OMB No. 10024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form



This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in *How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form* (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property	
historic name New Town Center	
other names University Town Center, Prince George's Plaza (PG: 68-104)	
2. Location	
street & number6505 Belcrest Road, 6525 Belcrest Road, 3700 East-West Highway	not for publication
city or town Hyattsville	vicinity
state Maryland code MD county Prince George's County code 033	zip code20782
3. State/Federal Agency Certification	
As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, I hereby certify that for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property is meets in Register criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant in nationally is statewide in locally. (In additional comments).	of Historic Places and meets does not meet the National] See continuation sheet for
State or Federal agency and bureau	×
4. National Park Service Certification	
I hereby, certify that this property is: A entered in the National Register. Bee continuation sheet. C determined eligible for the National Register. Determined not eligible for the National Register. removed from the National Register. other (explain):	Date of Action

Prince George's County,	MD
County and State	

5. Classification	1					
Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)Category of Property (Check only one box)Number of Resources with (Do not include previously listed)		ces within Property y listed resources in the co	ount)			
🛛 private			Co	ntributing	Noncontributing	
public-lo	Concernent Concernent	district		3	0	buildings
public-S	1945-1955	site				sites
public-F	ederal	structure				structures
		object				_ objects
			-	3	0	Total
Name of related r	nultiple property list	ing	numb	or of contribu	uting resources prev	viouely
	rty is not part of a multiple			in the Nation	and the second second second second second second	viously
(.,	- p.op.o.t,	noteu	in the Nation	a Register	
N/A			0			
6. Function or L						
Historic Functio				Functions		
(Enter categories from	m instructions)		(Enter cat	egories from instr	ructions)	
COMMERCE/TR	ADE – Business (Off	ice Building)	COMME	ERCE/TRADE	- Business (Office Bui	lding)
			VACAN	Т		
			_			
0						
					-	
7. Description						
Architectural Cl			Materia			
(Enter categories from	m instructions)		(Enter cal	tegories from inst	tructions)	
MODERN			foundat	ion CAST	STONE	
			walls	CAST STO	Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec.	
				BRICK		
			roof	SYNTHETI	CS	
			other			

Narrative Description

(Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets)

New Town Center sits on a rectangular site of approximately thirty acres that slopes down to the east. It is bounded by East-West Highway to the south, Democracy Avenue to the east, Toledo Road to the north and Belcrest Road to the south. The site is also bisected by three smaller roads: America Boulevard, which runs north-south and Liberty Lane and Freedom Way, which run east-west.

The initial building campaign of New Town Center, between 1963 and 1971, consisted of three buildings designed by Edward Durell Stone named Metro 1, 2 and 3. A second campaign, which is not considered historically or architecturally significant, began in the late 1990s, consisting of low- and high-rise buildings

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section _7_ Page _1__

interspersed among the original construction, as well as two paved parking lots to the south. There are also paved sidewalks, limited landscaping and street trees and a terraced plaza with a fountain. In the southwest corner of the site is the former Perpetual American Bank designed in 1965 by Robert O. Scholz. This building is not associated with the New Town Center development.

All three buildings, although constructed over nearly a decade, share a common vocabulary of material, massing and design. Resembling a Classical column with a base, shaft and capital, they are clad in the modern materials of cast stone and glazed white brick and have a regular fenestration pattern with 3-sided aluminum oriel windows.

Metro 1

Metro 1 is an 8 ¹/₂-story rectangular building located near the southwest corner of the site (Photographs #1 and 2). It was the first building in the complex, designed between 1962 and 1963 by Edward Durell Stone. The east and west elevations are ten bays wide and the north and south elevations are thirty bays wide with a total of 290,000 square feet. All elevations are clad in fluted white cast concrete. Encircling the 1st floor is a covered veranda with a painted white metal railing and there are prominent projecting eaves between the 1st and 2nd floor and along the roofline. The fenestration pattern is consistent on all elevations. The 1st floor and basement, which is only visible in the east half of the building because of the change in grade, have 1-light aluminum storefront windows in all bays. The 2nd through 7th floors have narrow 3-sided aluminum oriel windows, which were replaced in 1996. The 8th floor has taller 3-sided aluminum oriel windows, which were also replaced in 1996. The building has a flat roof with a centered 1-story brick penthouse. Projecting from the 1st floor of the west elevation, facing Belcrest Road, is an elevated c. 2005 porch the three concrete, metal and glass hipped roof sections. The porch is accessed by a set of center stairs and flanking handicap ramps. It frames the primary entrance to the building, facing Belcrest Road, which contains paired contemporary aluminum sliding doors with 2-light aluminum transoms and 1-light aluminum sidelights. Projecting from the basement and 1st floor of the east elevation, facing America Boulevard, is a c. 2005 1-story brick veneer, concrete and stucco addition, which contains commercial space.

On the interior of the building, only the circulation core is consistent on each floor. Located in the center of the floor plate, there is an elevator lobby flanked by pairs of elevators to the north and south (Photographs #8 and 12). A fifth freight elevator is located to the northeast. There are also two U-return stairways to the northwest

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

Prince George's County, MD

PG: 68-104

New Town Center

Name of Property

Section 7 Page 2

County and State

and southeast of the elevators (Photograph #11). The stairways have painted metal treads and risers and painted metal railings. All circulation provides access between all floors. Throughout the building, all buildings have been divided into a series of larger and smaller spaces with no cohesive arrangement (Photographs #7, 9, 10, 13 and 14). The finishes are largely contemporary, including contemporary marble, terrazzo, linoleum, concrete and carpeted floors, painted drywall partitions and ceilings, dropped acoustical tile ceilings and fluorescent lighting. As the entire interior of the building was renovated in 1996, there are no extant historic finishes.

Metro 2

Metro 2 is a 10 ½-story rectangular building located near the center of the site (Photographs #3 and 4). It was the second building in the complex, designed in 1967 by Edward Durell Stone. The east and west elevations are ten bays wide and the north and south elevations are thirty bays wide with a total of 385,000 square feet. On all elevations, the 1st and 2nd floors are clad in glazed white brick and the upper floors are clad in fluted white cast concrete. The exposed portion of the basement, which is only visible on the north elevation. There are also low concrete and glazed white brick retaining walls on the north and east elevations. As the bottom two floors have a larger floor plate than the upper floors, they are topped by a veranda with a painted white metal railing. The veranda is covered by a freestanding aluminum canopy supported by fluted cast concrete columns. The fenestration pattern is consistent on all elevations. The 1st and 2nd floors have 1-light aluminum windows separated by metal spandrel panels. The 3rd through 9th floors have narrow 3-sided aluminum oriel windows and the 10th floor has taller 3-sided aluminum oriel windows. The building has a flat roof with a centered 1-stor brick penthouse. Projecting from the 1st floor of the west elevation, facing America Boulevard, is a c. 2005 1story brick veneer, concrete and stucco addition, which contains commercial space. Projecting from the east end of the south elevation is a 1-story vestibule, which originally served as the primary entrance to the building. Clad in fluted cast concrete to match the remainder of the building, it has large 1-light windows and a doubleleaf glazed aluminum door and a revolving aluminum door that open to the south.

On the interior of the building, only the circulation core is consistent on each floor. Located in the center of the floor plate, there is an elevator lobby flanked by pairs of elevators to the north and south (Photographs #15 and 17). A fifth freight elevator is located to the northwest. There are also two U-return stairways to the northwest and southeast of the elevators (Photograph #19). The stairways have concrete treads and risers and painted metal railings. All circulation provides access between all floors. Throughout the building, all buildings have been divided into a series of larger and smaller spaces with no cohesive arrangement (Photographs #16, 18 and

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section 7 Page 3

20-22). The finishes are largely contemporary, including contemporary terrazzo, linoleum, concrete and carpeted floors, painted drywall partitions and ceilings, dropped acoustical tile ceilings and fluorescent lighting. As the entire interior of the building was renovated in 2006, the only extant historic finish is in some of the elevator lobbies and consists of marble cladding in various colors.

Metro 3

Metro 3 is an 11-story rectangular building located near the northwest corner of the site (Photographs #5 and 6). It was the third building in the complex, designed in 1971 by Edward Durell Stone. The east and west elevations are ten bays wide and the north and south elevations are thirty bays wide with a total of 420,000 square feet. All elevations are clad in fluted white cast concrete. As the bottom two floors have a larger floor plate than the upper floors, they are topped by a veranda with a painted white metal railing. The veranda is covered by a freestanding aluminum canopy supported partially by fluted cast concrete columns and by the projecting 3-sided aluminum oriel windows of the 3rd floor. The primary entrance is located in the center of the north elevation. It consists of three double-leaf glazed aluminum doors with 1-light aluminum transoms. The entrance bays are surrounded by a 1-story, three bay cast concrete porch supported by concrete pillars and topped by a flat roof. The fenestration pattern is consistent on all elevations. The 1st through 3rd floors have 3-sided aluminum storefronts windows. The 4th through 10th floors have narrow 3-sided aluminum oriel windows and the 11th floor has taller 3-sided aluminum oriel windows. The building has a flat roof with a centered 1-stor brick penthouse.

On the interior of the building, only the circulation core is consistent on each floor. Located in the center of the floor plate, there is an elevator lobby flanked by three elevators to the north and south (Photographs #25 and 29). The building has three U-return stairways with painted metal treads, risers and railings (Photographs #28 and 30). The stairways are located to the north of the elevators and near the northwest and northeast corners of the floor plate. All circulation provides access between all floors. Throughout the building, all buildings have been divided into a series of larger and smaller spaces with no cohesive arrangement (Photographs #23 and 27). The only unique element of the interior configuration is two square atriums between the two lower floors (Photographs #24 and 26). The finishes are largely contemporary, including contemporary marble, terrazzo, linoleum, concrete and carpeted floors, painted drywall partitions and ceilings, dropped acoustical tile ceilings and fluorescent lighting. As the entire interior of the building was renovated in 2006, the only extant historic

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section _7_ Page _4__

finish is marble cladding on the 1st floor and in some of the elevator lobbies and consists of marble cladding in various colors.

Integrity

The buildings associated with the initial planning and construction of New Town Center retain a high degree of integrity. The overall materials, form, and defining architectural characteristics remain intact, including fluted cast stone, regular fenestration pattern with oriel windows, and columnar form. Although the windows in Metro 2 have been replaced, there is no obvious disparity from the original windows and none of the openings have been altered. The quality, placement and condition of the construction materials, as well as the notable Modern architectural design are both highly characteristic of the period and also all remain wholly intact. The workmanship is expressed in a consistent architectural style, is of good quality and is in keeping with contemporary trends. On the interior, the circulation core is intact in all three buildings. As this was the only consistent or deliberately designed element of these tenant office buildings, the interior renovations do not adversely impact the historic nature of the buildings.

The 1-story additions to the east elevation of Metro 1 and the west elevation of Metro 2, while unfortunate, do not detract from the overall massing and impact of the buildings. Both additions were constructed on the elevations that face the interior of the complex and are therefore essentially invisible from the primary roads, including East-West Highway and Belcrest Road. Within the complex, the additions are essentially only visible if you are standing directly in front of them. The repetitive nature of the façade also means that even though one portion is concealed, nothing has been lost from the overall design. The scale of the additions, and the way in which they are tucked in below the lower overhang marks them as secondary elements. Lastly, the striking white color, dominant Modern aesthetic and prominent architectural elements, such as the fenestration pattern and cornice line, leave no doubt as to the hierarchy of the buildings.

The location of the buildings remains intact since the initial construction, but the setting has certainly changed over time. A review of aerial photographs of the site between 1965 and 2000 shows the evolution from a single building (Metro 1) surrounded by dirt and parking lots with no formal circulation pattern to three buildings (Metro 1, 2 and 3) surrounded entirely by parking lots and still no formal circulation pattern. A 2005 aerial photograph shows the construction of three more buildings (3325 Toledo Road, 3311 Toledo Road, 6515 Belcrest Road) and implies future construction in the center of the complex as the parking lots have been

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>7</u> Page <u>5</u>

Prince George's County, MD County and State

cleared. The northernmost part of America Boulevard, adjacent to Toledo Road, is also visible. By 2007, three more buildings (6500 America Boulevard, 6501 America Boulevard, 6506 America Boulevard) and the terraced plaza occupy this location. America Boulevard has also been extended south to intersect with East-West Highway and the east-west roads of Liberty Lane and Freedom Way have also been paved. There have been no substantial changes to the site since that time.

While there is no question that these 21st century elements have had an impact, they are all are clearly distinguishable as new elements and, because of their rather generic style, do not compete against the monumentality and individuality of the original buildings. The original boundary roads also remain and the new roads within the site are based on the axial grid created by the original arrangement of the historic buildings.

The feeling and associations of the buildings also have a high level of integrity, in large part because of the integrity of the previous five aspects. The operation of the site as a mixed-use complex and the function of the buildings as flexible tenant spaces effectively relay the sense of place and the notable Modern development of this former suburban outpost.

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing)

- A Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history.
- B Property associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- D Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in all the boxes that apply)

Property is:

- owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.
- removed from its original location. B
- С a birthplace or grave.
- D a cemetery. П
- a reconstructed building, object, or structure. Е 1
- F a commemorative property.
- п G less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets)

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography

(Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one or more continuation sheets) See Attached.

Previous documentation on files (NPS):

- preliminary determination of individual listing (36 X CFR 67) has been requested
- previously listed in the National Register
- previously determined eligible by the National Register
- designated a National Historic Landmark
- Π recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey #
- recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #

Area of Significance

(Enter categories from instructions)

POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT

Period of Significance

1962-1971

Significant Dates

1963	
1967	
1971	

Significant Person

(Complete if Criterion B is marked above)

N/A

Cultural Affiliation

N/A

Architect/Builder

Blumberg, Marvin and Herschel, developers Stone, Edward Durell, architect

Primary location of additional data:

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Π Other State agency
- Federal agency
- Local government University
- M Other

- 27	-	5		
 -			 ~	2

Name of repository: Library of Congress

Prince George's County, MD County and State

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>1</u>

Summary Statement of Significance:

New Town Center is significant under Criterion A, in the area of Politics and Government, as an early and sizeable example of the General Services Administration (GSA) policy of the consolidation and decentralization of leased space in suburban Washington, DC in the mid-20th century. This strategy, which began in 1950 and continued through the 1960s, was both a pragmatic response to the Cold Warera threat of nuclear attack destroying the Capital in one blow, and a fiscally prudent adoption of the cheaper, larger and more flexible real estate offered by the outlying areas. The development of New Town Center, which also began in the 1950s, began as a large-scale, suburban, speculative office development but capitalized on this approach to finance its construction and relied on it for a consistent tenant base. By targeting itself to Federal agencies under the oversight of the GSA, the majority of Metro 1 and Metro 2 were leased before construction was complete.

The period of significance begins in 1962, when construction began on the project, and ends in 1971, when the final building was constructed; the GSA continued to lease space at New Town Center beyond that date.

