

For NPS use only

## National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

date entered

3 1986

See instructions in How to Complete National Register Forms
Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1. Nam	1e				
historic N/A					
and/or common	Revonah Manor Hi	storic Distr	rict		
2. Loca	ation				
street & number	See continuation	n sheet			N/Anot for publication
city, town	tamford	N/A vi	cinity of		
state Connec	ticut	code 09	county	Fairfield	code 001
3. Clas	sification				
Category  X district building(s) structure site object	Ownership public private both Public Acquisition in processbeing considered	Accessib	upied n progress le estricted	Present Use agriculture commercial educational entertainment government industrial military	museum park wprivate residence religious scientific transportation other:
4. Own	er of Prop	erty	,		
name <sup>M</sup>	ultiple Ownership	?			
street & number	see continu	lation sheet			
city, town		vi	cinity of	state	
5. Loca	ation of Le	gal Des	criptic	on	
courthouse, regi	stry of deeds, etc.	Old Town	Hall		
street & number		175 Atlant	ic St.		
city, town	Stam	ford		state	Connecticut
	resentatio	n in Exis	sting \$		
State Reg	ister of Historic	c Places	has this proj	perty been determined el	ligible?yesno
1986 date			<del></del>		
depository for su			ical Commi		
		ontinuation s		state	
State Reg 1986 date	rister of Historic	c Places	has this prop	perty been determined el	te county loca

#### 7. Description

	. <b>b.</b>	Maria Salah Landa Salah	
Condition		Check one	Check one
excellent	deteriorated	unaltered	🗴 original site
x good	ruins	x altered	moved date
fair	unexposed		
	•		

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

Located approximately one mile north of the old Town Hall along the eastern side of Bedford Street (an extension of Atlantic Avenue), the Revonah Manor Historic District comprises a 3-block, 25-acre area to the northeast of the intersections of Bedford and Fifth Streets. Bounded to the south by Fifth Street, to the west by Bedford Street (see Map #1), it includes all of Urban and Chester Streets, as well as Revonah Street north of Fifth Street. This slightly sloping area was, except for the northwestern side, laid out in 1909 in an orthogonal grid pattern. In that location Urban Street's curvature accomodates a large rock outcropping. Of the 50 residences in the district, all but 11, which were built after 1935, contribute to the district's significance as good examples of the Colonial Revival, Tudor Revival, Arts and Crafts, and Queen Anne styles (photographs 6-17). For the most part the non-contributing dwellings are Ranch-style or recent vintage Colonial Revival houses (photograph 18). There is a small park on the southwestern corner of Chester Street, and there are no other vacant lots (photograph 17).

Since most of the district was developed and built by Henneberger and Jevne, using Lawrence Barnard as their architect, there is a great uniformity of scale and style in this residential neighborhood. Houses are set back 50 feet along wide tree-lined streets. Four styles were used almost exclusively: Colonial Revival, Tudor Revival, Arts and Crafts, and Queen Anne. Variety in the district was achieved in a de-facto manner, created by the different tastes of the original purchasers of the lots. Urban Street, the northernmost in the district, is, with the exception of one Tudor Revival house, composed primarily of Colonial Revival and Arts-and-Crafts-style houses (photographs 6-13). Chester Street houses are predominantly Tudor Revival and Colonial Revival in appearance (photographs 11-13) while Revonah Avenue, to the east, is composed of Arts and Crafts and Colonial Revival houses (photograph14-16). Fifth Street, the most southerly in the district, continues the general distribution of the district, being an amalgam of Queen Anne, Tudor Revival, and Colonial Revival homes. Bedford Street contains only two buildings, one each of the Arts and Crafts and Queen Anne styles. As indicated in the inventory below, noncontributing buildings are scattered throughout the district.

