# National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in *Guidelines* for Completing National Register Forms (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials, and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900a). Type all entries.

I. Name of Property		
Jotovia nome Dozilin		
	gton Covered Bridge	
ther names/site number 0	54-152-30016	
. Location		
	Roads 500N and 500E or	ver Sugar Creek NA not for publication
ity, town Darlingto		
		tgomery code 054 zlp code 4794
Classification		
wnership of Property	Category of Property	Number of Resources within Property
private	buiiding(s)	Contributing Noncontributing
🛛 public-local	district	<u> </u>
public-State	. 🔲 elte	
public-Federal	structure	
	•	1 C Totai
ame of related multiple proper	rtv listina:	Number of contributing resources previously
N/A		listed in the National Register0
. State/Federal Agency C		
		o documentation standards for registering properties in the
In my opinion, the property	🔀 meets 🗔 does not me <u>et the N</u> atjø	d professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. And Register criteria. See continuation sheet.
In my opinion, the property	Places and meets the procedural and X meets does not me <u>et the National Andrease (</u>	d professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20
In my opinion, the property	I meets does not me <u>et the National Andrease</u>	d professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20 Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen	Tof National Resources	d professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20 Date
In my opinion, the property	Tof National Resources	d professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20 Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and bur	X meets does not men the National Contract the National Resources	d professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20 Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and bur	X meets does not meet the National Contract of National Resources	d professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 7-23-80 Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and but In my opinion, the property	X meets does not meet the National Contraction of the Nation of the National Resources reau	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 7 23 - 90 Date nal Register criteria. See continuation sheet.
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and bui In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or othe State or Federal agency and bui	X meets does not meet the National Contract of National Resources reau meets does not meet the National Contract of the N	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20 Date nal Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and but In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or othe State or Federal agency and but National Park Service C	X meets does not meet the National Contract of National Resources reau meets does not meet the National Contract of the N	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20 Date nal Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and bui In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or othe State or Federal agency and bui National Park Service Co hereby, certify that this prope	X meets does not meet the National Contract Cont	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Net Hay 7-23-80 Date Date Date Date Date Date Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and bui In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or othe State or Federal agency and bui National Park Service C hereby, certify that this prope entered in the National Regi	X meets does not meet the National Contract Cont	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Net Hay 7-23-80 Date Date Date Date Date Date Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and bui In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or othe State or Federal agency and bui National Park Service Co hereby, certify that this prope	X meets does not meet the National Contract Cont	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. 23-20 Date nal Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Departmen State or Federal agency and bui In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or othe State or Federal agency and bui National Park Service C hereby, certify that this prope entered in the National Regi	X meets does not meet the National Contract of National Resources reau meets does not meet the National er official reau ertification rty is:	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Date Date Date Date Date Date Date
In my opinion, the property Signature of certifying official Indiana Department State or Federal agency and but In my opinion, the property Signature of commenting or othe State or Federal agency and but <b>5. National Park Service Co</b> hereby, certify that this prope entered in the National Regional See continuation sheet.	X meets does not meet the National does not meet	a professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. Nel Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Net Hay 7-23-80 Date Date Date Date Date Date Date

removed from the National Register.

determined not eligible for the

National Register.





N

Ł,

OCT 2 3.1990

NATIONAL

REGISTER

6. Function or Use			
Historic Functions (enter categories from instructions)	Current Functions (enter categories from instructions)		
TRANSPORTATION; Road-related	TRANSPORTATION: Pedestrian-related		
	OTHER: Scenic attraction		
7. Description			
Architectural Classification (enter categories from instructions)	Materials (enter categories from instructions)		
	foundation STONE: limestone		
OTHER: Howe Truss	walls WOOD: weatherboard		
OTHER: Howe Truss			
OTHER: Howe Truss	walls WOOD: weatherboard		

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

. 1

The Darlington Covered Bridge, 1868, is located one (1) mile west of Darlington, Indiana. It spans Sugar Creek in a north/south direction. The bridge sits in a wooded area and is approached from either end by a very scenic curved road.

The bridge covers a span of approximately 166 feet. The outside width of the bridge is 22 feet. The inside road way is 17.3 feet in width. The height from the floor (road way) to the square is 12.6 feet. The side walls are covered with 1" x 12" poplar with a 4" batten strip and painted white. The roof is black asphalt shingles over wood shingles.

The builder of the bridge was Richard Epperson, a prominent contractor in Montgomery County in the 1860's. He was the superintendent of construction for Joseph Kress, the bridge contractor.

