

432, New York, New York 10278, (212) 264-4711.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, judicial review of these determinations is available *only* by the filing of a petition for review in the

United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by (60 days from the date on which these determinations is published in the Federal Register). Under Section 306(b)(2) of the Act, these determinations shall not be subject to

later judicial review in civil or criminal proceedings for enforcement.

Jacqueline E. Schafer,  
Regional Administrator.

Name of applicant	Type of source	Approximate location	Type of final action	Date of final action
B. F. Goodrich Company	Expansion of polyvinyl chloride plant	Pedricktown, NJ	PSD applicability	2/9/82
Hess Oil Virgin Islands Corporation	Expansion of petroleum refinery/addition of new fluid catalytic cracking units	St. Croix, USVI	Final PSD permit	2/9/82
Westchester County Industrial Development Agency	New solid waste resource recovery facility	Peekskill, NY	Final PSD permit	2/22/82
The Procter and Gamble Company	New waste wood-fired boiler to be installed at existing plant	Staten Island, NY	Final PSD permit	2/25/82
Alpha Portland Cement Company	Increase in liquid waste fuel consumption at existing cement plant	Cementon, NY	PSD nonapplicability	2/25/82
New York City Department of Environmental Protection	Modifications to design of secondary sewage treatment plant (North River Water Pollution Control Project)	New York City, NY	PSD nonapplicability	2/25/82
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Addition of a heat recovery incinerator at army training base	Fort Dix, NJ	PSD applicability	2/25/82
Eastman Kodak Company	Addition of a new pulverized coal suspension-fired boiler at existing chemical plant	Rochester, NY	PSD applicability	2/25/82
Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority	New sludge incinerator	Aguadilla, PR	PSD nonapplicability	3/4/82
Puerto Rican Cement Company	New coal handling facility	Ponce, PR	PSD nonapplicability	3/5/82
General Electric Company	Addition of a new vent gas fume incinerator at existing chemical plant	Waterford, NY	PSD nonapplicability	3/17/82

[FR Doc. 82-12481 Filed 5-8-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Policy for Use of the Federal Portion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of final policy statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks has adopted a policy statement concerning use of the Federal portion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF). This policy statement provides broad guidance to four Federal agencies in use of the LWCF to achieve natural, cultural, wildlife, and recreation management objectives in accordance with Congressional mandates and statutory authorities.

The policy applies to the National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Bureau of Land Management, in the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service in the Department of Agriculture. The statement was developed by the LWCF Policy Group which is composed of the Directors of these three Interior agencies, Chief of the Forest Service, and a chairman who is designated by the Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks. The LWCF Policy Group (LPG) was originally established in 1974 to review, comment on and coordinate proposals that may affect the Federal portion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 15, 1982.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ric Davidge, Chairman, LWCF Policy

Group, Room 3156, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The public was invited to comment on the proposed policy statement which appeared in the Federal Register March 18, 1982, (Vol. 47, No. 53, pages 11777-8).

There were several comments received via phone expressing support for the policy statement and an interest in the rapid implementation of the policy. Seventy-five written comments were received. Seventy fully supported the policy and its immediate implementation by all of the Federal agencies using the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Five comments expressed concerns that the new policy was too general to be evaluated, eliminated the use of full fee title acquisition, was contrary to the 1980 policy for potential additions to the Federal estate, and that a fair analysis could not be made without analysis of the case studies that are not as yet available.

The new policy is by design general. Its purpose is to encourage the use of cost effective tools to protect the essential resource values in authorized areas. Full fee title acquisition is anticipated in areas where this method of acquisition is required by the land protection plan. Each individual area land protection plan must comply with all environmental protection requirements and it is at this specific point where the application of the policy should be evaluated. This policy is an extension of the 1980 policy for potential

additions to the Federal estate in that it encourages the same alternative protection and acquisition methods but for authorized rather than proposed areas. Review and comment on the subject policy should not have been dependent upon a review of the case studies that were to be made available by the National Park Service after April 12, 1982, as reported in the March 18, 1982, Federal Register. These case studies were undertaken to provide the National Park Service with an initial sample of how land protection plans could be recrafted and were not a test of the policy per se. It is anticipated that these case studies will be available on or about June 22, 1982.

