1.

United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



See instructions in How to Complete National Register Forms Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1. Name

historic	Entreprenur	Lal Resi	Idences o	f Turn-of	-the-Century Provo	Thematic Resource
and/or common			• .		• •	
2. Loca	ation					
street & number	See structu	re/site	informat:	ion forms		not for publication
city, town	Provo		vie	cinity of	congressional district	01
state	Utah	code	049	county	Utah	code 049
3. Clas	sificatio	n				
	Ownership public private X both Public Acquisit in process A being consid		Status X occupi unocci work in Accessibl X yes: re uno	upied n progress e estricted	Present Use agriculture commercial educational entertainment government industrial military	museum park <u>X</u> private residence <u>X</u> religious scientific transportation <u>X</u> other:social/Hum
4. Own	er of Pro	oper			· ·	tari
name	Multiple Owr	ership				
street & number						······································
city, town		•		cinity of	state	
5. Loca	ation of	Lega	I Des	cripti	on	
courthouse, regi	stry of deeds, etc.	Utah	County Co	ourthouse		
street & number		Unive	ersity Ave	enue and	Center Street	
city, town		Provo)		state	Utah
	resentat	ion i	n Exis	stina		
	toric Sites Su				operty been determined e	
	ner 1980			nas uns pro	$\frac{x}{x} = \frac{x}{x}$	
······		ah Stat	- Histor	ical Soci		
depository for su		alt Lake			ety	Utah

7. Description

Applies to a	11 in group			
Condition		Check one	Check one	·
excellent	deteriorated	unaltered	_X_ óriginal site	
_ <u>X_</u> good	ruins	\underline{X} altered	moved date	
fair	unexposed			

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

The "Entreprenurial Residences of Turn-of-the-Century Provo" represents a group of seven private residences constructed between 1893 and 1908 in Provo, Utah for prominent businessmen who were central figures in the transformation of the city's economy and society. The unifying theme of "entreprenurial residences" encompasses both architectural and historical significance as these entrepreneurs, who amassed their fortunes in mining, business, banking, and finance, built stately structures commensurate to their newly acquired wealth, thus, helping to change the visual landscape of Provo City.

Included in this thematic group are the following residences:

- A standard stand Standard stand Standard s Standard stand Standard stand Standard stand Standard stand Standard stan Standard stand Standard st
- 1) Charles E. Loose House 383 East 200 South 1893
- 2) William H. Ray House 415 South University Avenue ca. 1898
- 3) Knight-Allen House 390 East Center ca. 1899
- 4) John R. Twelves House 287 East 100 North 1902
- 5) Jesse Knight House 185 East Center Street 1905
- 6) Knight-Mangum House 381 Easat Center Street 1908
- 7) Thomas N. Taylor House 342 North 500 West ca. 1908

According to a block survey which identified the architectural and historical resources of Provo and conducted during the summer of 1980 by architectural historians and historians, these seven residences represent the best examples of houses constructed for a group of prominent Provo individuals. The theme is unified by the fact that all entrepreneurs involved prospered directly or indirectly from the expansion of Utah's economy, primarily in the field of mining (which is in itself a central theme in Utah history).

Built during the turn of the century from 1893 to 1908, the domestic residences of Provo's prominent entrepreneurs reflect the wealth, power and aesthetic taste of their owners and of America's Gilded Age. Larger in size,