The Arts and Crafts buildings in the district are among the most prominent and will be discussed first. The most distinctive are to be found on Urban Street and Revonah Avenue. 70 Urban Street (c.1910), built for a member of the Henneberger family, the developers, is set on a slight rise (photograph 10) and is very severe in its detail. Its

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number 2

For NPS use only
received
date entered

Page

Inclusive street numbers below from Stamford Assessor's Records

Bedford Street: 1899, 2043

Chester Street: 14, 25, 26, 30, 37, 38, 41, 46, 49, 55, 62, 67, 73,, 78

Fifth Street: 56, 60, 64, 70, 86, 88, 94, 100, 102, 128,

Revonah Avenue: 57, 58, 81, 91, 112, 124, 130

Urban Street: 15, 24, 26, 27, 32, 38, 41, 49, 57, 60, 69, 70, 79, 85,

### **National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form**

Revonah Manor H.D.

For NPS use only received date entered

Continuation sheet Stamford CT Item number  $^4$ Page 1 Format: Block# /Lot# owner(s)/address 218/38 George J. Rozca 2043 Bedford Street 2043 Bedford Street Stamford Ct. 06905 219/34 Leon Rzepnicki et al 1899 Bedford Street 1899 Bedford Street Stamford Ct. 06905 218/2-3 Peter Ebstein et al. 14 Chester Street 14 Chester Street Stamford, Ct. 06905 218/4-5 John F. Combs at Al. 26 Chester Street 26 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905 218/6-7 Jan G. Kennedy 30 Chester Street. 30 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905 218/8-9 Bernard Lustig et ux. 38 Chester Street 38 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905 218/10-13 Roger B. Hostetler 46 Chester Street 46 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905 218/14-15 Michael B. Smith et al. 62 Chester Street 62 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905 218/16-17 Robert E. White et al. 64 Chester Street 78 Chester Street

Stamford Ct. 06905

### **National Register of Historic Places** Inventory—Nomination Form Revinah Manor H.D.

For NPS use only received date entered

Continuation sheet Stamford CT	Item number	Page <sup>2</sup>
218/18 78 Chester Street	Robert E. White et al. 78 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905	
219/29-30 25 Chester Street	Ethel Barax 25 Chester Stamford Ct. 06905	
219/27–28 37 Chester Street	Brien E. Malloy et al. 37 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905	
219/25-26 41 Chester Street	John N.Berry et al. 41 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905	·
219/223-24 49 Chester Street	Richard A.Hermann et al. 49 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905	
219/21-22 55 Chester Street	Barbara Lynck et al 55 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905	
219/19-20 67 Chester Street	Harold S.Schifreen 67 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905	
219/18, 17and pt. 16 73 Chester Street	Ralph E.Layman 73 Chester Street Stamford Ct. 06905	
219/1 56 Fifth Street	Anthony T. Conti et al. 56 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905	

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet

Stamford CT

Item number

4

For NPS use only received date entered

60 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
Richard A. Jeynsen et al. 62 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
Jeanne Caron 70 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
David Speidelman 86 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
Nieves Gonzales et al. 88 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
Thor K.Crone 94 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
Roy Quarve et al. 100 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
William McGee et. al. 102 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905
Arthur D.Ladds et al. 128 Fifth Street Stamford Ct. 06905

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

## **United States Department of the Interior National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number

Stamford Ct 06905

4

For NPS use only received date entered

Revonah Avenue 216/2 57 Revonah Avenue	Margaret A.Stanton 57 Revonah Avenue Stamford Ct. 06905
216/5-8 81 Revonah Avenue	John Robert Shaffer et al. 81 Revonah Avenue Stamford Ct. 06905
216/9-11 91 Revonah Avenue	Emery J.Stephans et. al. 91 Revonah Avenue Stamford Ct. 06905
216/22 112 Revonah Avenue	Dorothy Davis Helprin 112 Revonah Avenue Stamford Ct. 06905
216/23 124 Revonah Avenue	John Louis Panulas 124 Revonah Avenue Stamford Ct. 06905
216/24 130 Revonah Avenue	Gertrude O. Weiss 130 Revonah Avenue Stamford Ct. 06905
219/14-15 58 Revonah Avenue	Phillip A.Terio et al. 58 Revonah Avenue Stamford Ct. 06905
218/37 15 Urban Street	Adolph Duda et al. 15 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
218/34-3 27 Urban Street	Stephen Skelig 27 Urban Street