The policy was developed in response to the decreasing availability of Land and Water Conservation Fund monies annually appropriated by Congress, concerns voiced by a number of sources including hearings by the Senate Subcommittee on Public Lands and Reserved Waters on July 9 and 10 of 1981; and reports by the General Accounting Office concerning Federal land acquisition practices. The recently adopted policy generally refines and expands the basic concepts included in the policy statement which appeared in the Federal Register of May 7, 1980 (45 FR 30306). The previous policy statement only addressed studies and recommendations for new areas to be funded under the Land and Water Conservation Fund program. The recent drop in the level of the annual Land and Water Conservation Fund appropriation created a need to apply the various



alternatives to fee title land acquisition to all currently authorized areas in an effort to stretch available LWCF monies. The recently adopted policy supplements the 1980 statement to include existing units of the National Park, Wildlife Refuge, Forest, and recreation or conservation area systems where acquisition of private land is planned using LWCF monies.

The policy provides general guidance and does not replace the more detailed policies and procedures governing the land acquisition and management programs of each agency. However, each agency affected by the Policy is expected to make revisions in its policies and procedures as necessary and appropriate to reflect the direction of the general policy. This direction includes emphasis on responsiveness to Congressional mandates; cost effective alternatives to Federal fee simple purchase of private lands; improved cooperation with landowners, other Federal agencies, and State and local governments, and the private sector; and development of plans considering socio-cultural impacts.

**ADOPTED POLICY:** The following statement has been adopted after consideration of public comments: Policy for Use of the Federal Portion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

The Federal portion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund will be used to acquire lands, waters, and interests therein necessary to achieve the natural, cultural, wildlife, and recreation management objectives of the National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, and Forest Service. The fund will be used in accord with management objectives for each currently authorized area based on agency missions and Congressional mandates. The agencies using the Federal portion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund will, to the extent consistent with statutory authorities:

—Identify what land or interests in land need to be in Federal ownership to achieve management unit purposes consistent with public objectives in the unit.

—Use to the maximum extent practical cost-effective alternatives to direct Federal purchase of private lands and, when acquisition is necessary, acquire or retain only the minimum interests necessary to meet management objections.

—Cooperate with landowners, other Federal agencies, State and local governments, and the private sector to manage land for public use or protect it for resource conservation.

—Formulate, or revise as necessary, plans for land acquisition and resource use or protection to assure the socio-cultural impacts are considered and that the most outstanding areas are adequately managed.

Dated: April 29, 1982.

G. Ray Arnett,  
*Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.*

[FR Doc. 82-12393 Filed 5-6-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-10-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-2121-1]

### Availability of Environmental Impact Statements Filed April 26 Through April 30, 1982 Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1506.9

**RESPONSIBLE AGENCY:** Office of Federal Activities, Ms. Kathi Wilson, (202) 245-3006.

#### Corps of Engineers:

- EIS No. 820248, Draft, COE, GU, Apra Harbor/Commercial Port Navigation Improvement, Due: June 21, 1982.
- EIS No. 820237, Draft, COE, HI, Alenaio Stream and Watershed Flood Control, Hawaii County, Due: June 21, 1982.
- EIS No. 820244, Final, COE, LA, Lafourche Parish Sand Dredging Operations, Permits, Due: June 7, 1982.
- EIS No. 820252, Final, COE, MI, Grand Marais Harbor Shoreline Erosion Control, Alger County, Due: June 7, 1982.
- EIS No. 820235, Final, COE, OR, North Bay Marine Industrial Park Development, Permit, Coos County, Due: June 7, 1982.
- EIS No. 820249, FSuppl, COE, SEV, AL, MS, Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway Navigation Project, Due: June 14, 1982.