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

DEC	EIVE	n		
1				
DΔT	e en	ITED	FD	
A	44 () () 4 ()		Sec. 27. 6 /	

FOR HCRS USE ONLY

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 1

more complicated in their massing and ornate in their detailing than the typical residences of Provo, these houses are the Victorian mansions of the city. All derive from the high style popular at then end of the nineteenth century: Eastlake, Italianate, Shingle, Craftsman, Moorish and the Classical, Romanesque and Colonial Revivals. Although the high styles are of a purer form in these houses than is usually found in Provo, an eclectic attitude still dominates with several styles often being combined into one residence. Characteristic of all these buildings is a compactness and a low earth hugging quality which is typical of Provo's architecture but antithetical to the optimistic verticality of Salt Lake's Victorian buildings. As is true of the majority of Provo's residences, these houses are made primarily of brick, including elaborate ornamental coursing and trim. The Victorian fascination with a variety of rich materials is visible only in the use of masonry in the foundations, lintels and arches of these buildings and in the extensive use of leaded and stained glass in the windows. Some of the most ornate fenestration to be found in Provo is contained in these buildings. In comparison to buildings in Salt Lake City, these Victorian mansions are modest in size, style and materials, but are more reflective of the high styles than those generally found in Utah's small towns such as Manti or Spring City.

A house type popular in Utah at the turn of the century, but not common in Provo, was the two story box. The residences of Thomas N. Taylor, and Jesse Knight are each individualize elaborate variations of this basic pattern and are unique among Provo's architecture. The Taylor box combines Classical ornament with a two story Victorian veranda which is rare in Provo. Elegant Colonial Revival detailing such as the Ionic capitals, swan's neck pediments and rounded bay windows make the Jesse Knight house the grandest variant of the box style, and reflects the wealth of Provo's most powerful entrepreneur.

Adaptations within a basic style are also visible in the William H. Ray and the John R. Twelves residences which combine Romanesque Revival elements with Classical detailing in a personalized manner. Peculiar to each house and uncommon to Provo's domestic architecture are the Romanesque wall dormers which on the Ray house are stepped, have brick coursing and rounded side bays, and on the Twelves house have corner buttresses and foliate ornament. These two homes reflect the opposite directions that the Romanesque Revival could take--the towering monumentality of the Ray house with its rusticated turret, balustrade and lintels, or the smaller scale, classicized Twelves house with its wide entablature and Palladian window. They stand as Provo's finest domestic examples of the Romanesque Revival.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

	F									٠.,						2		0		25
J	G N 2	.	10			1	26	х	25			С	83	25	6	e.			2	
2	932	100	14	÷.,	62	υ.	24		- 5		9.	- 3	14 E		τ.	21	11	22	2	÷.,

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED.

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER ⁷ PAGE ²

The Charles E. Loose house is distinct among these turn of the century homes in that it combines the massing of the Single Style with a consistent program of Eastlake ornament. Its enveloping roof, veranda and pentagonal fanlight gable windows mark its individuality among the city's architecture.

The Victorian period's fascination with a variety of exotic styles is blatantly reflected in the Knight-Allen house. Combining a Moorish tin scalloped roof with an Italianate turret, Romanesque porch piers, distinctive lintels and several ornate window shapes it is the best and most unique example of Victorian Eclecticism in Provo.

Standing as an anomaly among these Victorian mansions is the W. Lester Mangum house of 1908. It relates to the group in its monumental proportions and richness of details, but differs in that natural materials, wood rafters and clinker brick, are used to embellish the home rather than the application of high style ornament. It is the most sophisticated product of the Arts and Crafts movement in Provo and reveals a significant rejection of the pastiche of styles visible in other mansions.

As mentioned this nomination was based on a survey of Provo City conducted by the Preservation Research Office of the Utah State Historical Society during the summer of 1980. Those involved were:

Henry Whiteside, Historian Kenneth Cannon, Historian Debbie Temme, Architectural Historian Janice Simon, Architectural Historian

Survey methodology involved a block by block architectural analysis of each structure within the original platted area of Provo, and a reconaissance survey of new areas within the city. Historic themes and individuals prominent to those themes were identified, and wherever possible, associated to a particular structure. Buildings were rated as significant, contributory, non-contributory and out of period. Nominations are proceeding based upon this survey, and because the influence of mining and entreprenurial activity were viewed as a central theme to Provo's development, this thematic nomination was deemed appropriate.

