United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



See instructions in *How to Complete National Register Forms*Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1.	Nam	1 e			'R	RECEIVED
histor	ric Gian	nella Bridg e			13	V 3 ₀ 0 1981,
and/o		Sacramento Ri	ver Bridge at 1	Hamilton Ci	.ty	OHP
	Loca	_				
street	& number	State Highway	32 across the	Sacramento	River	n/a not for publication
city, t	own Ha	milton City	_X_ v	icinity of	congressional district	1
state	Califor	nia	code 06	county -	Henn/Butte	code 021/00
<u>3.</u>	Clas	sification	1			
b	gory district puilding(s) structure site object	Ownership X public private both Public Acquisitio in process being conside X n/a	on Accessib	cupied in progress	Present Use agriculture commercial educational entertainment government industrial military	<pre>museum park private residence religious scientific X transportation other:</pre>
name	Califo	ornia Departmen	t of Transport	ation (CAT	rra ng)	
street	& number	1120 N Stree		- COLD.		
city, to	own Sac	cramento	n/a vi	icinity of	state	California 95814
5.	Loca	ation of L			n	
courth	nouse, reals	stry of deeds, etc.	CAITPANG Offic	e of Struct	tures Maintenance	
		1120 N Street		C OI DUIUC	tures marintenance	
			, ROOM JJOJ			g 110
	own Sacr	_	on in Evi	cting 6	state	California
6.		esentation			of Pre-1935 State	Highway
title		1978-80			erty been determined el	
date	1978-80			=	federal <u>X</u> sta	
depos	itory for su		-		onmental Planning/ Lc Preservation, P	
city, to	own	Sac	ramento		state	California

7. Desc	Description				
Condition excellent	deteriorated	Check one	Check one _X original site		
X good	ruins	X aitered	moved date N/A		

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

.... unexposed

fair

The Gianella Bridge is composed of two steel through Pratt truss approach spans, each 133' in length, and one steel through Pratt truss center swing span, 311 feet in length. The bridge rests on mass concrete piers and wing abutments. The structure carries two traffic lanes between steel railings, crossing the Sacramento River with no skew.

As built, the bridge was timber-decked. This was removed in 1937 and was replaced with laminated decking with asphalt surface. In 1954, the timber stringers and laminated deck were replaced by steel stringers and an open steel grate-type deck. The swing span was originally electrically powered. By 1938, the small number of required openings of the bridge led to disconnection of the electrical machinery, and provision for manual operation, an operation which required eight men to open and close the bridge. In 1972 an agreement was reached with the Coast Guard that the bridge need not be maintained for opening, but that it would have to be restorable to that condition within six months of written notification by the Coast Guard. Accordingly, the bridge has not been opened since that time.

8. Significance

Period prehistoric 1400–1499 1500–1599 1600–1699 1700–1799 1800–1899X 1900–	agriculture	 ng landscape architectur law literature military music	re religion science sculpture social/ humanitarian theaterX transportation other (specify)
Specific dates	1908-1911	Cotton Brothers & Co.,	Contractors/

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

The Gianella Bridge is the oldest swing span movable bridge on the State highway system. Its erection is historically noteworthy, marking a rare instance of cooperation between two counties which were intense rivals. It is the work of a master: John B. Leonard was perhaps the state's leading bridge engineer in the first quarter of the 20th century. The Gianella is Leonard's only known steel bridge, his specialty being reinforced concrete bridges. Location of the bridge was influenced by Vincenzo Gianella, a civic and agricultural leader of Butte County. Completion of the bridge furthered development of the sugar beet industry in the northern Sacramento Valley in and around Hamilton City.