Resource History and Historic Context:

A Brief History of the Construction and Operation of New Town Plaza

In the 1950s, brothers Marvin and Herschel Blumberg purchased 140 acres in Hyattsville, MD from Isadore Gudelsky, a family acquaintance.¹ The parcel, known as the Heurich Farm, was located at the northeast intersection of the East-West Highway, which was constructed in 1956, and Belcrest Road.² The first major commercial development in the immediate area was in 1959, when the county's first regional shopping mall was opened by Lathrop Douglas immediately adjacent to the Blumberg parcel on the south side of the East-West Highway.³ Hoping to use the popularity of the new mall as a springboard, the Blumbergs, under the

¹ Douglas Fruehling, "Back to the Future." Washington Business Journal (21 May 2007).

http://www.bizjournals.com/washington/stories/2007/05/21/focus4.html?page=1. Accessed on September 29, 2015. Hyattsville was founded in 1845 by Christopher C. Hyatt who purchased the original parcel of land and actively began to develop the lots into a comprehensive town. The town, which was first known as a railroad suburb, continued to expand in the 20th century with the arrival of the streetcar and the automobile. Traceries MIHP, 8:1.

² The East-West Highway connected Hyattsville to Bethesda.

³ Paul Weishar, "Prince George's Plaza." Maryland Historic Trust, Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties Form (January 2010): 8:1.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>2</u>

entity of the Spruell Development Corporation, began the process of constructing what they saw as a "rural Rockefeller Center," complete with a name brand architect.⁴

The Blumbergs initially approached Ludwig Mies van der Rohe, a master of Modern architecture. However, after initial conversations that made it clear that Mies would not take into account the preferences of the Blumbergs, the Blumbergs pursued another route.⁵ Their next stop was Edward Durell Stone, an equally prominent architect and one with several ongoing commissions in Washington, D.C., including the National Geographic Society Building (1961) and the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts (1962).⁶ Stone had also completed numerous larger complexes, including the Stuart Company Plant and Office in Pasadena, CA (1958, NR 1994) and the Campus Center at Alaska Pacific University in Anchorage, AK (1966, NR 1979). Although neither was at all as large as the initial design for New Town Center, they both were designed on an axial plan. In 1958, Stone commented that it was "doubtful whether anything as pure and as refined and as beautiful as the New England village green...has existed elsewhere in history."⁷ In this comment, as in all three of his designs for larger complexes, Stone emphasizes the importance of an axial balance between open space and structure, a clear arrangement of programmatically distinct and necessary structures and a need for referencing historical buildings without direct replication.

As with most of his work, New Town Center conveys the monumental and understated but unified forms that are an indirect ode to architecture of the past. In describing the project specifically, Stone said the buildings captured all of the successes of modern engineering with their large expanses of precast concrete while existing outside of the "world of plate glass and aluminum that is upon us."⁸ In viewing the project today, there is no question that these unique structures stand in contrast not only to their immediate surroundings, but also to the larger portfolio of mid-20th century architecture in the Washington metropolitan area, most of which, Stone felt, could be "ordered out of a catalog."⁹

⁴ "New Community Centers from Washington to Texas." Architectural Forum 118 (April 1963): 53.

⁵ Mies proposed floor to ceiling windows for the buildings and after Herschel, who had young children, questioned the safety aspect of such a design, Mies allegedly replied, "'That's the mother's problem.'" Ellen Dunham-Jones and June Williamson, *Retrofitting Suburbia* (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2009): 221.

⁶ A later building in Washington, D.C. by Stone was the Nassif Building, now known as the Constitution Center, which was completed in 1969.

⁷ Edward Durell Stone, "The Case Against the Tailfin Age." The New York Times (18 October 1959): 31.

⁸ Stone, 26.

⁹ John B. Willmann, "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (16 January 1963): B2.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>3</u>

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Stone was a natural choice as he shared the brothers' interest to "answer to the critics of suburban sprawl" and began to develop a master plan for the project at a projected cost of \$78 million.¹⁰ The contractor for the project was the Bancroft Construction Company, which was also founded and operated by the Blumbergs.

Stone designed his town center on an axial grid with several office buildings and residential towers, a 1,400seat movie theater, a cultural center, a youth center, an ice-skating rink, a reflecting pool, a sculpture garden, several plazas and fountains, a pedestrian mall, a swimming pool, a putting green and tennis courts (Figures 1-3).¹¹ In keeping with the inclinations of the day, all of the automobile circulation, enough for 3,000 cars, would be located below ground, separate from the pedestrians, and accessed by escalators that would open directly into the buildings. As such, Stone conceived the project, from the beginning, as a "planned urban center that combines all aspects of modern life…" and a "Rockefeller Center in the countryside…"¹²

In deference to its suburban location, the Blumbergs were promised that a spur to I-95 would be constructed at the northern portion of the site. This, combined with the existence of the East-West Highway, would place the complex at a major vehicular intersection. However, in an optimistic reference to its position as a "town center," a Metro stop was also proposed to be located below grade, officially recognizing both the importance of the rise of public transportation in the late 20th century and the prominence of the complex as the recipient of this placement.¹³

Construction on the first building, known as Metro 1, began in 1962. The 8-story building contained 232,280 square feet, making it the "largest private office building in the Washington suburbs."¹⁴ In June of that year, the General Services Administration (GSA) arranged to lease 80% of the building for the Department of Agriculture at a rate of \$3 per square foot with the stipulation that the work be complete by May 15, 1963.¹⁵ The final cost of the project was approximately \$5.5 million.

¹⁰ Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 221.

¹¹ Willmann, "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone," B2.

¹² John B. Willmann, "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (6 June 1964): E1 and E12; Willmann, "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone," B2.

¹³ Willmann, "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County", E1.

^{14 &}quot;New Community Centers from Washington to Texas."

¹⁵ "New Community Centers from Washington to Texas;" Fruehling.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>4</u>

The second building, Metro 2, was constructed in 1967. Approximately the same size as Metro 1, 80% of the building, or approximately 280,000 square feet was again occupied by a government agency – the Naval Ship Engineering Center (NAVSEC), who committed to a 10-year least at an annual cost of \$1.2 million.¹⁶ Approximately 2,000 employees occupied the building until 1982. Metro 3, the third and final building designed in keeping with Stone's master plan, was completed in 1971 and primarily occupied by the National Center for Health Statistics, who remained in the building until 2002.¹⁷

The Role of the GSA

In 1949, the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act established the GSA to "provide the resources needed by U.S. agencies to accomplish their missions."¹⁸ Among other things, this included the absorption of the Federal Works Agency, including the Public Buildings Administration, which had previously managed the government's real estate holdings, into the GSA.¹⁹ Under that umbrella, the GSA had the authority to "assign and reassign space of all the executive agencies in Government-owned and leased buildings in and outside the District of Columbia upon a determination by the Administrator that such assignment or reassignment is advantageous to the Government in terms of economy, efficiency or national security."²⁰

Through government-owned properties, public-private partnerships and straightforward private leases, it became the role of the GSA to choreograph the physical operations and holdings of the Federal government. As such, it was tasked with all procurement and management, a commission that involved not only finding new office space, managing large construction projects and negotiating lease details but also scheduling window cleanings, paying mortgages and obtaining tenants.

Not surprisingly, it was the buildings themselves that were the most time-consuming aspect of their charge. In particular, what space was required by the various agencies and where that space should be located. As early as 1947, the National Security Act proposed "the strategic relocation of industries, services, government and economic activities, the continuous operation of which is essential to the Nation's security." However, this idea

¹⁶ Weishar, 8:2-8:3. Leasing for Metro 2 was handled by the Carey Winston Company.

¹⁷ In 2002, they moved to Metro 4, a new building constructed specifically for them and the first building that was a departure from Stone's original plan.

¹⁸ U.S. Congress, House, The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, U.S. Statues at Large 63 (1949): 377.

¹⁹ For a more detailed history of the GSA and its processes, see "Federal Office Building No. 6." *National Register Nomination*, 2017. The GSA also oversaw the U.S. Treasury Department's Bureau of Federal Supply, the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Contract Settlement, the National Archives Establishment, and the War Assets Administration.

²⁰ U.S. Congress, House, The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, U.S. Statues at Large 63 (1949): 377.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

Name of Property Prince George's County, MD

County and State

PG: 68-104

New Town Center

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>5</u>

of the of decentralization to the suburbs "soon lost favor as the business community pressed of the placement of federal building's in Washington's downtown."²¹ It was less formally reintroduced in 1950, when the GSA announced its plan to move agencies, especially the new ones concerned with atomic power and national security, away from the crowded downtown."²² Indeed, when the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission was developing their context statement for Modern architecture in Maryland, they went so far as to say, "the Government's decentralization of federal agencies for security reasons during the Cold War can be considered a key component of one of the principal modernization campaigns stimulating new building in the Free State."²³

This reversal was also partly financial, particularly in the standard leasing arrangement found at New Town Center. There was no long-term, economic benefit to leasing downtown and the suburbs simultaneously offered cheaper rents and new construction with larger, more flexible floor plates.

It wouldn't be until 1955, however, that this Federal dispersal policy was officially implemented, with both the new Atomic Energy Commission and the Central Intelligence Agency relocating out of Washington, D.C. and establishing themselves in newly constructed headquarters in Montgomery County, MD and Fairfax County, VA, respectively.

The policy held through the 1960s but, by 1970, there was a swing in favor of urbanization, in large part to combat the many issues that plagued cities throughout the country. Concerns about environmental and social issues were looking to resolution in sustainability and economic development. The Federal government saw its power to be a catalyst for this change and Executive Order 11512 was signed in 1970, stating that "in locating or relocating Government facilities GSA consider the impact a selection will have on improving social and economic conditions in the area..."²⁴ One year later, the GSA issued a directive that "future federal offices in the Washington area located in leased private buildings be in the District of Columbia itself, rather than moving even farther..."²⁵ In 1980s, the GSA issued the statement that, "in general, we do not see potential tremendous

²¹ "Federal Office Building No. 6." *National Register Nomination*, 2017, 8:23. Decentralization is defined as both a dispersal from downtown D.C. to the adjacent suburbs and from Metropolitan D.C. to other parts of the country.

²² Zachary M. Schrag, *The Great Society Subway: A History of the Washington Metro* (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2006): 19.

²³ Sies and Gournay, 38.

²⁴ Executive Order 11512, 1970. <u>http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/index.php?pid=60482</u>. Accessed on August 27, 2018.

²⁵ Schrag, 201-202.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 8 Page 6

development for substantial new privately occupied office development in the transit development area during the 1980s."²⁶

The Leasing of Privately Owned Office Buildings by the GSA

The GSA had three approaches for obtaining office space for Federal agencies. It could specifically commission new construction, which would then be owned outright by the government. It could enter into a lend-lease arrangement, by which a private developer would commission and pay for the construction, thereby avoiding the time and hassle of an approval process by both Congress and the Commission of Fine Arts, and the GSA would repay the cost to the developer over time, eventually sole ownership of the building.²⁷ These two approaches had significant and formal long-term plans, such as the *1950 Comprehensive Plan for the District of Columbia* and the *1956 Construction Program Federal Buildings Plan for Washington, D.C. and Vicinity*.

Although the general philosophy was that Federal agencies were ideally housed in government-owned buildings, financial and temporal realities often meant that privately leasing space was either the best or the only option, a process that was simultaneously more straightforward and less formalized.²⁸ In 1955, the GSA formalized this approach by establishing a specific procedure for the leasing of privately owned buildings throughout the country. To begin, the leasing of privately owned buildings was to be considered only after it was determined that either space in existing Government buildings or currently leased buildings would not be sufficient for the proposed use or that the construction or renovation of either building category with Federal money was not economically feasible. Once these options had been eliminated, the GSA determined that new construction with private funding was permissible. To this end, the GSA would then develop the requirements for the building program, put the project out to bid and then select a developer willing to financing the project. This arrangement was beneficial to the developers because the GSA was essentially an ideal tenant, who could offer competitive financing rates and had excellent credit and was beneficial to the GSA because they could get

²⁶ Real Estate Research Council, *Market Analysis for the West Hyattsville and Prince George's Plaza Transit Development Area: Final Report* (Pomona, CA: Real Estate Research Council, 1981): np.

²⁷ Under the Federal Buildings Act of 1959, Congress needed to approve the funding for any GSA construction project and the Commission of Fine Arts needed to approve the design. As with any bureaucratic process, the avoidance of it was extremely appealing to all.

²⁸ Committee on Public Works, House of Representatives, *Report to the President by the Ad Hoc Committee on Federal Office Space* (1 June 1962): 2.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>8</u> Page 7

Prince George's County, MD County and State

a building designed to their exact specifications without either having to pay for it or commit to the building in the long-term.²⁹

From the time of its founding until the early 1960s, the GSA had simply looked for space as it was needed and eliminated space as it became outdated. It is "no overstatement" to say that this informal approach led to physically fragmented agencies, inefficient operations and both insufficient and redundant office spaces.³⁰ The GSA Annual Report from 1961 stated that the need for office space Metropolitan DC was the most critical, with 45% of "occupied space consisting of "dispersed rented quarters with varying sizes and poor functional arrangements and temporary or obsolete Government-owned buildings."³¹

Later that year, the Ad Hoc Committee of Federal Office Space began to survey both the existing leased and owned spaces occupied by Federal agencies,

1. To assess the general adequacy and suitability of space for department and agency operations;

2. To develop guidelines for meeting space requirements and improving the character of space accommodations in the area."³²

In the D.C. area, the committee identified 121,700 employees as being located in 115 government-owned spaces, sixty-six of which were considered to be obsolete, 51,500 employees in forty-seven temporary spaces and 16,200 employees in 129 leased spaces.³³ Given this obvious need, one of the committee's first recommendations was an emphasis on leasing space in suburban areas.³⁴ It also provided justification for the decentralizing of the physical fabric of office space and buildings: lower rental rates, convenient parking, reduction of downtown congestion and availability of large blocks of good quality space.

In 1962, the GSA implemented its first attempt at a comprehensive real estate management plan, addressing both pro-active procurement and in-house organization. As part of this, they put eight projects out to bid at a

²⁹ "GSA Lease-Purchase Rules Circumvent Promoters." Architectural Forum 102 (March 1955): 21.

³⁰ Committee on Public Works, 1.

³¹ General Services Administration, Annual Report of the Administrator of General Services (1961): 9.

³² Committee on Public Works, 1.

³³ General Services Administration, Annual Report of the Administrator of General Services (1963): 20; Committee on Public Works, ix.