8. Significance

Period	Areas of SignificanceC	heck and justify below		
Period prehistoric 1400–1499 1500–1599 1600–1699 1700–1799	archeology-prehistoric	community planning conservation economics	Iandscape architecture Iaw Itterature Itterature Ittary Ittary Ittary Ittary	e religion science sculpture social/ humanitarian
X_ 1800–1899 X_ 1900–	commerce communications	exploration/settlement industry invention	philosophy politics/government	theater transportation other (specify)

Specific dates See structure/site torms

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

The seven houses that comprise the "Entreprenurial Residences of turn-of the-century Provo" are significant architecturally and historically in illustrating the role of prominent Provo businessmen in the changing economy of late nineteenth and early twentieth century Provo and Utah. Built between 1893 and 1908 these residences reflected the growing wealth ushered in by the commercial mining of Utah's precious metals, and the secondary industry that accompanied its growth. Architecturally, these houses convey the qualities of size, style and detailing often attributed only to the palatial mansions of mining magnates in Salt Lake City. That the city of Provo and its residential landscape, was also influenced by rising industry is evident best in this thematic group.

These eight residences reflect a variety of architectural responses to the influx of wealth during the two decades of their construction. They are individualized designs of standard Victorian styles and range in taste from the formal elegance of Jesse Knight's Colonial Revival box to the virtuoso eclecticism of the Knight-Allen house to the natural granduer of W. Lester Mangum's Craftsman mansion. Each house significantly contributes to Provo's architectural heritage and as a group they are powerful images of the capitalist spirit with generated them.

Historically, Charles E. Loose, William H. Ray, John R. Twelves, Thomas N. Taylor, W. Lester Mangum, Robert E. Allen, J. William Knight and Jesse Knight are linked to Provo as central entrepreneurs in the transformation of the city's economy due to the emergence of commercial mining. These men were involved in mining and related financial matters, as well as in expanding industries and enterprises. The Tintic Mining District (National Register Multiple Resource Area), located some thirty miles southwest of Provo, produced much of the wealth of these individuals and formed a vital link to the Provo economy. In addition, as their wealth increased, these entrepreneurs not only added to Provo's visual landscape through the construction of residences (and commerical blocks), but also in endowing various efforts and in community service, so as to increase their influence, importance, and contribution to the city, state, and primarily in the case of the Knight family, and the L.D.S. Church.

Provo's architecture has reflected much of the economic and social development of the city. The earliest homes were very small and utilitarian; later residences were more commodious and sophisticated reflecting the increasing prosperity and aesthetic taste of each period of time. The large majority of Provo's houses have been rather modest, indicating the life style and means of the "common" people throughout the city's history. A small minority of Provo's homes are large and of sophisticated design, erected by prominent individuals, many of whom acquired wealth in mining and related industries at

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

-m	HCRS	1100	MAN V
	10 AL 10 A	0.0100	

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER ⁸ PAGE ¹

the turn of the twentieth century. Seven of the most significant best illustrate the high style residential architecture, and history of Provo's prominent entrepreneurs.

The development of the Provo area commenced in 1849 as Mormon settlement expanded to the south of Salt Lake City. The decades of the 1850s through the 1890s saw the city of Provo emerge and develop (see accompanying "An Overview of Provo's History"). By the turn of the century key business people were influencing the transformation of the city to a more diversified economic base--the mining industry proved of profound influence.

The successful commercial mining of precious metals and minerals transformed Utah's economy from basically an agrarian base to a more industrialized state. Within this development the Tintic Mining District, located approximately thirty miles southwest of Provo, was founded in 1869 and by 1899 became the leading mining center in Utah with a value of output placed at five million dollars. A central figure in Tintic success was Jesse Knight and the Knight family who resided in Provo.

Jesse Knight attained wealth with his Humbug mine in the mid-1890s. The large silver producer allowed Knight to develop other mines in the East Tintic area. Knightsville grew around the workings and became touted as the only saloon-free, prostitute-free, privately owned mining camp in the U.S. His strict adherence to doctrines of the LDS Church made the town one inhabited primarily by Mormons. Knight began to expand and diversify as mining in Tintic burgeoned. The Knight smelters at Silver City, power plant in Santaquin Canyon, Narrow gauge railway circumventing the district, Tintic drain tunnel project, and the Knight dry farm all represented vast investments and profits.

