
National Register of Historic Places Registration Form



OMB No. 1024-0

1466

RECEIVED 2280

1. Name of Property Winterhave	en Historic District		
nistoric name			
othername/site number			
2. Location			
street & number: Bounded by Princ city/town: Tucson	e on north, Country Club on east,	Ft. Lowell o	n south and Tucson Blvd. on west
state: Arizona code: AZ	county: Pima c	ode: 019	zip code: <u>85716</u>
3. State/Federal Agency Certification	on		
additional comments).	in 19/15/05		
Signature of certifying official APLEOVA STA State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets official Signature of commenting or other official	does not meet the National Register criteria. (□	See continuation	sheet for additional comments).
In my opinion, the property meets	does not meet the National Register criteria. (□	See continuation	sheet for additional comments).
In my opinion, the property meets Signature of commenting or other official	does not meet the National Register criteria. (Date	See continuation :	sheet for additional comments).
In my opinion, the property meets Signature of commenting or other official	does not meet the National Register criteria. (Date		sheet for additional comments). Date of Action
In my opinion, the property meets Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification	does not meet the National Register criteria. (Date		
In my opinion, the property meets Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 1. National Park Service Certification hereby certify that this property is:	on		Date of Action
In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 1. National Park Service Certification hereby certify that this property is: I entered in the National Register See continuation sheet	on Date Signature of the Keep		Date of Action
In my opinion, the property meets or Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 4. National Park Service Certification hereby certify that this property is: entered in the National Register See continuation sheet determined eligible for the National Register See continuation sheet	on Date Signature of the Keep		Date of Action

5. Classification				
Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply) √ private	Category of Property (Check only one box) □building (s)		Resources within previously listed resource Noncontributing	es in the count)
□ public-local □ public-State □ public-Federal	√ district □ site □ structure □ object		76	sites
		195	76	objects Total
Name of related multiple p (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a	roperty listing multiple property listing).	Number of contribute National Regis		reviously listed i
N/A		N/A		
6. Function or Use				
Historic Functions (Enter categories from instructions) DOMESTIC / single dwellin DOMESTIC/multiple dwellin INDUSTRY/PROCESSING/		Current Functions (Enter categories from inst DOMESTIC / single DOMESTIC/ multip INDUSTRY/PROCE	e dwelling le dwelling	ION: waterworks
7. Description				
Architectural Classification MODERN MOVEMENT: Rai		Materials (Enter categories from inst foundation Concre walls Brick, Burnt roof Asphalt Shing Clay Tile other	te Adobe Iles, Polymer Coa	

Narrative Description (Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 1

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

SUMMARY OF DESCRIPTION

Located in central Tucson, Arizona, the Winterhaven Historic District is made up of 265 residences that are notable examples of the modern ranch style. These homes are set within a neighborhood that is unique in Tucson for its park-like atmosphere which is created by green, non-native landscaping that lines the wide, curving streets. This makes Winterhaven distinct from both its immediate neighbors and from other neighborhoods in Tucson as a whole.

Winterhaven's layout is oriented to the automobile and its arrangement of wide, curving streets dictates a leisurely pace when progressing through the neighborhood. Winterhaven's dominant use of green lawns and non-native trees creates a Midwestern environment that is unique in Tucson. The neighborhood's green vegetation creates a visual cohesiveness throughout the neighborhood. Winterhaven residences are fronted by lawns that extend to the street, blending individual lots together and creating a park-like setting. This lush environment is made possible by Winterhaven's private water system, which consists of three wells that provide water for household and irrigation use in the neighborhood.

The overall cohesiveness of the Winterhaven Historic District also stems from the architecture of its modern ranch style residences. This architectural cohesiveness is due to the neighborhood's review process, which ensured that all of the neighborhood's residences would be architecturally compatible. Thus Winterhaven's residences exhibit a high level of uniformity that extends to each home's overall form, floor plan, architectural features and building materials. However this design vocabulary is employed in a number of combinations that give the neighborhood's ranch homes variety. The distinctive ranch style features common throughout Winterhaven include low, horizontal massing, asymmetrical facades and carports.

Within the district are 265 residences and 3 well-sites, for a total of 268 properties. Of these, 189 residences and all 3 well-sites are considered contributing properties and 76 residences are considered to be non-contributors.

DESCRIPTION

Location

The Winterhaven Historic District is located in Tucson, Arizona, 65 miles north of the United States border with Sonora, Mexico. Tucson is located within the Santa Cruz River Valley, which belongs to Arizona's Sonoran Desert. Winterhaven is located in central Tucson, northeast of downtown and the University of Arizona.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 2


WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Boundaries

Winterhaven is delineated by Prince Road on the north, Fort Lowell Road on the south, Tucson Boulevard on the west and Country Club Road on its east. It is also bordered by four neighborhoods: Prince-Tucson on the north, La Madera on the south and Richland Heights on the east. Prince-Tucson was largely developed in the 1970s with both single family homes and townhouses. La Madera was developed in the 1950s and contains homes in a variety of architectural styles with small front yards. Richland Heights was also developed in the 1950s and, like Winterhaven, contains many brick residences but they are surrounded by desert landscaping and unpaved streets. Thus each of the neighborhoods surrounding Winterhaven is visually distinctive from it in terms of architecture, lot placement and landscaping.

Neighborhood Layout

Winterhaven's layout is focused inward, providing only four points of entry into the neighborhood. The principal entry in to Winterhaven is from Fort Lowell Road, where it's marked by a decorative sign that was installed in the 1990s. Other means of entry to and from the neighborhood are unmarked. Those residences that line Winterhaven's periphery along Prince, Country Club and Fort Lowell Roads are somewhat isolated from the rest of the internally focused neighborhood but provide the outward expression of the neighborhood on those streets.




Entry into Winterhaven from Fort Lowell Road (Photo by R. Brooks Jeffery)

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 3

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Internally, Winterhaven is defined spatially by its organic network of wide gently curving streets, cul-de-sacs and residences that are placed centrally on their lots. The principal street through the neighborhood is Christmas Avenue which winds through the lower portion of the neighborhood from Fort Lowell Road until it merges with Kleindale Road and continues through the district. This transition of Christmas Avenue into Kleindale Road is the center of the neighborhood, which is marked by a planter that holds a large tree. In all but one case, Winterhaven's streets do not form four-point intersections; instead forming T-intersections that have the effect of slowing down one's journey through the neighborhood. Winterhaven's secondary streets leisurely wind their way through the interior of the neighborhood ending in cul-de-sacs or rounded junctions; an effect that gives the neighborhood a park-like atmosphere.



Sales Model of Winterhaven (Tucson Daily Citizen 8/26/49)

This configuration of curving and meandering streets also serves to create varying lot sizes within the

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 4

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

subdivision, especially at the numerous corners and rounded intersections. Houses on the corner lots are often oriented out towards the intersection rather than onto one of the streets that they front. This creates a variety within Winterhaven's otherwise fairly uniform house placement and serves to visually make the linear rows of centrally placed residences turn the corner onto the next street. Winterhaven's varying lot sizes also allow for an increase in the scale of the homes that are placed on the larger corner lots.

Winterhaven's layout was originally shaped by not only the automobile, but also by drainage issues as Christmas Avenue was designed to be an extension of an existing arroyo (drainage way) that entered the neighborhood across Fort Lowell Road. Water would flow down the center of Christmas Avenue onto Kleindale Road, ultimately reaching its natural drainage across Tucson Boulevard to the west. Winterhaven's specially designed roll-top curbs were designed to allow cars to pull up off the street so that passengers would not have to get wet. Since the late 1950s when storm drains were installed, the street no longer retains its drainage function.


Streetscape

Winterhaven's combination of wide streets lined with large Aleppo pine trees and green lawns creates a Midwestern, park-like setting for the neighborhood. The central lot placement of Winterhaven's homes makes front lawns and driveways the dominant features of the neighborhood from the street. This uniform setback of the residences and the lack of individual property definition, such as fences or walls, allow the front yards to blend together into a continuous landscape of lawns adjacent to the street. This effect reinforces Winterhaven's strong sense of community through the dominance of a harmonious, communal streetscape over the individual residences.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 5

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ



Bentley Avenue Streetscape (Photo by R. Brooks Jeffery)

Land Use

Winterhaven is primarily a district of single family residences; although a few multifamily residences are located at the periphery of the neighborhood on Country Club and Fort Lowell roads. The majority of these multifamily residences are architecturally similar to Winterhaven's single-family homes. Lots within the neighborhood average 70 to 80 feet across and are 120 to 135 feet deep. However the neighborhood's curving streets create a variety of irregular lot sizes; especially at cul-de-sacs, rounded street corners and at Christmas Avenue where it meets Kleindale Road. Lots and residences in these locations are generally larger that those that front the straighter lengths of streets such as Kleindale and Richards Row.

The center lot placement of Winterhaven's homes provides ample room for a large expanse of lawn in front of each residence. These lawns are bordered on one side by gravel or paved driveways which lead to the residences' carports. Winterhaven's homes have one of two types of entry walkways; the first leads directly from the driveway to the front door, indicating the dominance of the automobile in the arrangement of the neighborhood. The second features a curved or straight walkway across the front lawn from the street leading the visitor to the front entry.

Well Sites and Irrigation

NPS Form 10-900-a (8-86)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 6

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

The Winterhaven Historic District also contains three well sites that supply water for the neighborhood's household and irrigation use. These wells are owned by the residents of the neighborhood and are not connected with the City of Tucson's water system. The three well sites are spread throughout the district; one on Fort Lowell (Fort Lowell Well Site), another just off of Country Club (Country Club Well Site) and one located just west of Forgeus (Ross Well Site). Each of the wells is surrounded by chain-link fencing and they are not publicly accessible. The well sites are positioned so that they are not visible from the interior of the neighborhood.

Winterhaven's irrigation system is composed of in-ground sprinklers that were originally installed in the front yards of each home as they were completed to water lawns and the trees that line the streets. Today the majority of those residences that maintain their lawns still use in-ground sprinkler systems connected to the neighborhood's three wells.

Residential Architecture

The ranch style residences in Winterhaven share a number of characteristics. All are single story, asymmetrical, rectilinear forms with the broad side facing the street and attached or integrated carports. While there is a unified architectural vocabulary in Winterhaven, it is employed in slightly different ways in individual houses that allows the neighborhood to have some variety.



Ranch Style Home in Winterhaven (Site 49)

NPS Form 10-900-a (8-86) OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 7

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

All of Winterhaven's homes are defined by their low rectilinear forms and they commonly have rectangular or L-shaped floor plans. Their roofs are all relatively low in keeping with the horizontal character of ranch style homes. Roof forms include gable, cross pitched gable or hipped arranged at low or medium pitches. Those homes with gable roofs often feature horizontal or vertical wood siding at their gable ends. Several homes also feature deep roof overhangs and/or exposed end-beams.

Reflecting the connection between the automobile and the ranch style house, nearly all of Winterhaven's residences originally contained a carport. These carports fall into one of several types; integrated, attached and a small number of detached carports. Integrated carports are defined as being an extension of the home's roofline. Attached carports are distinguished by a separate roof form or ridge line from the rest of the house but still connected to it. Detached carports are entirely separate from the main residence. These carports all are supported by metal or wood decorative columns and/or brick sidewalls. There were a few original garages (enclosed with a garage door), but many original carports have been subsequently filled in and have became enclosed garages.

There is also a variation in the types of front entries into the homes. The principal types are: recessed entries, recessed entries with integrated entry porches, wide porches and those homes with no recessed entry or entry porch. Recessed entries are those homes which feature an entry that is slightly set back from the main body of the house. Those that feature recessed entry with integrated entry porch vary from a small to large roof extension over the door. Homes with wide porches are defined as those in which the porch extends over one third or more of the front façade. Winterhaven's front porches are usually supported by thin metal or wooden decorative columns.

Winterhaven's homes are visually unified by a rather limited palette of materials used throughout the neighborhood. All residences are constructed on concrete slab on grade foundations and are built of brick masonry walls. The masonry walls fall into three types; exposed red brick, exposed burnt adobe (low-fired adobe bricks) or painted brick. Roofs are covered with either asphalt shingles or a built up polymer coating. Some of the asphalt shingled roofs also feature clay tile ridges. Windows found on Winterhaven's residences are fixed and/or operable metal casement. Window Types include large casement (with operable and fixed panes that look like a picture window divided into panes), corner, floor-to-ceiling (with fixed and operable panes), picture (large fixed pane) and ribbon (short horizontal band of operable and fixed panes). Other features used throughout Winterhaven include decorative metal and wood columns, wood shutters and brick chimneys.

Within Winterhaven's modern ranch style residences there are four distinct stylistic subcategories. Most

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 8

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

common is the Traditional Ranch that features low or medium pitched gable or hip roof forms, wide entry porches often supported by metal or wood posts, large casement or picture windows and wood shutters. A second type is the Modern Ranch, which is characterized by corner, floor-to-ceiling or ribbon windows and/or low pitched gable or hipped roof forms. Third are Minimal Ranches, which are distinctive for their simplified form in comparison to the other variations. Their features are integrated carports, straight walkways from the street and the lack of recessed entries, porches or picture windows. The forth type is termed the Transverse Ranch due to its distinctive orientation, which places the narrow end of its rectangular form towards the street. Transverse Ranches exhibit the architectural characteristics of the other variations but by virtue of the dominance of their unique orientation, are considered a distinct subtype. Winterhaven does contain 2 properties that exist outside of these subtypes. This includes a residence that can be defined belonging to the ranch style but does not conform to any of the subtypes listed above and an apartment complex that is classified as having no style for the purposes of this survey.

TABLE OF PROPERTIES

Site	Street Name	Number	Residence Name	Date	Architect	Style	Status
164	Bentley Ave	3310 N	Thomson Residence	1953	Rysdale	Modem	C
166	Bentley Ave	3313 N	Weed Residence	1953	2.1-2.25	Traditional	C
170	Bentley Ave	3316 N	Niesz Residence	1954		Traditional	C
175	Bentley Ave	3319 N	Moke Residence	1954		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
178	Bentley Ave	3324 N	Gannon Residence	1953	Gist	Traditional	C
181	Bentley Ave	3325 N	Snodgrass Residence	1954		Traditional	C
189	Bentley Ave	3331 N	Wiersum Residence	1952	Markham	Traditional	C
191	Bentley Ave	3332 N	Clark Residence	1954		Transverse	C
198	Bentley Ave	3338 N	Layson Residence	1952		Traditional	C
200	Bentley Ave	3339 N	Waring Residence	2005	Markham	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, age
205	Bentley Ave	3346 N	Layson Residence	1951	Sotterley	Traditional	C
207	Bentley Ave	3347 N	Quintel Residence	1952	Ranier Co.	Traditional	С
227	Bentley Ave	3410 N	Northcutt Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
231	Bentley Ave	3415 N	Houy Residence	1952		Modem	NC: Carport modif
235	Bentley Ave	3418 N	Arveson Residence	1951		Traditional	C
241	Bentley Ave	3426 N	White Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
123	Christmas Ave	3202 N	Newcomb Residence	1959		Traditional	NC: Landscaping
124	Christmas Ave	3211 N	Basel Residence	1976		Traditional	NC: Age
125	Christmas Ave	3221 N	Robinson Residence	1951		Traditional	C
126	Christmas Ave	3222 N	Bodwell Residence	1959		Traditional	С
127	Christmas Ave	3231 N	Cenacky Residence	1955		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
128	Christmas Ave	3232 N	Fraesdorf Residence	1949		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, carport modi

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 9

134	Christmas Ave	3239 N	Grondahl Residence	1955		Transverse	NC: Carport modif
136	Christmas Ave	3240 N	Nickelson Residence	1959		Traditional	C
140	Christmas Ave	3247 N	Bucy Residence	1951	Knight	Traditional	С
142	Christmas Ave	3248 N	Boxleiter Residence	1951	3.0	Traditional	С
146	Christmas Ave	3255 N	Bretland Residence	1951	Gordon	Traditional	С
148	Christmas Ave	3256 N	Follett Residence	1951		Traditional	С
150	Christmas Ave	3263 N	Scobie Residence	1953	Gordon	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
154	Christmas Ave	3301 N	Donatelli Residence	1951		Traditional	C
158	Christmas Ave	3302 N	Symore Residence	1952	Rysdale	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
160	Christmas Ave	3308 N	Marcy Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
161	Christmas Ave	3309 N	Harris Residence	1968		Traditional	NC: Age
167	Christmas Ave	3314 N	Loudon Residence	1949		Traditional	C
171	Christmas Ave	3317 N	Mallory Residence	1949	Rysdale	Traditional	С
179	Christmas Ave	3324 N	Shafer Residence	1954	Dobson	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
186	Christmas Ave	3327 N	Byrkit Residence	1955		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
192	Christmas Ave	3334 N	Larson Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
194	Christmas Ave	3335 N	Zumwalt Residence	1953	Decker	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, landscaping
201	Christmas Ave	3341 N	Vana Residence	1951		Traditional	С
206	Christmas Ave	3346 N	Garrett Residence	1951		Traditional	C
208	Christmas Ave	3347 N	Black Residence	1958		Traditional	С
211	Christmas Ave	3353 N	Fraccaro Residence	1953	Perret	Traditional	С
213	Christmas Ave	3354 N	Horton Residence	1953	Cain	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, carport modif
214	Christmas Ave	3357 N	Eillis Residence	1957	Omburg	Traditional	С
217	Christmas Ave	3363 N	Garrett Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	NC: Landscaping
155	Country Club	3301 N	Schmitt Residence	1958		Traditional	С
162	Country Club	3309 N	Vicari Residence	1957		Traditional	C ,
172	Country Club	3317 N	Palmer Residence	1957	J. C. R.	Transverse	C
222	Country Club	3391 N	Seyb Residence	1961		Traditional	С
224	Country Club	3409 N	Hudson Residence	1954		Transverse	С
232	Country Club	3417 N	Sablich Residence	1952		Traditional	С
239	Country Club	3425 N	Lance Residence	1952		Traditional	С
246	Country Club	3433 N	Perry Residence	1958		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
44	Farr St	2730 E	Kneisel Residence	1955		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
45	Farr St	2737 E	Beyer Residence	1956		Traditional	C
46	Farr St	2738 E	Ostrander Residence	1955		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
47	Farr St	2746 E	Minitz Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Carport modif, alt to facade
50	Farr St	2802 E	Wilson Residence	1954		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
56	Farr St	2810 E	Rietz Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	С
63	Farr St	2818 E	Brown Residence	1951	1.30	Traditional	C
86	Farr St	2910 E	Williams Residence	1952		Traditional	c ·
90	Farr St	2911 E	McCarthy Residence	1951		Modem	C