³⁴ Annual Report of the Administrator of General Services (1963): 20.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>8</u>

Prince George's County, MD County and State

total of approximately 262,000 square feet, which all had to be located within eight miles of the Ellipse in Washington, D.C.³⁵³⁶

Chosen from twenty-two submissions, the selected projects included two projects in Arlington County, VA – one for the Department of Commerce at 57,373 square feet and one for the GSA at 30,100 square feet –, one in Arlington proper for the Department of Defense at 20,000 square feet; two in Bethesda, MD – one for the Department of Health, Education and Welfare at 138,199 square feet and one for the Atomic Energy Commission at 60,373 square feet –; two in Silver Spring, MD – one for the Department of Commerce at 92.420 square feet and one for the U.S. Geological Survey at 56,320 square feet.³⁷ The last project was for the Department of Agriculture, which required the largest floor plate at 171,659 square feet. The Department of Agriculture had previously been scattered in thirteen separate locations, with 1,350,000 square feet in their main building and nearly 200,000 square feet distributed throughout the remaining twelve properties.³⁸ Although this was typical of most large agencies at the time, and it was both felt by both the GSA and the department itself that consolidation would be both economically beneficial and "add to departmental efficacy."³⁹

By leasing 80% of Metro 1 during to its construction, the Department of Agriculture was not only able to obtain its required square footage at a favorable rate, but it was also able to precisely customize that space. The developer equally benefitted by finding a large and stable tenant who could quickly fill such speculative office space. The bidding process for Metro 2 and Metro 3 was similar to that of Metro 1. Federal tenants who required significant office space – the Naval Ship Engineering Center and the National Center for Health Statistics – provided a natural fit for these new construction projects.

Although the participation of the GSA at the initial stages of the project was vital for the finance and construction of the three office buildings, there were also significant downsides to government involvement. In

³⁵ The Ellipse is an area of the National Mall located just to the south of the White House, and is, effectively, the center of Washington, D.C.

³⁶ Simultaneously, the GSA also commissioned the construction of 1 million square feet of Government-owned buildings in downtown Washington, D.C. This rush of new construction was largely necessary because of both the tremendous growth of existing Federal agencies and the wholesale creation of new ones, such as NASA. General Services Administration, *Independent Offices Appropriations for 1963* (9 July 1962): 2.

³⁷ "GSA Taking Space in 8 Buildings Planned for Suburban Locations." *The Washington Post, Times Herald.* (29 June 1962): np. ³⁸ Committee on Public Works, 5.

³⁹ Independent Offices Appropriations for 1963, 2; Committee on Public Works, 5.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page 9

the first place, there was the issue of image. While the GSA might be reasonably guaranteed to pay its rent on time, it was neither an exciting nor a glamorous tenant and certainly not one that would help to draw other businesses or potential future residents. Secondly, the ease of the GSA financing and tenancy served to create the impression that there was a viable market for this proposed town center, when the reality was starkly

different. The GSA, naturally, did not extend their involvement into the construction of the proposed residential towers and amenities and the Blumbergs were unable to find funding from another source. Almost immediately, the extensive and ambitious master plan of Durell Stone was in decline.

As fate would have it, the second construction campaign did not resume until 2002, when the GSA once again needed additional office space. In the forty-year interim, the developers were never able to find another interested tenant.

The Impact of the GSA on the Suburbs of Washington, D.C.

The formal dispersal policy of Federal office space into the Washington, D.C. suburbs in the mid-20th century had a tremendous impact on the physical and human fabric of the area. From a technical perspective, these new buildings meant new roads, new parking lots, new Metro stations and new infrastructure. At a more personal scale, it meant new houses, schools, churches and stores. In some cases, such as the Metro, these elements were officially integrated into GSA policy. In others, such as housing, the lack of an initial formal strategy created a situation that later caused the entire approach to be rethought.

The three counties that benefitted most significantly from this policy of decentralization were Fairfax and Arlington Counties in Virginia and Montgomery and Prince George's Counties in Maryland, not surprisingly those immediately surrounding downtown DC. Their "massive expansion" can be easily measured in both population and infrastructure, with both experiencing unprecedented growth.⁴⁰ In Prince George's County specifically, there was a population increase of over 600% between 1940 and 1970, making it the fastest growing county in the country.⁴¹ While this was certainly in part fueled by larger trends in post-war suburban

⁴⁰ Charles H. Levine, Richard D. Schmitt and George G. Wolohojian, *Trim: Causes and Consequences* (Bureau of Governmental Research, University of Maryland, 1981): 7.

⁴¹ "Kiplinger Editors Park." *National Register Nomination* (2015): 8:6. In 1940, the population was 89,460 and, by 1970, it had increased to 357,395. *History of Prince George's County.*

http://www.mncppcapps.org/planning/publications/pdfs/77/History%20of%20Prince%20George's%20County.pdf . Accessed on August 27, 2018. Prior to this suburbanization, Prince George's County had been large agricultural and wooded tracts.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section 8 Page 10

relocation, there is a more layered regional explanation. Initially, there was a tremendous influx of Government agencies, such as the Weather Bureau, the Naval Oceanic Office, the Federal Records Center, the Naval Intelligence Support Center, the Smithsonian Institution, the Naval Ice Center, the Federal Protective Service, the National Archives and Records Administration, the Naval Maritime Intelligence Center, the Government Services Administration, and the Army Communications Command. As these agencies needed to be staffed by someone, many middle- and upper-middle-class professionals moved out of Washington, D.C. to be closer to their government jobs. This move was buoyed by tremendous housing growth and better transportation routes and, such as the construction of the Capital Beltway in 1964. The Metro played a significant role as well. When the GSA was considering leasing sites, this was an important consideration as there was a "long-standing executive order [that] requires the federal General Services Administration to locate government agencies in downtowns or within a half mile of transit stations."⁴² Not only was the Metro extremely convenience for Federal employees, but it also had the byproduct of encouraging private development and, in an emerging area such as New Town Center, this would have been considered to be a significant benefit.⁴³

This initial growth was followed by a large investment from the private sector, further bolstering the decentralization. Companies such as Pepsi-Cola, Kiplinger Washington Editors, Volkswagen and the Salvation Army commissioned new facilities, lured by the same affordable land values and large floor plates that had appealed to their Federal predecessors.

New Town Center and the Proactive Development of Suburban Maryland

As early as 1909, the United States began to embrace the urban planning concept of planned communities. One of the first examples in the country was Forest Hills in Queens, designed by Grosvenor Atterbury and Frederick Law Olmsted, Jr. The "town" was based on the Garden City movement, popular in England, which advocated for self-contained communities with residential, agricultural and industrial components that were surrounded by greenbelts.⁴⁴ This notion of a self-sufficient town, in which people could live, work and play would become the basis for virtually all planned communities for the next 120 years.

⁴² Jason Beske and David Dixon, ed., Suburban Remix: Creating the Next Generation of Urban Places (Washington, DC: Island Press, 2018):124.

⁴³ Although New Town Center did not have an operational Metro stop until 1993, the presence of one was an integral part of the development plan from the beginning.

⁴⁴ This new form of city planning was first conceived of by Sir Ebenezer Howard in 1898.

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

Section 8 Page 11

County and State There was little development in the field of town planning for nearly thirty years until Roosevelt's New Deal ushered in a new wave of interest. Between 1935 and 1937, the Federal government, under the supervision of the Resettlement Administration, funded the Greenbelt Town program.⁴⁵ This was the first time in United States history that the Federal government actively sponsored such an effort and it resulted in the construction of three towns, Greenbelt, MD outside of Washington, D.C. (1937), Greenhill, OH outside of Cincinnati (1938) and Greendale, WI outside of Milwaukee (1938). The plans for all three towns were based on the same

principles of the Garden City movement, but on a much larger scale. With several thousand residents who primarily lived in rowhouses with large backyards, the towns offered schools, churches, movie theaters, markets, fire stations and shops. They were to contain the best aspects of the city within a country idyll. In addition, all three towns were strategically situated near an already established city.⁴⁶

The oldest of these towns, Greenbelt, was located in Prince George's County, Maryland. Cited by its National Historic Landmark nomination as embodying the "regional planning principles and architectural ideals of the mid-1930s," the town was not only physically planned to accommodate a diversity of form and function but was also socially engineered to house a population with a range of incomes and professions.⁴⁷ It was believed that by providing for a broad range of people and a broad range of opportunities, Greenbelt could approximate all of the positive attributes of an existing city without any of the drawbacks. As Greenbelt is located approximately six miles from New Town Center, there is no doubt that it stands as a formative precursor.

During the 1950s, Prince George's County gained 163,000 residents and had an 84.8% growth rate in the 1960s, which was the largest in both the state and the country.⁴⁸ As this left no doubt that people were moving into the county, the question became of how best to plan for them. After the relative success of Greenbelt, it is not surprising that the answer was once again that of a planned community. It was still believed that the community needed to have a balance between "residential, commercial, pubic service, and other parts both during the growing years and at its ultimate development" and have a developer who had both the time and the money to invest in the project.49

⁴⁵ David R. Goldfield, Encyclopedia of American Urban History (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2007): 537; Mary Corbin Sies and Isabelle Gourney. The Modern Movement in Maryland, 37-38.

⁴⁶ Marion Clawson, Suburban Land Conversion in the United States (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1971): 338. The other, less implemented, option was that of a wholly independent entity, similar to that of Levittown.

^{47 &}quot;Greenbelt, Maryland Historic District." National Historic Landmark (March 1996): 8:1.

⁴⁸ Sies and Gournay, 37-38; The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Prince George's Modern, Midcentury Architecture: 1947-1971 (2015): 4.

⁴⁹ Clawson, 338-339.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>12</u>

In Maryland, the best example of a planned community in the mid-20th century was Columbia, MD.⁵⁰ On a little over 1,000 acres, James W. Rouse first developed plans for the community in the early 1960s. Rouse saw a town with the same comprehensive objectives as Greenbelt: residential, commercial and social facets with construction that could both accommodate the initial development and expand as the town grew. This decision was a sensible one as the original group of 1,000 residents in 1968 ballooned to a town of 100,000 by 2005. Although Columbia is located in an adjacent county twenty miles to the north of New Town Center, it still serves as an important precedent for New Town Center. Maryland had become an incubator for these progressive planned communities and New Town Center was the natural extension of the narrative.

The vision for New Town Center is based on a similar precedent to Columbia, but on a naturally smaller scale. No less comprehensive however, the original plan determined areas for working, living and recreation and aimed to give both residents and employees a self-contained experience. The primary distinction between New Town Center and its predecessors, however, was that this development was already surrounded by infrastructure and commercial development, such as the large shopping center located directly to the west and constructed in 1959, rather than undeveloped, rural tracts. As such, it was able to benefit from the programmatic aspects of these elements while being simultaneously able to create itself as a unique, independent and comprehensive entity.

The Blumbergs understood these existing conditions and, because their concern with the issue of suburban sprawl, they were determined that their project would actively run counter to the dominant trend of random development. They saw that, after World War II, "suburban expansion was [characterized by] its ugliness, cultural conformity, social isolation and environmental problems."⁵¹ In 1963, Hershel Blumberg said, "the day has passed when the country can afford the wasteful practice of indiscriminate suburban sprawl out from our congested cities. The developers of land beyond our metropolitan cores must shoulder the responsibility of working closely with architects, community leaders and government planning agencies to insure the orderly and pleasing growth of unused suburban land."⁵²

⁵⁰ Other examples in the country are Reston, VA and Irvine, CA.

⁵¹ Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 2.

⁵² "Prince George's County Draws \$78 Million Project." Washington World 3:13 (March 1963): np.

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

PG: 68-104

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section 8 Page 13

Edward Durell Stone had been thinking about similar issues since the 1950s. In 1958, he was quoted in The New York Times as saying that suburban sprawl was "desecrating the American landscape" and, the next year, wrote an editorial in The New York Times saying, "we have yet to realize that a beautiful, well-planned environment can be as much a part of our national wealth as our land, forests and other physical assets and that a splendid physical heritage is part of our moral obligation to future generations."53 He was more specific in 1963, saving that the "short-sighted exploitation of land around many of our cities has produced chaotic results. On the one hand is the proliferation of single-family homes on small plots of ground development en masse.... On the other are decaying clutters of small commercial centers. A recent innovation in suburbia, the shopping center, has added new problems with its emphasis on the automobile and the resultant proliferation of traffic and road building."54 This was particularly true in Maryland, where beginning in the early 1950s, a master plan called for the rebuilding of 3,100 miles of highways and the addition of 300 miles of new roads.⁵⁵ The clear and immediate outcome of this plan was that the placement of the roads promoted and "directed long-range suburban development and planning, especially office, retail and light industry construction."56

Therefore, when the Blumbergs approached Stone about an alternative approach to development, he was a natural participant. Although not known as an urban planner, he quickly conceived of a plan for a "selfcontained community", "a planned urban center that combines all aspects of modern life...."57 By consolidating the residential and commercial components of the sprawl, he developed a program that was a "direct response to the problems of suburban sprawl as perceived at the time...."58

During the 1940s, "small office buildings began being constructed in the retail zones of residential suburbs, specifically geared to branch offices and small service corporations...."59 By the 1950s, "suburban office and corporate compounds became more frequent components of the suburbs."60 Therefore, when the proposal for New Town Center was first presented to the general public, the idea was not necessarily novel, but simply new and improved. Such a positive departure, in fact, that the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning

New Town Center Name of Property

⁵³ Stone, 26 and 31.

⁵⁴ Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 221.

⁵⁵ Sies and Gournay, 41.

⁵⁶ Sies and Gournay, 41.

⁵⁷ Willmann. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County," E1 and E12.

⁵⁸ Hunting, 35; Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 221-222.

⁵⁹ Louise A. Mozingo, Pastoral Capitalism: A History of Suburban Corporate Landscapes (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2011): 151.

⁶⁰ Sies and Gournay, 62.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>14</u>

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Commission even created a new zoning category for the construction, "planned urban center."⁶¹ Even the normally reactive zoning code was actively contributing to the notion that this development would be something different.

The change in zoning code also affirms that this suburban mixed-use center was an extremely early example of its type, not only in Prince George's County, but farther afield as well. In Maryland, concentrated developments in Bethesda and Silver Spring, presented an unsatisfactory juxtaposition between a historic town center and a new but separated shopping center. In Virginia, cities like Crystal City and Rosslyn began around the same time, but did not have the same consistency of development or overarching vision.

Public reaction to the proposal was overwhelmingly positive. William J. Stevens, Chairman of the MNCPPC said that the plan was a "glimpse into the future. It takes into account the unique assets of the area; its open space; its prime residential neighborhoods; its commercial potentials and its centers of community life. It then projects a future land use pattern which sets goals for future development."⁶² Senator Daniel Brewster and Representative Hervey Machen, both of Maryland, said of the move, "We believe that the PGC location is one of the best in the suburban area for Federal employees and facilities because of the availability of PGC of good schools, roads and housing."⁶³

The Role of the Federal Government in Planned Communities

Beginning with the implementation of the Green towns in the late 1930s, the Federal government often took an active and pivotal role in community planning in various degrees. As these towns were both difficult to finance, because of their complicated composition, and difficult to sustain, because they took such a long time to take root, the financial support from the government often provided much-needed assistance. This relief took a range of forms, including low mortgage rates, lenient financing terms or ready tenants.

In the 1960s, "Lyndon Johnson suggested that self-contained New Towns become part of the general strategy for ameliorating the problems of the inner city" and the "subsequent revival of New Town philosophy in the United States has been dominated by towns that were conceived and built as for-profit enterprises with

⁶¹ Willmann. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County," E1 and E12.

⁶² Willmann. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County," E1 and E12.

