with the section line between said Sections 25 and 36, a distance of 470.55 feet; thence South 10° 40' East and parallel with the said center line of County Road No. 481 aforesaid 290.55 feet to the center line of the Columbia River Highway, said center line being the section line between said Sections 25 and 36; thence South 89° 46' East 480.55 feet to the place of beginning, EXCEPTING a strip 30 feet wide extending across the Easterly and Southerly sides of herein described tract, said strip being in County Roads.

The parcel of land to which this description applies contains 2.6 acres.

Including that portion of County Road 1129 in Sections 25 and 36, T.1N, R. 4E., Willamette Meridian, beginning at the Historic Columbia River Highway right-of-way and continuing northerly to a line being the extension of the northernmost line of Portland Women’s Forum State Park, encompassing 2.0 acres.

The total acreage of the parcels described is 9.26 acres.


Crown Point Vista House*, Crown Point State Scenic Corridor
Multnomah County, Oregon

(File P-980—0.65 Acre)

Lots 3 and 4, Block 6, Thor’s Heights, situated in Section 30, Township 1 North, Range 5 East, Willamette Meridian, Multnomah County, Oregon.

EXCEPT that portion lying within that property conveyed to the State of Oregon by that certain deed recorded in Book 478, Page 415 of Multnomah County Records of Deeds.

The parcel of land to which this description applies contains 0.65 acre.

(File P-289—0.79 Acre)

*“Vista House.” was listed on the NR on December 5, 1974. The nomination name was The boundaries were not precisely defined in the nomination, “... located atop Crown Point in the SW ¼ Sec. 30, T. 1N., R5E, of the Willamette Meridian, Multnomah County, Oregon.” The boundary area in the nomination was estimated to be 3 acres. Crown Point State Scenic Corridor was previously known as Crown Point State Park.
Beginning at a point in the east line of Thor’s Heights, as shown on the plat of same recorded in Multnomah County, Oregon, said point being 400 feet southerly from an iron pipe at the northeast corner of the NW ¼ of the SW ¼ of Section 30, Township 1 North, Range 5 East of the Willamette Meridian, and running thence east 38.56 feet, more or less, to the center line of the Columbia River Highway, No. 754A; thence tracing the center of said road no. 754A North 3° 59' 50" East 268.88 feet, more or less, to Station 375+16.70, the beginning of a curve to the left having a radius of 110 feet, through an arc of 225° 09' 30" a distance of 432.45 feet to Station 378+84.25 and end of curve; thence South 41° 09' 40" east 45.94 feet to Station 370+38.31 the beginning of a curve to the right, having a radius of 140.06 feet, through an arc of 71° 29' 30" a distance of 174.76 feet to Station 368+63.55 and end of curve; thence leaving the center line of the highway and running east 90.94 feet, more or less to the place of beginning, excepting therefrom the area included in the right of way of the Columbia River Highway and containing 0.79 acre, more or less, this being the identical piece of property conveyed to Multnomah County by the City of Portland on March 2, 1916, and recorded in Book 703, on page 462 Deed Records of Multnomah County, Oregon.

The total of the two parcels described is 1.44 acres.


Guy W. Talbot State Park at Latourell Falls
Multnomah County, Oregon

That portion of Guy W. Talbot State Park which is part of the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter (NW ¼ SE ¼) and the Northeast quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE ¼ SW ¼ of Section 29, Township 1 North, Range 5 East of the Willamette Meridian in Multnomah County, Oregon, described as follows:

Beginning at a point which is South, 118.06 feet from the center of Section 29; thence South 89° 45' 54" West 200 feet; thence South parallel to the North-South centerline of Section 29 to the South line of the Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter (NE ¼ SW ¼ of Section 29; thence East along said South line to the Southeast corner of said Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter (NE ¼ SW ¼); thence East, 276 feet along the South line of the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 29; thence North to a point on the Southerly right of way line of the Alex Barr County Road No. 566; thence Westerly and Northerly along said County Road right of way to a point which is North 89° 45' 54" E, 48.40 feet from the point of beginning; thence South 89° 45' 54" West, 48.40 feet to the point of beginning.

This parcel of land contains 13.0 acres.

