1. SITE I.D. NO			1		HAER INV	ENTORY		Historic American Engineering Record Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 2			on, D.C. 20240
2. INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION	1	1			3. PRIORITY	4. DANGER OF		VES	<b>□</b> NO		
Bridges, Trestles, and Aqueducts					1	(SPECIFY TH	REAT)				
		1			5. DATE	6. GOVT SOURC	E OF THREAT	WO	NER	ADMIN	
ARCH: steel	7	5	9	6	1921						
						7. OWNER/ADM					
165.10 1650001150						A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONT	Department of	Transpor	tation		
8. NAME(S) OF STRUCTURE Fairfax Bridge							<sup>DRESS</sup> y Administrat <sup>.</sup> a, Washington		ing		
							a, washingcon	90304			
	VICIN	ITY			CONG.	STATE	COUNTY NAME		CITY/VICI	NITY	
	lmc	ont			DIST. 03	COUNTY					CONG. DIST.
11. SITE ADDRESS (STREET & NO )						12. EXISTING		HABS	HAER-I	HAER	
Crossing: Carbon River						SURVEYS	CONF	STATE	COUNT	Y 🗖 LOCAL	
5						13. SPECIAL FE	ATURES (DESCRIBE BELOV	V)			
5.2 miles south of Wilkeson /11.6	Nc	of F	Rair	ier	Park		OR INTACT		DR INTACT		ENVIRONS INTACT
14. UTM ZONE EASTING NORTHING	<del>, ,</del>				SIGN SCALE	1:24	1:62.5	OUA	n lako "	Tapps, Wash	ington
1 0 5 7 2 9 0 0 5 2 0	9	9	9 1	1		OTHER				Tapps, wash	
UTM ZONE EASTING NORTHING	<u>т</u> т			83838	SIGN SCALE	—	1:62.5	QUA	D		
						OTHER					
15. CONDITION 70 CEXCELLENT 71 GOOD	72 🗖	FAIR		73	DETERIORATED	74 🗖 RUINS	75 UNEXPOSED	76 🗖 ALT	ERED	82 DESTROYED	85 DEMOLISHED
16. INVENTORIED BY					AFFILIATION			<b>T</b>		DATE	<b>`</b>
Lisa Soderberg 17. DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND HISTORY, INCLUDING CONSTR							<u>State Bridge</u>	Inventor	<u>у</u>	March 1979	9
MATERIALS, EXTANT EQUIPMENT, AND IMPORTANT BUILDERS, EI	NGINE	ERS. I	TĊ.						<b>.</b> .	<b>.</b> .	• • • • • •
In 1921, a riveted steel arch	n Wa	ast	uil	ţj	ointly by th	e County	and State aci	ross the	Carbon	River prov	iding the
link which enabled the construction	on c		:he	fir	st road to H	airtax.	Previously a	train, w	hich pa	issed throu	gh Fairtax
only twice a day, was the town's p	prin	nary	' rc	oute	to the outs	side worl	a. Ine only (	other alt	ernativ	/e to this :	sporadic
transportation service was walking	] [(	) Me	elmo	nt	where there	was a wa	gon road to o	ther town	s. Nee	edless to s	ay, the
construction of the steel arch whi						che nighe	st span in th	e state a	t the t	time of tis	construction,
was a vital transportation link to						ingod by-	and with staal	anch tw	o 1/ fo	at staal t	owence and
The 494 foot structure consis 16 timber trestle approach spans.											
that are latticed in a Warren trus			nor Si a	us ust	ion The ve	are comp	ombons of the	towors	nd char	drol colum	cwu anyres
<u>up of two latticed channels. The</u> 18. ORIGINAL USE	17	4 1	igu	ıraı - wi	de roadway r	rests on	a Warren stif	fening tr	nu spai uss. 1	the steel w	as (CONTOVER)
18. ORIGINAL USE			, PF	RESEN	TUSE	<u> </u>		ADAPTIVE U	SE		<u> </u>
vehicular			\	/ehi	cular						
19. REFERENCES-HISTORICAL REFERENCES, PERSONAL CONTACT											
State Department of Transportation							7 606				
J.A.L. Waddell, Bridge Engineering	], 2	Z VO	ols.	, (	New York, 19	910) 1:01	/-636.				
		<u> </u>									(CONTOVER)
20. URBAN AREA 50,000 POP. OR MORE? YES NO	88 1	22.	PUBLI			ES, LIMITED	YES. UNLIMITED				23. EDITOR
	4					10				SCORE SCORES	
24. LOCATED IN AN HISTORIC DISTRICT?		NA	ME					DIST	RICT I.D. NO		

USDI-NATIONAL PARK SERVICE FORM 10-292 (10/77)	
--	--

## Description (continued)

fabricated by the Minneapolis Steel and Machinery Company. The arch rests on concrete footings. In 1945, the timber approaches and the timber deck were reconstructed.

The unusual power of the bridge lies in the visibility of the structure's function, explicity delineated through the slender arched steel forms. The Fairfax Bridge is one of two three-hinged lattice arches remaining within the State. However, even during the time of its construction, the steel arch was used in the United States only when very specific conditions prevailed. In his book <u>Bridge Engineering</u>, J.A.L. Waddell explains the reason for the paucity of arches in the United States. "Arches are employed very generally in Europe on account of their superior appearance as compared with simple-truss bridges, and because of the powerful influence of the old masonry arch upon the minds of European bridge designers, regardless of the consideration of economy. American engineers, on the other hand, have been indifferent to the question of aesthetics, and have preferred simple spans to arches mainly for reasons of simplicity and economy, but sometimes on account of their greater rigidity. Another reason why the arch has not been used much in American practice is that the conditions which make it economical are not met with as frequently in this country as in Europe. For deep gorges with rocky sides, or for shallow streams with rock bottom and natural abutments, arches are eminently proper and economical." The conditions that made the bridge type economical in Europe were mirrored in the deep canyon of the Carbon River.

The Fairfax Bridge is a rare example within the State of a three-hinged lattice arch, and embodies a steel arch type that was widely used by American engineers. The three-hinged arch, with a hinge at the crown and at the two abutments is the least rigid of all arch structures. However, there is no ambiguity of stress distribution in the three-hinged arch, and the method of stress calculation is relatively simple.