⁶³ Weishar, 8:3.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 8 Page 15

government subsidy."⁶⁴ The motivations of the government in the 1960s were similar to those in the 1930s, including "implementing innovative design solutions; employing new technologies; providing an appropriate mixture of land uses to ensure proximity between the paces where people live, work and play; and preserving open areas in balance with suburbanization."⁶⁵ Shortly after Johnson's declaration, Congress passed various legislation sponsored by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that expanded the development of suburban programs by granting benefits and guarantees to New Town developers, "particularly in the form of financial support.⁶⁶

Unfortunately, the presence of the government often provided a false sense of security and success. Twelve of the thirteen projects funded by the HUD program failed because the initial influx of money was not enough to make these communities ultimately sustainable.

This relationship of both initial investment and lack of ultimate realization is equally prominently present at New Town Center. The GSA provided the necessary tenants both in the 1960s and the 2000s and, as such, continues this highly characteristic relationship. If New Town Center had succeeded, it would be an outlier. In this respect, its incomplete nature determines it to be an archetypal example of its form.

The Role of Modern Architecture

In many cases, one of the construction requirements of the GSA for new buildings was the specific selection of a certain architect, such as Ludwig Mies van der Rohe, Walter Gropius and Marcel Breuer.⁶⁷ Their preference for such prominent Modern architects, rather than those with a more Revivalist bent, for example, is a clear aesthetic illustration of what they saw as their progressive and proactive mid-century government.

Fortunately, this marketing strategy was also exactly in keeping with the ideals of New Town Center. This was to be a place that surpassed the traditional and expected suburban developments and re-conceptualized the notion of a town center. Consequently, a forward-thinking architect was required. As Stone is cited as having a

⁶⁴ William Flanagan, Urban Sociology: Images and Structure (Rowman & Littlefield, 2010): 340.

⁶⁵ Goldfield, 537.

⁶⁶ Flanagan, 340.

⁶⁷ Select commissions include the Federal Building in Chicago, IL by Mies van der Rohe (1960-1974), the Federal Building in Boston, MA by Gropius (1961) and the Federal Building in Washington, D.C. by Breuer (1960-1976).

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>16</u>

Prince George's County, MD County and State

legacy of "effectively reconciling Modernism with popular culture," he was the perfect person to adapt the traditional and familiar notion of the town center with a forward-thinking aesthetic.⁶⁸

Indeed, the very fact that the Blumbergs approached Stone in the first place indicates their prioritizing of this idea. The selection of a prominent architect showed that both the design and what that design stood for was a priority. In the vast majority of other suburban developments, a local, somewhat anonymous architect was chosen to spearhead the design. Although this would have been by far the cheapest and easiest approach for the Blumbergs to adopt, it wouldn't have met their programmatic and aesthetic objectives for the complex. The highly unusual decision to select an internationally known architect for a relatively obscure location and use clearly demonstrate their aspirations for the project and their ambitiousness in achieving that goal.

An Explanation of an Unrealized Design

Despite the overwhelming initial support for the project and the fact that it made such a convincing theoretical argument, it was quickly clear that it would not be developed as originally conceived. Firstly, the Blumbergs were never able to obtain financing for the remaining programmatic objectives, such as the residences and the amenities. The multiple high rises called for too much density without any supporting amenities and no one wanted to finance amenities that no one would visit. The most tangible example of this was a 33- or 34-story office and apartment building, which was issued permits in late 1965. It was to be "one of the tallest buildings in the metropolitan area" and the "highest privately-owned building in the Washington area" but, despite the permitting approval, no one came forward to ultimately finance it and take a risk on what was widely considered a risky endeavor.⁶⁹

But the ultimately insurmountable dilemma was that of transportation. From the beginning, New Town Center was marketed as an urban alternative. Although walkability from outside the complex was never an option, automobile and Metro access to the development were heavily promoted. This plan hinged on two construction projects that were due to begin imminently. The first was a spur from I-95, which was going to be extended into downtown Washington, D.C. and linking with the Southeast-Southwest Expressway. This would not only simplify vehicular access to the development, but would also put Hyattsville on the map, as it were, instigating

⁶⁸ Mary Ann Hunting, Edward Durell Stone (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012): np.

⁶⁹ Bart Barnes, "34-Story Towers Asked on Prince George's Site: Plan Seeks 34-Story MD. Towers." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (29 October 1965): np; Bart Barnes, "295-Foot Tower Wins Approval in County." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (23 December 1965): D3.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 8 Page 17

development in the area in general, and thereby further commodifying the new project. The promise of the spur continued as a possibility until 1977, when the project was officially terminated.⁷⁰

The second element was a Metro stop, which was originally scheduled to open at the western portion of the site, directly into one of the basements of the proposed buildings. While parking was to be incorporated into the project, although in a downplayed manner, the major innovative component of its program was that it was to be Metro-accessible, just like a "real" city. Ultimately, however the extension of the Metro never materialized and the development, like so many others before it, became just another standard suburban office park.

A less tangible, but perhaps nonetheless important element of unrealized nature of the project, was its lack of identity. Known by no fewer than five names, including New Town Center, Prince George's Center, Prince George's Plaza and Federal Center Buildings, it was seen as an office park, a shopping mall and a housing project.⁷¹ Although a successful town must necessarily have all of these components, it must also have a clear sense of place, which, despite all of the planning, New Town Center never seemed to be able to establish.

The end result of this unfortunate culmination of circumstances was that the three isolated office buildings were surrounded by a sea of paved parking lots, instead of the planned buildings, hardscaping, landscaping and amenities that Durell Stone and the Blumbergs had for so long envisioned.

The Development of New Town Center from 1993 Onward

In 1993, the long-promised Metro stop opened as an extension of the Green/Yellow line. As anticipated thirty years earlier, this both spurred development in the area and created a firm and simple link between Hyattsville, Washington, D.C. and points in between. It was also naturally a catalyst for a new construction campaign at New Town Center. The buildings originally known as Metro 1 and 2 were renovated in 1996. In 2002, a fourth office building was also constructed. Although this was the first element of construction at the site that deviated from Durell Stone's original plan, it was in keeping with the development in that, once again, its primary tenant was a government agency, the National Center for Health Statistics, who had formerly occupied Metro 3. A new 16-story residential building was also constructed in 2002, along with a large parking garage

 ⁷⁰ Lawrence Aurbach, "Prince George's Plaza Transit District." <u>http://pedshed.net/?p=11</u>. Accessed on September 15, 2016.
⁷¹ Fruehling.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 8 Page 18

in the northeast corner of the site and, in 2006, Metro 3 was finally renovated. Since that time, the development has continued for the remainder of the site, including the construction of more residential and commercial buildings, a terraced plaza and a movie theater. Currently, there are 134 residential units, 910-student housing units and over 55,000 square feet of commercial space. Although none of these elements are in keeping with the original design for the site, there is no doubt that it currently reads as a town center, a multi-faceted, multi-purposed complex of which Herschel Blumberg felt that Edward Durell Stone would be proud.⁷²

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>9</u> Page <u>1</u>

Major Bibliographical References:

Aurbach, Lawrence. "Prince George's Plaza Transit District." <u>http://pedshed.net/?p=11</u>. Accessed on September 15, 2016.

Barnes, Bart. "34-Story Towers Asked on Prince George's Site: Plan Seeks 34-Story MD. Towers." The Washington Post, Times Herald (29 October 1965): np.

Barnes, Bart. "295-Foot Tower Wins Approval in County." The Washington Post, Times Herald (23 December 1965): D3.

Beske, Jason and David Dixon, ed. Suburban Remix: Creating the Next Generation of Urban Places. Washington, DC: Island Press, 2018.

Clawson, Marion. Suburban Land Conversion in the United States. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1971.

Committee on Public Works, House of Representatives, Report to the President by the Ad Hoc Committee on Federal Office Space. 1 June 1962.

Dunham-Jones, Ellen and June Williamson. *Retrofitting Suburbia: Urban Design Solutions for Redesigning Suburbs*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2009.

Executive Order 11512, 1970. <u>http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/index.php?pid=60482</u>. Accessed on August 27, 2018.

"Federal Office Building No. 6." National Register Nomination, 2017.

Flanagan, William. Urban Sociology: Images and Structure. New York: Rowman & Littlefield, 2010.

Fruehling, Douglas. "Back to the Future." *Washington Business Journal* (21 May 2007). <u>http://www.bizjournals.com/washington/stories/2007/05/21/focus4.html?page=1</u>. Accessed on September 29, 2015.

General Services Administration, Annual Report of the Administrator of General Services. 1961 and 1963.

General Services Administration, Independent Offices Appropriations for 1963. 9 July 1962.

.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>9</u> Page <u>2</u>

Gray, Christopher. Streetscapes/Edward Durell Stone and the Gallery of Modern Art, at 2 Columbus Circle; An Architect Who Looked Both Forward and Back. *The New York Times* (27 October 2002).

 $\frac{http://www.nytimes.com/2002/10/27/realestate/streetscapes-edward-durell-stone-gallery-modern-art-2-columbus-circle-architect.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0.$ Accessed on September 15, 2016.

"Greenbelt, Maryland Historic District." National Historic Landmark (March 1996).

"GSA Lease-Purchase Rules Circumvent Promoters." Architectural Forum 102 (March 1955): 21.

"GSA Taking Space in 8 Buildings Planned for Suburban Locations." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (29 June 1962): np.

History of *Prince George's County.* http://www.mncppcapps.org/planning/publications/pdfs/77/History%20of%20Prince%20George's%20County.pdf . Accessed on August 27, 2018.

Hunting, Mary Ann Edward Durell Stone. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012.

"Kiplinger Editors Park." National Register Nomination, 2015.

Levine, Charles H., Richard D. Schmitt and George G. Wolohojian. *Trim: Causes and Consequences*. Bureau of Governmental Research, University of Maryland, 1981.

Mozingo, Louise A. Pastoral Capitalism: A History of Suburban Corporate Landscapes. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2011.

"New Community Centers from Washington to Texas." Architectural Forum 118 (April 1963): 53.

"Prince George's Center." The Washington Post, Times Herald (25 November 1967): E2.

"Prince George's County Draws \$78 Million Project." Washington World 3:13 (March 1963): np.

"Prince George's Modern: Midcentury Architecture, 1947-1971." <u>www.pgplanning.org/pgmodern.htm</u>. Accessed on September 15, 2016.

Real Estate Research Council. Market Analysis for the West Hyattsville and Prince George's Plaza Transit Development Area: Final Report. Pomona, CA: Real Estate Research Council, 1981.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>9</u> Page <u>3</u>

Schrag, Zachary M. The Great Society Subway: A History of the Washington Metro. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2006.

Sies, Mary Corbin and Isabelle Gourney. The Modern Movement in Maryland.

Stone, Edward Durell. "The Case Against the Tailfin Age." The New York Times (18 October 1959): 26 and 31.

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Prince George's Modern, Midcentury Architecture: 1947-1971. 2015.

Weishar, Paul, E.H.T. Traceries. "Prince George's Plaza." Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties (January 2010).

Willmann, John B. "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (16 January 1963): E1.

Willmann, John B. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County." *The Washington Post* (6 June 1964): E1 and E12.

Prince	George's County, MD
County	and State

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of Property 7.35 acres UTM References (Place additional UTM references on a continuation sheet) 1 8 3 3 1 0 6 5 4 3 1 5 0 2 2 1 1 Zone Northing Zone Northing Easting Easting 2

Verbal Boundary Description

See continuation sheet

(Describe the boundaries of the property on a continuation sheet)

The boundary of New Town Center is shown by the red lines on the accompanying map entitled "National Register Boundary Map."

Boundary Justification

(Explain why the boundaries were selected on a continuation sheet)

The nominated property includes the building footprints of the three included buildings. The surrounding landscape elements and new construction have been excluded as they are not a part of the original design of Edward Durell Stone and they do not therefore contribute to the significance of the resource.

11. Form Prepared By		
name/title Logan I. Ferguson, Senior Associate	P	
Organization Powers and Company, Inc.		date August 23, 2018
street & number 1315 Walnut Street, Suite 1717	,	telephone (215) 636-0192
city or town Philadelphia	state PA	zip code 19107

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section 10 Page 1

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

Continuation Sheets

Maps

A USGS map (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.

A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources.

Photographs

Additional Items

(Check with the SHPO or FPO for any additional items)

Property Owner

(Complete this item at the request of SHPO or FPO)

name			
street & number		telephone	
city or town	state	zip code	

Paperwork Reduction Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et. seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Project (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>PHOTO</u> Page <u>1</u>

Index to Photographs

The following information applies to all photographs which accompany this documentation:

Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties (MIHP) Number: PG: 68-104 Name of Property: New Town Center Location: Prince George's County, Maryland Photographer: Robert Powers Date taken: September 2016 and August 2018 Location of original digital files [or negatives]: Powers and Company, Inc., 1315 Walnut Street, Suite 1717, Philadelphia, PA

Photo captions:

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0001.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road and Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD West and south elevations, view northeast

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0002.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road and Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD West and south elevations, view east

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0003.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD South elevation, view north

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0004.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD South and east elevations, view northwest Prince George's County, MD County and State

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>PHOTO</u> Page 2

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0005.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD South and west elevations, view northeast

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0006.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD South and east elevations, view northwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0007.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD North and east elevations, view southwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0008.tif New Town Center Hyattsville, MD Site, View south

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0009.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD North and west elevations, view southeast

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0010.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD North and east elevations, view southwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0011.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road and Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD North and west elevations, view southeast

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>PHOTO</u> Page <u>3</u>

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0012.tif New Town Center Hyattsville, MD Belcrest Road, View north

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0013.tif New Town Center Hyattsville, MD Plaza, View northwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0014.tif New Town Center Hyattsville, MD American Boulevard, View north

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0015.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD South and east elevations, view northwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0016.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD North and west elevations, view southeast

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0017.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Hallway, view east

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0018.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 2nd floor, view south OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>PHOTO</u> Page <u>4</u>

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0019.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 2nd floor, Elevator lobby, view east

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0020.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 5th floor, view northwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0021.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Stairway, view east

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0022.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Elevator lobby, view west

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0023.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, view east

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0024.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 8th floor, view northeast

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0025.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD Basement, Elevator lobby, view east

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>PHOTO</u> Page <u>5</u>

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0026.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD Basement, view east MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty NewTownCenter 0027.tif

New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Elevator lobby, view east

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0028.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD 3rd floor, view southwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0029.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD 7th floor, Stairway, view west

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0030.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD 7th floor, view northwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0031.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD 10th floor, view northeast

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0032.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, MD Roof, view southwest
United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>PHOTO</u> Page <u>6</u>

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0033.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Entrance lobby, view west

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0034.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Atrium, view southwest

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0035.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Elevator lobby, view east

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0036.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Atrium, view northeast

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0037.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 3rd floor, Hallway, view west

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0038.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Stairway, view north

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0039.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Elevator lobby, view west United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>PHOTO</u> Page 7

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0040.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 7th floor, Stairway, view east United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

.