With financial success Knight expanded with the result of securing coordination of his holdings and placing responsibility on family members by organizing the Knight Investment Company. This corporation included the Bonneville Mining Company, Knight Woolen Mills (located in Provo), Ellison Ranching Company (Nevada), Spring Canyon Coal Company (Carbon County, Utah), Blue Bench District (irrigation enterprise in Duchesne County), Knight Trust and Savings Bank (Provo), Layton Sugar Company, American-Colombian Corporation, Springville-Mapleton Sugar Company, and the Tintic Drain Tunnel Company. CONTINUATION SHEET

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES **INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR	HCRS	USE	ONLY	
RECE	IVED			
DATE	E ENTE	DEN		
		-126-02		

2 8 ITEM NUMBER PAGE

As a result the Knight/Allen House (1898), Jesse Knight House (1905), and Knight/Mangum House (1908) were constructed in Provo and reflected the family's wealth. All believed to have been architect designed, they displayed a concern for style, scale, and design exhibited by other mining entrepreneurs in Salt Lake City. The architects Walter E. Ware and Alberto O. Treganza either separately or together were engaged in the construction of the Knight/Mangum House and the Jesse Knight House. Prominent in their own right Ware and Treganza occupy a distinguished position in Utah's architecture history. The Knight/Allen House was probably designed by Provo architect Richard C. Watkins, also one of importance in architectural circles.

The Tintic Mining District also provided the means for Charles E. Loose, John R. Twelves, and indirectly, Thomas N. Taylor to acquire the wealth reflected in their residences. In fact, Loose and Twelves were both involved in the Grand Central Mining Company as manager and secretary-treasurer respectively. Some of the directors of this company, such as Reed Smoot and Abraham H. Cannon, and the Knights, illustrated the growing involvement of Mormon entrepreneurs in commercial mining, primarily after Brigham Young's death in 1877. Young saw mining of precious metals as a corrupting force in Mormondom and had discouraged it. Charles E. Loose was a non-Mormon and probably the most prominent in Provo at the turn of the century.

Loose and Twelves prospered as Grand Central stock rose. By 1900 the company had installed a new surface plant, including an inovative all steel headframe over its shaft--a first and only in the entire area. Thus, Loose built his Provo residence in 1893, and Twelves in 1902.

Thomas N. Taylor, whose house was constructed in about 1908, prospered in a furniture business begun by his father. The enterprise grew and expanded into the Taylor Brothers concern, with an important store located in Eureka, Utah, the commercial center for the entire Tintic district. The Taylor Brothers store in that city represented a substantial business block.

William H. Ray was the only one of the group not directly associated with Tintic; however, he became a leading financier, banker, and broker of Provo. The Ray Investment Company was organized by him as an insurance and real estate brokerage firm, and became highly active in Provo real estate Ray also was one of the founders and first president of the transactions. State Bank of Provo. As Charles E. Loose, Ray was a successful non-Mormon in a predominently Mormon city.

FHR-8-300A (11/78) UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR HERITAGE CONSERVATION AND RECREATION SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

RECE	TWEN.		
RLUL	s y L L L		
DATE	CNIT	roen	
		_1`\L_L'.	

FOR HCRS USE ONLY

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 8 PAGE 3

As entrepreneurs these individuals exerted economic influence in Provo, and in Utah. By the same token they were also active in political and civic affairs. Thomas N. Taylor served as mayor of Provo from 1900 to 1903, and William H. Ray was elected to that position in 1909. J. William Knight served in state positions as did Charles E. Loose and Inez Knight Allen, whose husband was Robert E. Allen. Inez Allen also served as a Democratic National Committeewoman for Utah and a delegate to National Democratic conventions. John R. Twelves served as Utah County treasurer and recorder. Both J. William Knight and Thomas N. Taylor were nominated for the position of Governor.