The bridge spans Sugar Creek on a north/south axis from an abutment of rough cut native limestone on each bank. The truss design is a Howe Truss (William Howe from Massachusetts) which is an improvement over the Long Truss (Col. Stephen H. Long) in that it used vertical tension rods of iron.

The top chord is constructed of 5" x 10" and the bottom chord is built of 5" x 11". They consist of four (4) timbers bolted together with blocks forming a space of approximately 1" between the timbers. The compression timbers consist of a pair of 6" x 9" slanting one way and a 6" x 6" slanting the opposite, thus forming the cross of "X" in the truss. Two vertical  $1\frac{1}{2}$ " diameter iron rods complete the tension between the top and bottom chords. These are located between panels. All timbers are yellow poplar.

The floor of the bridge is wooden blocks  $2\frac{1}{4}$ " thick by 5 3/4" x  $3\frac{1}{2}$ " laid on edge grain. This floor may have been added during the 1930s as a WPA project. (Many other covered bridges in Indiana had similar floors installed as WPA projects). These are laid on top of  $2\frac{1}{2}$ " x 8" oak planks which rest on 4" x 12" oak floor joist. The chords, both top and bottom, are stablized by 6" x 6" poplar timbers in the shape of an "X".

8. Statement of Significance			2	
Certifying official has considered the	significance of thi	is property in X state		
Applicable National Register Criteria	XA B		,	
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)	□A □B		E F G	
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions) ENGINEERING TRANSPORTATION		95) 	Period of Significance 1868 - 1940	Significant Dates 1868
			Cultural Affiliation	
Significant Person N/A			Architect/Builder Smith Bridge Com Epperson, Richar	npany/Kress, Joseph d

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

The Darlington Covered Bridge is significant under Criteria A and C. Under Criterion C, this bridge has statewide significance. It is one of twenty-three remaining Howe Truss covered spans in the state, and it is the fourth oldest example in Indiana. Only two covered bridges now stand in Montgomery County; the Deers Mill Bridge on SR 234 is an example of the more common Burr Arch Truss. Locally, the Darlington Covered Bridge has importance under Criterion A, since it was the result of a community effort to provide a vital transportation link for Darlington.

The Howe Truss, as represented by the Darlington Bridge, was a dramatic improvement over the Long Truss. William Howe borrowed the concept of diagonal members from the Long and Town Trusses. He improved this design by introducing vertical iron rods which both strengthened and lightened the truss. The Howe Truss, patented in 1840, has often been cited as a significant step towards all metal bridge design. The Darlington Bridge is a type 3 Howe Truss, patented in August of 1846. (There are several recognized variations of the Howe Truss). The more conservative all wood Burr Truss (patented in 1817) was much more commonly used on Indiana bridges. This is probably because wood was inexpensive and easily available throughout Indiana.

According to information maintained by the Indiana Covered Bridge Society, the truss members for the Darlington Bridge were produced by the Smith Bridge Company of Toledo, Ohio. Robert W. Smith (1833-1898) first patented a Howe Truss variant in 1867, although his firm sometimes used the Howe Truss on projects. The Smith Bridge Company was established in Toledo in 1867. The company specialized in producing trusses which were cut, assembled, dismantled, and then shipped from Toledo to the site. The firm or its agents could then build the structure, or as the case was here, a local carpenter/builder could assemble the trusses and add a roof and sheathing. About 21 bridges were built in Indiana by Smith, as few as 12 may be extant today.

X See continuation sheet

#### 9. Major Bibliographical References

Allen, Robert Sanders. <u>Covered Bridges of the Middle West</u> . Brattleboro, VT: S. Green Press, 1970, p. 126.				
Beckwith, H.W. <u>History of Montgomery</u> 1981	y County, Indiana.			
Condit, Carl W. <u>American Building</u> . Press, 1968.	Chicago: University of Chicago			
Custer, Mrs. Frank. "Covered Bridge <u>News</u> , April 11, 1942.	Past to Present," Lafayette			
Gould, George E. <u>Indiana Covered Bri</u> Indianapolis: Indiana Covered Brid	idges Thru the Years. dge Society, Inc., 1977.			
Previous documentation on file (NPS):	X See continuation sheet			
<ul> <li>preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested</li> <li>previously listed in the National Register</li> <li>previously determined eligible by the National Register</li> <li>designated a National Historic Landmark</li> <li>recorded by Historic American Buildings</li> <li>Survey #</li> <li>recorded by Historic American Engineering</li> <li>Record #</li> </ul>	Other State agency Federal agency Local government University Other Specify repository: Indiana Historic Sites and			
	Structures Inventory			
10. Geographical Data Acreage of property Less than one acre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
UTM References         A       1, 6       5       1, 7       5, 9, 0       4, 4       3, 9       5, 5, 0         Zone       Easting       Northing         C	B   B   B     Zone   Easting     D   B			
	See continuation sheet			
Verbal Boundary Description An area of Franklin Township, Montgomery County, Indiana, centered on the above UTM Point, including the right of way of the Darlington Covered Bridge, its super structure, abutments, piers, and wingwalls. Extending from the furthest points of the wingwalls of the bridge, include 20' of the approaches on the north and south banks of Sugar Creek.				
Boundary Justification				
The boundary includes the Howe Truss abutments, piers, and wingwalls.	structure and its historic stone			
	See continuation sheet			
11. Form Prepared By				
name/title Nancy Carol Crull	ation Ind. October 1000			
organization <u>Darlington</u> Community Associ street & number <u>Box 366</u>	ation, Inc <sub>date</sub> October 1989 telephone <u>317/794-4818</u>			
city or town				