Section FIGURES Page 1



Figure 1 – "Study Model." John B. Willmann, "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County." The Washington Post (6 June 1964): E1. Metro 1 is marked as Building 1 above. United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section FIGURES Page 2



Figure 2 – Bart Barnes, "295-Foot Tower Wins Approval in County." The Washington Post, Times Herald (23 December 1965): D3. A rendering of a proposed apartment tower that was never constructed. United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section FIGURES Page 3



Figure 3 – "Prince George's Center." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (25 November 1967): E2. Metro 1 is marked "A" and Metro 2 is marked "B". The remainder of the complex was never completed.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section FIGURES Page 4



Location Map





Hyattsville, MD National Register Boundary Map (Shown in Red) Pg: 68-104 PARKING LOT
(FORMER) HYATTSVILLE BRANCH LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY PARK CHURCH OF CHRIST
THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS

PARKING GARAGE

METRO II

METROI

LIBRARY

UTC CONDO I

REGAL CINEMAS

METRO III PRINCE GEORGES MEDICAL CENTER

NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH SERVICES

VIE TOWER STUDENT APARTMENTS

HYATTSVILLE BRANCH

FAMILY DENTAL GROUP

SAFEWAY & PARKING GARAGE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25 50





Pg: 68-104

- (FORMER) HYATTSVILLE BRANCH LIBRARY 13 UNIVERSITY PARK CHURCH OF CHRIST 14 THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS 15
- PARKING GARAGE 11 12 PARKING LOT

FAMILY DENTAL GROUP

SAFEWAY & PARKING GARAGE

6 LIBRARY UTC CONDO I 7

REGAL CINEMAS

- VIE TOWER STUDENT APARTMENTS HYATTSVILLE BRANCH
- 5
- NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH SERVICES 4
- METRO III PRINCE GEORGES MEDICAL CENTER 3
- METROI 2
- METRO II 1

8

9

10

20 50



New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD Basement Plan with Photograph Key



1st Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 2nd Floor Plan with Photograph Key



 $\sim 10^{-10}$

New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 3rd Floor Plan with Photograph Key



Vew Town Center Metro 1 505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD Ith Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 5th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 1 5505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 5th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 7th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



8th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD Basement Plan with Photograph Key



1st Floor Plan with Photograph Key



Hyattsville, MD

2nd Floor Plan with Photograph Key





New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 4th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 5th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



Drawn By: Sydney Fooks Date: 10/12/01

New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 6th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



Hyattsville, MD

7th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 8th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD

9th Floor Plan with Photograph Key





Basement and 1st Floor Plans with Photograph Key

.







4th and 5th Floor Plans with Photograph Key



5th and 7th Floor Plans with Photograph Key



8th and 9th Floor Plans with Photograph Key

















































































National Register of Historic Places Memo to File

Correspondence

The Correspondence consists of communications from (and possibly to) the nominating authority, notes from the staff of the National Register of Historic Places, and/or other material the National Register of Historic Places received associated with the property.

Correspondence may also include information from other sources, drafts of the nomination, letters of support or objection, memorandums, and ephemera which document the efforts to recognize the property.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Requested Action:	Resubmission
Property Name:	New Town Center
Multiple Name:	
State & County:	MARYLAND, Prince George's
Date Recei 10/9/201	······································
Reference number:	RS100002683
Nominator:	State .
Reason For Review:	
X Accept	Return Reject 10/23/2018 Date
Abstract/Summary Comments:	Addressed return comments to focus on the role of the Federal government, its policies, and practices that had a direct impact on the creation of this three-building complex. The original plan never came into fruition, but the Federal program of dispersing governmental functions out of the DC city core did cause a change in suburban development., When viewed in relation to similar projects, a pattern of development is seen. New Town center is a direct and locally significant result of Federal policy
Recommendation/ Criteria	Accept / A
Reviewer Jim Ga	bbert Discipline Historian
Telephone (202)35	4-2275 Date
DOCUMENTATION	see attached comments : No see attached SLR : No

If a nomination is returned to the nomination authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the National Park Service.

MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT/ NATIONAL REGISTER RECOMMENDATION FORM

JUN - 4 2018

Property Name: New Town Center (68-104)

Location: 6505 Belcrest Road; 6525 Belcrest Road; 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville

County: Prince George's

CLG Name Prince George's County

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

X Nomination Recommended ____ Nomination Not Recommended

Please check the applicable National Register criteria and/or considerations (exceptions) used in decision:

criteria: ___A ___B __X C ___D

considerations: ____A ____B ____C ____D ___E ___F ____G

Justification of Decision: (use continuation sheet if necessary)

New Town Center is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion C in the area of Community Planning and Development, as an example of the practical application of a progressive suburban planning theory. The period of significance begins in 1962, when construction began on the project, and ends in 1971, when the first building campaign, in keeping with the original designs of Edward Durell Stone, was completed.

All three buildings designed by Stone, although constructed over nearly a decade, share a common vocabulary of material, massing and design. Resembling a Classical column with a base, shaft and capital, they are clad in the modern materials of cast stone and glazed white brick and have a regular fenestration pattern with 3-sided aluminum oriel windows.

Signature of Commission Chairman

Prince George's County Historic Preservation Commission

CHIEF ELECTED OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION

I concur with the opinion of the historic preservation review commission.

___l do not concur with the opinion of the historic preservation review commission. ___(Please justify disagreement on a separate sheet.

211

Signature of Chief Elected Official Title: County Executive

4/27/18

C:\USERS\DACCIPITER\APPDATA\LOCAL\MICROSOFT\WINDOWS\INETCACHE\CONTENT.OUTLOOK\3VHEDLIC\CLG REC FORM_NEW TOWN CENTER.DOC

<u>4/17/2018</u> Date MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF



Larry Hogan, Governor Boyd Rutherford, Lt. Governor Robert S. McCord, Secretary

F	RECEIVED 2280
	JUN - 4 2018
NAT	REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

May 30, 2018

Mr. J. Paul Loether, Chief National Register of Historic Places National Park Service Mail Stop 7228 <u>1849 C St, NW</u> Washington, D.C. 20240

RE: New Town Center (PG: 68-104) Prince George's County, Maryland

Dear Mr. Loether:

Enclosed is documentation for nominating the above-referenced property to the National Register of Historic Places. The state review board and the owners concur in my recommendation for listing. Should you have questions in this matter, please contact Peter Kurtze at peter.kurtze@maryland.gov or (410) 697-9562.

Sincerely,

Enalith Highen

Elizabeth Hughes Director-State Historic Preservation Officer

AR/krg cc: State Clearinghouse # MD 20170202 -0074 Enclosures: NR form, maps, photographs, CD Correspondence: owner notice

Maryland Historical Trust • 100 Community Place • Crownsville • Maryland • 21032 Tel: 410.697.9591 • toll free 877.767.6272 • TTY users: Maryland Relay • MHT.Maryland.gov

56 26 83

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

JUN - 4 2018

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in *How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form* (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property				
historic name New Town Center				
other names University Town Center, Prince George's Plaza (PG: 68-104)				
2. Location				
street & number6505 Belcrest Road, 6525 Belcrest Road,	3700 East-West Highway not for publication			
city or town Hyattsville	vicinity			
state <u>Maryland</u> code <u>MD</u> county <u>H</u>	Prince George's County code 033 zip code 20782			
3. State/Federal Agency Certification				
for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CLP Register criteria. I recommend that this property be considered sig additional comments).	In Act of 1966, as amended, I hereby certify that this I nomination I request for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets are 60. In my opinion, the property I meets I does not meet the National reficent I nationally I statewide I locally. (I See continuation sheet for Director Stree S: 30.18 Date			
 4. National Park Service Certification I hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet. Determined not eligible for the National Register. removed from the National Register. 	Signature of the Keeper Date of Action			

other (explain):

OMB No. 10024-0018

New Town Center (PG: 68-104) Name of Property

Prince George's County, M	D
County and State	

5. Classification				
Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)Category of Property (Check only one box)		Number of Resources within Property (Do not include previously listed resources in the count)		
⊠ private	building(s)	Contributing	Noncontributing	
public-local	district	3	0	buildings
public-State	site			sites
public-Federal	☐ structure			structures
	object			objects
		3	0	Total
Name of related multiple prop (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of		number of contrib listed in the Natior	uting resources prev nal Register	viously
N/A		0		
6. Function or Use				
Historic Functions		Current Functions		
(Enter categories from instructions)		(Enter categories from inst	tructions)	
COMMERCE/TRADE - Busin	ness (Office Building)	COMMERCE/TRADE	- Business (Office Buil	lding)
		VACANT		
	10			
	V			14
	``Ç			
		5		
7. Description		Y		
Architectural Classificatio		Materials		
(Enter categories from instructions)	6	(Enter categories from ins	structions)	
MODERN		foundation CAS	T STONE	
		walls CAST STO	NE	
		BRICK		
		roof SYNTHET	ICS	
		other		

Narrative Description

(Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets)

New Town Center sits on a rectangular site of approximately thirty acres that slopes down to the east. It is bounded by East-West Highway to the south, Democracy Avenue to the east, Toledo Road to the north and Belcrest Road to the south. The site is also bisected by three smaller roads: America Boulevard, which runs north-south and Liberty Lane and Freedom Way, which run east-west.

The initial building campaign of New Town Center, between 1963 and 1971, consisted of three buildings designed by Edward Durell Stone named Metro 1, 2 and 3. A second campaign, which is not considered historically or architecturally significant, began in the late 1990s, consisting of low- and high-rise buildings

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 7 Page 1

interspersed among the original construction, as well as two paved parking lots to the south. There are also paved sidewalks, limited landscaping and street trees and a terraced plaza with a fountain. In the southwest corner of the site is the former Perpetual American Bank designed in 1965 by Robert O. Scholz. This building is not associated with the New Town Center development.

All three buildings, although constructed over nearly a decade, share a common vocabulary of material, massing and design. Resembling a Classical column with a base, shaft and capital, they are clad in the modern materials of cast stone and glazed white brick and bave a regular fenestration pattern with 3-sided aluminum oriel

windows. Metro 1 Metro 1 is an 8 ½-story rectangular building located near the southwest corner of the site (Photographs #1 and derived between 052 and 1963 by Edward Durell Stone. The east 2). It was the first building in the complex, designed between 1962 and 1963 by Edward Durell Stone. The east and west elevations are ten bays wide and the north and south elevations are thirty bays wide with a total of 290,000 square feet. All elevations are clad in fluted white cast concrete. Encircling the 1st floor is a covered veranda with a painted white metal railing and there are prominent projecting eaves between the 1st and 2nd floor and along the roofline. The fenestration pattern is consistent on all elevations. The 1st floor and basement, which is only visible in the east half of the building because of the change in grade, have 1-light aluminum storefront windows in all bays. The 2nd through 7th floors have narrow 3-sided aluminum oriel windows, which were replaced in 1996. The 8th floor has taller 3-sided aluminum oriel windows, which were also replaced in 1996. The building has a flat roof with a centered 1-stor brick penthouse. Projecting from the 1st floor of the west elevation, facing Belcrest Road, is an elevated c. 2005 porch the three concrete, metal and glass hipped roof sections. The porch is accessed by a set of center stairs and flanking handicap ramps. It frames the primary entrance to the building, facing Belcrest Road, which contains paired contemporary aluminum sliding doors with 2-light aluminum transoms and 1-light aluminum sidelights. Projecting from the basement and 1st floor of the east elevation, facing America Boulevard, is a c. 2005 1-story brick veneer, concrete and stucco addition, which contains commercial space.

On the interior of the building, only the circulation core is consistent on each floor. Located in the center of the floor plate, there is an elevator lobby flanked by pairs of elevators to the north and south (Photographs #8 and 12). A fifth freight elevator is located to the northeast. There are also two U-return stairways to the northwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 7 Page 2

and southeast of the elevators (Photograph #11). The stairways have painted metal treads and risers and painted metal railings. All circulation provides access between all floors. Throughout the building, all buildings have been divided into a series of larger and smaller spaces with no cohesive arrangement (Photographs #7, 9, 10, 13 and 14). The finishes are largely contemporary, including contemporary marble, terrazzo, linoleum, concrete and carpeted floors, painted drywall partitions and ceilings, dropped acoustical tile ceilings and fluorescent lighting. As the entire interior of the building was renovated in 1996, there are no extant historic finishes.

Metro 2

Metro 2 is a 10 ½-story rectangular building loged near the center of the site (Photographs #3 and 4). It was the second building in the complex, designed in 1967 by Edward Durell Stone. The east and west elevations are ten bays wide and the north and south elevations are three bays wide with a total of 385,000 square feet. On all elevations, the 1st and 2nd floors are clad in glazed white brick and the upper floors are clad in fluted white cast concrete. The exposed portion of the basement, which is only visible on the north elevation. There are also low concrete and glazed white brick retaining walls on the north and east elevations. As the bottom two floors have a larger floor plate than the upper floors, they are topped by a veranda with a painted white metal railing. The veranda is covered by a freestanding aluminum canopy supported by fluted cast concrete columns. The fenestration pattern is consistent on all elevations. The 1st and 2nd floors have 1-light aluminum windows separated by metal spandrel panels. The 3rd through 9th floors have narrow 3-sided aluminum oriel windows and the 10th floor has taller 3-sided aluminum oriel windows. The building has a flat roof with a centered 1-stor brick penthouse. Projecting from the 1st floor of the west elevation, facing America Boulevard, is a c. 2005 1story brick veneer, concrete and stucco addition, which contains commercial space. Projecting from the east end of the south elevation is a 1-story vestibule, which originally served as the primary entrance to the building. Clad in fluted cast concrete to match the remainder of the building, it has large 1-light windows and a doubleleaf glazed aluminum door and a revolving aluminum door that open to the south.

On the interior of the building, only the circulation core is consistent on each floor. Located in the center of the floor plate, there is an elevator lobby flanked by pairs of elevators to the north and south (Photographs #15 and 17). A fifth freight elevator is located to the northwest. There are also two U-return stairways to the northwest and southeast of the elevators (Photograph #19). The stairways have concrete treads and risers and painted metal railings. All circulation provides access between all floors. Throughout the building, all buildings have been divided into a series of larger and smaller spaces with no cohesive arrangement (Photographs #16, 18 and

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 7 Page 3

20-22). The finishes are largely contemporary, including contemporary terrazzo, linoleum, concrete and carpeted floors, painted drywall partitions and ceilings, dropped acoustical tile ceilings and fluorescent lighting. As the entire interior of the building was renovated in 2006, the only extant historic finish is in some of the elevator lobbies and consists of marble cladding in various colors.

Metro 3

Metro 3 is an 11-story rectangular building located near the northwest corner of the site (Photographs #5 and 6). It was the third building in the complex, resigned in 1971 by Edward Durell Stone. The east and west elevations are ten bays wide and the north and worth elevations are thirty bays wide with a total of 420,000 square feet. All elevations are clad in fluted white east concrete. As the bottom two floors have a larger floor plate than the upper floors, they are topped by a verified with a painted white metal railing. The veranda is covered by a freestanding aluminum canopy supported periforming by fluted cast concrete columns and by the projecting 3-sided aluminum oriel windows of the 3rd floor. The timmary entrance is located in the center of the north elevation. It consists of three double-leaf glazed aluminum doors with 1-light aluminum transoms. The entrance bays are surrounded by a 1-story, three bay cast concrete porch supported by concrete pillars and topped by a flat roof. The fenestration pattern is consistent on all elevations. The 1st through 3rd floors have 3-sided aluminum oriel windows. The 4th through 10th floors have narrow 3-sided aluminum oriel windows and the 11th floor has taller 3-sided aluminum oriel windows. The building has a flat roof with a centered 1-stor brick penthouse.