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number 4

For NPS use only received date entered

218/31-33 41 Urban Street	Howard S. Pease 41 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
218/29-30 49 Urban Street	Adele A. Fine 49 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
218/pt.26,27,28, 57 Urban Street	Henry A.Zalichin et al. 57 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
218/24,25,pt.26 69 Urban Street	Francis V.Dolan 69 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
218/A 79 Urban Street	Paul D. Rand 79 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
218/B 85 Urban Street	Nicholas M. Frantin et al. 85 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
216/4 24 Urban Street	Ronald N. Ashkenas et al 24 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
216/5-6 26 Urban Street	John A Kowaleski 26 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905
216/7,8, 32 Urban Street	Barry Escott 32 Urban Street Stamford Ct. 06905

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

## **United States Department of the Interior National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only received date entered

Revonah Manor H.D. Continuation sheetStamford CT

Item number 4

Stamford Ct.06905

Page 6

216/9,10
38 Urban Street
38 Urban Street
Stamford Ct. 06905

216/13,14
60 Urban Street
60 Urban Street
Stamford Ct. 06905

216/17-19
Milton Garrett et al.
70 Urban Street

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

### **United States Department of the Interior**National Park Service

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford Ct

Item number

For NPS use only
received
date entered

Page

Title: Historic Resources Inventory, Stamford CT.

Date 1978

X Local

Depository for survey records: Municipal Office Building 429 Atlantic St.

City, Town: Stamford

State: CT

### **United States Department of the Interior National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number

For NPS use only received date entered

Page 2

architectural impact comes from its massing. Since its construction, its arcaded porch and porte-cochere have been enclosed. 81 Revonah Manor (1910), designed for the aunt of Jevne, one of the developers, is also one of the more impressive houses designed in this mode (photograph 16). It should be noted for its gambrel roof, oversized Doric columns, and subtle combinations of wood and stone. More typical Arts and Crafts homes can be found at 32 Urban Street (1914), where massing provides most of the effect, and in 26 Chester Street (c.1915), which contains a combination of Tudor and Craftsman elements (photograph 2). This combination of elements can also be found in the less successful 60 Urban Street (1915).

The Colonial Revival was an extremely popular style in Connecticut in the early 20th century and a large percentage of the buildings in the district were built in this mode. Excellent examples can be found throughout the district. Of note is 79 Urban Street (c.1925), with its late-Georgian entry. 57 Urban Street (1921) is a more staid, formal rendition of a double-pile house. 41 Chester Street (1925), with its Doric entry is typical of the massing of the Colonial Revival house in the area (photograph 1). 14 Chester Street (1928), with its overhang and ball finials, evokes an earlier Colonial Revival period. 38 Urban Street (1911, photograph 5) combines Colonial Revival and Arts and Crafts elements to make a vaguely Colonial Revival building. On Fifth Street are found less pretentious Colonial Revival houses, while 57 Revonah Avenue (1920) makes creative use of Colonial Revival elements in its corbelled denticulated entry topped by a bay window.

The Tudor Revival style is not as greatly represented in the district, but one of the most distinctive houses in the area, the original home of Henry Jevne at 46 Chester Street (1909, photograph 3), was designed in that style. It dominates the entire streetscape. The assymetrically shaped rubble-faced ground floor and stuccoed second floor contain decorations and details that are far superior to those of the building's neighbors. The other Tudor houses are more formulaic in design and include simple half-timbering and an occasional carved bargeboard or distressed lintel; cf. 38 Chester Street (1915, photograph 4) and 69 Urban Street (1924).

Queen Anne homes were generally considered out of date by the time this district was built, and only one retardataire example does exist, a simply massed dwelling at 94 Fifth Street (1910).

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only received date entered

Item number

Page 3

The non-contributing structures in the district were all built after 1935 and are either Ranch houses, vaguely Colonial Revival in style, or vernacular variants on the those two styles (photograph 18). Although one was built in 1945 and one in 1986, the majority were constructed between 1955 and 1960.

#### Inventory of Structures

#### Format:

Contributing (C) or Noncontributing (NC), Address, Common Name (Historic Name), Date, Style, Builder. If Henneberger and Jevne, H&J will be used as an abbreviation.