Shepperd’s Dell State Natural Area*
Multnomah County, Oregon

Beginning at a point which is fixed by starting at the Northeast corner of the Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter (NW ¼-NE ¼) of Section 28, Township 1 North, Range 5 East of the Willamette Meridian, running thence South 138 feet to a point; thence South 82° 50' West 311.5 feet to a point on the old county road, which point is the beginning point of the property to be described herein; running thence South 55° 27' West 62.5 feet; thence South 68° 19' West 463.0 feet; thence South 55° 23' West 260.0 feet; thence North 70° 07' West 180.5 feet; thence South 69° 43' West 118.0 feet; thence South 52° 13' West 256.5 feet; thence North 46' 30' West 335.4 feet; thence North 43° 43' East 392.5 feet along O.W.R.&N. right of way; thence North 38° 55' East 186.0 feet along O.W.R.&N. right of way; thence East 00° 00' 608.0 feet; thence South 14° 0.4' East 70.4 feet; thence South 60° 06' East 134.0 feet; thence South 66° 43' East 68.0 feet; thence South 87° 24' East 246.5 feet to the point of beginning and containing a total of 10.96 acres, including Columbia River Highway right of way of .93 acre, leaving a total of 10.03 acres.

SOURCE: Ed Schoaps, Oregon State Parks and Recreation Division, ODOT, Salem, Oregon, September 1983

Wahkeena Falls Recreation Site, USDA Forest Service—Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area
Multnomah County, Oregon

Beginning at a point on the south right of way line on the Historic Columbia River Highway due south of the southwest end of the Wahkeena Falls (Youngs Creek) highway bridge; thence 500 feet west along the highway right of way line; thence 1000 feet south; then 1000 feet east; thence about 1200 feet north to the south right of way line of the Historic Columbia River Highway; thence west approximating the south right of way line of the Historic Columbia River Highway to the point of beginning, about 500 feet; basically forming a square containing Wahkeena Falls, the cascade below the falls, portions of the trail, and the footbridge all located south of the Historic Columbia River Highway within Mount Hood National Forest and all being in said Section 13, Township 1N, Range 5 East and Section 18, Township 1N, Range East of the Willamette Meridian. The total acreage of the parcel described is 25.3 acres.

SOURCE: Dwight Smith, Environmental Section, Oregon State Highway Division, ODOT, September 1983. Revised by Robert W. Hadlow, Ph.D., Region 1, Oregon Department of Transportation, 1999.

*Shepperd’s Dell State Natural Area was previously known as Shepperd’s Dell State Park.
Multnomah Falls Lodge*, Multnomah Falls Recreation Site, USDA Forest Service—
Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area
Multnomah County, Oregon

At the quarter-corner of Sections 7 and 18, Township 1 North, Range 6 East, W.M., as
monumented and described in the records of the Surveyor General, thence north 36° 09' 29" east
211.30 feet (calculated) to Angle Point C, point of beginning. Angle Point C is 200 feet south of
the top of Upper Multnomah Falls. From POB, the line descends a ridge, bearing north 68° 55'
21" west 1012.62 feet (calculated) to Angle Point D. Thence the line descends a cliff, bearing
north 8° 52' 50" east 318.76 feet (calculated) to Angle Point E. Thence the line parallels the
southern edge of the Old Columbia River Gorge Scenic Highway, bearing north 72° 14' 07" east
709.67 feet (calculated) to Angle Point A. Thence the line ascends a cliff and steep ridge bearing
south 36° 42' 38" east 705.37 feet (calculated) to Angle Point B. Thence the line crosses
Multnomah Creek bearing south 31° 47' 56" west 386.02 feet (calculated) to Angle Point C,
POB. All points, bearings and distances are calculated and subject to minor adjustment in actual
field location. It is the intent that the boundary lines should allow as a minimum a 200 foot
buffer to ensure adequate protection of Multnomah Falls Lodge and Upper and Lower
Multnomah Falls. This parcel of land contains 13.7 acres.

SOURCE: Jonathan Horn and Mary Stuart, Mount Hood National Forest, Gresham, Oregon,
September 1980.