÷

## National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number \_\_\_\_7 Page \_\_\_\_1 Darlington Covered Bridge

The roof is formed with 2" x 6" rafters covered with 1" wide poplar sheeting. Old wooden shingles can be seen through the cracks. Modern black shingles have been nailed over the wood shingles. At each end of the bridge there is a 1' overhang gable with the sides of the bridge opening built at a slant angle. There is a horizontal open space between the roof and walls for ventilation. In the 1970s, two (2) square windows were added to each side of the structure.

Historically, the bridge had only the two limestone abutments - one at each bank of the creek. In later years (date unknown), a concrete pier was added in the center of the structure. The original wooden shingle roof was also covered more than once with modern shingles in order to better preserve the structure.

The Darlington Covered Bridge was closed to vehicular traffic in 1974. It is accessible to pedestrians and has been maintained for its historical and scenic importance. The bridge and its massive trusses have remained basically unaltered over the past 122 years.

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number \_\_\_\_\_ Page \_\_\_\_1

The bridge was built by Joseph Kress, contractor, and Richard Epperson, the construction superintendent. Kress was a resident of Montgomery County. He was primarily a masonry contractor. While Kress often bid on abutments and piers, this is the only bridge he is known to have built.

In 1867, forty-six community-spirited men began a fund raising campaign to raise the necessary funds to begin the construction. They were successful in collecting the sum of \$1,585.00 to start the project. The Montgomery County Commissioners voted to pay the balance in installments totaling \$9,415.40 to Joseph Kress.

The site was chosen because of its solid slate foundation in Sugar Creek. This site has been used for many years as the ideal spot for fording the creek. It is believed to have been formed many eons ago being part of the Mississippean Sea which later became the drainage basin of the Mississippi River of which Sugar Creek is now a part.

The covered bridge proved to be a real asset for economic development of this area. It was the connecting link for other rural areas to the heart of this community. According to local history, there were four mills located at this crossing; a flour mill, sawmill, flax seed mill and a carding mill. The last mill ceased operation in 1942 only its foundation still remains. The bridge has stood since 1868 over Sugar Creek and was the main northwest entrance to the town until 1974 when a new concrete bridge was built. The Darlington Covered Bridge has withstood 122 years of constant use, floods, and weather. The community of Darlington is seeking financial assistance to maintain this landmark structure, so that it may be passed on to future generations.

## National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number \_\_\_\_9 Page \_\_1 Darlington Covered Bridge

Bibliography (continued)

- Gronert, Ted Sugar Creek Saga. Crawfordsville, IN: Wabash College, 1958, pp. 13, 61, 122, 276, and 339.
- History of Montgomery County, Indiana. Indianapolis: A. W. Bowen & Co., 1913.
- Indiana Covered Bridge Society. Current files on Indiana Covered Bridges.
- Indiana Historic Sites and Structures Inventory. <u>Montgomery</u> <u>County Interim Report</u>. Indianapolis: Historic Landmarks Foundation of Indiana, May 1986.
- Montgomery County Commissioners Records, 1865-66. Montgomery County Courthouse, Crawfordsville. (Several versions concerning the bridge have been published by Robert Stwalley and Tessie Wisehart Stwalley, great graddaughter of the first donor to the Bridge Building Fund in 1867. One version was published in the <u>Crawfordsville Journal-Review</u> March 1, 1978, p. 12.)

Montgomery Magazine. November 1977, P. 17,

Sechrist, John. Phone interview with Paul Diebold. 28 March 1990.