#### Bedford Street.

- (C) 1899 Bedford Street, c.1915, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (C) 2043 Bedford Street, 1912, Arts and Crafts, H&J,(photograph 18)

#### Chester Street.

- (NC) 12 Chester Street, c.1955, Vernacular, (photograph 18)
- (C) 14 Chester Street, 1928, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 12).
- (C) 25 Chester Street, 1928, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 17).
- (C) 26 Chester Street, c1915, Tudor Revival, H&J, (photograph 2).
- (C) 30 Chester Street, 1915, Tudor Revival, H&J, (photograph 2).
- (C) 37 Chester Street, c.1925, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 13).
- (C) 38 Chester Street, 1929, Tudor Revival, H&J, (photograph 8).

### **National Register of Historic Places** Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only received date entered

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number

Page

- (C) 41 Chester Street, 1925, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 13).
- (C) 46 Chester Street, 1909, Tudor Revival, H&J, (photograph 3).
- (C) 49 Chester Street, 1928, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (NC) 55 Chester Street, c1955, Vernacular.
- (C) 62 Chester Street, 1928, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (NC) 64 Chester Street, 1986, Colonial Revival, Bancroft Builders.
- (NC) 67 Chester Street, c.1955, Vernacular.
- (C) 73 Chester Street, 1915, Colonial Revival.
- (NC) 78 Chester Street, c.1945, Colonial Revival, H&J.

#### Fifth Street

- (C) 56 Fifth Street, 1920, Tudor Revival.
- (C) 60 Fifth Street, c.1915, Colonial Revival.
- (C) 64 Fifth Street, c.1915 Colonial Revival.
- (NC) 70 Fifth Street, c.1955 Ranch.
- (NC) 86 Fifth Street, c.1950 Colonial Revival.
- (C) 88 Fifth Street, c.1930, Colonial Revival.
- (C) 94 Fifth Street, c.1910, Queen Anne.
- (C) 100 Fifth Street, c.1925, Colonial Revival.

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

### United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number 7

For NPS use only received date entered

Page

- (C) 102 Fifth Street, c.1925, Colonial Revival.
- (C) 128 Fifth Street c.1920, Colonial Revival.

#### Revonah Avenue.

- (C) 57 Revonah Avenue, c1920, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (C) 58 Revonah Avenue, c.1920, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (C) 81 Revonah Avenue, 1911, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photographs 14,16).
- (C) 91 Revonah Avenue, 1912, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photographs 14,16).
- (C) 112 Revonah Avenue, c.1925, Colonial Revival, (photograph 15).
- (C) 124 Revonah Avenue, c.1925, Colonial Revival, (photograph 15).
- (C) 130 Revonah Avenue, 1921, Colonial Revival, H&J.

#### Urban Street

- (NC) 15 Urban Street, c.1950, Vernacular.
- (C) 24 Urban Street, c1915, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (NC) 26 Urban Street, c.1945, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (C) 27 Urban Street, 1915, Colonial Revival, H&J.
- (C) 32 Urban Street, 1914, Arts and Crafts, H&J, (photograph 4).
- (C) 38 Urban Street, 1911, Colonial Revival, H&J, also c.1960, (NC) Colonial Revival at same address, (photograph 5) On same lot.

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

### **United States Department of the Interior National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Kevonah Manor H.D.

Stamford CT

Item number

For NPS use only received date entered

Page 6

Continuation sheet

(C) 41 Urban Street, 1912, Colonial Revival, H&J. (photograph 1).

(C) 49 Urban Street, 1926, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 8).

(C) 57 Urban Street, 1921, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 8).

(C) 60 Urban Street, 1915, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 6).

(C) 69 Urban Street, 1924, Tudor Revival, H&J, (photograph 9).

(C) 70 Urban Street, 1910, Arts and Crafts, H&J.

(C) 79 Urban Street, c.1925, Colonial Revival, H&J, (photograph 7)

(NC) 85 Urban Street, c.1960. Vernacular.