Eagle Creek Campground and Picnic Area and Eagle Creek Overlook Picnic Area, USDA
Forest Service—Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Multnomah County, Oregon

The following describes the boundaries of the actual acreage included in the Eagle Creek
Campground and Overlook:

Commencing at the Witness Corner set 23 chains south of the section corner common to
Sections 15, 14, 23 and 22, Township 2 North, Range 7 East, Willamette Meridian, surveyed,
Multnomah County, Oregon, as described in the records of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,
1934, south 24° 44' west 1275 feet (calculated) to a point, State Place Coordinates, Oregon North
Zone, X=1635988.9, Y=723921.4, point of beginning. From POB, the line bears south 39° 40'
east 331 feet (calculated), thence-south 13° 26' east 328 feet, (calculated), thence south 30° 34'
east 274 feet (calculated), thence south 46° 50' east 713 feet (calculated), thence south 16° 55'
east 695 feet (calculated), thence south 10° 23' east 291 feet (calculated) to the Eagle Creek
trailhead, thence south 3° 12' west 134 feet, thence north 54° 2' west 235 feet following the
western shoreline of Eagle Creek, thence north 18° 59' west 410 feet, thence north 38° 57' west
332 feet, thence north 51° 22' west 266 feet, thence north 73° 2' west 392 feet, thence north 83°
40' west 283 feet, thence north 79° 20' west 380 feet, thence north 65° 48' west 270 feet, thence
north 88° 26' west 506 feet, thence north 82° 57' west 215 feet, thence north 67° 33' west 345
feet, thence north 34° 4' west 270 feet to the mouth of Eagle Creek, thence north 18° 32' east 378
feet to the northwesterly most point of land on the overlooking bluff, thence north 67° 45' east
797 feet, thence south 76° 40' east 82 feet, thence north 73° 21' east 189 feet, thence south 48°
14' west 911 feet along the crest of the road cut of Interstate 84, thence south 52° 16' west 171

*This area was listed in the National Register of Historic Places on April 22, 1981. The verbal boundary description
is from the nomination entitled “Multnomah Falls Lodge and Footpath.”
feet, thence north 89° 56' west 192 feet, thence south 47° 28' east following Forest Service Road 241 along the eastern shoreline of Eagle Creek, not including any portion of the Cascade Salmon Hatchery, thence south 80° 23' east 399 feet, thence north 72° 32' east 335 feet, then north 82° 16' east 220 east feet, then south 61° 11' east 161 feet, thence north 46° 0' east 236 feet, thence north 10° 15' east 156 feet, thence north 26° 45' east 205 feet, thence north 37° 50' east 218 feet, thence from State Plane coordinates and the resulting bearings and distances are subject to adjustment in actual field location.

The boundaries so described delineate an area 48 acres in extent and one intended to encompass all features of the Eagle Creek Recreation Area, including the suspension bridge, campground, trailhead, and overlook area, as built in 1915 and expanded 1935-1937.


Rowena Crest Overlook, Mayer State Park
Wasco County, Oregon

That portion of Mayer State Park being the S ½ of the SE ¼ of the NW ¼ of the SE ¼ of Section 3, T.2N., R.12E., Willamette Meridian, Wasco County, encompassing 5 acres.


Boundary Justification:

The boundaries for the NHL District Nomination represent portions of the 1983 NR historic district nomination. All properties included in the NHL District Nomination were previously included in the 1983 NR historic district nomination.

The district width of the highway varies, but the average is 60 feet. This is the original right-of-way width for the highway (30 feet on either side of the highway centerline). The highway pavement is normally 24 feet from outside edge to outside edge, with two travel lanes. Recently, though, the Oregon Department of Transportation has removed about six feet of pavement (three feet on either side of the roadway) to reestablish the original 18 feet of pavement and 3-foot shoulders on abandoned sections of the CRH now restored for non-motorized use as the HCRH State Trail.

The district is wider at some locations to incorporate slopes, geologic features, other highway engineering features, and recreation areas. (See the boundary descriptions for recreation areas included in the district.) The district traverses cities and communities on streets that contain the highway’s route. Where curbs exist on these streets, the width of the district is the distance from the present curb line to curb line. If no curbs exist in the cities or communities, the width of the district is limited to the existing highway pavement, outside edge to outside edge.
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