OMB No. 10024-0018

United States Department of Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

| | PECEMED 2280 | |
|------|---|--|
| | AUG 2 5 2003 | |
| NAT. | REGISTER OF MIST OF PLACES NATIONAL FARK SERVICE | |

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in *How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form* (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900A). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property **Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group** historic name other names/site number N/A 2. Location street & number N/A not for publication Х city or town vicinity WI state Wisconsin code county Dane code zip code

3. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, I hereby certify that this \underline{X} nomination request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property \underline{X} meets _ does not meet the National Register criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant _ nationally statewide X locally. (See continuation sheet for additional comments.)

Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Officer-Wisconsin

Mugust 14,2003 Date

State or Federal agency and bureau

In my opinion, the property _ meets _ does not meet the National Register criteria. (_ See continuation sheet for additional comments.)

Signature of commenting official/Title

Date

State or Federal agency and bureau

102

| Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group | | Dane | Wisconsin |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Name of Property | | County and State | |
| 4. National Park Servi | ce Certification | 11 | |
| hereby certify that the property is: | A D | -//{/ | |
| tentered in the National Register. | 7 10 | alX | 10/9/0 |
| See continuation sheet. | APE | | |
| determined eligible for the National Register. | | | t |
| See continuation sheet. | | | |
| determined not eligible for the | | | |
| National Register. | | | |
| See continuation sheet. removed from the National | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Register. | • | | |
| other, (explain:) | | | |
| <u> </u> | Signature of the I | / aanaa | Date of Action |
| | Signature of the l | Reeper | Date of Action |
| 5. Classification | | | |
| Ownership of Property | Category of Property | Number of Resources with | |
| (check as many boxes as | (Check only one box) | (Do not include previously l | isted resources |
| as apply) | | in the count) | |
| private | building(s) | contributing nonc | ontributing |
| X public-local | district | • | uildings |
| public-State | structure | | ites |
| public-Federal | X site | S | tructures |
| | object | 0 | bjects |
| | | 1 0 tota | 1 |
| | | | |
| Name of related multiple pr | operty listing: | Number of contributing re | sources |
| (Enter "N/A" if property not p | part of a multiple property | is previously listed in the N | ational Register |
| listing. | | | |
| The Late Woodland Stage in | Archaeological Region 8 | 0 | |
| | | | |
| 6. Function or Use | | | |
| Historic Functions | | Current Functions | |
| (Enter categories from instru | uctions) | (Enter categories from instructions |) |
| RELIGION:Ceremonial site | | RECREATION AND CULTURE: | |
| FUNERARY: Cemetery | ,,,,,,,,, | Recklarition and collidike. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 7. Description | | | |
| | | Matariala | |
| Architectural Classificatio | | Materials (Enter optenories from instructions | •) |
| (Enter categories from instru | | (Enter categories from instructions Foundation N/A | 9) |
| N/A | | Walls N/A | |
| | | N/A N/A | |
| | | roof N/A | |
| | | | |
| | | other N/A | |

.

Narrative Description (Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group

Name of Property

Dane

County and State

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for the National Register listing.)

- A Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- <u>B</u> Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- \underline{X} D Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in all the boxes that apply.)

Property is:

- ____ A owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.
- ____B removed from its original location.
- ___ C a birthplace or grave.
- $\underline{X} D$ a cemetery.
- ____F a commemorative property.
- ___ G less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.

Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions)

ARCHEOLOGY: Prehistory RELIGION

Period of Significance

Late Woodland 500 A.D. to 1200 A.D.

Significant Dates

N/A

Significant Person (Complete if Criterion B is marked)

.

N/A

Cultural Affiliation

Late Woodland

Architect/Builder

N/A

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

Wisconsin

| Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group | Dane | Wisconsin |
|---|---|-----------|
| ame of Property | County and State | |
| . Major Bibliographic References | | |
| Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this f | orm on one or more continuation she | ets.) |
| Previous Documentation on File (National Park Service): preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National Register designated a National Historic landmark recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey # recorded by Historic American Engineering Record # | Primary location of additi X State Historic Preservatio Other State Agency Federal Agency Local government University Other Name of repository | n Office |
| 0. Geographical Data | | · · · · · |
| Acreage of Property | | |
| UTM References (Place additional UTM references on a continua | tion sheet.) | |
| | 3 | |
| Zone Easting Northing | | Northing |