#### 8. Significance

Period	Areas of Significance—Check and justify below				
1600–1699 1700–1799 1800–1899	v	conservation cconomics education engineering exploration/settlement	landscape architectur law literature military music philosophy politics/government	re religion science sculpture social/ humanitarian theater transportation other (specify	
Specific dates	See Item 7 754 55	Builder/ArchitectHennel	perger and Jevne/Law		

#### Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

Revonah Manor derives its significance from the fact that it is the best-preserved example of one of Stamford's first residential developments (criterion A). Laid out in 1909 by a well-known developer from Westchester County, New York, the development was planned to appeal to the wealthy families who sought the clean and convenient suburban life within an hour of Manhattan's congestion. Due to the exclusive nature of the development, enforced by restrictive covenants, a large number of generously sized residences were constructed in four modes: Queen Anne, Tudor Revival, Arts-and-Crafts, and Colonial Revival. For the most part, the house designs were based on plans by Rye, New York architect, Lawrence Barnard. This area derives its architectural significance from the cohesiveness created by the limitation of styles, the uniformity of building setback, and by the high quality of the house designs (criterion C).

#### <u>Historical Significance</u>

On January 5,1909, Herman Henneberger and his son-in-law, Henry Jevne, in one of Stamford's Jargest cash transactions, purchased approximately 180 acres from the heirs of Alfred Hoyt. This acreage was the last large real estate holding of the Hoyt family, well-known local bankers and entrepreneurs. Representing the culmination of an extensive search by the developers for a large tract of suitable land within an hour's commute from New York City, this purchase can be seen as the vanguard of developments that turned Stamford into a bedroom community for metropolitan New York.

Henneberger was originally a successful commission merchant and an officer in the New York National Guard. He began his real estate career in Mount Vernon, New York, where he built the highly successful Villa Park community. He then moved to New Rochelle, New York, where he owned extensive property. At the time of his purchase of the Stamford parcel, he also owned 150 acres in Pelham Bay in New York City, a parcel that, in 1909, was valued at \$750,000.

The Hoyt property lent itself ideally to residential development. It was entirely surrounded by roads, and accessibility was no problem. Prior to the development of downtown Stamford, its elevation provided picturesque views, including a broad sweep of Long Island Sound. Its proximity to downtown Stamford, being less than a mile from the old Town Hall, also appealed to prospective landowners. Furthermore, development in Stamford was moving inexorably northward, and by 1914, the streetcars were to come within a minute's walk of the property. Although advertised as having good drainage, this was not, in fact, the case. A local stream, Toilsome Brook, had to be channelized before construction could begin.

### 9. Major Bibliographical References

see continuation sheet

10. Geograp	hical Data			
Acreage of nominated proper	tv23.1			
Quadrangle name Stamfor		<del></del>	Quadrang	le scale 1:24000
UTM References			_	
A   1 8     6   2   2   3   2   0	14 514 710 3 01	<b>B</b>  1  8	1612 214 4 01	14 514 619 4 01
Zone Easting	4 5 4 7 0 3 0 Northing	Zone	6 2 2 4 4 0 Easting	4 5 4 6 9 4 0 Northing
C 118 6 212 71510	4   5   4   7   0   2   0	D 1 <sub>1</sub> 8	6 2 2 7 2 0	415 417 160
E   1 8     6   2   2   6   6   0	4 5 4 7 1 5 0	F  1 <sub>1</sub> 8	6 2 2 6 4 0	4,5,4,7,2,7,0
G 1 8 6 2 2 6 2 2	4   5   4   7   2   8   0	H [1 <sub>1</sub> 8]	6 2 2 5 7 0	4 5 4 7 2 4 0
	119111121919	(515)		
Verbal boundary descript	ion and justification			
	See continuation	sheet		
List all states and countie	es for properties over	lapping state or c	ounty boundaries	;
state N/A	code	county		code
state	code	county		code
11. Form Pre	pared By			
name/title Steven Bed	dford, edited by	John Herzan, N	National Regist	ter Coordinator
organization HNPP			3/25/86	
organization HNPP		a	ate 3/23/00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
street & number 78 Webb	's Hill Rd.	te	elephone 203 3	22 6671
city or town Stamford		s	tate CT	
12. State His	storic Pres	ervation	Officer C	ertification
The evaluated significance of	this property within the	state is:		
national	_X_ state	local		
As the designated State Histo 665), I hereby nominate this p according to the criteria and p	roperty for inclusion in t	he National Register	and certify that it h	
State Historic Preservation Of	ficer signature	Min	1 in 1	
- Clate Historic Freservation Of	noer signature	Jung	m fre	enso
title Director, Connect	icut Historical	mmission	date	June 24, 1986
For NPS use only				
I hereby certify that this	property is included in the			
11 Heloresk	Syen)	Intered to the		7-31-86
Keeper of the National Re	gister			
Attest:	·		date	
Chief of Registration				