#### Department of Energy:

- EIS No. 820255, Draft, BPA, SEV, PRO, 1982 BPA Wholesale Power Rate Increase, Due: June 25, 1982.
- EIS No. 820250, FSuppl, BPA, WA, Crow Butte Slough Crossing/Ashe Slatt Transmission Line, Benton Co., Due: June 7, 1982.

#### Department of Interior:

- EIS No. 820239, Draft, BLM, CA, San Geronio Pass Wind Energy Site-Specific Project, Due: June 6, 1982.
- EIS No. 820236, Draft, BLM, NM, West Socorro Rangeland Management Program, Due: July 9, 1982.
- EIS No. 820246, Draft, BLM, OR, Brothers Area Grazing Management Program, Due: June 30, 1982.

#### Department of Transportation:

- EIS No. 820247, Draft, FHW, IN, Western-Grand Avenue Improvements, Fayette County, Due: June 29, 1982.
- EIS No. 820254, Draft, FHW, MN, TH-60 Improvement, St. James to Worthington, Due: June 30, 1982.
- EIS No. 820253, Draft, FHW, TN, I-40 Interchange Construction at Hammer Road, Knox County, Due: June 21, 1982.

#### Environmental Protection Agency:

- EIS No. 820245, Final, EPA, SEV, REG, Lead-Acid Battery Manufacture, Standards, Due: June 7, 1982.
- EIS No. 820242, Final, EPA, NM, Twinning Wastewater Treatment Facilities, Grant, Taos County, Due: June 7, 1982.
- Department of Housing and Urban Development:  
EIS No. 820241, Final, HUD, GA, Indian Creek Development, Mortgage Insurance, Dougherty County, Due: June 7, 1982.
- Nuclear Regulatory Commission:  
EIS No. 820251, Final, NRC, FL, St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 2, License, St. Lucie County, Due: June 7, 1982.
- Department of Agriculture:  
EIS No. 820240, Draft, AFS, MT, Beaverhead National Forest Land Management Plan, Due: June 21, 1982.
- EIS No. 820238, DSuppl, AFS, OR, Umpqua National Forest Land Management Plan, Due: June 21, 1982.
- EIS No. 820243, Final, SCS, MS, Upper Yocona River Watershed Flood Control Project, Due: June 7, 1982.

#### Amended Notices:

- EIS No. 820224, Draft, AFS, AK, Situk River Wild and Scenic Designation Study. \*Published FR 4-30-82 with incorrect due date, Due: \*July 28, 1982.
- EIS No. 820229, Draft, DLA, CA, Terminal Island Complex, Fuel Pier Relocation, Los Angeles County. \*Published FR 4-30-82 with incorrect due date, Due: \*July 1, 1982.
- EIS No. 790363, Draft, NOAA, MXG, East and West Flower Gardens Marine Sanctuary. \*Published FR 4-13-79—Officially Withdrawn, Due:
- EIS No. 820222, FSuppl, COE, IA, Rettendorf Local Flood Protection Plan, Mississippi River, Scott Co. \*Published FR 4-30-82—Review period reestablished due to noncompletion of distribution, Due: June 7, 1982.

Dated: May 4, 1982.

Paul C. Cahill,

*Director, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 82-12552 Filed 5-6-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-2121-1]

### Cancellation of Environmental Impact Statement; East Texas Synthetics Project and Troup Lignite Mine

**AGENCY:** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of the EIS on the East Texas Synthetics Project and Troup Lignite Mine.

**SUMMARY:** Exxon Company, USA, has decided it will not proceed at this time with the East Texas Synthetics Project and Troup Lignite Mine near Troup, Texas. In light of this development, EPA has terminated the Environmental Impact Statement that was under preparation for the proposed issuance of