In early 1907 both Glenn and Butte Counties desired to bridge the Sacramento River, but disagreed intensely over the location of the crossing. Butte County favored a crossing a few miles downstream from Hamilton City, in order to better serve the city of Chico. Glenn County desired the crossing at Hamilton City to favor that town's business, most specifically the Alta California Sugar Beet Company. Spurring the desire for a bridge was a current boom in sugar beet farming. The dispute continued until Vincenzo Gianella, owner of 6,500 acres of farmland in Butte County and a director of the Butte County National Bank of Chico, gave his support to the Glenn County proposal for a bridge at Hamilton City. Gianella, who devoted extensive amounts of his acreage to sugar beets and wanted the crossing close to the refinery at Hamilton City, pressured the Butte County Supervisors into accepting what had come to be called the Gianella Site.

Previously, Butte County had contracted with John B. Leonard to provide the design for a reinforced concrete bridge, choosing Leonard's design from among seven proposals, including four for steel bridges, two for reinforced concrete, and one for a stone masonry bridge. By March 1908 the two counties had decided against the reinforced concrete design as too costly, choosing the apparent economy of lower initial cost for a steel bridge. This sent Leonard back to his drawing board and to his early training in steel engineering.

Construction began in June 1908, with the first major shipment of materials arriving June 25 on the steamer DOVER. By December 1908, one month from the specified completion date, Cotton Brothers—the contractors from Oakland—were still waiting delivery of the steel for the swing span. Then nature intervened. The winter of 1908-09 was extremely wet, with Northern California rivers running at record levels. At the bridge site, bottom scour tilted the center pier out of position and washed out its protective timbers. Cotton Brothers refused to accept responsibility for the damage, and threats of litigation followed. This dragged on until June 1909, when the contractor agreed to bear the cost of pier removal and replacement, and to finish the bridge. When it proved necessary to use dynamite to remove the old pier, progress slowed again. In December 1909, one month from the new completion date of January 1910, Cotton Brothers asked for and received an extension to August 1, 1910. In early August, they requested a further extension to August 15, but

9. Major Bibliographical R	eferences
Snyder, J.W., "Report on Gianella Bridge on California Department of Transportation,	03-But-32, P.M. 0.00, Sacramento River,"
Snyder, J.W., "The Bridges of John B. Leona Pacific Coast Chapter. Society of Archite	
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of nominated property <u>less than 1</u> Quadrangle name <u>Nord, Calif.</u> UMT References	Quadrangle scale1:24,000
A 110 5 816 01010 414 010 41110 Zone Easting Northing E	Zone Easting Northing D
Verbal boundary description and justification The property consists of 600 linear feet Sacramento River between Glenn County and Buproperty defined by the physical width of t List all states and counties for properties overlapping	utte County, with the width of the he bridge and its piers.
state California code 06 c	ounty Glenn code 021
state California code 06 c	ounty Butte code 007
11. Form Prepared By	
7 A	
ame/title John W. Snyder, M.A., Architectura	date November 26, 1981
treet & number 3429 Wemberley Drive	telephone 916/487-6472
ity or town Sacramento	state California
	ation Officer Certification
he evaluated significance of this property within the state is	
national stateX ic	
s the designated State Historic Preservation Officer for the 65), I hereby nominate this property for inclusion in the Nat coording to the criteria and procedures set forth by the Her	National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89–ional Register and certify that it has been evaluated
tie State Historic Preservation Officer	date May 26, 1982
For HCRS use only I hereby contry that this parallely is increase to get any	Mary 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
Ollow Francisco	10. 30 the nat 1 /8/82
Keeper of the National Register	

Attest: Chief of F FHR-8-300A (11/78)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR HERITAGE CONSERVATION AND RECREATION SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

FOR HCRS USE ONLY
RECEIVED
DATE ENTERED.

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER

PAGE 1

the Supervisors refused, invoking a daily penalty for each day after August 1. The construction dragged on, with the joint boards finally informally accepting the bridge on December 8, 1910, though it was early January before it formally opened. Final cost to the counties was estimated at \$260,000 to \$270,000, and the bridge was hailed as a bargain sure to well serve local interests. The structure was taken into the State highway system in the early 1930s.