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

### **United States Department of the Interior National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number

For NPS use only received date entered

Page<sup>2</sup>

Jevne and Henneberger were in no rush to develop their property. Initially stating that their immediate intentions were to make over the Hoyt homestead (not in district) and to build a residence for Mr. Jevne, they also announced that they planned to dispose of the majority of the property in ten-acre lots for the construction of houses similar to those on adjacent Strawberry Hill. Jevne further declared a predilection for constructing his house in a French Chateauesque mode. If there was not sufficient demand for such upper-class housing, then the tract would be sold in smaller lots with the following stipulation as reported in the <u>Stamford Advocate</u>"... the entire tract will be restricted, and only first-class residences will be permitted to be built." It was anticipated that this would be Stamford's first elitist, large-scale residential development. It was meant to be an answer to Rockridge in Greenwich or Beechmont in New Rochelle. In actual fact, it became Stamford's first development for the upper-middle class.

The method of large lot development did not meet with success, and Henneberger and Jevne, in April, 1909, subdivided the southerly portion of their property into smaller lots, and focused on the sale of the area as an economically restricted upper-middle-class area. Minimum lot sizes of 100 by 150 feet were established, a 50 foot minimum setback was required, and no home was to be erected for a cost of less than \$6500. This was the only area in northern Stamford whose residential use was guaranteed by restrictive covenant. Henneberger and Jevne arranged with Lawrence Barnard, a Rye architect with whom they had worked previously, to draw up five suggested plans for houses. Three streets were laid out: Urban, Chester, and Revonah, and the area was named Revonah after the Catskill retreat of a friend of Henneberger. In actual fact, Revonah is merely Hanover spelled backwards, the name being derived from the birthplace of Henneberger's friend. The street names, with the exception of Revonah, were the same as those used by Henneberger in his New York developments.

In supplying macadamized streets, brick gutters, concrete walks, city water, sewer, gas, electric lights; in restricting frontages to a minimum of 100 feet; and in protecting the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>" Big Real Estate Sale", <u>Stamford Advocate</u>, January 6,1909.p.1 col.5

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

### **United States Department of the Interior National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number <sup>8</sup>

For NPS use only received date entered

Page 3

residents from the influx of "undesireable persons," the developers appealed to those seeking a clean suburban setting away from the societal and sanitary ills of the city. The provision of uniform plans and excellent sanitary conditions echoed the national dissatisfaction with the fragmented planning and diverse architecture of the nation's existing suburbs. 3

Development of the area continued throughout the first three decades of this century, leaving very few unoccupied lots by 1935. The first houses, built on Urban and Chester Streets, were for members of the Henneberger and Jevne family. By 1912, 25 building sites had been purchased, and 8 homes had been completed. In1913, 26 lots for 12 houses were sold to an Albert Flandreau of New York. Flandreau's scheme failed, and Henneberger and Jevne subsequently built the 12 houses. Following this transaction, the <u>Daily Advocate</u>, noting that relatively few lots remained for sale, wrote: "When these cottages are completed, the Manor will indeed be a perfect park and a show place of the city." 4

In several advertisments and commentaries on Revonah Manor, there were vague references to the City Beautiful movement and sanitary reform, concerns which were echoed in every city of any size during this era, making this type of development typical for a city the size of Stamford. Although far from being a grand plan for suburban housing, Revonah Manor represents, at a very low level, an attempt to incorporate national concerns for controlled, beautiful, and sanitary development into a successful real estate venture. Clearly Revonah