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 10

97	Farr St	2920 E	Williams Residence	1953	Tang	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
98	Farr St	2924 E	Simon Residence	1958	Pelta	Traditional	С
177	Forgeus Ave	3323 N	McGothlin Residence	1957		Traditional	C
180	Forgeus Ave	3324 N	Roberts Residence	1955	Murphy	Traditional	C
182	Forgeus Ave	3325 N	Deam Residence	1956		Traditional	C
188	Forgeus Ave	3330 N	Ryan Residence	1956		Traditional	C
190	Forgeus Ave	3331 N	Arveson Residence	1957		Traditional	С
197	Forgeus Ave	3337 N	Roberts Residence	1952		Traditional	С
199	Forgeus Ave	3338 N	Clifton Residence	1952		Traditional	C
203	Forgeus Ave	3345 N	Mesenberg Residence	1953		Traditional	C
209	Forgeus Ave	3348 N	Hostetter Residence	1953		Modem	NC: Carport modif
212	Forgeus Ave	3353 N	Faber Residence	1951		Traditional	C
216	Forgeus Ave	3361 N	Jonker Residence	1951		Traditional	C
218	Forgeus Ave	3366 N	Mearls Residence	1958	Schibley	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
219	Forgeus Ave	3367 N	Kiewit Residence	1951	Tech	Traditional	C
220	Forgeus Ave	3368 N	Brownson Residence	1954		Traditional	C
221	Forgeus Ave	3373 N	Davidson Residence	1954	Anicka	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
228	Forgeus Ave	3410 N	McFadden Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
230	Forgeus Ave	3411 N	Mathew Residence	1952		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
236	Forgeus Ave	3418 N	Pye Residence	1956		Traditional	C
238	Forgeus Ave	3419 N	Elliot Residence	1952		Traditional	C
242	Forgeus Ave	3426 N	McAuliffe Residence	1951		Traditional	С
244	Forgeus Ave	3427 N	Cooper Residence	1952		Traditional	C
247	Forgeus Ave	3433 N	Wright Residence	1952		Traditional	C
250	Forgeus Ave	3434 N	Fahr Residence	1951		Traditional	С
252	Forgeus Ave	3501 N	Zieber Residence	1952		Traditional	C .
255	Forgeus Ave	3502 N	Barr Residence	1952		Traditional	C
257	Forgeus Ave	3509 N	Morris Residence	1954	Knight	Traditional	С
260	Forgeus Ave	3510 N	Bigelow Residence	1951	10,20	Traditional	C
262	Forgeus Ave	3517 N	Anderson Residence	1952		Traditional	С
265	Forgeus Ave	3525 N	Ross Residence	1952	Luepke	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, carport modif
223	Fox Ave	3401 N	Euler Residence	1952		Traditional	С
225	Fox Ave	3409 N	Gillard Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	С
229	Fox Ave	3410 N	Griffin Residence	1951		Traditional	C
233	Fox Ave	3417 N	Gerard Residence	1953		Traditional	С
237	Fox Ave	3418 N	Treece Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, carport modif
243	Fox Ave	3426 N	Ambacher Residence	1952	Knight	Traditional	С
245	Fox Ave	3427 N	Jackson Residence	1957		Traditional	С
248	Fox Ave	3433 N	Smith Residence	1952	Knight	Traditional	С
251	Fox Ave	3434 N	Fletcher Residence	1953	Gordon & Knight	Traditional	c -
253	Fox Ave	3501 N	Schuyler Residence	1952	Gordon	Traditional	C

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 11

256	Fox Ave	3502 N	Brott Residence	1952	Gordon	Traditional	С
258	Fox Ave	3509 N	Cowley Residence	1951	13773	Traditional	C
261	Fox Ave	3510 N	McCurry Residence	1951	Shelly	Traditional	C
264	Fox Ave	3518 N	Jackson Residence	1953	Dobson	Traditional	NC: Landscaping
31	Ft Lowell Rd	2633-2631 E		1954		Traditional	C
32	Ft Lowell Rd	2643-2651 E		1955		Traditional	C
33	Ft Lowell Rd	2653-2651 E	Casa de Reposo	1955		Minimal	C
37	Ft Lowell Rd	2703-2701 E	Casa de Reposo	1955		Minimal	C
41	Ft Lowell Rd	2713-2711 E		1956		Traditional	C
43	Ft Lowell Rd	2723-2721 E		1956		Traditional	C
59	Ft Lowell Rd	2811 E	Winterhaven Ranch Apts	1980	Burton-Wright	Other	NC: Age
73	Ft Lowell Rd	2833 E	Fort Lowell Well Site		Secretary and the secretary an	0.000	C
78	Hardy PI	2901 E	Van Slyke Residence	1955	May - Choate	Modern	NC: Alt to facade
81	Hardy PI	2902 E	Horshy Residence	1952		Traditional	C
87	Hardy PI	2910 E	Holaway Residence	1952		Traditional	C
91	Hardy PI	2917 E	King Residence	1957	Norby, Ragel	Traditional	C
94	Hardy PI	2918 E	Illule Residence	1951	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Minimal	C
101	Hardy PI	2926 E	Childers Residence	1952		Minimal	C
107	Hardy PI	3001 E	Jones Residence	1951	Tang	Modern	C
110	Hardy PI	3002 E	Dirst Residence	1953		Minimal	C
113	Hardy PI	3009 E	Smith Residence	1953		Traditional	C
116	Hardy PI	3013 E	Legerwood Residence	1953	Polacek	Modem	C
1	Kleindale Rd	2501 E	Freeman Residence	1965		Other	NC: Age
2	Kleindale Rd	2502 E	Neely Residence	1953		Traditional	C
3	Kleindale Rd	2509 E	Jackman Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
4	Kleindale Rd	2510 E	Mattson Residence	1954		Traditional	C .
5	Kleindale Rd	2516 E	Markham Residence	1952		Traditional	C
6	Kleindale Rd	2517 E	Barnes Residence	1952		Modem	C
7	Kleindale Rd	2524 E	Partridge Residence	1951		Modern	C
8	Kleindale Rd	2525 E	Stigers Residence	1952		Traditional	C
9	Kleindale Rd	2532 E	Wolf Residence	1953		Modern	C
10	Kleindale Rd	2533 E	Goodwin Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Landscaping
11	Kleindale Rd	2540 E	Runkle Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
12	Kleindale Rd	2541 E	McDonald Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Carport modif, alt to facade
13	Kleindale Rd	2548 E	David Residence	1953	Hastings	Traditional	C
14	Kleindale Rd	2549 E	Cowling Residence	1954	, inclining o	Traditional	C
15	Kleindale Rd	2557 E	Wertz Residence	1952		Traditional	C
16	Kleindale Rd	2564 E	Wagner Residence	1959		Traditional	C
17	Kleindale Rd	2565 E	Wells Residence	1952		Traditional	NC: Carport modification
18	Kleindale Rd	2566 E	Edwards Residence	1954		Modern	C -
19	Kleindale Rd	2601 E	Marcy Residence	1954	Kramer	Traditional	C

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 12

-				-32			
24	Kleindale Rd	2610 E	Robertson Residence	1951		Traditional	С
34	Kleindale Rd	2701 E	Woodson Residence	1955	Rysdale	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, carport modif
38	Kleindale Rd	2709 E	Roberson Residence	1954	Dobson	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, carport modif
48	Kleindale Rd	2801 E	McLeod Residence	1954		Modern	NC: Alt to facade, carport modif
52	Kleindale Rd	2806 E	Scott Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, carport modif
53	Kleindale Rd	2809 E	McDougal Residence	1949		Traditional	C
61	Kleindale Rd	2817 E	Browne Residence	1951		Modem	C
64	Kleindale Rd	2818 E	Swain Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade, landscaping
68	Kleindale Rd	2825 E	Moyle Residence	1949	Rysdale	Traditional	NC: Landscaping
70	Kleindale Rd	2826 E	Coffin Residence	1951		Traditional	C
74	Kleindale Rd	2833 E	Bazel Residence	1951		Traditional	C
76	Kleindale Rd	2834 E	McGrorey Residence	1951	Platt	Traditional	C
79	Kleindale Rd	2901 E	Peters Residence	1951		Traditional	C
83	Kleindale Rd	2904 E	Carruth Residence	1951		Traditional	C
84	Kleindale Rd	2909 E	Bloom Residence	1951		Traditional	C
88	Kleindale Rd	2910 E	Bambauer Residence	1951		Traditional	C
92	Kleindale Rd	2917 E	Bolag Residence	1951	Lawrence & Hazen	Traditional	C
95	Kleindale Rd	2918 E	Forsyth Residence	1953		Traditional	C
99	Kleindale Rd	2925 E	Callas Residence	1951	Lawrence & Hazen	Traditional	C
102	Kleindale Rd	2926 E	Bird Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	С
104	Kleindale Rd	2933 E	Milburn Residence	1952	A Menne	Traditional	C
108	Kleindale Rd	3001 E	Burkholder Residence	1955	Cain	Transverse	C
111	Kleindale Rd	3002 E	Belt Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
114	Kleindale Rd	3009 E	Turner Residence	1951	Address	Traditional	C
118	Kleindale Rd	3018 E	Tweedy Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
119	Kleindale Rd	3024 E	Paul Residence	1952		Traditional	C .
120	Kleindale Rd	3025 E	Bethel Residence	1954	Gordon	Traditional	C
121	Kleindale Rd	3030 E	Hollis Residence	1951	72/22/	Traditional	C
122	Kleindale Rd	3037 E	Janten Residence	1950	Fuller	Traditional	C
54	McKenzie St	2809 E	Baker Residence	1957	Mote & Miller	Minimal	NC: Carport modif
57	McKenzie St	2810 E	Stein Residence	1951		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
62	McKenzie St	2817 E	Hoagland Residence	1951	Gordon	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
65	McKenzie St	2818 E	Morton Residence	1949	Sciaci	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
67	McKenzie St	2823 E	Bildstein Residence	1952		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
72	McKenzie St	2831 E	Henry Residence	1949	Rysdale	Traditional	C
21	Prince Rd	2602 E	Evans Residence	1952	Rysdale	Traditional	c
25	Prince Rd	2610 E	Eades Residence	1950	Journo	Traditional	C
26	Prince Rd	2618 E	Merritt Residence	1952	Thunderbird Co.	Traditional	C
27	Prince Rd	2622 E	Rocheford Residence	1955	Rysdale	Traditional	C
30	Prince Rd	2628 E	DuBois Residence	1952	Rysdale	Traditional	c ·
36	Prince Rd	2702 E	Manske Residence	1952	Tysuale	Traditional	C

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 13

40	Prince Rd	2710 E	Peake Residence	1952	Thunderbird Co.	Traditional	С
42	Prince Rd	2718 E	Murphy Residence	1952		Traditional	С
49	Richards Row	2801 E	Stillman Residence	1960	C. B. J.	Minimal	С
51	Richards Row	2802 E	Boyer Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	С
55	Richards Row	2809 E	Kennett Residence	1951		Traditional	C
58	Richards Row	2810 E	Sweeney Residence	1952		Traditional	С
60	Richards Row	2815 E	Cook Residence	1953	A. L. G.	Traditional	С
66	Richards Row	2818 E	Junius Residence	1951	Paul	Traditional	С
69	Richards Row	2825 E	Flint Residence	1952		Traditional	NC: Carport modif, landscaping
71	Richards Row	2826 E	Williams Residence	1952		Traditional	С
75	Richards Row	2833 E	Bantlin Residence	1951		Traditional	C
77	Richards Row	2836 E	Goss Residence	1972	McDougal	Traditional	NC: Age
80	Richards Row	2901 E	Smith Residence	1949		Traditional	C
82	Richards Row	2902 E	Butler Residence	1952	Associated Homes	Modern	C
85	Richards Row	2909 E	Pauley Residence	1952	Platt	Traditional	C
89	Richards Row	2910 E	Satterwaite Residence	1952	Gist	Traditional	C
93	Richards Row	2917 E	DuPlain Residence	1951	Griffith	Traditional	NC: Landscaping
96	Richards Row	2918 E	Hersey Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	С
100	Richards Row	2925 E	Krueger Residence	1952	Rysdale	Traditional	C
103	Richards Row	2926 E	Irish Residence	1951		Traditional	C
105	Richards Row	2933 E	Ramsey Residence	1951		Minimal	C
106	Richards Row	2934 E	Jackson Residence	1952	McDougall	Traditional	С
109	Richards Row	3001 E	Clark Residence	1952	Clark Jr.	Minimal	C
112	Richards Row	3002 E	Zwyghuizen Residence	1951	Zwyghuizen	Traditional	C
115	Richards Row	3009 E	Jensen Residence	1954	7.0	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
117	Richards Row	3017 E	Barr Residence	1953	Rysdale	Traditional	C
129	Stewart Ave	3232 N	Herbranson Residence	1952		Traditional	C
132	Stewart Ave	3238 N	Thrall Residence	1954		Transverse	C
135	Stewart Ave	3239 N	King Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
138	Stewart Ave	3244 N	Black Residence	1954		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
141	Stewart Ave	3247 N	Ross Residence	1953		Traditional	C
143	Stewart Ave	3250 N	Buchanan Residence	1949	Rysdale	Traditional	C
147	Stewart Ave	3255 N	Daverin Residence	1952		Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
151	Stewart Ave	3263 N	Staugaad Residence	1955	Perret	Traditional	С
156	Stewart Ave	3301 N	King Residence	1949		Minimal	C
163	Stewart Ave	3309 N	Anderson Residence	1952		Traditional	C
168	Stewart Ave	3314 N	Thomas Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Landscaping
173	Stewart Ave	3317 N	Mitchell Residence	1952		Traditional	С
176	Stewart Ave	3320 N	Cable Residence	1957		Transverse	C
183	Stewart Ave	3325 N	Palmer Residence	1954		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
187	Stewart Ave	3328 N	Brown Residence	1951		Modern	NC: Carport modif

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 7 Page 14

	96	Stewart Ave	3336 N	Bloom Residence	1954	Rysdale	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
	26	Treat Ave	3409 N	Vezzetti Residence	1951		Traditional	С
2	34	Treat Ave	3417 N	Shelley Residence	1952		Traditional	C
2	240	Treat Ave	3425 N	Bahti Residence	1952		Modem	NC: Landscaping
2	49	Treat Ave	3433 N	Haden Residence	1952		Traditional	С
2	54	Treat Ave	3501 N	Stine Residence	1953		Traditional	NC: Carport modif
2	259	Treat Ave	3509 N	McGuire Residence	1951		Modem	С
2	63	Treat Ave	3517 N	Dawson Residence	1951	Rysdale	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
2	66	Treat Ave	3533 N	Driscoll Residence	1957		Traditional	С
1	30	Treat Cir	3232 N	Trump Residence	1949		Traditional	С
1	31	Treat Cir	3233 N	White Residence	1954		Traditional	С
1	33	Treat Cir	3238 N	Busby Residence	1952		Traditional	С
1	37	Treat Cir	3240 N	Harris Residence	1957		Traditional	C
1	39	Treat Cir	3245 N	Bray Residence	1949		Traditional	C
1	44	Treat Cir	3251 N	Roinestad Residence	1953		Traditional	C
1	45	Treat Cir	3254 N	Brackett Residence	1969		Traditional	NC: Age
1	49	Treat Cir	3257 N	Meyer Residence	1959	Peck & Assoc.	Traditional	NC: Alt to facade
1	52	Treat Cir	3263 N	Christensen Residence	1957	Peck & Assoc.	Transverse	C
1	53	Treat Cir	3264 N	Longshaw Residence	1969		Traditional	NC: Age
1	57	Treat Cir	3301 N	Coates Residence	1949		Traditional	C
1	59	Treat Cir	3302 N	Medina Residence	1960		Transverse	C
1	65	Treat Cir	3310 N	Rashley Residence	1951		Traditional	C
1	69	Treat Cir	3315 N	Clark Residence	1953		Modern	NC: Carport modif, landscaping
1	74	Treat Cir	3318 N	McCarthy Residence	1954	Busby & Carroll	Minimal	C
1	84	Treat Cir	3325 N	Martignoni Residence	1954	Contract Con	Traditional	NC: Carport modif
1	85	Treat Cir	3326 N	Crooks Residence	1952		Traditional	C
1	93	Treat Cir	3334 N	Grant Residence	1951	Gist	Traditional	C
1	95	Treat Cir	3335 N	Balog Residence	1951		Traditional	C
2	02	Treat Cir	3342 N	Ellinger Residence	1953		Traditional	С
2	04	Treat Cir	3345 N	Crofoot Residence	1953		Traditional	С
2	10	Treat Cir	3350 N	Crofoot Residence	1949		Traditional	NC: Landscaping
2	15	Treat Cir	3358 N	Casenhiser Residence	1952		Traditional	С
2	0	Windsor St	2601 E	Dufresne Residence	1955	Ayers	Traditional	С
2	2	Windsor St	2602 E	Belknap Residence	1954	Rysdale	Traditional	С
2	3	Windsor St	2609 E	Muirhead Residence	1951	Tang	Traditional	С
2	8	Windsor St	2622 E	Roszko Residence	1951	Tang	Traditional	NC: Landscaping
2	9	Windsor St	2625 E	Luke Residence	1953		Traditional	C
3	5	Windsor St	2701 E	Bettersworth Residence	1952		Traditional	C
	9	Windsor St	2709 E	Smart Residence	1951		Traditional	c
2	67		7137.T	Country Club Well Site			1145,05	c ·
2	68			Ross Well Site				C

8. Sta	tement of Significance					
(Mark "	cable National Register Criteria x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for I Register listing)	Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions) Community Planning and Development,				
√ A	Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.	Architecture				
□В	Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.					
√c	Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.	Period of Significance 1949-1961				
□ D	Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.	Significant Dates 1949				
44200000000	ria Considerations x" in all the boxes that apply.)					
Prope A	erty is: owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes. removed from its original location. a birthplace or a grave.	Significant Person (Complete if Criterion B is marked above) N/A				
□ D □ E □ F □ G	a cemetery. a reconstructed building, object, or structure. a commemorative property. less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.	Cultural Affiliation N/A				
	ntive Statement of Significance n the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)	Architect/Builder Anne Jackson Rysdale				
	jor Bibliographical References					
(Cite t	ography the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing ious documentation on file (NPS); preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested.	this form on one or more continuation sheets.) Primary Location of Additional Data: √ State historic preservation office □ Other state agency				
00 0	previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National Register	 □ Federal agency √ Local government √ University 				
	designated a National Historic Landmark recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey #	√ Other Name of Repository: UA Arizona Architectural Archives; Arizona Historical				
	recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #	Society/Tucson; Winterhaven Homeowner's Association				

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 15

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

NARRATIVE STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

Summary

Winterhaven Historic District is significant for its association with National Register Criteria A: Community Planning and Development and C: Architecture.