In civic affairs contributions were numerous by this group. For example, the Knights substantially aided the Brigham Young University in Provo. Thomas N. Taylor was instrumental in establishing the Utah Valley Hospital, as well as serving on the Board of Trustees of BYU and on the University of Utah Board of Regents. Membership in civic and fraternal organizations was also common among this group.

U		N.	NU	1.11		
---	--	----	----	------	--	--

9. Major Bibliographical References

See	Structure/Site forms.	

10. Geographical Data See individual Structure/Site forms

Acreage of nom	Duo Treah				Quadranala appla 1:24000	
Quadrangle nan		_		2	Quadrangle scale	
UMT References	s See Structure/Si	ite forms				
A			В			
Zone Easti	ing Northing	g g		Zone Easting	Northing	
c L L I I I			D			
E			F			
GLI			нГ			
Verbal bounda	ry description and j	ustification			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
	See Structure/Si	ite forms f	for legal d	escriptions		
ч			-	·		
Liet all states	and counties for pro	nerties ave	l'anning state	or county bo	undaries	
M / A		-		N/A		
State		code	county		code	<u> </u>
state N/A		code	county	N/A	code	
11 Eor	m Prepare	od By		<u> </u>		
	III FIEpare	JUDy				
name/title	Debbie Temme, A	Architectu	cal Historia	an/Ken Canno	on & Phil Notarianni, Hist	ori
organization	Utah State Hist	orial Soci	lety	date	Summer 1980	
street & number	300 Rio Grande			telephone	801-533-6017	
city or town	Salt Lake City			state	Utah	
12. Sta	te mistori	c pres	ervatio	on Uttic	er Certification	
The evaluated sig	gnificance of this prope	erty within the	state is:			
	national	_ state	_X_ local	-		
665), I hereby noi		r inclusion in t	the National Re	gister and certif	rvation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89– y that it has been evaluated Recreation Service.	
State Historic Pre	eservation Officer signation	ature	elarn	\sum	mith	
		Dec		Officar		
itle Melvin T	. Smith, State Hi	Lstoric Pre	eservation	orricer	date 3-15-182	
ton to	only rtily that this property i 	is included in	the National Re	gister	date 7/23/4	
	a Jone Saye				date 2/10-82	
Children David			And the second		A second s	1.2.25

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet	Item number	Page
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Multiple Resource Area Thematic Group	dnr-11
Name Entreprenurial State	Residences of Turn-of-the-Cent	ury Provo Thematic Resources
Nomination/Type of Review	· · · · · ·	Date/Signature
1. Knight-Mangum House	Substantive Porter Keep	-
	/ Atte	est Enna Jane Saya 7-16-82
2. Twelves, John R., Hou	se / Substantive Review Keep	per Muchaplinger
· · · · · ·	· Atte	est Emma Jane Sape 7-16-87
3. Knight, Jesse, House	Substantive Heview Keep	per Im hongel 7-225
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Atte	st Comma Jone Sape 7/6-82
4. Knight-Allen House V	/ Substancivo Review Keep	per him lan py 11-23-82
	, Atte	st Ehrena Jane Sare 7168
5. Ray, William H., Hous		
	Atte	st Emmahane Saxe 7-16-82
6. Taylor, Thomas N., Ho	use Substantivo Review Keep	Der _ Bm_ Mar Day 17-27-
· •	Atte	st Comma Jane Saja 7-16-82
$^{\prime \varsigma}$ 7. Loose, Charles H., Ho	use Attimute	giber from the Dought 7-23-52
DOEZ	OWNER OBJECTION Atte	st Enmagone Sons 7/682
8.	Keep	
	Atte	st
9.	Keep	Der
	Atte	
/ 10.	Keep	
, TA		
•	Atte	st

-1

OMB NO. 1024-0018

For NPS use only

EXP. 10/31/84

received

date entered