On the interior of the building, only the circulation core is consistent on each floor. Located in the center of the floor plate, there is an elevator lobby flanked by three elevators to the north and south (Photographs #25 and 29). The building has three U-return stairways with painted metal treads, risers and railings (Photographs #28 and 30). The stairways are located to the north of the elevators and near the northwest and northeast corners of the floor plate. All circulation provides access between all floors. Throughout the building, all buildings have been divided into a series of larger and smaller spaces with no cohesive arrangement (Photographs #23 and 27). The only unique element of the interior configuration is two square atriums between the two lower floors (Photographs #24 and 26). The finishes are largely contemporary, including contemporary marble, terrazzo, linoleum, concrete and carpeted floors, painted drywall partitions and ceilings, dropped acoustical tile ceilings and fluorescent lighting. As the entire interior of the building was renovated in 2006, the only extant historic

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section _7_ Page _4_

finish is marble cladding on the 1st floor and in some of the elevator lobbies and consists of marble cladding in various colors.

Integrity

The buildings associated with the initial planning and construction of New Town Center retain a high degree of integrity. The overall materials, form, and defining architectural characteristics remain intact, including fluted cast stone, regular fenestration pattern with oriel windows, and columnar form. Although the windows in Metro 2 have been replaced, there is no obvious disparity from the original windows and none of the openings have been altered. The quality, placement and condition of the construction materials, as well as the notable Modern architectural design are both highly characteristic of the period and also all remain wholly intact. The workmanship is expressed in a consistent architectural style, is of good quality and is in keeping with contemporary trends. On the interior, the circulation core is intact in all three buildings. As this was the only consistent or deliberately designed element of these tenant office buildings, the interior renovations do not adversely impact the historic nature of the buildings.

The location of the buildings remains intact since the initial construction. Although the setting has changed slightly with the 1-story projections and the new construction throughout the site, all new elements are clearly distinguishable and, because of their rather generic style, do not compete against the monumentality and individuality of the original buildings. They are also on secondary elevations so the most prominent facades retain their original configuration. The original boundary roads also remain and the new roads within the site are based on the axial grid created by the original arrangement of the historic buildings.

The feeling and associations of the buildings also have a high level of integrity, in large part because of the integrity of the previous five aspects. The operation of the site as a mixed-use complex and the function of the buildings as flexible tenant spaces effectively relay the sense of place and the notable Modern development of this former suburban outpost.

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing)

- Χ Α Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history.
- **B** Property associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a C C type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- D Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in all the boxes that apply)

Property is:

- A owned by a religious institution or used for re purposes.
- B removed from its original location.
- С a birthplace or grave.
- D a cemetery.
- E a reconstructed building, object, or structure.
- □ F a commemorative property.
- G less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets)

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography

(Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one or more continuation sheets) See Attached.

Previous documentation on files (NPS):

	preliminary determination of individual listing (36	
	CFR 67) has been requested	
	previously listed in the National Register	
	previously determined eligible by the National Register	
	designated a National Historic Landmark	
	recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey	
	#	N
Π	recorded by Historic American Engineering Record	Li

recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #

Area of Significance

(Enter categories from instructions)

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Period of Significance

1962-1971

Significant Dates

1963 1967 1971

Significant Person

(Complete if Criterion B is marked above)



Architect/Builder

Blumberg, Marvin and Herschel, developers Stone, Edward Durell, architect

Primary location of additional data:

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Other State agency
- Federal agency
- Local government University
- \boxtimes Other

ame of repository:

Library of Congress

Prince George's County, MD County and State

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

PG: 68-104 New Town Center

Section 8 Page 1

Summary Statement of Significance:

New Town Center is significant under Criterion A, in the area of Community Planning and Development, for its representation of the evolution of regional ideological city planning principles and as an example of how the practical application of a progressive suburban planning theory alone is insufficient for its success. The participation of the Federal government in the initial development of New Town Center was a secondary element that simultaneously enabled its existence and ultimately contributed to its demise. The eventual inability of New Town Center to achieve its original vision further reinforces the archetypal nature of the project. It serves as a case study that is chalacteristic of both the standard trajectory of other city planning experiments of the era and of the theory that a successful city planning project cannot be an isolated entity. As all cities, both new and old, do not exist in a vacuum, they are necessarily dependent on the resources and uses of its setting and cannot succeed in a community that is not functionally equipped to receive it. Despite all of this, however, the unrealized nature of the project does not detract from its significance, as its significance is inherently derived from its novel and progressive conception and not from the physical application of those ideas. The period of significance begins in 1962, when construction began on the project, and ends in 1971, when the first building campaign, in keeping with the original designs of Edward Durell Stone, was completed. While the period of significance extends to a date less than fifty years in the past, the property meets Criteria Consideration G for its exceptional significance in representing a particular phase in the history of community planning ideology.

Resource History and Historic Context:

A Brief History of the Construction and Operation of New Town Plaza

In the 1950s, brothers Marvin and Herschel Blumberg purchased 140 acres in Hyattsville, MD from Isadore Gudelsky, a family acquaintance.¹ The parcel, known as the Heurich Farm, was located at the northeast intersection of the East-West Highway, which was constructed in 1956, and Belcrest Road.² The first major commercial development in the immediate area was in 1959, when the county's first regional shopping mall

Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

[&]quot;Back Future." Douglas Fruehling, the Washington **Business** Journal (21 May 2007). to http://www.bizjournals.com/washington/stories/2007/05/21/focus4.html?page=1. Accessed on September 29, 2015. Hyattsville was founded in 1845 by Christopher C. Hyatt who purchased the original parcel of land and actively began to develop the lots into a comprehensive town. The town, which was first known as a railroad suburb, continued to expand in the 20th century with the arrival of the streetcar and the automobile. Traceries MIHP, 8:1.

² The East-West Highway connected Hyattsville to Bethesda.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 8 Page 2

was opened by Lathrop Douglas immediately adjacent to the Blumberg parcel on the south side of the East-West Highway.³ Hoping to use the popularity of the new mall as a springboard, the Blumbergs, under the entity of the Spruell Development Corporation, began the process of constructing what they saw as a "rural Rockefeller Center," complete with a name brand architect.⁴

The Blumbergs initially approached Ludwig Mies van der Rohe, a master of Modern architecture. However, after initial conversations that made it clear that Mies would not take into account the preferences of the Blumbergs, the Blumbergs pursued another route.⁵ Their next stop was Edward Durell Stone, an equally prominent architect and one with several ontoing commissions in Washington, D.C., including the National Geographic Society Building (1961) and the July F Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts (1962).⁶ Stone had also completed numerous larger complexes, mauring the Stuart Company Plant and Office in Pasadena, CA (1958, NR 1994) and the Campus Center at Alaska Perific University in Anchorage, AK (1966, NR 1979). Although neither was at all as large as the initial design for new Town Center, they both were designed on an axial plan. In 1958, Stone commented that it was "doubtful Affener anything as pure and as refined and as beautiful as the New England village green…has existed elsewhere in history."⁷ In this comment, as in all three of his designs for larger complexes, Stone emphasizes the importance of an axial balance between open space and structure, a clear arrangement of programmatically distinct and necessary structures and a need for referencing historical buildings without direct replication.

As with most of his work, New Town Center conveys the monumental and understated but unified forms that are an indirect ode to architecture of the past. In describing the project specifically, Stone said the buildings captured all of the successes of modern engineering with their large expanses of precast concrete while existing outside of the "world of plate glass and aluminum that is upon us."⁸ In viewing the project today, there is no question that these unique structures stand in contrast not only to their immediate surroundings, but also to the

³ Paul Weishar, "Prince George's Plaza." Maryland Historic Trust, Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties Form (January 2010): 8:1.

⁴ "New Community Centers from Washington to Texas." Architectural Forum 118 (April 1963): 53.

⁵ Mies proposed floor to ceiling windows for the buildings and after Herschel, who had young children, questioned the safety aspect of such a design, Mies allegedly replied, "That's the mother's problem." Ellen Dunham-Jones and June Williamson, *Retrofitting Suburbia* (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2009): 221.

⁶ A later building in Washington, D.C. by Stone was the Nassif Building, now known as the Constitution Center, which was completed in 1969.

⁷ Edward Durell Stone, "The Case Against the Tailfin Age." The New York Times (18 October 1959): 31.

⁸ Stone, 26.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section 8 Page 3

larger portfolio of mid-20th century architecture in the Washington metropolitan area, most of which, Stone felt, could be "ordered out of a catalog."⁹

Stone was a natural choice as he shared the brothers' interest to "answer to the critics of suburban sprawl" and began to develop a master plan for the project at a projected cost of \$78 million.¹⁰ The contractor for the project was the Bancroft Construction Company, which was also founded and operated by the Blumbergs.

Stone designed his town center on an axial grid with several office buildings and residential towers, a 1,400seat movie theater, a cultural center, a youth center, an ice-skating rink, a reflecting pool, a sculpture garden, several plazas and fountains, a pedestrian mall, a wimming pool, a putting green and tennis courts (Figures 1-3).¹¹ In keeping with the inclinations of the day, all of the automobile circulation, enough for 3,000 cars, would be located below ground, separate from the pedestrians and accessed by escalators that would open directly into the buildings. As such, Stone conceived the project, from the beginning, as a "planned urban center that combines all aspects of modern life…" and a "Rockefeller Center in the countryside…"¹²

In deference to its suburban location, the Blumbergs were promised that a spur to I-95 would be constructed at the northern portion of the site. This, combined with the existence of the East-West Highway, would place the complex at a major vehicular intersection. However, in an optimistic reference to its position as a "town center," a Metro stop was also proposed to be located below grade, officially recognizing both the importance of the rise of public transportation in the late 20th century and the prominence of the complex as the recipient of this placement.¹³

Construction on the first building, known as Metro 1, began in 1962. The 8-story building contained 232,280 square feet, making it the "largest private office building in the Washington suburbs."¹⁴ In June of that year, the General Services Administration (GSA) arranged to lease 80% of the building for the Department of

⁹ John B. Willmann, "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (16 January 1963): B2.

¹⁰ Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 221.

¹¹ Willmann, "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone," B2.

¹² John B. Willmann, "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (6 June 1964): E1 and E12; Willmann, "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone," B2.

¹³ Willmann, "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County", E1.

¹⁴ "New Community Centers from Washington to Texas."

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section 8 Page 4

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Agriculture at a rate of \$3 per square foot with the stipulation that the work be complete by May 15, 1963.¹⁵ The final cost of the project was approximately \$5.5 million.

The second building, Metro 2, was constructed in 1967. Approximately the same size as Metro 1, 80% of the building, or approximately 280,000 square feet was again occupied by a government agency - the Naval Ship Engineering Center (NAVSEC), who committed to a 10-year least at an annual cost of \$1.2 million.¹⁶ Approximately 2,000 employees occupied the building until 1982. Metro 3, the third and final building designed in keeping with Stone's master plan, was completed in 1971 and primarily occupied by the National Center for Health Statistics, who remained in In e building until 2002.¹⁷

An Explanation of an Unrealized Design Despite the overwhelming initial support for the project and the fact that it made such a convincing theoretical argument, it was quickly clear that it would not be developed a originally conceived. Firstly, the Blumbergs were never able to obtain financing for the remaining programmatic objectives, such as the residences and the amenities. The multiple high rises called for too much density without any supporting amenities and no one wanted to finance amenities that no one would visit. The most tangible example of this was a 33- or 34-story office and apartment building, which was issued permits in late 1965. It was to be "one of the tallest buildings in the metropolitan area" and the "highest privately owned building in the Washington area" but, despite the permitting approval, no one came forward to ultimately finance it and take a risk on what was widely considered a risky endeavor.18

But the ultimately insurmountable dilemma was that of transportation. From the beginning, New Town Center was marketed as an urban alternative. Although walkability from outside the complex was never an option, automobile and Metro access to the development were heavily promoted. This plan hinged on two construction projects that were due to begin imminently. The first was a spur from I-95, which was going to be extended into downtown Washington, D.C. and linking with the Southeast-Southwest Expressway. This would not only

¹⁵ "New Community Centers from Washington to Texas;" Fruehling.

¹⁶ Weishar, 8:2-8:3. Leasing for Metro 2 was handled by the Carey Winston Company.

¹⁷ In 2002, they moved to Metro 4, a new building constructed specifically for them and the first building that was a departure from Stone's original plan.

¹⁸ Bart Barnes, "34-Story Towers Asked on Prince George's Site: Plan Seeks 34-Story MD. Towers." The Washington Post, Times Herald (29 October 1965): np; Bart Barnes, "295-Foot Tower Wins Approval in County." The Washington Post, Times Herald (23

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section 8 Page 5

Prince George's County, MD County and State

simplify vehicular access to the development, but would also put Hyattsville on the map, as it were, instigating development in the area in general, and thereby further commodifying the new project. The promise of the spur continued as a possibility until 1977, when the project was officially terminated.¹⁹

The second element was a Metro stop, which was originally scheduled to open at the western portion of the site, directly into one of the basements of the proposed buildings. While parking was to be incorporated into the project, although in a downplayed manner, the major innovative component of its program was that it was to be Metro-accessible, just like a "real" wity. Ultimately, however the extension of the Metro never materialized and the development, like so many others before it, became just another standard suburban office park.

materialized and the development, like so many others before it, became just another standard subtroal other park. A less tangible, but perhaps nonetheless important element of unrealized nature of the project, was its lack of identity. Known by no fewer than five names, including Yew Town Center, Prince George's Center, Prince George's Plaza and Federal Center Buildings, it was seen as a) office park, a shopping mall and a housing project.²⁰ Although a successful town must necessarily have all of these components, it must also have a clear sense of place, which, despite all of the planning, New Town Center never seemed to be able to establish.

The end result of this unfortunate culmination of circumstances was that the three isolated office buildings were surrounded by a sea of paved parking lots, instead of the planned buildings, hardscaping, landscaping and amenities that Stone and the Blumbergs had for so long envisioned.

The Development of New Town Center from 1993 Onward

In 1993, the long-promised Metro stop opened as an extension of the Green/Yellow line. As anticipated thirty years earlier, this both spurred development in the area and created a firm and simple link between Hyattsville, Washington, D.C. and points in between. It was also naturally a catalyst for a new construction campaign at New Town Center. The buildings originally known as Metro 1 and 2 were renovated in 1996. In 2002, a fourth office building was also constructed. Although this was the first element of construction at the site that deviated from Stone's original plan, it was in keeping with the development in that, once again, its primary

December 1965): D3.

¹⁹ Lawrence Aurbach, "Prince George's Plaza Transit District." <u>http://pedshed.net/?p=11</u>. Accessed on September 15, 2016.