Under Criterion A, Winterhaven is a Tucson example of the nationwide trend towards post-war suburban community planning that was centered upon the automobile. Winterhaven's developer C.B. Richards sought to build more than homes, rather he strived to design a new way of life for residents based upon the values of upper middle class Midwesterners. Winterhaven is unique in Tucson for its combination of irregularly shaped lots, curving streets and non-native, green vegetation that is based upon the Midwest rather than the local desert environment.

Under **Criterion C**, Winterhaven is architecturally significant as a contiguous collection of ranch style houses divided into four stylistic variations: Traditional Ranch, Modern Ranch, Minimal Ranch and Transverse Ranch. However all of these sub-styles share common Ranch Style architectural features that work together to define the neighborhood's cohesive architectural image. Winterhaven is also significant because it contains a sizable concentration of work by the architect Anne Jackson Rysdale, who was among the first female architects practicing in Tucson and in Arizona.

Winterhaven's period of significance is defined as 1949 to 1961. This encompasses the period from the establishment of the Winterhaven subdivision until the time when the majority of the neighborhood's residences had been completed that are associated with the defined themes of significance.

Criterion A: Community Development and Planning in Tucson 1949-1961

Twentieth Century Residential Planning and Development in Tucson

In 1880, Tucson began an intense period of physical change spurred by the arrival of the railroad that year from California, an event that ended the city's isolation and transformed it from a remote territorial outpost into a bustling regional center. This process also accelerated the change in the town's architectural character from a Mexican into an American one. The railroad brought numerous new residents who came in search of new business opportunities and to benefit from Tucson's warm, dry climate. However the railroad brought not only newcomers to Tucson but also brought in new material goods that transformed the face of the city to suit its new residents.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 16

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

The arrival of the railroad made it practical to import previously prohibitively expensive building materials such as brick and lumber in significant quantities (Harte, 1980: 63). This resulted in not only the construction of new homes but also in the transformation of the city's existing adobe residences into Eastern and Midwestern styles. High pitched roofs, additional stories, verandas and gingerbread ornament were applied to Tucson's low adobe homes. The result was that some homes were a stylistic mixture of the city's older Mexican and Territorial styles with American ones (Jeffery, 2002: 52). Newly Americanized homes along existing streets such as North Main Avenue were now set back from the street behind green lawns (Harte, 1980: 63).

The 1885 allocation of a university proved to be another benefit to Tucson's economy. Tucson did not initially seek out or even want such an institution but it was given out by the Territorial Legislature as a compensation for the city's loss of its status as territorial capital to Prescott. In 1891 the University of Arizona officially opened in the building presently known as Old Main (Sonnichsen, 1982: 138). The University had been established in an undeveloped area a half-mile to the northeast of the city's core and became a magnet for growth as new development filled in the space between it and the business district (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 21).

The expansion of the streetcar system in the following years between downtown and the University encouraged residential development along these new routes. These new neighborhoods were built according to the plan adopted upon the city's incorporation in 1872, which was the American grid system of streets and blocks (Jeffery, 2002: 52). This grid system was seen as the most efficient for the division of property and the most profitable for selling lots. Among these new neighborhoods were the middle class Armory Park on the south side of downtown, the affluent Snob Hollow on the north end of downtown and West University between downtown and the University of Arizona. (Jeffery, 2002: 52). These early streetcar neighborhoods were notable for their proximity to both downtown and newly developing neighborhood commercial districts.

These new neighborhoods included homes by Tucson's first generation of trained architects. Foremost among them was Henry C. Trost who skillfully combined Mission Revival architectural features with the type of ornament made famous by the Chicago architect Louis Sullivan. Trost completed a number of significant homes along North Main Street and in the Armory Park and West University neighborhoods.

Arizona became a state in 1912 and its economy prospered in the years before World War I. The automobile which had been introduced to Tucson in 1899 facilitated the continued residential expansion of the town to the east, north and west (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 21-22). The Santa Cruz River however served as a barrier to further development on the west side of downtown and promoted further eastward and northern expansion.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 17

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

As the city entered into the 1920s, it began its most prosperous period of development, what historian C.L. Sonnichsen referred to as its "Gold-plated Decade." During this time Tucson became a popular health and tourist destination. Tucson's was known as a health destination for its clean, dry air and warm climate which appealed to those suffering from respiratory diseases. However individuals with these diseases were socially undesirable and the city's leaders also wanted to attract the more respectable (and lucrative) winter tourist trade. To better promote Tucson as a tourist destination, a group of local businessman formed the Tucson Sunshine Climate Club in 1922. Since air conditioning was not yet available, the club focused on marketing the area's mild climate to attract visitors during the winter season, although it later advertised a longer mid-fall to late spring season (Harte, 1980: 105). In its first twenty-eight years of operation the Tucson Sunshine Climate Club brought in 24,448 tourists to Tucson who contributed \$275 million dollars to the local economy.

By 1922, the Tucson Sunshine Climate Club and the Tucson Chamber of Commerce (established in 1896) realized that in order to attract a profitable tourist trade, Tucson needed a new first class resort hotel. Tucson's major downtown hotel, the Santa Rita was nearly 20 years old and other downtown hotels were geared more towards business travelers than vacationers (Harte, 1980: 105). Since by 1925 no outside investor had yet proposed a new hotel, the Chamber organized the Tucson Hotel Committee and began the planning for one. The committee attracted the needed investment and was able to purchase 120 acres of open desert east of Country Club Road, north of Broadway (Harte, 1980: 106). The El Conquistador Hotel finally opened in November of 1928, built to the designs of Arizona first registered female architect, Annie Graham Rockfellow in a mixture Mission and Spanish Colonial Revival styles. Although the El Conquistador ultimately proved to be too small to be profitable and was eventually demolished, it did promote additional eastward residential expansion.

As was evidenced in the design of the El Conquistador Hotel, Tucson had architecturally moved away from imported Eastern and Midwestern styles and by the 1920s had embraced a Southwestern architectural image (Jeffery, 2002: 52). This gave the city an exotic image based upon a heavily romanticized (and often imaginary) vision of the city's Southwestern heritage that was skillfully exploited as another means to attract new residents and visitors. Romanticized period revival styles that had been popularized by the 1915 Panama-California Exposition in San Diego such as the Spanish Colonial Revival, Mission Revival and Pueblo Revival began to appear in Tucson. Local architects such as Josias Joesler, Henry Jaastad and Merritt Starkweather rose to prominence during this time designing homes in these period revival styles for Tucson neighborhoods.

As Tucson's population continued to grow, new neighborhoods began to spring up out in the desert further and further from the city limits, especially on the east side of the University. These new developments were appealing because they offered their residents relative peace and quiet from the booming city (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 26). Among the most notable of these developments was University Manor (now commonly

NPS Form 10-900-a (8-86)

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 18

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

known as Sam Hughes after the neighborhood's elementary school). Largely developed in the 1920s, Sam Hughes continued to follow Tucson's established grid plan. The neighborhood is filled with residences in a variety of eclectic period revival styles.

In time the traditional grid type plan become firmly associated with middle class living with relatively small lots and equally modest houses (Jeffery, 2002: 52). Developers who wished to attract more affluent homebuyers sought to distinguish their properties by offering alternatives to this type of plan. Two subdivisions constructed in 1928, El Encanto and Colonia Solana; were the first in Tucson to employ a layout of curving streets that sharply contrasted the earlier grid plan. El Encanto Estates and Colonia Solana were designed as part of a larger development that included the neighboring El Conquistador Hotel and the Tucson Country Club. These amenities were designed to offer wealthy homeowners the luxuries of estate living outside of the city limits (Jeffery, 2002: 52).

El Encanto Estates and Colonia Solana were representative of speculative developments where a developer would purchase a large tract of land and develop it before homebuyers had been found. One way of attracting homeowners was through the creation of a marketing image. In Colonia Solana, which was designed by prominent California landscape architect Stephen Child; this image was based upon an estate lifestyle in a rural desert setting. Later speculative developments in Tucson such as Winterhaven would also use this method of creating a distinctive image to market themselves to potential homebuyers.

Both subdivisions appealed to affluent homebuyers for their deed restrictions and covenants, an arrangement that was first used in Tucson in 1915 (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 26). This was a way in which private developers could tightly regulate the construction and property uses within their subdivisions in the years before zoning regulations. After zoning regulations came into effect for incorporated and unincorporated areas in Tucson in 1950's, these deed restrictions continued to be popular because they were legal controls that were not under political jurisdiction (Colonia Solana National Register Nomination, 8). Deed restrictions were an attractive amenity to homebuyers because they helped to insure a continuation of property values and were a protection of the investment they had placed in their home. Unfortunately in addition to regulating the appearance of the neighborhood, these deed restrictions also excluded residents of certain religious and of non-white racial backgrounds.

El Encanto Estates and Colonia Solana were Tucson's first successful examples of comprehensive community planning. While both the El Encanto Estates and Colonia Solana subdivisions departed from the traditional grid pattern, they went about this in two different ways. El Encanto Estates was laid out in 1929 with a symmetrical, curvilinear plan of streets radiating outwards from a circular desert park located at the

NPS Form 10-900-a (8-86)

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 19

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

neighborhood's center, a plan that was influenced by the City Beautiful Movement. This gave the neighborhood a focus and a distinctly internal orientation; features that were later seen in post World War II subdivisions such as Catalina Vista and Winterhaven. Colonia Solana, which was subdivided a few months before El Encanto, featured a layout that accommodated existing landscape features into its plan. Instead of regrading the entire area, two existing arroyos were retained and incorporated. Colonia Solana also retained and enhanced its natural desert setting and non-native landscaping was used sparingly (El Encanto National Register Nomination, 9). El Encanto in contrast employed lawns and a more formal arrangement of non-native landscaping to complement its formal layout.

The building materials and style of the residences in El Encanto Estates were also dictated by its deed restrictions and an architectural review process. This limited homes to certain styles; most notably Spanish Colonial Revival, to ensure architectural compatibility and to create visual unity within the neighborhood. Colonia Solana's deed restrictions did not dictate specific architectural styles; rather they indicated a minimum allowable value for the neighborhood's homes (Colonia Solana National Register Nomination, 8). However the style of homes built in Colonia Solana mirrored the popular styles that were also found in El Encanto. Both subdivisions ultimately developed into harmonious mixtures of period revival and modern ranch style residences.

The depression put an end to speculative developments in Tucson and the city sustained itself largely on tourism (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 30). The post-war boom revived Tucson's economy in the late 1940s and the city began its rapid shift from small town to bustling metropolis. The city's economy benefited from the expansion of Davis-Mothan Air Force base, the construction of a defense plant and a huge influx of new residents. This initiated a postwar building boom that saw 5000 new homes constructed in 1948 (Sonnichsen, 278). These new homes housed the thousands of veterans who been sent to the area for training or passed through during the War decided to settle permanently in the city. Tucson embraced these new residents, feeling that such growth could only benefit the stature and "progress" of the city (Sonnichsen, 1982: 280).

Tucson's population grew from 45,454 in 1950 to 212,892 by 1960 (Sonnichsen, 1982: 280). This astonishing rate of growth created a critical housing shortage and new subdivisions were constantly being built, extending further out from the city's core. Tucsonans were not opposed to driving into town from their new, more distant homes each day to work in exchange for the luxury of living in the suburbs. They also embraced the novelty of the new shopping centers that brought the activity of buying needed goods closer to their suburban homes. This inevitability resulted in the decline of the city's core as Tucson's geographic center shifted to the east.

Many of Tucson's post-war subdivisions were constructed with housing loans insured by the Federal Housing

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 20

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Administration (FHA). The FHA dictated that builders follow prescribed guidelines that were meant to insure quality construction (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 35-36). However these guidelines also shaped the basic form of the home and encouraged highly efficient, standardized designs. Homes began to be built with pre-made and standardized parts along in an assembly line process. The style of home that best fit the FHA's standards was the modern ranch style house that had been developed in California. Soon the ranch house replaced the Spanish Colonial Revival as the dominant architectural image of Tucson's neighborhoods. Unfortunately, the drive to achieve ever greater efficiency and the influx of out of town builders that did not take in to account local character and conditions, contributed to a steep decline in the architectural quality of new homes (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 36).

The Development of Winterhaven

In 1947 the future site of Winterhaven was occupied by the Tucson Fertilizer Company, which was owned by Charles Brady (C.B.) Richards of the Richards Development Company. Richards sought to capitalize on Tucson's post-war boom by redeveloping the site for single-family residences and he discussed these plans with attorney C. Wayne Clampitt and James Reidy. They consulted designer Tony Blanton who advised them that additional land would have to be acquired to form a profitable tract for residential development. Ultimately Richards was able to acquire enough property adjacent to the Tucson Fertilizer Company site to form an initial 87 acre tract (Richards, 1989).

Blanton began the yearlong process of planning and engineering of the Winterhaven subdivision in the spring of 1948 in accordance with the City of Tucson building codes and laws that existed between 1948 and 1950 (Richards, 1989). Although Blanton designed the actual layout of the neighborhood, its character was dictated by C.B. Richards. Richards sought to recreate in Winterhaven what he envisioned as a typical Midwestern environment; one with expansive swaths of lawn and large green trees. Richards felt that constructing Winterhaven in this manner would embody its residents with what he felt were the wholesome values of middle class Midwesterners. Richards was especially inspired by the community of Shaker Heights, Ohio near Cleveland. Incorporated in 1912, Shaker Heights drew on ideas from the Garden City Movement in Britain and emphasized quality architecture and landscape design. Residences were designed to be substantial while at the same time affordable to the middle-class and the neighborhood's streets were lined with large trees. As would be done later at Winterhaven, residences in Shaker Heights had to meet a minimum construction cost and to blend with the overall neighborhood aesthetic.

Winterhaven appropriated a layout of curving streets and irregularly shaped lots that had been used previously in Tucson at the affluent Colonia Solana and El Encanto neighborhoods. However Winterhaven was to be unique in Tucson for the dominance and uniformity of its green landscape, which was dictated by Richards to recreate

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 21

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

the Midwestern environment that he sought to emulate. Winterhaven original plan also included such ultimately unrealized amenities as a community clubhouse, playground, and pool; although it is unclear where these amenities were to be located. The plan also included un-built commercial space along Ft. Lowell in the area presently occupied by Site 124. Richards himself named all of the neighborhood's streets except the one that bore his own name, Richards Row, which was likely named by Tony Blanton (Richards, 1989).

The new subdivision opened for public inspection in August of 1949 with an initial 271 homesites available for the construction of \$9,000 to \$16,000 homes. Ultimately 265 residences were constructed in Winterhaven, due to several homes that occupied more that one lot. William O. Fraesdorf of the Canyon State and Land Company was hired by Richards as the on-site salesman of Winterhaven's lots. Winterhaven was advertised to include lots that were on average 70 to 80 feet across and 120 to 135 feet deep. The neighborhood was also marketed on the basis of its million dollar "distinctive improvements" that included the paved streets, curbs, street lights, water hydrants, parkway landscaping and the right of the residents to operate their own waterworks ("Subdivision to Open Sunday for Inspection," 1949). Other points advertised during the sale of Winterhaven's lots were its access to good schools and adequate bus service.

Winterhaven's first homes were completed in 1949, initiating eight years of consistent home construction. By 1961, all but seven of Winterhaven's 265 residences had been built. Four residences were built in the remaining years of the 1960s, two in the 1970s and the final construction being an apartment complex along Fort Lowell in 1980. Winterhaven's last single family residence was constructed in 1976. The majority of this construction was on scattered lots throughout the neighborhood, the exception being two adjoining residences on Treat Circle built in 1969. Most of the single family residences constructed after 1961 were built consistent with the ranch style typology, though the building forms, materials and details distinguish these homes from the earlier development representing the period of significance. This consistency is largely due to the neighborhood's review process that dictates architecturally harmonious new construction. Thus Winterhaven's ranch houses visually suggest a single period of construction.

C.B. Richards also unified Winterhaven through the enforced use of non-native vegetation. To ensure that Winterhaven residents could maintain the landscaping that was so critical to Richard's vision of a Midwestern neighborhood, he established a private water company for the neighborhood in 1948; the Winterhaven Water and Development Company, Inc. It continues to own and operate the three private wells that together form a self-contained water system for the neighborhood that is completely independent from that of the City of Tucson. Winterhaven's well system provides all of the neighborhood's water for both household use and for irrigation. The Winterhaven Water and Development Company also controls the neighborhood's flood control, trash collection, sewage and the general maintenance of its public areas. Any profits are used for development,

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 22

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

promotion and improvements in the neighborhood.

Richards personally oversaw the installation of the neighborhood's first irrigation system. This took the form of in-ground sprinklers that watered both lawns and trees. In Winterhaven's early years it was customary for residents to welcome the owners of newly built homes by installing a sprinkler system along their curbs (Davis). This was the subtle way in which Winterhaven's residents made it clear to newcomers the importance that they placed on their lawns and each lawn's contribution to the overall character of the neighborhood. Winterhaven's original irrigation system still functions today, although some residents water their lawns with hoses due to broken or corroded sections of underground pipe in their yards.