<sup>2.&</sup>quot;Artistic Houses in Revonah Manor". Stamford Bulletin. January 20, 1912, p.2. The actual restrictive covenant reads as follows: "No building shall be erected or used upon the premises ... for any trade, calling or business whatsoever, and more particularly for the manufacture, sale or storage of beer, spiritous or malt liquors, or any sort of intoxicating beverage, either at wholesale or retail; that the said conveyed premises are to be used for residential purposes only; that no dwelling house shall be erected within fifty (50) feet of the Northerly or Southerly line of facing any street of avenue, and that not more than one dwelling house shall be erected on the plot hereby conveyed, which shall be a single front detached dwelling, the first cost of which shall not be less than \$6500. (sic) and that no outbuilding shall be erected at a less cost than \$300. (sic) and that no two family house or/tenement building shall be erected on the property hereby conveyed. From Stamford Land Records, Book 157, page 249. Clearly, the high building price prevented most people from buying and building here.

<sup>3.</sup> For a full discussion of this development and the "city scientific," see Wright, pp 254-294

<sup>4 &</sup>quot;Revonah Manor Sales." Daily Advocate. January 20, 1913, p.1, col. 6.

NPS Form 10-900-a

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

### **United States Department of the Interior**National Park Service

## National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet

Stamford CT

Item number

8

For NPS use only received date entered

Page 4

Manor is Stamford's first creation of a planned neighborhood that embodies national concerns for safe, clean and beautiful housing in a suburban setting.

#### Architectural Significance

As already noted above, the uniformity of style, i.e., Queen Anne, Tudor Revival, Arts and Crafts, and Colonial Revival, in this district was intentional, and thus, the district possesses distinctive cohesiveness of style and material. Since Barnard designed most of the structures, and most were built by Henneberger and Jevne, the consistent architectural quality of the area is no surprise. The choice of architectural styles by Barnard and his clients is consistent with American upper-middle-class taste in the first decades of this century, and stems from well-discussed concerns and sources. As one would expect, the majority of the homes are Colonial Revival, echoing their owners' chauvinistic urge to associate with a genteel, democratic, and pastoral era of American history. 5

The visual image created by this collection of uniform structures is quite remarkable, for it avoids becoming a boring enfilade of four-room Colonial boxes. 49 through 25 Chester Street provide an extremely cohesive Colonial Revival image (photograph 13) each varying from the other in materials, yet all maintaining a strong degree of similarity through their respective massings. 41 Urban Street should be noted for its Doric entry and side porches. 57 Revonah Avenue's corbel-supported, denticulated gable entry is surmounted by a bay window that breaks the denticulated eave cornice, enlivening an otherwise excessively regular facade. 81 and 91 Revonah Avenue offer freer interpretations of the Colonial Revival, with some decidedly Arts and Crafts overtones in the simplicity of materials, and the exaggerated scale of the Tuscan porch columns. It is with these buildings that Barnard seems to have achieved his greatest success, creating rather lively architectural statements. In addition, the somewhat pluralistic, democratic, almost socialistic sources of the Arts and Crafts movement would have appealed to the generally self-made upper-middle-class residents in this area. 38 Urban Street was designed in the same spirit, while 70 Urban Street, with its stucco facade, complex hipped roofline deeply overhanging eaves, and horizontal massing could serve as a definition for an Arts and Crafts house.

<sup>5.</sup> See Rhoads, <u>The Colonial Revival In America.</u> Unpublished PHD dissertation, Princeton, 1977 pp.415 ff.

OMB No. 1024-0018 Expires 10-31-87

### **United States Department of the Interior National Park Service**

## National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D.

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number

8

For NPS use only
received
date entered

Page

The second most popular style in the Manor, the Tudor Revival, held dissimilar associations from the Arts and Crafts mode during the early 20th century. The style was meant to evoke the baronial calm and splendor of the Elizabethan era and, due to its English derivation, was considered more appropriate to American soil than Norman Revival, a style that Henry Jevne had announced that he preferred. That the developers chose this style for their family home could also be seen as an implication of seigneurial power over their neighbors.

46 Chester St, designed for a members of the Henneberger family is the Manor's best example of the Tudor Revival style. Its rambling plan, stone ground floor, and stucco upper floors indicate Barnard's ability with the style. Most of the rest of the north side of Chester Street, as if in obedient concert, serve as Tudor background buildings supporting the Jevne House.