²⁰ Fruehling.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section 8 Page 6

Prince George's County, MD County and State

tenant was a government agency, the National Center for Health Statistics, who had formerly occupied Metro 3. A new 16-story residential building was also constructed in 2002 and, in 2006, Metro 3 was finally renovated. Since that time, the development has continued for the remainder of the site, including the construction of more residential and commercial buildings, a movie theater and a parking structure. Although none of these elements are in keeping with the original design for the site, there is no doubt that it currently reads as a town center, a multi-faceted, multi-purposed complex of which Herschel Blumberg felt that Stone would be proud.²¹

New Town Center and the Proactive Developmen Suburban Maryland

As early as 1909, the United States began to embrare the urban planning concept of planned communities. One of the first examples in the country was Forest Hills in Queens, designed by Grosvenor Atterbury and Frederick Law Olmsted, Jr. The "town" was based on the parden City movement, popular in England, which advocated for self-contained communities with residential, agricultural and industrial components that were surrounded by greenbelts.²² This notion of a self-sufficient town, in which people could live, work and play would become the basis for virtually all planned communities for the next 120 years.

There was little development in the field of town planning for nearly thirty years until Roosevelt's New Deal ushered in a new wave of interest. Between 1935 and 1937, the Federal government, under the supervision of the Resettlement Administration, funded the Greenbelt Town program.²³ This was the first time in United States history that the Federal government actively sponsored such an effort and it resulted in the construction of three towns, Greenbelt, MD outside of Washington, D.C. (1937), Greenhill, OH outside of Cincinnati (1938) and Greendale, WI outside of Milwaukee (1938). The plans for all three towns were based on the same principles of the Garden City movement, but on a much larger scale. With several thousand residents who primarily lived in rowhouses with large backyards, the towns offered schools, churches, movie theaters, markets, fire stations and shops. They were to contain the best aspects of the city within a country idyll. In addition, all three towns were strategically situated near an already established city.²⁴

²¹ Fruehling.

²² This new form of city planning was first conceived of by Sir Ebenezer Howard in 1898.

²³ David R. Goldfield, *Encyclopedia of American Urban History* (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2007): 537; Mary Corbin Sies and Isabelle Gourney. *The Modern Movement in Maryland*, 37-38.

²⁴ Marion Clawson, Suburban Land Conversion in the United States (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1971): 338. The other, less implemented, option was that of a wholly independent entity, similar to that of Levittown.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section 8 Page 7

The oldest of these towns, Greenbelt, was located in Prince George's County, Maryland. Cited by its National Historic Landmark nomination as embodying the "regional planning principles and architectural ideals of the mid-1930s," the town was not only physically planned to accommodate a diversity of form and function but was also socially engineered to house a population with a range of incomes and professions.²⁵ It was believed that by providing for a broad range of people and a broad range of opportunities, Greenbelt could approximate all of the positive attributes of an existing city without any of the drawbacks. As Greenbelt is located approximately six miles from New Town Certer, there is no doubt that it stands as a formative precursor.

During the 1950s, Prince George's County guided 163,000 residents and had an 84.8% growth rate in the 1960s, which was the largest in both the state and the country.²⁶ As this left no doubt that people were moving into the county, the question became of how best to plan for them. After the relative success of Greenbelt, it is not surprising that the answer was once again that of a planned community. It was still believed that the community needed to have a balance between "residential, commercial, pubic service, and other parts both during the growing years and at its ultimate development" and have a developer who had both the time and the money to invest in the project.²⁷

In Maryland, the best example of a planned community in the mid-20th century was Columbia, MD.²⁸ On a little over 1,000 acres, James W. Rouse first developed plans for the community in the early 1960s. Rouse saw a town with the same comprehensive objectives as Greenbelt: residential, commercial and social facets with construction that could both accommodate the initial development and expand as the town grew. This decision was a sensible one as the original group of 1,000 residents in 1968 ballooned to a town of 100,000 by 2005. Although Columbia is located in an adjacent county twenty miles to the north of New Town Center, it still serves as an important precedent for New Town Center. Maryland had become an incubator for these progressive planned communities and New Town Center was the natural extension of the narrative.

The vision for New Town Center is based on a similar precedent to Columbia, but on a naturally smaller scale. No less comprehensive however, the original plan determined areas for working, living and recreation and

²⁵ "Greenbelt, Maryland Historic District." National Historic Landmark (March 1996): 8:1.

²⁶ Sies and Gournay, 37-38; The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, *Prince George's Modern, Midcentury* Architecture: 1947-1971 (2015): 4.

²⁷ Clawson, 338-339.

²⁸ Other examples in the country are Reston, VA and Irvine, CA.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section 8 Page 8

aimed to give both residents and employees a self-contained experience. The primary distinction between New Town Center and its predecessors, however, was that this development was already surrounded by infrastructure and commercial development, such as the large shopping center located directly to the west and constructed in 1959, rather than undeveloped, rural tracts. As such, it was able to benefit from the programmatic aspects of these elements while being simultaneously able to create itself as a unique, independent and comprehensive entity. William J. Stevens, chairman of the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission spoke to this, saying that New Town Center was a

glimpse into the future. It takes into account the unique assets of the area; its open space; its prime residential neighborhoods; its commercial preentials and its centers of community life. It then projects a future land use pattern, which sets goals for cuture development.²⁹

The Blumbergs understood these existing conditions and meause their concern with the issue of suburban sprawl, they were determined that their project would actively an counter to the dominant trend of random development. They saw that, after World War II, "suburban expansion was [characterized by] its ugliness, cultural conformity, social isolation and environmental problems."³⁰ In 1963, Hershel Blumberg said, "the day has passed when the country can afford the wasteful practice of indiscriminate suburban sprawl out from our congested cities. The developers of land beyond our metropolitan cores must shoulder the responsibility of working closely with architects, community leaders and government planning agencies to insure the orderly and pleasing growth of unused suburban land."³¹

Edward Durell Stone had been thinking about similar issues since the 1950s. In 1958, he was quoted in *The New York Times* as saying that suburban sprawl was "desecrating the American landscape" and, the next year, wrote an editorial in *The New York Times* saying, "we have yet to realize that a beautiful, well-planned environment can be as much a part of our national wealth as our land, forests and other physical assets and that a splendid physical heritage is part of our moral obligation to future generations."³² He was more specific in 1963, saying that the "short-sighted exploitation of land around many of our cities has produced chaotic results. On the one hand is the proliferation of single-family homes on small plots of ground development en masse.... On the other are decaying clutters of small commercial centers. A recent innovation in suburbia, the

²⁹ Willmann, "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County," E1.

³⁰ Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 2.

³¹ "Prince George's County Draws \$78 Million Project." Washington World 3:13 (March 1963): np.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section 8 Page 9

shopping center, has added new problems with its emphasis on the automobile and the resultant proliferation of traffic and road building."³³ This was particularly true in Maryland, where beginning in the early 1950s, a master plan called for the rebuilding of 3,100 miles of highways and the addition of 300 miles of new roads.³⁴ The clear and immediate outcome of this plan was that the placement of the roads promoted and "directed long-range suburban development and planning, especially office, retail and light industry construction."³⁵

So when the Blumbergs approached Stone about an alternative approach to development, he was a natural participant. Although not known as an urban planner, he quickly conceived of a plan for a "self-contained community", "a planned urban center that combines all aspects of modern life...."³⁶ By consolidating the residential and commercial components of the sprawl, he developed a program that was a "direct response to the problems of suburban sprawl as perceived at the time...."³⁷

During the 1940s, "small office buildings began being constructed in the retail zones of residential suburbs, specifically geared to branch offices and small service corporations...."³⁸ By the 1950s, "suburban office and corporate compounds became more frequent components of the suburbs."³⁹ Therefore, when the proposal for New Town Center was first presented to the general public, the idea was not necessarily novel, but simply new and improved. Such a positive departure, in fact, that the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission even created a new zoning category for the construction, "planned urban center."⁴⁰ Even the normally reactive zoning code was actively contributing to the notion that this development would be something different.

The change in zoning code also affirms that this suburban mixed-use center was an extremely early example of its type, not only in Prince George's County, but farther afield as well. In Maryland, concentrated developments in Bethesda and Silver Spring, presented an unsatisfactory juxtaposition between a historic town

³⁹ Sies and Gournay, 62.

³² Stone, 26 and 31.

³³ Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 221.

³⁴ Sies and Gournay, 41.

³⁵ Sies and Gournay, 41.

³⁶ Willmann. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County," E1 and E12.

³⁷ Hunting, 35; Dunham-Jones and Williamson, 221-222.

³⁸ Louise A. Mozingo, Pastoral Capitalism: A History of Suburban Corporate Landscapes (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2011): 151.

⁴⁰ Willmann. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County," E1 and E12.

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

Section 8 Page 10

County and State

center and a new but separated shopping center. In Virginia, cities like Crystal City and Rosslyn began around the same time, but did not have the same consistency of development or overarching vision.

Public reaction to the proposal was overwhelmingly positive. William J. Stevens, Chairman of the MNCPPC said that the plan was a "glimpse into the future. It takes into account the unique assets of the area; its open space; its prime residential neighborhoods; its commercial potentials and its centers of community life. It then projects a future land use pattern which sets goals for future development."41 Senator Daniel Brewster and Representative Hervey Machen, both of Mary and, said of the move, "We believe that the PGC location is one of the best in the suburban area for Federal employees and facilities because of the availability of PGC of good of the best in the suburban area for rederal employees and factor schools, roads and housing."⁴²

Beginning with the implementation of the Green towns in the at 1930s, the Federal government often took an active and pivotal role in community planning in various degrees. As these towns were both difficult to finance, because of their complicated composition, and difficult to sustain, because they took such a long time to take root, the financial support from the government often provided much-needed assistance. This relief took a range of forms, including low mortgage rates, lenient financing terms or ready tenants.

In the 1960s, "Lyndon Johnson suggested that self-contained New Towns become part of the general strategy for ameliorating the problems of the inner city" and the "subsequent revival of New Town philosophy in the United States has been dominated by towns that were conceived and built as for-profit enterprises with government subsidy."43 The motivations of the government in the 1960s were similar to those in the 1930s, including "implementing innovative design solutions; employing new technologies; providing an appropriate mixture of land uses to ensure proximity between the paces where people live, work and play; and preserving open areas in balance with suburbanization."44 Shortly after Johnson's declaration, Congress passed various legislation sponsored by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that expanded the

⁴¹ Willmann. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County," E1 and E12.

⁴² Weishar, 8:3.

⁴³ William Flanagan, Urban Sociology: Images and Structure (Rowman & Littlefield, 2010): 340.

⁴⁴ Goldfield, 537.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104

New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>11</u>

- her mention have fits and account on to New Terry development "restingly here"

development of suburban programs by granting benefits and guarantees to New Town developers, "particularly in the form of financial support.⁴⁵

Unfortunately, the presence of the government often provided a false sense of security and success. Twelve of the thirteen projects funded by the HUD program failed because the initial influx of money was not enough to make these communities ultimately sustainable.

This relationship of both initial investment and lack of ultimate realization is equally prominently present at New Town Center. The GSA provided the necessary tenants both in the 1960s and the 2000s and, as such, continues this highly characteristic relationship. Wew Town Center had succeeded, it would be an outlier. In this respect, its incomplete nature determines it to be an archetypal example of its form.

The Leasing of Privately Owned Office Buildings by the GSA

Beginning in the 1950s and continuing through the 1960s, the bureaus and agencies of the federal government were decentralizing the physical fabric of their office space and buildings into the Maryland suburbs.⁴⁶ Indeed, when the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission was developing their context statement for Modern architecture in Maryland, they went so far as to say, "the Government's decentralization of federal agencies for security reasons during the Cold War can be considered a key component of one of the principal modernization campaigns stimulating new building in the Free State."⁴⁷

In 1955, the GSA formalized this approach by establishing a specific procedure for the leasing of privately owned buildings throughout the country. To begin with, the leasing of privately owned buildings was to be considered only after it was determined that either space in existing Government buildings or currently leased buildings would not be sufficient for the proposed use or that the construction or renovation of either building category with Federal money was not economically feasible. Once these options had been eliminated, the GSA determined that new construction with private funding was permissible. To this end, the GSA would then develop the requirements for the building program, put the project out to bid and then select a developer willing to financing the project. This arrangement was beneficial to the developers because the GSA was

⁴⁵ Flanagan, 340.

⁴⁶ Sies and Gournay, 37.

⁴⁷ Sies and Gournay, 38.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

having to pay for it or commit to the building in the long-term.⁴⁸

OMB Approval No. 1024-0018

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>12</u>

essentially an ideal tenant, who could offer competitive financing rates and had excellent credit, and was beneficial to the GSA because they could get a building designed to their exact specifications without either

In 1962, the GSA put eight projects out to bid, which, among other technical requirements, such as square footage, had to be located within eight miles of the Ellipse in Washington, D.C.⁴⁹ Chosen from twenty-two submissions, New Town Center had the largest square footage of all of the projects at 171,659 square feet and was one of five projects selected in Maryland.⁵⁰ The bidding process for Metro 2 and Metro 3 was similar to that of Metro 1. Federal tenants who required significant office space – the Naval Ship Engineering Center and the National Center for Health Statistics – provide natural fit for these new construction projects.

Although the participation of the GSA at the initial stages of the project was vital for the finance and construction of the three office buildings, there were also significant downsides to government involvement. In the first place, there was the issue of image. While the GSA might be reasonably guaranteed to pay its rent on time, it was neither an exciting nor a glamorous tenant and certainly not one that would help to draw other businesses or potential future residents. Secondly, the ease of the GSA financing and tenancy served to create the impression that there was a viable market for this proposed town center, when the reality was starkly different. The GSA, naturally, did not extend their involvement into the construction of the proposed residential towers and amenities and the Blumbergs were unable to find funding from another source. Almost immediately, the extensive and ambitious master plan of Stone was in decline.

As fate would have it, the second construction campaign did not resume until 2002, when the GSA once again needed additional office space. In the forty-year interim, the developers were never able to find another interested tenant.

⁴⁸ "GSA Lease-Purchase Rules Circumvent Promoters." Architectural Forum 102 (March 1955): 21.

⁴⁹ The Ellipse is an area of the National Mall located just to the south of the White House, and is, effectively, the center of Washington, D.C.

⁵⁰ Of the other seven projects, one was located in Arlington (20,000 square feet for the Department of Defense); two in Arlington county (57,373 square feet for the Department of Commerce and 30,100 square feet for the GSA); two in Bethesda, MD (138,199 square feet for the Department of Heath, Education and Welfare and 60,373 square feet for the Atomic Energy Commission); and two in Silver Spring (92.420 square feet for the Department of Commerce and 56,230 for the Geological Survey). "GSA Taking Space in 8 Buildings Planned for Suburban Locations." *The Washington Post, Times Herald.* (29 June 1962): np.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>13</u>

The Role of Modern Architecture

In many cases, one of the construction requirements of the GSA for new buildings was the specific selection of a certain architect, such as Ludwig Mies van der Rohe, Walter Gropius and Marcel Breuer.⁵¹ Their preference for such prominent Modern architects, rather than those with a more Revivalist bent, for example, is a clear aesthetic illustration of what they saw as their progressive and proactive mid-century government.