The Winterhaven Water and Development Company's Board of Directors oversees the continued maintenance of the neighborhood and preserves its architectural and landscape character through a series of deed restrictions. It was established as a cooperative enterprise that is made up of Winterhaven's homeowners, who work together for the mutual benefit of the neighborhood. During the neighborhood's initial development residents worked together to review the construction of homes and today they continue to oversee any proposals for alterations. Alterations to buildings have to be consistent with the overall neighborhood appearance, as do materials used in the maintanace and repair of existing structures. Approval is given or denied by the Board of Director's architectural approval panel. If approval is not given, residents can submit their plans to an architectural review panel. The architectural review panel bases their decisions on the proposed plans' compatibility with a set of neighborhood standards that have been approved by residents. The neighborhood standards specify such matters as building height, setbacks from property lines, architectural appropriateness, building materials, and other areas that are regulated to maintain Winterhaven's original architectural character. Significant alterations to landscaping visible from the street are subject to a similar approval process overseen by the Board of Directors, who acts on the advice of a landscaping approval panel. Any disputes in this process are then handled by a landscape review panel, who consult a set of neighborhood landscaping guidelines. Amendments to either the neighborhood standards or the landscaping guidelines must be approved by 2/3 of those present at an annual or special meeting.

In the early years of the neighborhood's development, the Winterhaven Water and Development Company consisted solely of C.B. Richards until such time that enough residents arrived oversee it themselves (Richards, 1989). He intended that the Winterhaven Water and Development Company would foster a sense of community among residents, who would interact in monthly meetings and through newsletters. His philosophy was that although individual homes make up the subdivision, it is the people who establish the community. A notable expression of Winterhaven's strong sense of community is the annual Festival of Lights, a Christmas light display which dates back to the neighborhood's founding in 1949. Each Christmas, homes and the large

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 23

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

evergreen trees are decorated with lights. Individual households plan their displays months in advance and compete for prizes in several categories for their decorations. Although electricity costs are often high, residents see the annual Festival of Lights as their gift to the City of Tucson as a whole. The Festival of Lights continues to be a Tucson holiday tradition that attracts large crowds from throughout the city who walk or ride through the district.

C.B. Richards was inspired to create the Festival of Lights after visiting a similar light display in Beverly Hills in the 1930s (Richards, 1989). He envisioned holding such an event in Winterhaven from the outset and the neighborhood was designed create a suitable backdrop. Thus this "Christmas" theme was central to the neighborhood's planning and development. Richards lined the aptly named Christmas Avenue with Aleppo pine trees acquired from a nursery that was going out of business. He then had them planted at regular intervals for the efficient placement of electrical connections for the Christmas lights used to decorate the trees during the holidays (Richards, 2002). Richards also purchased the neighborhood's first set of Christmas lights in 1949 and donated them to the neighborhood (Rutherford, 2002). He initially wanted to name all of Winterhaven's streets with a Christmas theme but was prevented in this by the City of Tucson. In the early years of the Festival of Lights, Richards personally judged each light display to determine which the best was the best decorated and awarded the hundred dollar prize (Conner, 1985). Later after moving to San Diego, Richards would return each year to view the light displays.

Winterhaven's uniqueness is underscored in comparison to adjacent neighborhoods: Prince-Tucson on the north, La Madera on the south and Richland Heights on the east. Prince-Tucson was largely developed in the 1970s with both single family homes and townhouses. La Madera was developed in the 1950s and contains homes in a variety of architectural styles with small front yards. Richland Heights was also developed in the 1950s and, like Winterhaven, contains many brick residences but they are surrounded by desert landscaping and unpaved streets. Thus each of the neighborhoods surrounding Winterhaven is visually distinctive from it in terms of architecture, lot placement and landscaping.

Winterhaven is also notable as the residence of five individuals who are notable for their accomplishments at the local, state and national levels. Although not a complete listing, the following are among the most prominent residents of Winterhaven since the time of its development.

George W. Barr Jr. 1927-1997 (Site 117)

Born in California, George Barr settled in Tucson and graduated from the University of Arizona with a degree in mechanical engineering. Barr helped to start the drive to found the city of Catalina northwest of Tucson. He was

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 24

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

also a cofounder of Cell Barr Associates Inc. However Barr's major contribution was in the area of water resources. For twenty years he was a member of the Central Arizona Water Conservation District and in 1984 was elected its first Tucson president. He also was a founding member of the Southern Arizona Water Resources Association. Initially an opponent of the use of CAP (Central Arizona Project) water due to economic and engineering concerns, Barr later became an advocate of its use for agriculture and industry since the system was already under construction. In the 1990s Barr became an advocate of its use in Tucson households. He lived in Winterhaven from 1954 to 1980.

L.A. Carruth (Site 83)

A native of Massachusetts, Carruth obtained an undergraduate degree from the Massachusetts Agricultural College, a master's degree from South Dakota State College and a doctorate from Cornell. He later settled in Tucson and lived in Winterhaven. Carruth was a faculty member at the University of Arizona for twenty five years. During this time he was head of the Department of Entomology and was an expert in the area of agricultural pest control. Carruth became well known for his numerous technical and lay publications in the field of economic entomology. He received a medal award from the American Association of Economic Entomologists and was recognized in "American Men of Science," "Who's Who in the West" and "Who knows-and what." Carruth also served on the board of the Tucson Boy's Chorus and was involved in the planning and construction of the Northminster Presbyterian Church. L.A. Carruth lived in Winterhaven from 1951 to 1975.

Kathryn Dusenberry (Site 239)

A native Arizonan, Kathryn (Katie) Dusenberry attended the University of Arizona and Iowa State College at Ames, where she received a degree in nutrition and hospital dietetics. Dusenberry became a well known community leader in Tucson who was a board member or president of numerous community organizations. These included terms as president of the Tucson Unified School District Board, a supervisor on the County School Board, the chairwoman of the United Community Campaign, one of the founders of the Foreign Language in Elementary Schools program and president of the Arizona Federation of Junior Woman Clubs. Dusenberry was also a vice president of her family's business City Van and Storage. She has also received many awards including Tucson Woman of the Year and recognition from the Jewish Hospital/ National Asthma Center in Denver for her community service. Dusenberry lived in Winterhaven from 1955 until 1970.

John Fahr (Site 250)

As a member of a World War II bombing raid over Northern Germany conducted by the 305th and 306th bomb

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 25

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

groups of the US Eighth Air, John Fahr was the first person to drop a bomb on Germany during the war. During the same battle Fahr also shot down a German fighter that was flying alongside his plane. He was also a crew member on the first B-17 that was shot down over that country during the war. After the War Fahr returned to Tucson and served as the chief of the School District 1 publication department. In 1968 while living in Winterhaven, he published a book based upon his experiences during the War that targeted teenage readers. He resided in Winterhaven from 1952 until 1980.

Warren Woodson 1904-1998 (Site 34)

Warren Woodson was the University of Arizona's head football coach from 1952-1956. His five seasons as head coach included a period coaching NCAA leader tailback Art Luppino to a record setting performance in 1954 and 1955. Woodson's teams also included three Wildcats who are now in the US Sport Hall of Fame. These are Luppino, center Paul Hatcher and linebacker Ed Brown. Woodson ranked thirteenth in career victories as a Division 1 football coach with 203-95-14 record in thirty one seasons. Although Woodson lived in Winterhaven from 1949 to 1950, before his appointment as head football coach, his name more than any other is currently associated with the neighborhood as its most notable former resident.

Criterion C: Architecture in Tucson 1949-1961

The Development of the Modern Ranch House

The modern ranch style house which became the dominant housing style of the post-World War II boom remains a major keystone in modern American culture as an expression of middle class suburban life. Its initial development is primarily credited to California architect Cliff May (1908-1989). Born in San Diego of mixed Anglo-Spanish ancestry, May grew up in a traditional adobe home (Allen, 1996: 160). He had no formal architectural training but had worked in construction since he was a teenager. May rejected the direction that architecture was heading and decided to design homes based upon a romanticized vision of nineteenth century California ranchos. He was drawn to this style of architecture because he felt that it promoted familial closeness.

May worked as a building designer and licensed contractor and designed modern ranch homes that took their exterior composition from these low and horizontal California ranchos with their rather modest exterior decoration. Ranch homes designed by Cliff May were characterized by a rambling style and the use of natural materials that he felt molded the home into the land (Allen, 1996; 160). He also believed that the use of natural building materials provided a better connection between the indoors and outdoors, which he saw as a hallmark of the western lifestyle. On the interior May looked to the open floor plans of Frank Lloyd Wright's Prairie

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 26

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

School. Wright, like Cliff May felt such open interiors would encourage more family interaction.

He eventually designed or built over 18,000 homes beginning in the 1930s and later produced designs for *Sunset* magazine (Allen, 1996: 160). He also designed what he called "rancherias," a more modest version of his modern ranch house for those on a tighter budget (Allen, 1996: 160). May was able to refine his building process to such a degree with the help of modern building technologies that he was able to have the basic frame and roof of the home erected by nightfall of the first day of construction. Cliff May's modern ranch homes later spread throughout the West and were widely emulated during the post war housing boom, becoming the dominant housing style of the 1950s and 60s. Cliff May was responsible for the design of one home in Winterhaven (Site 78) but it is considered to be a non-contributor due to alterations to its street facade.

Many ranch homes were constructed with housing loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). The FHA dictated that builders follow prescribed guidelines that were meant to insure quality construction (Nequette and Jeffery, 2002: 35-36). These guidelines also shaped the basic form of the home and encouraged highly efficient, standardized designs. The style of home that best fit the FHA's standards was May's modern ranch style house. Unfortunately the adoption of Cliff May's ranch homes by the FHA and its widespread emulation by other builders, meant that ranch style homes soon lost many of May's skillful refinements in the post war boom (Allen, 1996: 160). The ranch home's continuance as a long, horizontal, rambling structure was accommodated by large lot sizes in suburban neighborhoods. These larger lots were made possible by the automobile, which provided access to large tracts of building space on the outskirts of urban areas. The intimate connection between the ranch house and the automobile is expressed in the prominent inclusion of integrated carports or garages on the front facades of the homes.

Ranch House Characteristics in Winterhaven

The Ranch style homes in Winterhaven are one-story with horizontal massing and feature asymmetrical facades, center lot placement, attached or integrated carports and casement windows. In addition many of Winterhaven's residences adhere to Cliff May's priorities of creating a home that responds to local traditions through materials and design.

Although Winterhaven's residences all originally shared Ranch style characteristics, there are a number of architectural variations within the homes that together define four distinct stylistic subcategories. These subcategories are found in Ranch style homes nationwide. The following are the variations of the Ranch style that are found within the Winterhaven Historic District in the order of their frequency.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 27

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Traditional Ranch: The most common variation of the Ranch style in Winterhaven (with 225 residences) is the Traditional Ranch. Features common to the Traditional Ranch home include low or medium pitched gable or hip roof forms, wide entry porches often supported by metal or wood posts, large casement or picture windows and wood shutters. There are 24 Traditional ranches that belong to a local variation of the type that substitutes exposed burnt adobe brick on the exterior in place of traditional red brick.



Traditional Ranch with red brick walls (Site 201)



Traditional Ranch with burnt adobe walls (Site 262)

(8-86)

NPS Form 10-900-a

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 28

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Modern Ranch: 18 residences in Winterhaven have been classified as Modern Ranch. This subcategory is characterized by corner, floor-to-ceiling or ribbon windows and/or low pitched gable or hipped roof forms.




Modern Ranch (Site 61)

Minimal Ranch: 11 residences in Winterhaven are categorized as Minimal Ranch homes. Minimal Ranches are distinctive for their simplified form in comparison to the other variations. Their features are integrated carports, straight walkways from the street and the lack of recessed entries, porches or picture windows. Minimal Ranches are similar to the types of homes that were built under the guidance of the Federal Housing Administration.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 29

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ



Minimal Ranch (Site 109)

Transverse Ranch: 9 residences within the neighborhood are classified as Transverse Ranches. Whereas the majority of homes are oriented with their broadside facing the street, Transverse Ranches are sited with their narrow sides facing the street. Frequently Transverse Ranches feature an attached carport along one side of the home which also contains the entry. Transverse Ranches may exhibit the architectural characteristics of the other variations but by virtue of the dominance of their unique orientation, are included in this category.



Transverse Ranch (Site 152)

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 30

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Other: The Winterhaven Historic District contains 2 properties whose style lies outside of the subtypes listed above. This category includes a residence that posses some ranch characteristics but is distinctive from the other homes built in this style throughout the district and an apartment complex that is defined as having no style for the purpose of this survey.

Anne Jackson Rysdale (1921-)

The Winterhaven Historic District is also significant for the presence of 22 homes designed by the architect Anne Jackson Rysdale, of which 15 are considered contributing properties. A Tucson native, Rysdale was born in 1921 as Barbara Anne Nicholas. She graduated from the University of Arizona in 1940 with a degree in engineering and the fine arts since the University did not yet offer an architecture degree. For a short time she worked under Tucson architect Henry Jaastad. Rysdale then left Tucson for Seattle to become an officer in the Navy during World War II. While in Seattle she obtained her architecture degree at the University of Washington. Upon her return to Tucson in 1945, she received additional architectural training under Tucson architect Arthur Brown before setting up her own practice.



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 31

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Anne Jackson Rysdale (Anne Jackson Rysdale Collection)

During her early career from 1949 into the early 1960s, Rysdale was the only registered practicing female architect in Arizona (Annie Graham Rockfellow, Arizona's first registered female architect had retired in 1938). At the time architecture was still a heavily male dominated field and Rysdale frequently had to fight against the biases facing women in her field. For instance it took five years of repeated sponsorship for her to be admitted into the Southern Arizona Chapter of the AIA. However she did credit several of her commissions as stemming from clients' curiosity about what a woman could design (Harelson, 1960). She felt that in order to compete effectively in a male dominated field, she had to produce more and better work (Gerdan, 1959).

Rysdale initially worked on residential designs, her most active period as a residential architect being the early 1950s into the mid 1960s. In addition to her work in Winterhaven, Rysdale designed twenty one residences in Colonia Solana, eight in El Encanto and other homes in Country Club Estates, Highland Manor and Palo Alto Village. Rysdale-designed residences contemporary to and architecturally similar to those in Winterhaven that have been included as contributing properties in the National Register Historic Districts of Colonia Solana and El Encanto.

Due to increased competition from design/builders in residential home construction, Rysdale's later career focused on the design of commercial structures. This work included the Flamingo Hotel on Stone Avenue and the now demolished downtown Myerson's department store on Congress Street. In 1976 she completed the new Gila County Courthouse in Globe. When the University of Arizona opened its architecture program under the College of Fine Arts in 1958 (it became its own college in 1964); Rysdale served as an adjunct lecturer. Rysdale later retired for a short time in the 1970s before returning to work at her former firm. Subsequently Rysdale relocated to Florida where she worked as an architect and consultant.

During her architectural career in Arizona, Rysdale frequently was interviewed and wrote over a hundred columns for the Arizona press on architecture, home building and her career as a female architect. Some of this coverage stemmed from her novelty as the only female architect practicing in Arizona for much of her early career. Rysdale is also notable for pioneering the use of cooper ore as a decorative building stone in Tucson (Harelson, 1960).

Although Rysdale gained the most personal satisfaction from her commercial work, she was proud of her ranch style homes that displayed a high level of understanding regarding the complexities of residential design (Harelson, 1960). She favored ranch style residential architecture because she felt it had grown out of Arizona. Another important consideration in her residential architecture was for the home to "fit the way the family likes

NPS Form 10-900-a


United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 32

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

to live" (Rysdale, 1961). Rysdale pronounced herself to be "fussy with the details" and this attention to detail is evident in the high quality of her designs.



Elevations for Site 100 by Anne Jackson Rysdale (Winterhaven Homeowner's Association Collection)

Anne Jackson Rysdale's ranch style homes in Winterhaven and other Tucson neighborhoods almost exclusively fall into the category of the Traditional Ranch, often with regional touches. This was due to Rysdale's preference for regional exteriors that shielded modern layouts and living plans. Thus all but 1 of the 22 homes that she designed in Winterhaven fall into this category, the remaining home being classified as a Modern Ranch. After Rysdale finished her Winterhaven designs they were often built by Gadsden Builders. Many of her

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 33

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

designs were among the first homes constructed in Winterhaven.

Integrity in Winterhaven

Of the 265 residential buildings in the Winterhaven Historic District, 189 qualify as contributing properties based on the designated period of significance and the building's integrity; its ability to convey the defined themes of significance. Three additional contributing properties are the well sites that provide a private water supply that facilitates the neighborhood's green landscaping.

Association/Age

The contributing properties to the Winterhaven Historic District are associated with Community Development and Planning in Tucson and the establishment of ranch style residential architecture in Tucson. The period of significance for this nomination (1949-1961) is determined by the extent of historic development (build-out) of the neighborhood consistent with the identified themes of significance.

Location

Winterhaven's original layout of wide curving streets lined by single family homes remains intact. There are also several multi-family residences constructed along the perimeters of the neighborhood, primarily along Fort Lowell Road. This type of construction is to be expected bordering a major thoroughfare and these properties were constructed within the period of significance. They are also architecturally consistent with the single family homes in Winterhaven and are thus considered to be contributing properties to the National Register Historic District.