Zone Easting Northing Zone Easting See Continuation Sheet

Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property on a continuation sheet)

Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected on a continuation sheet)

2

| 11. Form Prepared By | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|----|-----------|----------------|--|
| name/title | Robert A. Birmingham | | | | | |
| organization | Wisconsin Historical Society | | | date | 12/15/02 | |
| street & number | 816 State Street | | | telephone | (608) 264-6495 | |
| city or town | Madison | state | WI | zip code | 53706 | |

Northing

Wisconsin Word Processing Format (Approved 1/92)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section <u>10</u> Page <u>1</u>

Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group Dane County, Wisconsin

Boundary description

Boundary Justification This boundary economically encloses the preserved mounds and their environmental context.

| Tompkins-Brinkler Mound Group | Dane | Wisconsin |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Name of Property | County and State | |

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

Continuation Sheets

MapsA USGS map (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.A sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources.

Photographs Representative black and white photographs of the property.

Additional Items (Check with the SHPO or FPO for any additional items)

| Property Owner | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----|-----------|----------------|---|
| Complete this item | at the request of SHPO or FPC |) .) | | | | |
| name/title | City of Monona | | | | | |
| organization | | | | date | 12/13/02 | _ |
| street&number | 5211 Schluter Rd. | | | telephone | (608) 222-2525 | _ |
| city or town | Monona | state | WI | zip code | 53716 | _ |

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Projects, (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

Wisconsin Word Processing Format (Approved 1/92)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

| | | | | Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group | |
|---------|-----|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Section | _7_ | Page | 1 | Dane County, Wisconsin | |

. The mounds were once part of a larger group that extended along

the drumlin to the southwest. The southwest part of the group was called the Nichols group and was separated from Tompkins –Brindler for the group contained at least 14 linear mounds and one conical mound, although some mounds had already been destroyed

The Nichols group and some mounds from the Tompkins-Brindler group were subsequently destroyed

Tompkins-Brindler mounds disturbed a burial, probably from a mound remnant, and the remains later reburied with Native American ceremony.

As mapped in 1990 by the Office of the State Archaeologist, the two surviving mounds are 200 ft. and 210 ft. long, although the end of the latter has been truncated by cultivation. Both are about 10 ft. wide and about 3 ft. high. The longest mound in the original group was 225 ft. long.

To the south of the mounds, the drumlin has been heavily disturbed.

Although

there remains some possibility that features related to the original group exist, this would have to be determined with extensive archaeological research in the future. Both mounds have been slightly damaged by looters at some distant time but are otherwise in good condition.

Wisconsin Word Processing Format (Approved 1/92)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>1</u>

Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group Dane County, Wisconsin

Significance Statement

The Tompkins-Brindler Mound group is a remnant characteristic of a large class of mound groups consisting of linear and conical mounds for which there is little information. Its location and arrangement adds to the growing body of information regarding the evolution and meaning of one the most curious phenomena in ancient North America, the effigy mounds. Moreover, the mounds are among the few surviving mounds for the most curious phenomena in America, the effigy mounds is a concerned to the most of the surviving mounds for the mounds are among the few surviving mounds for the mounds for the mounds are also been to the most curious phenomena in America, the effigy mounds. Moreover, the mounds are among the few surviving mounds for the mounds are among the few surviving mounds for the mounds are also been to the mounds. Moreover, the mounds are also been to the mounds.

Context

As outlined in *Indian Mounds of Wisconsin* (Birmingham and Eisenberg 2000), mound building in southern Wisconsin and the Four Lakes region spanned several eras or stages commencing about 800 B.C. and ending circa 1200 A.D. During the Early and Middle Woodland Stages, large conical burial mounds a state of the end of the early and Middle Woodland Stages, large conical burial remaining mound commence of the end of the end of the end of the mounds of this period mark underground burial crypts apparently used for generations before being closed up and marked with an earthen mound. Groups of such mounds are believed to identify the territories of mobile bands of people who spent much of the year gathering plants, fishing, and hunting. Data from early excavations of some of the mounds suggest that only important or high status people, presumably the leaders and their families, were accorded mound burials.