Fortunately, this marketing strategy was also exactly in keeping with the ideals of New Town Center. This was to be a place that surpassed the traditional and expected suburban developments and re-conceptualized the notion of a town center. Consequently, a forward-thinking architect was required. As Stone is cited as having a legacy of "effectively reconciling Modernism with popular culture," he was the perfect person to adapt the traditional and familiar notion of the town center with a forward-thinking aesthetic.⁵²

Indeed, the very fact that the Blumbergs approached Stone in the first place indicates their prioritizing of this idea. The selection of a prominent architect showed that both the design and what that design stood for was a priority. In the vast majority of other suburban developments, a local, somewhat anonymous architect was chosen to spearhead the design. Although this would have been by far the cheapest and easiest approach for the Blumbergs to adopt, it wouldn't have met their programmatic and aesthetic objectives for the complex. The highly unusual decision to select an internationally known architect for a relatively obscure location and use clearly demonstrate their aspirations for the project and their ambitiousness in achieving that goal.

⁵¹ Select commissions include the Federal Building in Chicago, IL by Mies van der Rohe (1960-1974), the Federal Building in Boston, MA by Gropius (1961) and the Federal Building in Washington, D.C. by Breuer (1960-1976).

⁵² Mary Ann Hunting, Edward Durell Stone (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012): np.

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section 9 Page 1

Major Bibliographical References:

Aurbach, Lawrence. "Prince George's Plaza Transit District." http://pedshed.net/?p=11. Accessed on September 15, 2016.

Barnes, Bart. "34-Story Towers Asked on Prince George's Site: Plan Seeks 34-Story MD. Towers." The Washington Post, Times Herald (29 October 1965): np.

Barnes, Bart. "295-Foot Tower Wins Approval in County." The Washington Post, Times Herald (23 December 1965): D3.

Clawson, Marion. Suburban Land Conversion in the United States. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University

Dunham-Jones, Ellen and June Williamson. Retrofitting aburbia: Urban Design Solutions for Redesigning Suburbs. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2009.

Flanagan, William. Urban Sociology: Images and Structure. New York: Rowman & Littlefield, 2010.

"Back the Future." Washington Fruehling, Douglas. to Business Journal (21 May 2007). http://www.bizjournals.com/washington/stories/2007/05/21/focus4.html?page=1. Accessed on September 29, 2015.

Gray, Christopher. Streetscapes/Edward Durell Stone and the Gallery of Modern Art, at 2 Columbus Circle; An Architect Who Looked Both Forward and Back. The New York Times (27 October 2002). http://www.nytimes.com/2002/10/27/realestate/streetscapes-edward-durell-stone-gallery-modern-art-2columbus-circle-architect.html?pagewanted=all& r=0. Accessed on September 15, 2016.

"Greenbelt, Maryland Historic District." National Historic Landmark (March 1996).

"GSA Lease-Purchase Rules Circumvent Promoters." Architectural Forum 102 (March 1955): 21.

"GSA Taking Space in 8 Buildings Planned for Suburban Locations." The Washington Post, Times Herald (29 June 1962): np.

Hunting, Mary Ann Edward Durell Stone. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012.

Prince George's County, MD County and State

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section 9 Page 2

Sies, Mary Corbin and Isabelle Gourney. The Modern Movement in Maryland.

Mozingo, Louise A. Pastoral Capitalism: A History of Suburban Corporate Landscapes. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2011.

"New Community Centers from Washington to Texas." Architectural Forum 118 (April 1963): 53.

"Prince George's Center." The Washington Post, Times Herald (25 November 1967): E2.

"Prince George's County Draws \$78 Million Project." Washington World 3:13 (March 1963): np.

"Prince George's Modern: Midcentury Aschitecture, 1947-1971." <u>www.pgplanning.org/pgmodern.htm</u>. Accessed on September 15, 2016.

Stone, Edward Durell. "The Case Against the Tailfin Age? The New York Times (18 October 1959): 26 and 31.

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Prince George's Modern, Midcentury Architecture: 1947-1971. 2015.

Weishar, Paul, E.H.T. Traceries. "Prince George's Plaza." Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties (January 2010).

Willmann, John B. "Suburban Building Development is Described by Architect Stone." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (16 January 1963): E1.

Willmann, John B. "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County." *The Washington Post* (6 June 1964): E1 and E12.

New Town Center (PG: 68-104) Name of Property Prince George's County, MD County and State

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of Property 7.35 acres

UTM References

(Place additional UTM references on a continuation sheet)



Verbal Boundary Description

(Describe the boundaries of the property on a continuation sheet)

The boundary of New Town Center is shown by the red lines on the accompanying map entitled "New Town Center – Site Plan with National Register Boundary." New Town Center has been associated with Parcels I, R, and L as noted on Tax Map 42 since the construction of Metro 1 in 1963, Metro 2 in 1968, and Metro 3 in 1971.

Boundary Justification

(Explain why the boundaries were selected on a continuation sheet)

The nominated property includes the building footprints of the three included buildings. The surrounding landscape elements and new construction have been excluded as they are not a part of the original design of Edward Inrell Stone and they do not therefore contribute to the significance of the resource.

11. Form Prepared By	· /	
name/titleLogan I. Ferguson, Senior Associate	6	
Organization Powers and Company, Inc.	<u> </u>	date February 22, 2017
street & number1315 Walnut Street, Suite 1717		telephone (215) 636-0192
city or town Philadelphia	state PA	zip code 19107

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section 10 Page 1

Drinoo	Gaarga's	Country	MD
Prince	George's	County,	MD

County and State

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

Continuation Sheets

Maps

A USGS map (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.

A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources.

Photographs Additional Items (Check with the SHPO or FPO for any additional items)	p		
Property Owner	CVX.		
(Complete this item at the request of SHPO or FPO)	40		
name	1		
street & number	10	telephone	
city or town	state	zip code	

Paperwork Reduction Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et. seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Project (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section FIGURES Page 1



Figure 1 – "Study Model." John B. Willmann, "Urban Center Under Way in Prince George's County." The Washington Post (6 June 1964): E1. Metro 1 is marked as Building 1 above.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section _____ FIGURES __ Page ____



 Figure 2 – Bart Barnes, "295-Foot Tower Wins Approval in County." The Washington Post, Times Herald (23 December 1965): D3.
 A rendering of a proposed apartment tower that was never constructed.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section FIGURES Page 3



Figure 3 – "Prince George's Center." *The Washington Post, Times Herald* (25 November 1967): E2. Metro 1 is marked "A" and Metro 2 is marked "B". The remainder of the complex was never completed.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section FIGURES Page 4



Location Map

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section _______PHOTOGRAPHS___Page __1

Index to Photographs

The following information applies to all photographs which accompany this documentation:

Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties (MIHP) Number: PG: 68-104 Name of Property: New Town Center Location: Prince George's County, Maryland Photographer: Robert Powers Date taken: September 2016 Location of original digital files: MPS PO
National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section PHOTOGRAPHS Page 2

Prince George's County, MD County and State



Hyattsville, MD West and north elevations, view southeast

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>3</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0002.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD South and east elevations, view northwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>4</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0003.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD West and south elevations, view northeast

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>5</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0004.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD East and north elevations, view southwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>6</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0005.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD North and west elevations, view southeast

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>7</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0006.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD South and east elevations, view northwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>8</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0007.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Hallway, view east

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page 9

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0008.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 2nd floor, view south

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>10</u>



OMB Approval No. 1024-0018



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>11</u>

Prince George's County, MD County and State



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0010.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 5th floor, view northwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>12</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0011.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Stairway, view east

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section ______ PHOTOGRAPHS __ Page _____



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0012.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Elevator lobby, view west

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section ______ PHOTOGRAPHS __ Page _____ 14____



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0013.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD

6th floor, view east

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>15</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0014.tif New Town Center Metro I, 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 8th floor, view northeast

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>16</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0015.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD Basement, Elevator lobby, view east

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section ______ PHOTOGRAPHS __ Page _____ 17



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0016.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD Basement, view east

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>18</u>

MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0017.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Elevator lobby, view east



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section _ PHOTOGRAPHS Page _ 19 ____



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0018.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 3rd floor, view southwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section _ PHOTOGRAPHS Page _ 20



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0019.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 7th floor, Stairway, view west

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page 21



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0020.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 7th floor, view northwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section PHOTOGRAPHS Page 22



Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway

Hyattsville, MD 10th floor, view northeast

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page 23



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0022.tif New Town Center Metro II, 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD Roof, view southwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section ______ PHOTOGRAPHS __ Page _____



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0023.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Entrance lobby, view west

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section PHOTOGRAPHS Page 25



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0024.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Atrium, view southwest

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>26</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0025.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Elevator lobby, view east

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>27</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0026.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 1st floor, Atrium, view northeast

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section ______ PHOTOGRAPHS __ Page _____ 28_____



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0027.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 3rd floor, Hallway, view west

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD

County and State

Section _______PHOTOGRAPHS___Page _____



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0028.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Stairway, view north

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section <u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u> Page <u>30</u>



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0029.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 6th floor, Elevator lobby, view west

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

PG: 68-104 New Town Center Name of Property

Prince George's County, MD County and State

Section PHOTOGRAPHS Page 31



MD_PrinceGeorgesCounty_NewTownCenter_0030.tif New Town Center Metro III, 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 7th floor, Stairway, view east







New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD Basement Plan with Photograph Key





New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 2nd Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 1 6505 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, MD 3rd Floor Plan with Photograph Key


Iew Town Center 1etro 1 505 Belcrest Road Iyattsville, MD th Floor Plan with Photograph Key





No Town Center Vietro 1 i505 Belcrest Road Iyattsville, MD ith Floor Plan with Photograph Key



ew Town Center
Metro 1
6505 Belcrest Road
Hyattsville, MD
7th Floor Plan with Photograph Key





New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD Basement Plan with Photograph Key

Ψ

 \mathbf{x}





3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 2nd Floor Plan with Photograph Key





New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 4th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 5th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 6th Floor Plan with Photograph Key Drawn By: Sydney Fooks Date: 10/12/01



Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway

Hyattsville, MD

7th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



New Town Center Metro 2 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, MD 8th Floor Plan with Photograph Key





Hyattsville, MD

10th Floor Plan with Photograph Key



Basement and 1st Floor Plans with Photograph Key





4th and 5th Floor Plans with Photograph Key



5th and 7th Floor Plans with Photograph Key



8th and 9th Floor Plans with Photograph Key

1



10th and 11th Floor Plans with Photograph Key




























































UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Requested Action:	Nomina	ation		
Property Name:	New Town Center			
Multiple Name:	[
State & County:	MARYLAND, Prince George's			
Date Received: Date of Pending L 6/4/2018 7/9/2018			Date of 16th Day: [7/24/2018	Date of 45th Day: Date of Weekly List: 7/19/2018
Reference number:	SG100002683			
Nominator:	State			
Reason For Review	:			
Appeal		X PD	IL	Text/Data Issue
SHPO	Reques	stLar	ndscape	Photo
Waiver			tional	Map/Boundary
Resubmission			bile Resource	Period
Other		TC	Р	Less than 50 years
		<u>X</u> CL	G	
Accept	X	Return R	eject7/12/	2018 Date
Abstract/Summary Comments:	Issues with integrity, boundaries, maps, and justification under A. See detailed comments			
Recommendation/ Criteria	endation/ Return			
Reviewer Jim Gabbert			Discipline	Historian
Telephone (202)354-2275			Date	
DOCUMENTATION	l: se	e attached comments : Ye	see attached S	LR : No

If a nomination is returned to the nomination authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the National Park Service.



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE 1849 C Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20240

IN REPLY REFER TO:

The United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Evaluation/Return Sheet

Property Name:

New Town Center, Prince George's County, MD

Reference Number: 100002683

Reason for Return

The New Town Center nomination is being returned for substantive and technical revision.

Substantive Issues

The nomination's central thesis is that the New Town Center is significant under Criterion A as an early example of centralized regional city planning and "as an example of how the practical application of progressive suburban planning theory alone is insufficient for its success." In other words, its significance is that it was a failure in planning. The issue we have in regards to the stated area of significance and the argument of significance related to the planning aspect is that the actual nominated property, the three Edward Durell Stone buildings, are not sufficient to represent the "planning" aspect. In order to substantiate the argument as presented, the entire property would have to be included. This would entail many noncontributing resources, a more deliberative examination of the integrity of the complex, and re-notification of all owners and review by the state review board.

Another option would be to resubmit the nomination with an emphasis on the role of the GSA, the Federal decisions and planning that led to disbursement of agencies to the suburbs in leased space, and the effect of that program on the nascent development. This puts the emphasis on the three buildings that were actually constructed and occupied, and obviates the need to include the entire planned development. You could look at this under Criterion A under either Politics/Government (as it relates to implementation of the Federal policy) or keep it under Community Planning and Development (with the emphasis on development).

In either scenario, there needs to be more discussion and analysis of the integrity of the area and the buildings themselves. The additions to Metro I and Metro II are given scant attention in the analysis of integrity, and the changes to the site over the past 12 years are

barely noted. Not only were new buildings constructed, but new roads/circulation patterns. These things need to be described more clearly, and analyzed for their impacts.

Technical Issues

The photographs provided with the nomination do not adequately convey the setting – this is directly related to the analysis of integrity. There are no images that depict the buildings in relation to their surroundings, and those that are provided de-emphasize recent changes (commercial, grade-level additions made to Metro I and Metro II). Please submit more representative images.

The verbal boundary description should either more explicitly describe the boundary of the nominated property, or if referencing a properly scaled map, the map should be clear and legible. The map accompanying the nomination form is almost illegible, with no reference points provided to place the red rectangles (denoting the buildings) in context. Please provide a clear boundary map that meets the standards described in Bulletin 16a or in our mapping guidance found on the National Register website.

One of these buildings has been submitted for the tax credit program. Please make sure that the appropriate box is checked in Section 9.

We appreciate the opportunity to review this nomination and hope that you find these comments useful. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions. I can be reached at (202) 354-2275 or email at <<u>James_Gabbert@nps.gov></u>.

Um Gabbert, Historian National Register of Historic Places 7/13/2018

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF



Larry Hogan, Governor Boyd Rutherford, Lt. Governor Robert S. McCord, Secretary

RECEIVED 2280

OCT - 9 2018

NAT. REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

October 5, 2018

Julie H. Ernstein, Ph.D., RPA Acting Chief, National Register & National Historic Landmarks Program Deputy Keeper of the National Register DOI-National Park Service Mail Stop 7228 <u>1849 C St, NW</u> Washington, D.C. 20240

RE: Resubmission: NRIS number – 100002683 New Town Center (PG: 68-104) Prince George's County, Maryland

Dear Dr. Ernstein:

Documentation to nominate the above-referenced property was originally submitted on May 30, 2018 but was returned by NR staff for reasons noted on the enclosed return sheet. We are resubmitting the documentation, which has been revised to address the review comments, and look forward to the listing of New Town Center in the National Register of Historic Places. Should you have questions in this matter, please contact Peter Kurtze at <u>peter.kurtze@maryland.gov</u> or (410) 697-9562.

Sincerely,

Enalith Hogle

Elizabeth Hughes Director-State Historic Preservation Officer

EH/krg Enclosures: NR form, photos, DVD

Maryland Historical Trust • 100 Community Place • Crownsville • Maryland • 21032