Setting

Although Winterhaven has been surrounded by the City of Tucson since the time of its development, its setting has remained unchanged. The neighborhood's inward focus has allowed it to remain unaffected by the busy commercial corridors that have developed along its fringes on Country Club and Ft. Lowell Roads. It remains distinct from surrounding neighborhoods due to the uniqueness of its green landscaping and the consistency of its residential architecture. Winterhaven's deed restrictions require that residents "preserve the appearance of the Winterhaven Neighborhood" (Winterhaven Water and Development Company Inc., 2001: 15). Since C.B. Richards' intent was for the neighborhood to always be a green environment of lawns, this guideline is interpreted as requiring the preservation of each home's lawn. The minimum amount of lawn allowed in each yard is 50%. Thus compromises to the integrity of setting include the conversion of front lawns to over 50 % desert xeriscapes and intrusions of walls in the front lawns distracting from the original and intended streetscape.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 34

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ



Winterhaven's frontage along Fort Lowell Road (Photo by R. Brooks Jeffery)

Feeling

Winterhaven has maintained its distinctive setting of lawns and large trees through its neighborhood deed covenants that dictate front yard landscaping. The large lawns in front of the residences blend together to give the neighborhood a communal park-like setting that is shaded by the large Aleppo pines laid out by C.B. Richards. Although a number of front yards have been converted to partial or full xeriscape landscaping, the overall impression of the neighborhood remains that of a lush non-native environment. The uniqueness of this green landscaping is even more notable today in Tucson, when efforts towards increased water conservation have promoted the use of native vegetation throughout the city. Winterhaven continues to hold its annual Festival of Lights; a popular event that draws crowds from throughout the Tucson area and helps to maintain a strong sense of community among the neighborhood's residents.

Design

Since the majority of homes within Winterhaven were constructed during the 1949-1961 period of significance, the neighborhood exhibits a high level of architectural consistency. In addition, those homes which were built following this initial period of development were constructed along the same ranch style as the first group of homes. Thus the neighborhood has the appearance of only one period of architectural development. Presently there are no vacant lots in the neighborhood.

Although Winterhaven was developed with several variations of the Ranch style, these sub-types all share

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 35

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

common design features that serve to create a cohesive visual character. Thus far modifications to individual residences have not occurred to the extent that they collectively alter the overall appearance of the neighborhood. Compromises to the integrity of design include inappropriately modified carports, inappropriate alterations to the street facades and the removal of grass landscaping.

Materials

The architectural similarities between the ranch homes in Winterhaven also extend into the use of a limited number of materials on these homes. Winterhaven's dominant building material is brick that falls into one of three visually similar types: red brick, mortar washed brick and burnt adobe. Alterations to Winterhaven's residences have introduced inappropriate new materials such as stucco but the neighborhood's overall cohesiveness has thus far remained intact.

Definition of Contributing and Non-contributing Structures

Of the 268 sites within Winterhaven, 192; including 189 residences plus the 3 wells, have maintained their integrity and are considered to be contributors to the Historic District. 76 residences are considered to be non-contributors due to age or integrity. Of these 68 are non-contributors for integrity and 8 for their age. One property is a non-contributor for both age and integrity.

Listed below in the order of their frequency and with examples are the types of alterations that are considered to detrimental to the historic integrity of Winterhaven's residences resulting in non-contributing status to the Historic District. Some residences are considered to be non-contributors for more than one of the following reasons.

1. Lack of integrity due to carport modification: The most common alteration to Winterhaven's residences is the enclosure of carports into garages, living space or by extending them outward. Originally one of the character defining features of Winterhaven's homes was its attached or integrated carport. Although the enclosure of a carport alters a residence's overall composition, it was often the intention of the builders of ranch style homes that the carport could later be enclosed. Thus residences that have sensitively enclosed their carports either into garages or additional living spaces are considered to be contributors. Enclosure of a carport into a garage is considered to be reversible and the enclosure of a carport into habitable space is considered eligible if it complies with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Houses with additions to carports that extend beyond the original setback line are considered to be non-contributors as they compromise the verdant character of the overall streetscape and the original

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 36

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

design character of the residence. 45 residences in Winterhaven are non-contributors due to inappropriate carport modifications.



Incompatible Conversion of Carport into Garage (Site 186)



Incompatible Carport Enclosure and Extension (Site 97)

2. Age: 8 homes in Winterhaven were not constructed within the 1949-1961 period of significance. Although most of these homes were designed in the ranch style of the earlier period and do contribute to the visual cohesiveness of the neighborhood, their building forms, materials and details are distinct from those of the period of significance.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 37

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ



Non-contributor due to Age (Site 124)

3. Lack of integrity due to alterations to street facade: 24 homes in the neighborhood exhibit extensive alterations to their street facades to an extent that they no longer exhibit their character defining features. Alterations in Winterhaven include both additions and extensive alterations that obscure the original character of the residence. Another increasingly common form of alteration is the placement of stucco over a residence's original brick exterior. Stucco was not part of Winterhaven's original architectural vocabulary. Its application on the neighborhood's residences obscures significant architectural features and negatively impacts the architectural cohesiveness of the neighborhood.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 8 Page 38

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ



Non-contributor due to alterations to street façade (Site 44)

4.Lack of integrity due to landscaping: The removal of more than 50% of the grass landscaping in the front yard is also considered a loss of the residence's integrity. This also includes the dominant inclusion of desert landscaping and large paved areas or landscape walls. The continued presence of the dominant grass landscaping is what serves to make Winterhaven character unique in Tucson. 14 homes in Winterhaven are considered non-contributors due to their landscaping.



Non-contributor due to landscaping (Site 69)

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 9 Page 39

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County. AZ

Books

Andree, Herb, Noel Young and Patricia Halloran. <u>Santa Barbara Architecture: From Spanish Colonial to Modern.</u> Santa Barbara: Capra Press, 1995.

Ball, Phyllis. A Photographic History of the University of Arizona. Tucson: Privately Printed, 1986.

Harte, John Bret. Tucson: Portrait of a Desert Pueblo. Woodland Hills: Windsor Publications, 1980.

May, Cliff. The Western Ranch House by Cliff May. Menlo Park: Lane Publishing Company, 1958.

Nequette, Anne M. and R. Brooks Jeffery. <u>A Guide to Tucson Architecture</u>. Tucson: The University of Arizona Press, 2002.

Sonnichsen, C.L. <u>Tucson: The life and Times of an American City</u>, Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1982.

Sunset Magazine. Sunset Western Ranch Houses. San Francisco: Lane Publishing Company, 1946.

Stewart, Janet Ann. <u>Arizona Ranch Houses: Southern Territorial Styles, 1867-1900.</u> Tucson: The University of Arizona Press, 1974.

Articles

Action, William. "District 1 gets no help from legislative panel," Tucson Citizen, Nov. 20, 1974.

Allen, Barbara L. "The Ranch Style in America: A Cultural and Environmental Discourse," <u>Journal of Architectural Education</u> 49/3 Feb. 1996: 156-165.

Buckley, Drummond. "A Garage in the House." The Car and the City: The Automobile, the Built Environment and Daily Urban Life. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1991: 124-140.

Connner, Pat. "In Winterhaven Christmas Glows," The Arizona Daily Star, Dec. 1985.

Cross, Cheri. "Growth still concerns Katie Dusenberry," The Arizona Daily Star, Dec. 18, 1986.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 9 Page 40

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Davis, Tony. "Few raves for water cutback in Winterhaven," Tucson Citizen, Dec. 19, 1983.

---. "Winterhaven stands firm against use of water meters," <u>Tucson Citizen</u>, Jan. 22, 1985.

"Decorated Army veteran Ted F, Douthitt," Tucson Citizen, July 1, 1986.

Duarte, Carmen. "Tucson Builder Denton Cobble dies at 72," The Arizona Daily Star, July 17,1989.

"Ex-Army officer Ted Douthitt dies; was 1985 Jefferson Award nominee," <u>The Arizona Daily Star</u>, July 1, 1986.

"Former UA coach Warren Woodson dies of Cancer," Arizona Daily Star, Feb. 23, 1998.

Gebhard, David. "The Suburban House and the Automobile." The Car and the City: The Automobile, the Built Environment and Daily Urban Life. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1991: 106-123.

Gerdan, Mary. "Architect Lives Two Lives," Tucson Daily Citizen, June 8, 1959.

Harleson, Hugh. "She's Fussy With Details: That's What They Expect From A Woman Architect," <u>The Arizona Republic</u>, 1960.

Hoff, Stacey. "William O. Fraesodorf, Winterhaven Founder, Dies at 83" The Arizona Daily Star, Dec. 7 1993.

Hubka, Thomas C. "American Ranch House: Traditional Design Method in Popular Culture." <u>Center for Environmental Design Research</u>: <u>Elements of Traditional Built Environments</u>. Berkeley: University of California, Berkeley Press, Vol. 69/IASTE 69-94, 1994.

Innes, Stephanie. "Water concerns motivated Barr in incorporation drive," Tucson Citizen, Sept. 6, 1997.

Jeffery, R Brooks. "Historic Neighborhoods: Celebrating our past and connection to our future," <u>Tucson Lifestyle Home and Garden</u>, Spring 2002: 48-55.

Kay, Jane. "Schools Are for Children," The Arizona Daily Star, April 9, 1972.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 9 Page 41

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Kelliher, Kim. "Tinney to replace Superior Court Judge Fisher," <u>The Arizona Daily Star</u>, Tucson. AZ. Aug. 7 1991.

"L.A. Carruth's rites tomorrow" Tucson Citizen, Dec. 28 1978.

Long, John S. "Winterhaven assails water chief on cutback," The Arizona Daily Star, June 8, 1983.

Lopez, Roberta S. "Car-less nights popular in Winterhaven." Tucson Citizen, Dec. 25, 1989.

"Magistrate Brashear dies at 75" The Arizona Daily Star, Nov. 14, 1982.

Miller, Hanna. "Local bluesman Denis Offret dead at 43; taught disabled," The Arizona Daily Star, Nov. 28, 1999.

Richards, M.G. Correspondence to the Editors of The Arizona Daily Star and Tucson Citizen, Nov. 20, 1989.

Robinson, Matthew. "Taj Mahal on a Cul de Sac: Concrete Blocks, Carports and Architectural Appropriation." ARRIS 11 (2000): 71-83.

Rutherford, Megan. "Winterhaven's place in History," The Arizona Daily Star, Aug. 15, 2002.

Rysdale, Anne Jackson. "Ideal Home Suits Family's Habits," The Arizona Daily Star, April 16, 1961

---. Personal Correspondence to R. Brooks Jeffery

"Sharing The Honors," The Arizona Daily Star, Jan. 15, 1969.

"Subdivision to Open Sunday for Inspection," Tucson Daily Citizen, Aug. 26, 1949.

"They dream of a Light Christmas in Arizona," Sacramento Bee, 1971.

"William Brashear, ex-magistrate, dies," Tucson Citizen, Nov. 16 1982.

"Winterhaven Marking Second Anniversary," The Arizona Daily Star, Sept. 15 1951.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 9 Page 42

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

Documents

Blenman-Elm Nomination Form to the National Register of Historic Places

Catalina Vista Nomination Form to the National Register of Historic Places

Colonia Solana Nomination Form to the National Register of Historic Places

El Encanto Estates Nomination Form to the National Register of Historic Places

Richards Development Company. Articles of Incorporation, Aug. 1948.

Winterhaven Water and Development Company Inc. <u>By-Laws and Restated Declaration of Covenants</u>, <u>Conditions and Restrictions Governing the Ownership of the Winterhaven Water and Development Company Inc</u>. June 13, 2001.

Architectural Drawings

Winterhaven Homeowner's Association Collection

Manuscript Collections

Anne Jackson Rysdale Collection, Arizona Architectural Archives, University of Arizona

Photograph Collections

Arizona Architectural Archives, University of Arizona

Arizona Historical Society, Southern Arizona Division

10. G	eograph	ical Data					
Acrea	age of Pr	roperty 84.4	_				
	Reference additional UT	200.000.000.000.000	a continuation sheet)				
	Zone	Easting	Northing	Zone	Easting	Northing	
1			<u> </u>				
2	_						
			√ See	continuation	sheet		
Verba (Descri	al Bound	lary Descript	ion rty on a continuation sheet)				
Boun	dary Jus	stification	ected on a continuation sheet)			
			oute of a continuation of con	1			
11. F	orm Pre	pared By					
name	title Jas	on Fox, Bran	ndy Billingsley, Sai	Ho Hiew, Je	f Leven, And	Irew Munandar, Elizabeth R	endon and Davi
Short	with the	assistance	of R. Brooks Jeffery	Preservatio	n Studies, CA	APLA	
			0 0.0		at a sur	4-1-1-00-000	
orgar	ization <u>I</u>	Preservation	Studies, CAPLA, U	niversity of A	rizona	date Aug 20, 2003	
-							
street	& numb	er PO Box 2	10075		telephon	e (520) 621-2991	
city or	town Tu	icson			state:AZ	zip code <u>85721-0075</u>	
Addit	ional Do	cumentation					
	The second second second	g items with the co	mpleted form:				
	nuation	Sheets					
Maps		SS man (7.5)	or 15 minute series) i	ndicating the	nroperty's loca	ation	
						age or numerous resources.	
Photo	ographs				33		C
		sentative Bla	ck and White photog	graphs of the	property.		
Addit	ional ite	ms (Check wi	ith the SHPO or FPO	for any addit	onal items)		
Prop	erty Owr	ner					
(Comp		the second second	the SHPO or FPO)				
		er		_telephone			
	r town_		state	zip cod		Same and the same	
Paperv	vork Reduc	tion Act Stateme	ent: This information is bein	g collected for appl	ications to the Natio	anal register of Historic Places to nomina	ate properties for listing

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including time for reviewing instruction, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P. O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Projects (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number 10 Page 43

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

GEOGRAPHIC DATA

UTM REFERENCES
See attached boundary map

VERBAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION See attached boundary map

BOUNDARY JUSTIFICATION

The Winterhaven Historic District nomination boundary corresponds to what has historically been considered the boundaries of the neighborhood. This defined area includes both contributing and non-contributing building and structures. Winterhaven occupies an area of 84.4 acres and this nomination includes all buildings and structures therein.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 44

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

All photographs are labeled with an archival pen and share the following common information:

PHOTOGRAPHER:

R. Brooks Jeffery

DATE:

17 August 2005

NEGATIVE LOCATION:

Arizona Architectural Archives, The University of Arizona

PHOTO 1: Kleindale Road near neighborhood entrance at Tucson Blvd. looking east (Neg. #WIN- 1A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 45

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 2: Kleindale Road at Forgeus Avenue looking east showing curvilinear street intersections. (Neg. #WIN-2A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 46

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 3: Forgeus Avenue near interserction of Treat Circle boking south showing secondary street widths, property setbacks and lawns. (Neg. #WIN- 3A)




National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 47

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 4: Treat Circle looking southeast showing curvilinear street character. (Neg. #WIN- 4A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 48

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 5: Forgeus Avenue cul-du-sac looking southeast showing typical cul-du-sac character with trees as principal landscape feature. (Neg. #WIN-5A)




National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 49

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 6: Forgeus Avenue cul-du-sac looking southwest showing typical cul-du-sac character with lawn as principal landscape feature. (Neg. #WIN-6A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 50

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 7: Forgeus Avenue looking north. (Neg. #WIN-7A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 51

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 8: Intersection of Kleindale Road and the curving Christmas Avenue looking southeast showing landscaped island diverter to left of photo. (Neg. #WIN-8A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 52

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 9: Intersection of Kleindale Road and the curving Christmas Avenue looking east showing landscaped island diverter in center of photo. (Neg. #WIN- 9A)




National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 53

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 10: Kleindale Road at the intersection of Christmas Avenue (to right of photo) looking northeast showing landscaped island diverter to right of photo. (Neg. #WIN- 10A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 54

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO11: Kleindale Road at intersection of Treat Avenue looking east showing variety of landscape elements and atypical house (Site #48) placement diagonal to the comer at left of photo. (Neg. #WIN-11A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 55

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 12: Bentley Avenue looking south showing secondary streetscape character. (Neg. #WIN- 12A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 56

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 13: McKenzie Street boking northeast. (Neg. #WN- 13A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 57

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 14: Intersection of McKenzie Street and the curving Christmas Avenue looking southwest showing curvilinear street intersections and landscape character. (Neg. #WIN- 14A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 58

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 15: Christmas Avenue looking northwest from intersection at McKenzie Street. (Neg. #WIN- 15A)




National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 59

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 16: Christmas Avenue looking north from intersection at Farr Street showing streetscape character, including the large Aleppo pines. (Neg. #WIN-16A)




National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 60

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 17: Winterhaven entry sign (non-contributing feature) at intesection of Christmas Avenue and Fort Lowell Road looking north. (Neg. #WIN- 17A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 61

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 18: Christmas Avenue at neighborhood entrance at Fort Lowell Road looking northeast. (Neg. #WIN- 18A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 62

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 19: View of Fort Lowell Road streetscape and Winterhaven entrance (identified by large Aleppo pines in photo) from opposite side of Fort Lowell Road looking northeast. (Neg. #WIN-19A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section Number PHOTOS Page 63

Winterhaven Historic District Pima County, Arizona

PHOTO 20: Country Club Road streetscape with the neighborhood entrance marked by large Aleppo pines in center of photo from opposite side of Country Club Road looking northwest. (Neg. #WN- 21A)



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet


Section Number ADD. INFO Page 64

WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT Pima County, AZ

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Subsequent to this page are the following maps referred to in the text:

Preliminary Eligibility Recommendations Ranch House Styles in Winterhaven Houses Designed by Anne Jackson Rysdale Site Photos



WINTERHAVEN NEIGHBORHOOD

30 August 2003 Tucson Arizona

Ranch House Styles in Winterhaven

2501 Street Address

219 Inventory Number

Other

Modern Ranch

Minimal Ranch

Transverse Ranch


Traditional Ranch

1 UTM Reference Point

Wellsites - Contributing

Index Map





WINTERHAVEN NEIGHBORHOOD

30 August 2003 Tucson Arizona

Site Photos

2501 Street Address


219 Inventory Number


1 UTM Reference Point

Wellsites - Contributing

1 Photo Locations

Index Map





WINTERHAVEN NEIGHBORHOOD

30 August 2003 Tucson Arizona

Houses Designed by Anne Jackson Rysdale


2501 Street Address


219 Inventory Number

Property designed by Anne Jackson Rysdale

1 UTM Reference Point

Wellsites - Contributing





WINTERHAVEN NEIGHBORHOOD

30 August 2003 Tucson Arizona

Preliminary Eligibility Recommendations

2501 Street Address

219 Inventory Number

Contributing Property to a Potential Historic District

Non-Eligible Property
Due to Integrity

Non-Eligible Property
Due to Age

1 UTM Reference Point

Wellsites - Contributing

Index Map





WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DIGITZICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO I NEG # WIN-IA



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIBONA PHOTO Z NEG. # Z.A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 3 NEG. # WIN- 3A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIL DISTRICT RMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 4 NEG. # WIN-4A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 5 NEG# WIN-5A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTILICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO G NEG. # WIN-GA



WINTERHAVEN HIGGER DISTRICT RMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 7 NEG. # WIN-7A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT RMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO B NEG # WIN- BA



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 9 NEG. #WN-9A



WINTERHAVEN HAJORIL DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 10 NEG. # WIN-10A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO II NEG. # WIN-IIA



WINTERHAVEN HATORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. AIZIZONA PHOTO 12 NEG. # WIN-12A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 13 NEG. 4 WIN-13A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIBONA PHOTO 14 NEG. # WIN-14A



WINTERHAYEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 15 NEG. # WIN-15A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO IC NEG. # WIN-16A



WINTERHAYEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 17 NEG. # WIN-17A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 18 NEG. # WIN-18A



WINTERHAVEN HATORIC DIATRICT PIMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO 19 NEG. # WIN-19A



WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT RMA CO. ARIZONA PHOTO ZO NEG. # WIN-ZIA

National Register of Historic Places

Note to the record

Additional Documentation: 2006

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES REGISTRATION FORM

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instruction in town complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

OMB No. 1024-0018

RECEIVED 2280

1. Name of Pro	perty		
historic name	Winterhaven Historic District - Amendment		
other names/site n	number		
2. Location			
street & number	3018 E. Kleindale Rd		
city or town	Tucson		
state Arizona	code AZ county Pima code 01	9 zip code	85716
3. State/Federa	l Agency Certification		
Signature of certifying of APLIZ State or Federal agency: In my opinion, the Signature of commenting	e propertymeetsdoes not meet the National Register criteria. (_		nuation sheet for additional comments.)
State or Federal agency	and bureau		
4. National Par	k Service Certification		
	Signature of Keeper		Date of Action

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	Section Amendment		ge 1	Name of Property	Winterhaven Historic District - Amendment	
				County	Pima	
				State	Arizona	

Correction to the Winterhaven Historic District listed on the National Register of Historic Places on December 28, 2005. The district has 189 contributing buildings, 3 contributing structures and 76 non-contributing buildings.