By 1909, the Queen Anne was generally considered retardataire, with its dark, dirty nooks<sup>7</sup> and crannies and its ostentatious massing, but two anomalies exist in the Manor: 2043 Bedford Street and 94 Fifth Street. These two rather conservative examples serve as reminders of the occasionally conservative tastes that occurred even in planned communities.

Little is known of the architect of this community, Lawrence Barnard. Neither his obituary nor any personal papers have surfaced to permit judgment of his work at Revonah Manor in relation to the rest of his oeuvre. From the surviving drawings, and material related to Revonah Manor, it can be seen that he was a competent yet not highly inspired designer of domestic architecture.

In sum, Revonah Manor is significant due to the fact that it is Stamford's first planned neighborhood whose architectural totality projects a strongly cohesive image, and it also serves as an indicator of upper-middle-class taste in the first three decades of this century.

<sup>6. &</sup>quot;Big Real Estate Deal." Daily Advocate. January 6,1909

<sup>7.</sup> See Wright, op.cit. passim for a full discussion of this problem

# National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Revonah Manor H.D

Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number

1.0

Far NPS use only received date entered

Page

#### Description

Starting at Point A on the northeast corner of the intersection of Urban and Bedford Streets, the district boundary travels in a southerly direction along the eastern edge of Bedford Street to the Southwest corner of lot 1, block 219. It then travels across the southern edge of lots 2 through 15 to the northeastern corner of Revonah Avenue and Fifth Street. It then crosses Revonah Avenue in a straight line and continues easterly to the southeast corner of lot 1 in block 216. The boundary then turns north along the boundary line of that lot and crosses the rear of lots 5–11 to the northeast corner of lot 11. It then turns west along the northern boundary of lot 11 to Revonah Avenue and then travels approximately 385 feet to a point (F) directly across from the northern boundary of lot 24, block 216. The boundary then crosses the street in a straight and runs approximately 370 feet across the northern boundary of lot 24 and lots 17–19 and rear to point 6 where it turns south 192 feet along the boundary of lot 17 rear to the next corner of lot 17. It then runs across the northern boundary of the remainder of lot 17 and lots 4–16 to the corner of the eastern and northern boundaries of lot 4. It then runs south along the western boundary of lot 4 to the northern edge of Urban Street. (see Map1)

#### <u>Justification</u>

Considering the surviving structures, this boundary conforms as closely as possible to the original Revonah Manor plot. To the east and north, a later, separate development of larger houses has formed. To the south and west, separate developments catering to different socioeconomic class formed later in the 20th century, leaving Revonah Manor as one of the last upper middle class neighborhoods in central Stamford. In fact, this boundary conforms to the original 1909 subdivision, laid out by C. E. Parsons, a local surveyor.

### **National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form**

For NPS use only received date entered

Revonah Manor H.D. Continuation sheet Stamford CT

Item number

Kahn, Renee, comp. <u>Stamford, Connecticut Historic Resources Inventory.</u> Stamford, Connecticut: Stamford Community Development Program, 1978.
Stamford Directory. Stamford, Connecticut: Gillespie Brothers, 1883–1896; Price and Lee, 1897–1929.
Stamford, Town of. <u>Land Records</u> .
Tax Assessor's Records.
Kornwolf, James. M.H. Baillie Scott and the Arts and Crafts Movement. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins, 1972.
Naylor, Gillian. <u>The Arts and Crafts Movement.</u> Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 1971
Palliser, George, and Charles Palliser. <u>Palliser's American Architecture</u> . Bridgeport, Conn.,:Palliser & Co., 1888.
American Cottage Homes. Bridgeport: Palliser & Co., 1878.
Palliser's New Cottage Homes and Details. New York: Palliser, Palliser & Co. 1887.
Pfeiffer, Carl. <u>Pfeiffer's American Mansions</u> . Boston: Ticknor & Co., 1885
Rhodes, William. <u>The Colonial Revival In America</u> . unpublished PHD dissertation. Princeton,1977
Wright, Gwendolyn. Moralism and the Model Home. Chicago: University of Chicago, 1980.
Maps and Views
Insurance Maps of Stamford, Fairfield County, Connecticut. New York: Sanborn Map Company, 1906