As further detailed in the multi-property documentation form *The Late Woodland Stage in Archaeological Region 8*, mound building reached its zenith during the Late Woodland stage between 500 A.D. and 1200 A.D culminating in the spectacular earth-sculptures known as effigy mounds. This was a time of great social, ideological, and economic change. The bow and arrow was used for the first time, populations grew and expanded, new ceramic forms with intricate designs came into use, and after about 800 A.D., corn was introduced into the diet. After about 1000 A.D., a new civilization, the Mississippians from southern Illinois, made its appearance, building temple mounds in the short lived town of Aztalan among other sites. The effigy mound people themselves lived in small seasonal villages, sometimes in small semi-subterranean pit-houses.

Against this backdrop, Late Woodland people constructed over 1000 effigy mound groups in southern Wisconsin containing an estimated 15,000-20,000 individual mounds. The groups contain low earthen mounds in the shapes of huge birds and animals, as well as conical and linear forms. Wisconsin is the heartland of the effigy mound phenomena but zoomorphic mounds are also found in adjacent areas of

Wisconsin Word Processing Format (Approved 1/92)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

| | rompkins-Brindler Mound Group |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Section <u>8</u> Page <u>2</u> | Dane County, Wisconsin |
| | |

Minnesota, Iowa and Illinois. The various zoomorphic forms correspond well to birds, animals, and spirit beings that are important in the belief systems of Native Americans in the Midwest. One view is that they functioned as burial places and ceremonial centers that linked together groups of people into a larger social identity. The effigy mound ceremonials seemed to have involved symbolic recreations of the cosmos represented by the major forces of nature – sky, earth, and water. Some scholars have argued that this broader social identity gave rise to some of the modern Native American nations (Birmingham and Eisenberg 2000).

Townstring Drindlan Married Co

A part of the Late Woodland mound building florescence are groups of mounds that exclusively contain conical mounds, somewhat smaller than Early and Middle Woodland mounds, and long, usually straight embankments known as linear mounds. Largely undated and generally unstudied as a class, these groups are nevertheless numerous, not only on the Wisconsin landscape but in adjacent areas as well, extending out to the Great Plains. Within the effigy mound region, linear mounds also are a part of many groups with zoomorphic effigy mounds with a few radiocarbon assays dating them to the 10th century, but the initial appearance of linear forms (as well as effigy mounds) is unknown. Significantly, however, there is a single radiocarbon date (770 A.D.) from a linear mound at the Rehbein Site that also produced pottery that predates classic effigy mound wares (Mead 1979).



Since linear mounds of various sizes are also frequently found in the zoomorphic effigy mound groups, they are believed to be of symbolic importance in the cosmology of the Effigy Mound builders. Winnebago Nation of Nebraska historian David Smith (1999) suggests that they are representations of snakes. Indeed, many types of linear mounds are tapered, looking very much like straightened snakes. Snakes figure prominently in versions of Winnebago-Ho-Chunk creation stories where they staked the corners of the world to keep it from spinning out of control. Significantly, the Snake Clan is one of the lower division clans in the Winnebago/Ho-Chunk social structure. In the linear and conical groups, Robert Hall (1993:44) sees linear mounds as representations of the long tail of the water spirit, an important spirit being in the belief systems of many different Native American groups. In both cases, the symbolism is viewed as representing the lower world (earth-water in Native American cosmology. Curiously, however, many of the linear mounds in linear-conical mound groups occupy the top of landscape (along with conicals), as is the case in the Tomkins-Brindler Group. This is a place that is usually occupied by sky oriented birds in classic zoomorphic effigy groups.

Wisconsin Word Processing Format (Approved 1/92)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

| | | Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group |
|------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Section <u>8</u> | Page 3 | Dane County, Wisconsin |

There has been some suggestion that effigy mounds in general and linear mounds in specific are astronomical markers for celestial events such as the solstices and equinoxes. The use of astronomical references, in particular the tracking of the movements of the sun, moon, stars, and planets, is well documented in the ritual life of ancient Native American societies. Thus far, however, the evidence for Wisconsin mounds is equivocal (Birmingham and Eisenberg 2000).

 $T_{1} = 1^{1} + D^{1} + 1^{1} + 1^{1} + 1^{1}$

On the basis of current evidence, two hypotheses can be presented accounting for mound groups like Tompkins-Brindler. One is that the mound groups consisting exclusively of linear and conical forms are transitional between the earlier conical mound groups dated to between 800 BC and 400 A.D. and the much more naturalistic classic effigy mound groups dated to between 800 and 1100 (Stevenson et al 1997), but encoding the same concepts. Robert Hall (1993:44) proposes that conical mounds represent the upper world and linear mounds, the lower world of earth and water.