Contributing Properties:

3018 East Kleindale Road

The above referenced property was listed as non-contributor to the Winterhaven Historic District in Tucson, Pima County, Arizona due to stone sheathing over a chimney. Upon further review by SHPO staff, it has been determined that this was not sufficient reason to list the property as a non-contributor in the original survey.

The Arizona State Historic Preservation Officer requests that the Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places reclassify this property to reflect its contributing status. The resource count would subsequently change to 193 contributors and 75 non-contributors.

NPS Form 10-900 (Rev. 10-90)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES REGISTRATION FORM



This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

	perty			
historic name	Winterhaven Historic District (reclassification	of resources)		
other names/site r	number			
2. Location				
street & number	2738 E. Farr/ 2810 E. McKenzie			not for publication
city or town	Tucson			vicinity
state Arizona	code AZ county Pima	code	019 zip code	85716
3. State/Federa	l Agency Certification			
State of ederal agency In my opinion, th Signature of commenting	e propertymeetsdoes not meet the Nation		a. (See cont	nuation sheet for additional comments.)
Value of the second				
State or Federal agency	and bureau			
	k Service Certification	of Keeper		Date of Action

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	Addl	Page	1	Name of Property	Winterhaven Historic District (reclassification of resources)	
				County	Pima	
				State	Arizona	

The properties located at 2738 E. Farr and 2810 E. McKenzie, located in the Winterhaven Historic District, were identified as non-contributors during the original historic district survey. Both of these properties have carports that have been modified since the house's original construction.

Since the time of the district's listing, owners of the property have petitioned the State Historic Preservation Office to reconsider the original determination of eligibility for these properties. The Arizona State Historic Preservation Office has evaluated the modifications made to these residences' carports, and believes that such modifications are in keeping with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Rehabilitation of Historic Properties; as such, the State Historic Preservation Office believes that both properties possess the necessary degree of integrity to be considered contributors to the district.

The Arizona State Historic Preservation Office requests the Keeper of the National Register amend the Winterhaven Historic District National Register registration from to reclassify the status of the properties located at 2378 E. Farr and 2810 E. McKenzie from non-contributor to contributor status.

National Register of Historic Places

Note to the record

Additional Documentation: 2011

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

State or Federal agency and bureau

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES REGISTRATION FORM



This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

historic nameCorrection to	the Winterhaven Historic District		
other names/site number2			
2. Location			
street & number 2823 E.	McKenzie code <u>AZ</u> county <u>Pima</u>	not for public	ation nity
		code <u>019</u>	_ zip code <u>85716</u> _
3. State/Federal Agency Certif			
that this X nomination for registering properties in the	der the National Historic Preservation request for determination of elige National Register of Historic Places R Part 60. In my opinion, the prope	ibility meets the do and meets the pro	ocumentation standards ocedural and professional
that this <u>X</u> nomination <u>for registering properties in the requirements set forth in 36 Cl National Register Criteria. I reconstant statewide <u>X</u> locally</u>	request for determination of elige National Register of Historic Places FR Part 60. In my opinion, the proper ommend that this property be considered to a continuation sheet for a continuation	ibility meets the do and meets the pro erty <u>X</u> meets _ dered significant _ additional comment	ocumentation standards ocedural and professiona does not meet th nationally
that this <u>X</u> nomination <u></u> for registering properties in the requirements set forth in 36 Cl National Register Criteria. I reco statewide <u>X</u> locally	request for determination of elige National Register of Historic Places FR Part 60. In my opinion, the proper ommend that this property be considered to a continuation sheet for a continuation	ibility meets the do and meets the pro erty <u>X</u> meets _ dered significant _ additional comment	ocumentation standards ocedural and professiona does not meet th nationally
that this _X nomination for registering properties in the requirements set forth in 36 Cl National Register Criteria. I reco statewide _X locally Signature of certifying official	request for determination of elige National Register of Historic Places R Part 60. In my opinion, the proper ommend that this property be considered to the continuation sheet for a state of the continuation sheet for a part of the co	ibility meets the do and meets the pro erty <u>X</u> meets _ dered significant _ additional comment	ocumentation standards ocedural and professiona does not meet th nationally
that this _X_ nomination for registering properties in the requirements set forth in 36 Cl National Register Criteria. I recommendate _X_ locally Signature of certifying official AZSTATE	request for determination of elige National Register of Historic Places R Part 60. In my opinion, the proper ommend that this property be considered in the continuation sheet for a second part of the part of th	ibility meets the do and meets the pro erty <u>X</u> meets _ dered significant _ additional comment	ocumentation standards ocedural and professiona does not meet th nationally
for registering properties in the requirements set forth in 36 Cl National Register Criteria. I recommendate with the statewide X locally Signature of certifying official AZ STATE State or Federal agency and but	request for determination of elige National Register of Historic Places R Part 60. In my opinion, the proper ommend that this property be considered to the continuation sheet for a second part of th	ibility meets the do and meets the proentyX meets _dered significantadditional comment	ocumentation standards ocedural and professiona does not meet the nationally as.)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section Correction	Page1_	2823 E. McKenzie	
		name of property	
		Pima, AZ	
		county and State	
		Winterhaven Historic District	
		name of multiple property listing	

Correction to the Winterhaven Historic District listed on the National Register of Historic Places on December 28, 2005 and amended December 20, 2006.

CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY

ADDRESS: 2823 E. McKenzie

This property is referenced as a non-contributor in the 2005 Winterhaven Historic District NRN in Tucson, Pima County, Arizona.

INTEGRITY

In the 2005 Winterhaven Historic District NRN the residence at 2823 E. McKenzie is listed as a non-contributor to the Winterhaven Historic District.

Recently the owner of the property petitioned the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for reconsideration of the building's contributory status. Upon further review by SHPO staff, it has been determined that the modifications are in keeping with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Rehabilitation of Historic Properties.

The Arizona State Historic Preservation Officer requests that the Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places reclassify the property at 2823 E. McKenzie to reflect its contributing status to the Winterhaven Historic District, as it does, in fact, contribute to the historic fabric of the District.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION
PROPERTY Winterhaven Historic District NAME:
MULTIPLE NAME:
STATE & COUNTY: ARIZONA, Pima
DATE RECEIVED: 9/02/11 DATE OF PENDING LIST: DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF 45TH DAY: 10/18/1 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:
REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466
REASONS FOR REVIEW:
APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: N NATIONAL: N
COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPT RETURN REJECT 10-13-11 DATE
ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:
Additional Documentation Approved
RECOM./CRITERIA OCCEPTA
REVIEWER BOOK DISCIPLINE History
TELEPHONE DATE 10.13.11

DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N

If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.

National Register of Historic Places

Note to the record

Additional Documentation: 2015

NPS Form 10-900 (Rev. 10-90)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES REGISTRATION FORM



This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property			
historic name Winterhaven Historic D			
other names/site number <u>NA</u>			
2. Location			
street & number2565 E. Kleindale city or town Tucson state Arizona co	ode AZ county Pima	vici code_019	_ not for publication nity zip code 85716
3. State/Federal Agency Certification			
for registering properties in the National requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60 National Register Criteria. I recommend to statewide X locally. (X See of Signature of certifying official Date of State of Signature of Certifying Official Date of State	O. In my opinion, the proper that this property be considered the continuation sheet for additional states of the continuation	ty X meets ered significant onal comments.)	does not meet the nationally
ARIZONA STATE PARKS/S	SHPO		
State or Federal agency and bureau			
In my opinion, the property med continuation sheet for additional comme		the National Regis	ter criteria. (See
Signature of commenting or other official	al Date		
State or Federal agency and bureau			

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section Correction	Page1_	2565 E. Kleindale Road name of property Pima, AZ
		county and State
		Winterhaven Historic District name of multiple property listing
		Traine of multiple property listing

Correction to the Winterhaven Historic District, listed on the National Register of Historic Places on December 28, 2005.

CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY

ADDRESS: 2565 E. Kleindale Road, Tucson AZ

This property is referenced as a non-contributor to the Winterhaven Historic District, Tucson, Pima County, Arizona in the 2005 National Register Nomination because of the enclosed carport.

The owner petitioned SHPO for a review of the property. SHPO staff has determined that it is a contributor.

The Arizona SHPO requests the Keeper to add the property listed above to the "contributor" list in the nomination, as it does, in fact, contribute to the historic fabric of the Winterhaven Historic District.

STATE OF ARIZONA

HISTORIC PROPERTY INVENTORY FORM

Please type or print clearly. Fill out each applicable space accurately and with as much information as is known about the property. Use continuation sheets where necessary. Send completed form to: State Historic Preservation Office, 1300 W. Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007

PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION For properties identified through survey: Site No:_	17 Survey Area: Wir	nterhaven Historic District
Historic Name(s): Wells Residence (Enter the name(s), if any, that best reflects the prop	erty's historic importance.)	
Address: 2565 E Kleindale Rd (REVISED	AND UPDATED FORM	Ω
City or Town: <u>Tucson</u> vicinity Co	ounty: Pima	Tax Parcel No. <u>112-03-0230</u>
Township: 13S Range: 14E Section:	29 Quarter Section:_	Acreage:
Block: 10 Lot(s): Plat (Addition):	Winterhaven	Year of plat (addition): 1948
UTM reference: Zone 12 Easting	NorthingUS	GS 7.5' quad map: Tucson North
Architect:	X not determined \square	known (source:)
Builder:	X not determined \square	known (source:)
Construction Date: 1952-1954 STRUCTURAL CONDITION X Good (well maintained, no serious problems apparent) Fair (some problems apparent) Describ	lems apparent)	
☐ Poor (major problems; imminent threat)	Describe:	
USES/FUNCTIONS Describe how the property has been used over time, beginning with the original use. DOMESTIC/Single dwelling		
Sources: Assessor's Records;		

PHOTO INFORMATION

Architectural drawings

Date of photo: September 2014
View Direction (looking towards) North

Negative No.:1



-	Course in		1-67-97		-
5	GN	1121	(A	NI	CE
1.31	ALLY.	11.1	1	LN	1

To be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, a property must represent an important part of the history or architecture of an area. Note: a property need only be significant under one of the areas below to be eligible for the National Register.

A. HISTORIC EVENTS/TRENDS (On a continuation sheet describe how the property is associated either with a significant historic event, or with a trend or pattern of events important to the history of the nation, the state, or a local community.) Community Development and Planning, Tucson, Arizona 1949-1961

- B. PERSON (On a continuation sheet describe how the property is associated with the life of a person significant in the past.)
- C. ARCHITECTURE (On a continuation sheet describe how the property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represents the work or a master, or possesses high artistic values.) Modern Movement: Traditional Ranch (see original inventory form [attached]).

Outbuildings: (Describe any other buildings or structures on the property and whether they may be considered historic.)
INTEGRITY
To be eligible for the National Register, a property must have integrity, that is, it must be able to visually convey its importance. Provide detailed information below about the property's integrity. Use continuation sheets if necessary.
1. LOCATION X Original Site
2. DESIGN (Describe alterations from the original design, including dates—known or estimated—when alterations were made
L-shaped plan and low massing, capped by a low-sloped gable roof and featuring an asymmetrical façade, recessed entry, and fixed and operable casement windows. A carport has been changed into a garage (prior to
2003), but the form, massing, and materials of the carport have remained unchanged.
and the state of t
3. SETTING (Describe the natural and/or built environment around the property)
Corner lot surrounded by landscaping and lush lawn. The subdivision has changed little since it was platted in 1948.
4. MATERIALS (Describe the materials used in the following elements of the property) Walls (structure): Burnt Adobe Foundation: Concrete Roof: Built-up Windows: Steel
If the windows have been altered, what were they originally? NA Wall Sheathing:
If the sheathing has been altered, what was it originally? NA
 WORKMANSHIP (Describe the distinctive elements, if any, of craftsmanship or method of construction) Streamlined façade and use of regional materials (burnt adobe).
NATIONAL REGISTER STATUS (if listed, check the appropriate box)
☐ Individually listed; ☐ Contributor X Noncontributor to Winterhaven Historic District
Date Listed: Determined eligible by Keeper of National Register (date:
RECOMMENDATIONS OF ELIGIBILITY (opinion of SHPO staff or survey consultant) Property

FORM COMPLETED BY:

☐ More information needed to evaluate.

If not considered eligible, state reason:

Name and Affiliation; Jennifer Levstik, Tucson Historic Preservation Office Date: 08/26/14 Mailing Address: 149 N Stone, 2nd Fl., PO Box 27210, Tucson, AZ 85726 Phone No.:520-837-6961

HISTORIC PROPERTY INVENTORY FORM

CONTINUATION SHEET

Name of property: 2565 E Kleindale Rd (REVISED FORM)

Continuation Sheet No. 1

Although the original inventory form described this property as a non-contributor to the Winterhaven Historic District, a secondary review of the property revealed that the original assessment was in error. The façade, with the exception of the carport infill, is largely original. Despite the conversion to a garage, the infill conforms to the "Winterhaven Historic District Carport Policy" as approved by the Historic Sites Review Committee in January 2011. The author of the policy, R. Brooks Jeffery, reviewed the property and concurred that the carport conversion conforms to the policy as written and the property contributes to the historic character of the district (personal communication between R. Brooks Jeffery and Jennifer Levstik, 20 August 2014).

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES REGISTRATION FORM



This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property	
historic name Winterhaven Historic District A	mendment
other names/site number NA	
2. Location	
street & number 3433 N. Country Club city or town Tucson state Arizona code AZ	not for publication vicinity _ county <u>Pima</u> code <u>019</u> zip code <u>85716</u> ====================================
that this <u>X</u> nomination request for defor registering properties in the National Register requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my	
Signature of certifying official Date ARIZONA STATE PARKS/SHPO State or Federal agency and bureau	
In my opinion, the property meets continuation sheet for additional comments.)	does not meet the National Register criteria. (See
Signature of commenting or other official	Date
State or Federal agency and bureau	

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section Correction	Page1_	3433 N. Country Club name of property Pima, AZ	
		county and State Winterhaven Historic District name of multiple property listing	

Correction to the Winterhaven Historic District, listed on the National Register of Historic Places on December 28, 2005.

CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY

ADDRESS: 3433 N. Country Club, Tucson AZ

This property is referenced as a non-contributor to the Winterhaven Historic District, Tucson, Pima County, Arizona in the 2005 National Register Nomination because of the enclosed carport.

The owner petitioned SHPO for a review of the property. SHPO staff has determined that it is a contributor.

The Arizona SHPO requests the Keeper to add the property listed above to the "contributor" list in the nomination, as it does, in fact, contribute to the historic fabric of the Winterhaven Historic District.

STATE OF ARIZONA

Northwest


Negative No. VII-2-20

HISTORIC PROPERTY INVENTORY FORM

Please type or print clearly. Fill out each applicable space accurately and with as much information as is known about the property. Continuation sheets may be attached if necessary. Send completed form to: State Historic Preservation Office, 1300 W. Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007.


PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION				
For Properties identified through survey: Site	No. 246	Survey Area Wit	nterhaven Historic Distric	ot
Historic Name(s) Perry Residence				
(Enter the name(s), if any, that best reflects the	e property's hist	oric importance.)		
Address 3433 N. Country Club Rd				
City or Town Tucson	vicinity	County Pima	Tax Parcel No. 112	03 - 0850
ownship 13 S Range 14 E Se	ection 29	Quarters	A	creage
lock 4 Lot(s) 22 Plat (A	ddition) Win	terhaven	Year of plat	(addition) 1948
TTM reference: Zone Easting		Northing		
JSGS 7.5' quadrangle map: Tucson No	orth Az (see N	IR nomination form)		
ARCHITECT	no	ot determined \[\] know	vn Source	
UILDER M. J. Meyer	no	ot determined 🗹 know	wn Source Architectural d	rawings
CONSTRUCTION DATE 1958	known L	estimated Source	illa Coulty Assessors P	records
TRUCTURAL CONDITION				
Good (well maintained; no serious p	problems appar	rent)		
Fair (some problems apparent) Des	cribe:			
AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF				
Poor (major problems; imminent thr	eat) Describe:			
☐ Ruin/Uninhabitable				
USES/FUNCTIONS *	Atta		ATTENDED TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	Da 120 000
Describe how the property has been used over ime, beginning with the original use.	Ado	170		A MARINE
ine, beginning with the original use.	100			A STATE OF THE STA
DOMESTIC/single dwelling	/			The state of the s
	4	4	N. T.	the state of
			Topical Comments.	6
ources	678	The same of the sa	1000000	
	100	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	in Marie	
				THE RESERVE
Tana Amada I				
HOTO INFORMATION		.772		NA X
ate of photo 4/2/03		111111	The state of the s	
liew Direction (looking towards)		State of the last		100000

SIGNIFICANCE To be eligible for the National Register, a property must represent an important part of the histo- significance of a property is evaluated within its historic context, which are those patterns, themes, or occurred or gained importance. Describe the historic and architectural contexts of the property that Additional sheets should be attached where necessary.	trends in history by which a property
A. HISTORIC EVENTS/TRENDS. Describe any historic events/trends associated with the prop	erty
Community Planning and Development in Tucson Arizona, 1949-1961.	
B. PERSONS. List and describe persons with an important association with the building	
C. ARCHITECTURE. Style MODERN MOVEMENT: Ranch: Traditional Ranch	no style
Stories One Basement Roof form Low-pitched gable	
Describe other character-defining features of its massing, size, and scale Rectangular plan; broad	dside facing street; asymmeti
recessed entry w/roof extension over entry porch supported by wood colms & de	
To be eligible for the National Register, a property must have integrity, i.e., it must be able to visuall below lists some important aspects of integrity. Fill in the blanks with as detailed a description of the property	roperty as possible.
Walls (structure) Brick Walls (sheathing) Exposed Windows Metal frame Describe window structure Operable caseme Roof Built-up roofing with polymer coating Foundation Cor SETTING. Describe the natural and/or built environment around the property Center lot placement.	ent; fixed ncrete slab on grade
yard; curvilinear entry walkway from driveway; low planter wall	
How has the environment changed since the property was constructed?	
WORKMANSHIP. Describe the distinctive elements, if any, of craftsmanship or method of construc	ction
NATIONAL REGISTER STATUS (if listed, check the appropriate box) Individually Listed; Contributor Noncontributor to Date Listed Determined eligible by Keeper of National R RECOMMENDATIONS ON NATIONAL REGISTER ELIGIBILITY (opinion of SHPO st Property is is is not eligible individually. Property is is not eligible as a contributor to a listed or potential historic district More information needed to evaluate. If not considered eligible, state reason: Lack of integrity due to inappropriate carpo	aff or survey consultant)
FORM COMPLETED BY Name and Affiliation: R. Brooks Jeffery, CAPLA, University of Arizona Mailing Address: P.O. Box 210075, Tucson AZ 85721	Date: May 16, 2003 Phone #: (520) 621-2991



3433 N. Countrey Clab
Tucson Az 85716
Parcel ID
112-030850
Lof 22 Black 4
Winterhamen

DATE RECEIVED



34 33N-Countrey (Jub TULSON AZ 3576 112030850 Lot ZZ Block 4 Winterhaven

MAY 12 2014

FIMA COUNTY ASSESSOR

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION

PROPERTY Winterhaven Historic District NAME:
MULTIPLE NAME:
STATE & COUNTY: ARIZONA, Pima
DATE RECEIVED: 12/19/14 DATE OF PENDING LIST: DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF 45TH DAY: 2/03/15 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:
REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466
REASONS FOR REVIEW:
APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: N NATIONAL: N
COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPTRETURNREJECT2 - 2 - 15DATE
ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:
Both building changed from
Non-contributing to Contributing
RECOM./CRITERIA
REVIEWER Color Beall DISCIPLINE History
TELEPHONE DATE 2.2.15
DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N
If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.

National Register of Historic Places Memo to File

Correspondence

The Correspondence consists of communications from (and possibly to) the nominating authority, notes from the staff of the National Register of Historic Places, and/or other material the National Register of Historic Places received associated with the property.

Correspondence may also include information from other sources, drafts of the nomination, letters of support or objection, memorandums, and ephemera which document the efforts to recognize the property.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

PROPERTY Winterhaven Historic District NAME: MULTIPLE NAME: STATE & COUNTY: ARIZONA, Pima DATE RECEIVED: 11/18/05 DATE OF PENDING LIST: 12/07/05 DATE OF 16TH DAY: 12/22/05 DATE OF 45TH DAY: 1/01/06 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST: REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466 REASONS FOR REVIEW: APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: Y NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPTRETURNREJECT/20.09_DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: Bintared in the National Register RECOM./CRITERIA REVIEWER DISCIPLINE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.	REQUESTED ACTION: NOMINATION	
NAME: STATE & COUNTY: ARIZONA, Pima DATE RECEIVED: 11/18/05 DATE OF PENDING LIST: 12/07/05 DATE OF 16TH DAY: 12/22/05 DATE OF 45TH DAY: 1/01/06 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST: REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466 REASONS FOR REVIEW: APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: Y NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPT RETURN REJECT 12/29/09 DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: Bintered in the National Registre RECOM./CRITERIA REVIEWER DISCIPLINE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the		District
DATE RECEIVED: 11/18/05 DATE OF PENDING LIST: 12/07/05 DATE OF 16TH DAY: 12/22/05 DATE OF 45TH DAY: 1/01/06 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST: REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466 REASONS FOR REVIEW: APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: Y NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPTRETURNREJECT/2-29-09DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: RECOM./CRITERIA REVIEWER DISCIPLINE TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the		
DATE OF 16TH DAY: 12/22/05 DATE OF 45TH DAY: 1/01/06 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST: REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466 REASONS FOR REVIEW: APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: Y NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPT RETURN REJECT 2.000 DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: Bintered in the National Register RECOM./CRITERIA REVIEWER DISCIPLINE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the	STATE & COUNTY: ARIZONA, Pima	
REASONS FOR REVIEW: APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: Y NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPT RETURN REJECT 12.29.09 DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: Bintered in the National Register REVIEWER DISCIPLINE TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the	DATE OF 16TH DAY: 12/22/05	DATE OF PENDING LIST: 12/07/05 DATE OF 45TH DAY: 1/01/06
APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: Y NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPTRETURNREJECT/2.09 DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: Entered in the National Register RECOM./CRITERIA REVIEWER DISCIPLINE TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the	REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466	
OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: Y NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPT RETURN REJECT 12.29.09 DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: Bintered in the National Register REVIEWER DISCIPLINE TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the	REASONS FOR REVIEW:	
ACCEPTRETURNREJECT12.29.09_DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: Bintered in the National Register RECOM./CRITERIA	OTHER: N PDIL: N PE	RIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N
RECOM./CRITERIA		
RECOM./CRITERIA	✓ACCEPTRETURNRE	JECT 12,28-09 DATE
RECOM./CRITERIA	ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:	
TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the		
TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the		THE TAIL
TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the		
TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the		
TELEPHONE DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the		
DATE DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the	RECOM./CRITERIA	
DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the	REVIEWER	DISCIPLINE
If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the	TELEPHONE	DATE
	DOCUMENTATION see attached comme	ents Y/N see attached SLR Y/N

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION

PROPERTY Winterhaven Historic NAME:	District
MULTIPLE NAME:	
STATE & COUNTY: ARIZONA, Pima	
DATE RECEIVED: 3/21/06 DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:	DATE OF PENDING LIST: DATE OF 45TH DAY: 5/04/06
REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466	
REASONS FOR REVIEW:	
APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LAN OTHER: N PDIL: N PER REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR	
COMMENT WAIVER: NACCEPTRETURNRED	лест 5.2.06 DATE
ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:	
Additional I	Occumentation Accepted
	Si di
RECOM./CRITERIA	
REVIEWER	DISCIPLINE
TELEPHONE	DATE
DOCUMENTATION see attached comme	ents Y/N see attached SLR Y/N
If a nomination is returned to t	

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION

PROPERTY Winterhave	en Historic	District		
MULTIPLE		7 V.J		
NAME:		*		
STATE & COUNTY: ARIZ	ZONA, Pima			
DATE RECEIVED: DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:	11/13/06	DATE OF	PENDING LIST: 45TH DAY:	12/27/06
REFERENCE NUMBER: 05	5001466		. W. S.	
REASONS FOR REVIEW:				
APPEAL: N DATA PROP OTHER: N PDIL: REQUEST: N SAMPLE:	N PER	IOD: N	PROGRAM UNAPPR	
COMMENT WAIVER: N	*			
VACCEPTRETUR	RNREJ	ECT 12.2	d.OG DATE	
ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMM	MENTS:			
addis	onel Documentatio	n Accepted		
RECOM./CRITERIA	2			
REVIEWER		DISCIPLINE	3	- +
TELEPHONE		DATE		
DOCUMENTATION see att	cached comme	nts Y/N see	e attached SLR	Y/N
If a nomination is renomination is re				the





NOV 1 R 2005

MAR REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

November 15, 2005

Janet Matthews Keeper of the National Register National Park Service 1201 Eye Street, NW 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005

Janet Napolitano Governor

State Parks Board Members

Chair Elizabeth Stewart

William C. Porter Kingman

Tempe

William Cordasco Flagstaff

> Janice Chilton Payson

William C. Scalzo Phoenix

> John U. Hays Yarnell

Mark Winkleman State Land Commissioner

Kenneth E. Travous Executive Director

Arizona State Parks 1300 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Tel & TTY: 602.542.4174 www.azstateparks.com

800.285.3703 from (520 & 928) area codes

General Fax: 602.542.4180

Director's Office Fax: 602.542.4188

Re: Winterhaven Historic District, Pima County Arizona

Dear Ms. Matthews:

It is my pleasure to submit the enclosed National Register of Historic Places nomination for **Winterhaven Historic District** located within the city of Tucson in Pima County, Arizona.

Winterhaven Historic District is recommended eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion A at the "local" level of significance for its association with post-war housing development in Tucson, Arizona. It is also recommended eligible for listing under Criterion C, as the houses in the neighborhood represent excellent examples of the ranch architectural style.

Please feel free to call me at (602) 542-7136 or email me at Kleonard@pr.state.az.us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Leonard

National Register Coordinator

Arizona State Historic Preservation Office

enclosure

05001466



"Managing and conserving Arizona's natural, cultural and recreational resources"

August 12, 2011

Carol Shull Keeper of the National Register National Park Service 1201 Eye Street, NW 8th Floor (MS2280) Washington, D.C. 2005-5905

RE: WINTERHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT TUCSON, PIMA, AZ

Dear Ms. Shull:

I am pleased to submit an amendment to the National Register of Historic Places for the District referenced above.

The amendment includes 1 property as follows:

2823 East McKenzie, Tucson, Pima AZ

Accompanying documentation is enclosed, as required. Should you have any questions or concerns please contact me at vstrang@azstateparks.gov or at 602.542.4662.

State Parks

Fracey Westerhausen Phoenix

Janice K. Brewer

Governor

Chair

State Parks **Board Members**

Walter D. Armer, Jr. Vail

Reese Woodling Tucson

> Larry Landry Phoenix

Alan Everett Sedona

William C. Scalzo Phoenix

> Maria Baier State Land Commissioner

Renée E. Bahl **Executive Director**

Arizona State Parks 1300 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Tel & TTY: 602.542.4174 AZStateParks.com

800.285.3703 from (520 & 928) area codes

> General Fax: 602.542.4180

Director's Office Fax: 602.542.4188 RECEIVED 2280 SEP 0 2 2011

Sincerely,

Vivia Strang, CPM

National Register Coordinator State Historic Preservation Office

Enclosures

VS:vs

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION

PROPERTY Winterhaven Historic District NAME:
MULTIPLE NAME:
STATE & COUNTY: ARIZONA, Pima
DATE RECEIVED: 12/19/14 DATE OF PENDING LIST: DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF 45TH DAY: 2/03/15 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:
REFERENCE NUMBER: 05001466
REASONS FOR REVIEW:
APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: N NATIONAL: N
COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPTRETURNREJECT2 - 2 - 15DATE
ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:
Both building changed from
Non-contributing to Contributing
RECOM./CRITERIA
REVIEWER Color Beall DISCIPLINE History
TELEPHONE DATE 2.2.15
DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N
If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.



STATE OF ARIZONA HISTORIC PROPERTY TAX RECLASSIFICATION APPLICATION FOR RESIDENTIAL, OWNER-OCCUPIED PROPERTIES

MAY 29 2814

ASSE	SSOR USE	ONLY
BOOK	MAP	PARCEL
112	03	0850
Items 2, 3, & 4	have_	have not
been verified b	y the County	Assessor.
By: Ma	in (Acedo
Date: =	In Inc	611

Submit the completed form and photos to your County Assessor. You will receive a copy after the application has been processed. Please call (602) 542-4009 if you have any questions. Please type or print clearly.

1.	Address of the p	roperty:	Street: County:	A	,	ountry		_ City: de: 8571	h CSDN	
2.	Legal Description	n and/or Asses	ssor's Parcel	ID#: #	DORAL	10/12	-03-08	P50 600	1 22	2/01
3.	Property Use:	Owner-occ	cupied Resid	ential				och got		
4.	Name of Owner	on Tax Roll:	Shaw	nee In	nez an	N 15	1/a Phone	(520)	304	-06
	Mailing Address	same	-							
	City:			State:			Zip	Code:		
5.	Property is listed Within the foll Individually a	owing neighbo	orhood or his	storic district	(if known):	-	Winter	ehaven		
6.	Date of Original					Origina	al Site	□ Move	ed.	
7.	Be - 5 wcc			P	s constructe	d. Attach a	separate shee	et if necessary.		
	on which the pl	notographs we					ires. Stanaa	ra 4 x b snap	SHOIS OF	aigitat
onsen mende eview onditi he abo		tted out are acc the information parts B to State Parks B chitectural inte- tation; submit a and any alteration stand the penalt	ceptable. provided is, Icloard Rules & grity of the property if requires to the property if requires involved it.	o the best of n Regulations property; prov. nuested, per th low the State I f decertified; a	ny knowledgo pursuant to ide the State we required fo Historic Pres and understa	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Prom, to the Stervation Off and that this	101 and ARS eservation Off tate Historic P icer or his repr	§ 42-12102 thro ficer with plans Preservation Off resentative, to vi s granted for 15	ough §42-1 for alterat for alterat icu the pro- i conseculta A COUNTY A	hereby 2108 as ions for ing the mises of pe years MAY 12
onsen mende voiew onditi he abo class	hereby attest that to abide by Arizon ed; maintain the ar prior to implement on of said property we property; underlifted as non-commenture:	tted out are acc the information parts B to State Parks B chitectural inte- tation; submit a and any alteration stand the penalt	ceptable. provided is, Icloard Rules & grity of the property if requires to the property if requires involved it.	o the best of n Regulations property; prov. nuested, per th low the State I f decertified; a	ny knowledge pursuant to ide the State te required fo Historic Pres and understa CURRENT	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Prom, to the Stervation Off and that this	101 and ARS eservation Off. tate Historic P icer or his repr classification is	§ 42-12102 thro ficer with plans Preservation Off resentative, to vi s granted for 15	ough §42-1 for alteraticer describ few the pre- iconsecuMA COUNTY ASSES	hereby 2108 as ions for iong the mises of me years
msenderview onditie about classification ignation ignatio	hereby attest that to abide by Arizon ed; maintain the ar prior to implement on of said property we property; underlifted as non-commenture:	tted out are acc the information parts B to State Parks B chitectural inte- tation; submit a and any alteration stand the penalt	ceptable. provided is, Icloard Rules & grity of the property if requires to the property if requires involved it.	o the best of n Regulations property; prov. nuested, per th low the State I f decertified; a	ny knowledge pursuant to ide the State the required for Historic Pres and understa CURRENT Date:	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Prom, to the Stervation Off and that this	101 and ARS eservation Off. tate Historic P icer or his repr classification is	§ 42-12102 thro ficer with plans Preservation Off resentative, to vi s granted for 15	ough §42-1 for alterat for alt	hereby 2108 as ions for ing the mises of pe years MAY 12
menderview wiew miditi we abore class ignat ignat ignat The Di	hereby attest that the to abide by Arizon ed; maintain the ar prior to implement on of said property; under iffed as non-commenture: ture: HPO USE ONLY: the property describistrict and contributed.	ted out are accepted information particles and state Parks Bechitectural intestation; submit a and any alteratistand the penalticial historic projected above is incest to the characters to the characters to the characters.	ceptable. covered and so to covered Rules & grity of the preport, if requires involved in perty. Indeed within a confidence of the distriction o	the best of no Regulations property; provuested, per thou the State of decertified; a (NOTE: ALL) the boundaries. Date listed:	pursuant to ide the State required for Historic Presund understand understand understand Date: Date: Date: Date:	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Prom, to the Stervation Off, and that this COWNERS	101 and ARS eservation Off state Historic P ficer or his repr classification is MUST SIGN 5/04	§ 42-12102 threficer with plans freservation Off freservation (off freservative, to vi s granted for 15 I BELOW.) /// /// /// /// National	ough §42-1 for alteraticer describ iew the pre- iconsecuMA COUNTY ASSESSOR	hereby 2108 as ions for ing the mises of one years MAY 12 2014
mendoriview mendoriview mendoriview mendoriview mendoriview about it class. iignatiignatiignat DR SI TH	to abide by Arizor ed; maintain the ar prior to implement on of said property; under iffed as non-commenture: ture: HPO USE ONLY: the property describistrict and contribute individual proper	ted out are accepted information particles and state Parks Be chitectural integration; submit a and any alteration and the penalticial historic properties to the character ty described above is incepty described above.	ceptable. covered Rules & cov	the best of not regulations property; provincested, per thou the State of decertified; a (NOTE: ALL) the boundaries. Date listed: d into the Nati	pursuant to ide the State required for Historic Presund understand understand Date:	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Pr orm, to the Si ervation Off and that this OWNERS	2001 and ARS eservation Off tate Historic P ficer or his repr classification is MUST SIGN 5/04 Places on:	§ 42-12102 throfter with plans freservation Off freservation Off freservative, to vi s granted for 15 I BELOW.) /// /// /// /// National	ough §42-1 for alteraticer describ iew the pre- iconsecuMA COUNTY ASSESSOR	hereby 2108 as ions for ing the mises of one years MAY 12 2014
omsenmenddevoiew weiew omditii we aboo class ignat ignat ignat Th Di Th	hereby attest that the to abide by Arizon ed; maintain the ar prior to implement on of said property; under iffed as non-commenture: ture: HPO USE ONLY: the property describistrict and contributed.	ted out are accepted information pure State Parks Bechitectural integration; submit a and any alteration and the penalty cial historic project of the character ty described about a bove is listed above is listed	neptable. provided is, ice pard Rules & grity of the p report, if req ions made; all ies involved i perly. Indeed within er of the district ove was entere neither indivi	the best of no Regulations property; provincested, per the own the State of decertified; a (NOTE: ALL) the boundaries. Date listed: d into the Natidually nor as a	pursuant to ide the State required for Historic Presumd understa CURRENT Date: Date: Date: Date: ies of the ional Registe a contributor	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Promit to the Servation Off and that this OWNERS Tof Historic I to a National	2001 and ARS eservation Off place for his repr classification is MUST SIGN 5/04 Places on: Register Histo	§ 42-12102 threficer with plans free with plan	ough §42-1 for alterative describing the pre- ice of the pre- ice onsecuMA COUNTY ASSE	hereby 2108 as ions for ing the mises of one years MAY 12 2014
mendomsen mendom volete uboo classi ignat ignat The Di The The The Bo	to abide by Arizor ed; maintain the ar prior to implement on of said property indersified as non-commenture: ture: ture	ted out are accepted information in State Parks Be chitectural integration; submit a and any alteration and the penalticial historic properties to the character ty described above is listed dispose currently above currently and any alteration.	ceptable. covered Rules & courd Rules & grity of the preport, if requires involved in perty. Indeed within the of the districtive was enterented in the course of the co	the best of no Regulations property; provuested, per thou the State of decertified; a (NOTE: ALL) the boundaries. Date listed: d into the Natidually nor as a does NOT meeting the second control of	pursuant to ide the State required for Historic Presund understand	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Prom, to the Servation Off and that this OWNERS of Historic I to a National	2001 and ARS eservation Off thate Historic P ficer or his repr classification is MUST SIGN 5/04 Places on: Register Histo nce standards on	§ 42-12102 threficer with plans freservation Off freservation Off freservation Off freservative, to vi s granted for 15 I BELOW.) National ric District. If the Arizona Sta	ough §42-1 for alterat for alt	hereby 2108 as ions for ing the mises of one years MAY 12 2014
mendorview	to abide by Arizor to abide by Arizor ed; maintain the ar prior to implement on of said property; under iffed as non-commenture: ture: HPO USE ONLY: the property describe istrict and contribute individual property describe the property describe are property describe and (Rule 12-8-306).	ted out are accepted information parties and state Parks Be chitectural integration; submit a and any alteration and the penalticial historic properties to the character ty described above is listed above currently e described properties.	ceptable. covered Rules & courd Rules & grity of the perions made; all ites involved it perly. Indeed within the rof the districtive was entereneither individuals.	the best of no Regulations property; provincested, per those the State of decertified; a (NOTE: ALL) the boundariest. Date listed: d into the Nationally nor as a does NOT meetas a non-communication of the state o	pursuant to side the State required for Historic Presumd understate CURRENT Date: Date: Date: Date: dies of the ional Registerational Registerational the minimumercial historic pursuant present the minimumercial historic present the minimumercial historic present the minimumercial historic pursuant present the minimumercial historic pursuant present pursuant present	e, correct and ARS § 42-12 Historic Prome, to the Secution Off and that this OWNERS Tof Historic I to a National am maintenance	2001 and ARS eservation Off tate Historic ficer or his repr classification is MUST SIGN 5 / 0 4 Places on: Register Histo ince standards of pursuant to ARS	§ 42-12102 threficer with plans free District. I the Arizona State of \$42-12101, as a second	ough §42-1 for alteraticer describ few the pre- iconsecuMA COUNTY ASSE SOR Register I	hereby 2108 as ions for ing the mises of one years MAY 12 2014

Pima County Assesses Office 115 N. Church A U.C. 8570 1 = AHN BOLLY 8570 1 = AHN BOLLY Winterhaven /Tucson

RECOMMENDATION OF ELIGIBILITY

ARIZONA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

1300 West Washington Street Phoenix AZ 85007

SECT 1.	Property Name:
2.	Property Address: 262565 E. Klandele Rel
3.	Draft National Register Form completed: Yes No Date: Listed June 2010 - Campus Vista HD
4.	Type of Resource: Site District District Building Structure
5.	National Register Criterion: A (History) B (Person) C (Design) D (Archaeology)
6.	Criteria Consideration: A (Religious) B (Moved) C (Birthplace or Grave) D (Cemetery) E (Reconstructed) F (Commemorative) G (Less than 50 years old)
7.	Suggested level of significance: Local State National
8.	Integrity: Good Fair Poor
prope	he undersigned staff of the Arizona SHPO, hereby provide the following recommendations on the eligibility of the subject crty for the National and State Registers of Historic Places. The SHPO recommendation is based on property information & cation of the Secretary of Interior Standards.
1.	Staff Comments:
	Signature: 5 El Date: 4/19/14
2.	Staff Comments:
	Signature: W. Cellius Date: 4/19/14
3.	Staff Comments: Not Eligible
	Signature: Winia Strang Date: 9/19/14
4.	The Arizona State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) Comments:
	Agrees Disagrees with the above recommendation Schedule for review by Historic Sites Review Committee
	Signature: James Garrisi Date: 9/22/14
	CLG: approved

This property was deemed a non-contributor to the Winterhaven Historic District due to its carport modification as it did not meet the district's carport policy. Jen Levstik/City of Tucson believed that it should be a contributor and consulted with the creator of the carport policy, Brooks Jeffrey, who agreed that this property should be a contributor. I agree that the modification is not egregious enough to make it a non-contributor.

STATE OF ARIZONA

East, Northwest

Negative No. V-3-23,24

HISTORIC PROPERTY INVENTORY FORM

Please type or print clearly. Fill out each applicable space accurately and with as much information as is known about the property. Continuation sheets may be attached if necessary. Send completed form to: State Historic Preservation Office, 1300 W. Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007

PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION For Properties identified through survey: Sit	e No. <u>17</u>	Survey Area Wi	nterhaven Historic I	District	
Historic Name(s) Wells Residence (Enter the name(s), if any, that best reflects to Address 2565 E. Kleindale Rd	he property's hist	oric importance,)			
		B. 15	a	440 00	0000
City or Town Tucson	_ U vicinity	County Pima	Tax Parcel No	112 03	0230
Township T13 S Range R14 E S	ection S29	Quarters		Acreage	
Block 10 Lot(s) Plat (/	Addition) Win	terhaven	Year of	plat (addit	tion) 1948
UTM reference: Zone 12 Easting		Northing			
USGS 7.5' quadrangle map: Tucson No	orth AZ (see N	NR nomination form)		
ARCHITECT	☑ no	ot determined kno	wn Source		
BUILDER					
CONSTRUCTION DATE 1954/1952	Dknown [Testimated Source A	rchitectural Drawin	a / Tax Ass	sessor
☐ Fair (some problems apparent) Des					
☐ Ruin/Uninhabitable					
USES/FUNCTIONS Describe how the property has been used over time, beginning with the original use. DOMESTIC/single dwelling	Att Ad				
Sources					11
PHOTO INFORMATION			100000		
Date of photo 4/9/03	100	-			
View Direction (looking towards)	333	and the state of t			-

SIGNIFICANCE To be eligible for the National Register, a property must represent an important part of the history or architecture of an area. The significance of a property is evaluated within its historic context, which are those patterns, themes, or trends in history by which a property occurred or gained importance. Describe the historic and architectural contexts of the property that may make it worthy of preservation. Additional sheets should be attached where necessary. A. HISTORIC EVENTS/TRENDS. Describe any historic events/trends associated with the property Community Planning and Development in Tucson Arizona, 1949-1961 B. PERSONS. List and describe persons with an important association with the building C. ARCHITECTURE. Style MODERN MOVEMENT: Ranch: Traditional ranch no style Basement Roof form Low-pitched gable Describe other character-defining features of its massing, size, and scale L-shaped plan, broadside facing street, asymmetrical facade, recessed entry, picture windows, casement windows INTEGRITY To be eligible for the National Register, a property must have integrity, i.e., it must be able to visually convey its importance. The outline below lists some important aspects of integrity. Fill in the blanks with as detailed a description of the property as possible. LOCATION. Original site Moved: date original site DESIGN. Describe alterations from the original design, including dates Incompatible carport conversion to garage (design), some windows enclosed, second bay added to garage MATERIALS. Describe the materials used in the following elements of the property. Walls (structure) Exposed burnt adobe Walls (sheathing) Describe window structure Fixed, operable casement Windows Metal frame Foundation Concrete slab on grade Roof Built up roofing with polymer coating SETTING. Describe the natural and/or built environment around the property Center lot placement on corner lot, grass landscaping, entry walkway from street How has the environment changed since the property was constructed? WORKMANSHIP. Describe the distinctive elements, if any, of craftsmanship or method of construction NATIONAL REGISTER STATUS (if listed, check the appropriate box) ☐ Individually Listed: ☐ Contributor ☐ Noncontributor to Date Listed Determined eligible by Keeper of National Register (date) RECOMMENDATIONS ON NATIONAL REGISTER ELIGIBILITY (opinion of SHPO staff or survey consultant)

FORM COMPLETED BY
Name and Affiliation: CAPLA, University of Arizona Date: May 16, 2003
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 210075, Tucson AZ 85721 Phone #: (520)621-2991

Frot considered eligible, state reason: Lack of integrity due to inappropriate carport modifications

Property I is is not eligible as a contributor to a listed or potential historic district.

Property Is is not eligible individually.

More information needed to evaluate.



Winkshaven / Tusan

RECOMMENDATION OF ELIGIBILITY

ARIZONA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE 1300 West Washington Street Phoenix AZ 85007

2.	Property Address: 3433	N. (Quad	4 Club		
3.	Draft National Register Form			ite: Listed June 2	010 – Campus Vista HD
4.	Type of Resource:	e District	☐ Object	☐ Building	☐ Structure
5.	National Register Criterion:	A (History)	☐ B (Person)	C (Design)	D (Archaeology)
5.	Criteria Consideration:				ce or Grave) D (Cemetery)
7.	Suggested level of significance		State	National	G (Less than 50 years old)
8.	Integrity:	Good	☐ Fair	Poor	
1.	Staff Comments:	rends	Eligible	e information ne	
2.	Staff Comments:	gible 📙 Not	Eligible Mor	re information ne	eded
	Signature: V. Collen		Date:	9/14/14	
3.	Signature: Zelling	☐ Not Eligible		9/14/14 nation needed	
3.	Staff Comments: Kiligible	□ Not Eligible	☐ More inform	9/14/14 action needed	<u>-</u>

This property was deemed a non-contributor to the Winterhaven Historic District due to its carport modification as it did not meet the district's carport policy. Jen Levstik/City of Tucson believed that it should be a contributor and consulted with the creator of the carport policy, Brooks Jeffrey, who agreed that this property should be a contributor. I agree that the modification is not egregious enough to make it a non-contributor.



Signature:

State Historic Preservation Officer/Assignee

STATE OF ARIZONA HISTORIC PROPERTY TAX RECLASSIFICATION APPLICATION FOR RESIDENTIAL, OWNER-OCCUPIED PROPERTIES

Submit the completed form and photos to your County Assessor. You will

MAY 29 2814

ASSESSOR USE ONLY

BOOK MAP PARCEL

Items 2, 3, & 4 have have not been verified by the County Assessor.

By: Maria Acade

Date: 5 1 3 1 2014

receive a copy after the application has been processed. Please call (602) 542-4009 if you have any questions. Please type or print clearly. Address of the property: Street: Zip Code: 85 County: 2. Legal Description and/or Assessor's Parcel ID #: Owner-occupied Residential 3. Property Use: Other non-income producing use. Explain: Name of Owner on Tax Roll: Shawner Mailing Address: Same City: Zip Code: State: 5. Property is listed on the National Register of Historic Places: Windechaven Within the following neighborhood or historic district (if known): ☐ Individually and has the following historic name (if known): Date of Original Construction: Original Site Describe any exterior changes to the building since it was constructed. Attach a separate sheet if necessary. Enclose two photographs clearly showing the front of the house and another showing the front and side of the house. Label photographs on the back with the address of the property, the owner's name, the Tax Parcel ID number and the date on which the photographs were taken. Please do not send Polaroid pictures. Standard 4 x 6 snapshots or digital photographs printed out are acceptable. I (we) hereby attest that the information provided is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that I am the owner of said property. I hereby consent to abide by Arizona State Parks Board Rules & Regulations pursuant to ARS § 42-12101 and ARS § 42-12102 through §42-12108 as amended; maintain the architectural integrity of the property; provide the State Historic Preservation Officer with plans for alterations for review prior to implementation; submit a report, if requested, per the required form, to the State Historic Preservation Officer describing the condition of said property and any alterations made; allow the State Historic Preservation Officer or his representative, to view the premises of the above property; understand the penalties involved if describing; and understand that this classification is granted for 15 consecutive years if classified as non-commercial historic property.

(NOTE: ALL CURRENT OWNERS MUST SIGN BELOW.) D Signature: Date: T Signature: Date: Signature: Date: FOR SHPO USE ONLY: The property described above is included within the boundaries of the National Register Historic District and contributes to the character of the district. Date listed: The individual property described above was entered into the National Register of Historic Places on: The property described above is listed neither individually nor as a contributor to a National Register Historic District. The property described above currently \square meets \square does NOT meet the minimum maintenance standards of the Arizona State Parks Board (Rule 12-8-306).

I hereby certify that the described property qualifies as a non-commercial historic property pursuant to ARS § 42-12101, as amended. I hereby certify that the described property does NOT qualify as an historic property pursuant to ARS § 42-12101, as amended.

Date:

Pima County Assesses Office 115 N. Church A U.C. 8570 1 = AHN BOLLY 8570 1 = AHN BOLLY



Signature:

State Historic Preservation Officer/Assignee

STATE OF ARIZONA HISTORIC PROPERTY TAX RECLASSIFICATION APPLICATION FOR RESIDENTIAL, OWNER-OCCUPIED PROPERTIES

Submit the completed form and photos to your County Assessor. You will

MAY 29 2814

ASSESSOR USE ONLY

BOOK MAP PARCEL

Items 2, 3, & 4 have have not been verified by the County Assessor.

By: Maria Acade

Date: 5 1 3 1 2014

receive a copy after the application has been processed. Please call (602) 542-4009 if you have any questions. Please type or print clearly. Address of the property: Street: Zip Code: 85 County: 2. Legal Description and/or Assessor's Parcel ID #: Owner-occupied Residential 3. Property Use: Other non-income producing use. Explain: Name of Owner on Tax Roll: Shawner Mailing Address: Same City: Zip Code: State: 5. Property is listed on the National Register of Historic Places: Windechaven Within the following neighborhood or historic district (if known): ☐ Individually and has the following historic name (if known): Date of Original Construction: Original Site Describe any exterior changes to the building since it was constructed. Attach a separate sheet if necessary. Enclose two photographs clearly showing the front of the house and another showing the front and side of the house. Label photographs on the back with the address of the property, the owner's name, the Tax Parcel ID number and the date on which the photographs were taken. Please do not send Polaroid pictures. Standard 4 x 6 snapshots or digital photographs printed out are acceptable. I (we) hereby attest that the information provided is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that I am the owner of said property. I hereby consent to abide by Arizona State Parks Board Rules & Regulations pursuant to ARS § 42-12101 and ARS § 42-12102 through §42-12108 as amended; maintain the architectural integrity of the property; provide the State Historic Preservation Officer with plans for alterations for review prior to implementation; submit a report, if requested, per the required form, to the State Historic Preservation Officer describing the condition of said property and any alterations made; allow the State Historic Preservation Officer or his representative, to view the premises of the above property; understand the penalties involved if describing; and understand that this classification is granted for 15 consecutive years if classified as non-commercial historic property.

(NOTE: ALL CURRENT OWNERS MUST SIGN BELOW.) D Signature: Date: T Signature: Date: Signature: Date: FOR SHPO USE ONLY: The property described above is included within the boundaries of the National Register Historic District and contributes to the character of the district. Date listed: The individual property described above was entered into the National Register of Historic Places on: The property described above is listed neither individually nor as a contributor to a National Register Historic District. The property described above currently \square meets \square does NOT meet the minimum maintenance standards of the Arizona State Parks Board (Rule 12-8-306).

I hereby certify that the described property qualifies as a non-commercial historic property pursuant to ARS § 42-12101, as amended. I hereby certify that the described property does NOT qualify as an historic property pursuant to ARS § 42-12101, as amended.

Date:

Pima County Assesses Office 115 N. Church A U.C. 8570 1 = AHN BOLLY 8570 1 = AHN BOLLY Janice K. Brewer Governor

Bryan Martyn Executive Director



Board Members

Alan Everett, Sedona, Chair Walter D. Armer, Jr., Vail Mark Brnovich, Phoenix R. J. Cardin, Phoenix Kay Daggett, Sierra Vista Larry Landry, Phoenix Vanessa Hickman



December 15, 2014

Carol Shull Interim Keeper of the National Register National Park Service 1201 Eye Street, NW 8th Floor (MS2280) Washington, D.C. 2005-5905

RE: PIE ALLEN HD (Boundary decrease) – Tucson, Pima, AZ WEST UNIVERSITY HD – Tucson, Pima, AZ BLENMAN-ELM HD – Tucson, Pima, AZ WINTERHAVEN HD (2) – Tucson, Pima, AZ

Dear Ms. Shull:

I am pleased to submit the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form amendments for the properties referenced above.

Sincerely,

Vivia Strang, CPM

National Register Coordinator State Historic Preservation Office

Arizona State Parks

Enclosures

VS:vs