A second hypothesis is that these groups are contemporaneous with other effigy mounds and simply represent a regional variation of mound forms. Recent studies have in fact identified important regional differences (Birmingham and Eisenberg 2000). Birds are far more common in the western part of the state, as are forms known as bears. Long tailed animal forms, thought to be representations of water related spirit beings are most common in the eastern part of the state. According to present information, linear mound groups appear to be especially common in south central Wisconsin, but this needs verification.

The questions concerning the chronology and meaning of the linear mounds can be addressed by further non-intrusive research. Regional analysis of the types of mound groups and analysis of specific mound landscapes will surely lead to productive insights. For example, in support of the first hypothesis, Robert Boszhardt (personal communication) of the Mississippi Valley Archaeology Center has detected a difference between effigy mounds along the Mississippi River and those along drainage in upland areas away from the river. In the latter case, the groups contain only zoomorphic forms. He suggests that the inland mound groups represent population expansion of effigy mound people and therefore would be a little later.

Wisconsin Word Processing Format (Approved 1/92)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section <u>8</u> Page <u>4</u>

Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group Dane County, Wisconsin

This seriation implies changes in Late Woodland mound building through time and this is supported by other evidence. There are examples of mound groups that are primarily linear and conical mounds on prominent landscapes that include a zoomorphic effigy, usually off to the side or at an end as though it were added in on-going ceremonial activity (McLachlan 1914). One prominent example is on a very high elevation the superscript of the superscript of the second second

Here, a long line of conical and linear mounds is terminated by a single animal energy mound (WHS Archaeological Site Inventory).

and lower worlds) and even the symbolic unification of the two parts or moieties of the society of mound builders, concepts that seem to be elaborated with construction of the classic effigy groups (Birmingham and Eisenberg 2000).

Finally, careful intra-site mapping and analysis of remaining mounds represented by the Tompkins-Brindler could help determine if such groups or individual mounds have celestial or astronomical orientations, or whether, as seems to be the case here, the mounds simply follow the natural landscapes upon which they were built.

Such studies are limited because so many mounds and mound groups have been destroyed, many times with little or no documentation. But this also makes surviving mounds on original landscapes with good documentation all the more important.

The importance of Wisconsin's ancient earthworks, of course, transcends archaeological and historical considerations. To most Native Americans, the earthworks are sacred burial places of ancestors and are honored and respected as such. Indeed, such works are now protected by special state laws and regulations, The Tompkins-Brindler mounds were officially catalogued as a burial site.

Historic Preservation Week 2002, the commission arranged for a well attended ceremony dedicating a historic marker at the group. Participants included city and state officials as well as representatives from several Native American nations.

Wisconsin Word Processing Format (Approved 1/92)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section 9 Page 1

Tompkins-Brindler Mound Group Dane County, Wisconsin

Birmingham, Robert A. and Leslie Eisenberg

2000 Indian Mounds of Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison.

Hall, Robert

1993 Red Banks, Oneota, and the Winnebago; Views from a Distant Rock. *The Wisconsin* Archeologist 74(1-4): 10-79.

McLachlan, W.G.

1914 The Mounds of the Lake Waubesa Region. The Wisconsin Archeologist 12 (4):107-166.

Mead, Barbara

1979 The Rehbein I Site (47-Ri-81): A Multi-component Site in Southwestern Wisconsin . *The Wisconsin Archeologist* 60 (2):91–182.

Salkin, Philip

1983 A Records and Literature Search in the Prehistoric Cultural Resources of Dane County, Wisconsin. Archaeological Consulting and Services Report 111, Verona, Wisconsin.

Smith, David Lee

1999 History of the Winnebago and Relationship to the Mounds. Speech delivered at Effigy Mounds National Monument, 14 August 1999.

Stevenson, Katherine, Robert F. Boszhardt, Charles R. Moffat, Philip H. Salkin, Thomas C. Plegar James L. Theler, and Constance M. Arzigian

1997 The Woodland Tradition. In Wisconsin Archaeology edited by Robert A. Birmingham, Carol I. Mason, and James Stoltman, pp. 141-201. Special Issue of the *Wisconsin Archaeologist* 78 (1-2).