PS Form 10-900 Rev. 10-90)			REG	2142	D 228	OMB No. 1024-00
nited States Department of the li ational Park Service	nterior	938		OCT 09	2000	
lational Register of Hist	toric Places	120		03	2003	
Registration Form			NAT. F.			1
is form is for use in nominating or requesting determinatio ational Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by ma /A" for "not applicable". For functions, architectural classif rrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a).	ication, materials, and areas	s of significance, enter only ca	ategories and subcate	the National R item does no gories from th	egister of Historic P tapply to the prope e instructions. Plac	laces Registration Form ity being documented, enter additional entries and
1. Name of Property						
Historic name The Anderson B	uilding					
Other names/site number	9:0122-042			_		
2. Location						
Street & number 701 S 24th St;	2243 Jones				Not for	publication []
City or town Omaha	11. J. C. C.				Vicinity	[]
State Nebraska Code N	E County	Douglas	Code	055	Zip code	68102
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be	onal Historic Preserva entation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f	es in the Nationa the property [x] n	Register	of Historic Plac es not meet the	es and meets the National Register
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be	onal Historic Preserva eentation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f	es in the Nationa the property [x] r	Register	of Historic Plac es not meet the	es and meets the National Register
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.)	enal Historic Preserva entation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant Cal Society	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	of Historic Places not meet the nuation sheet f	es and meets the a National Register or additional
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements of Criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.) Mile Carl And Signature of certifying official Director, Nebraska State Histori State or Federal agency and bureau	enal Historic Preserva entation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant Cal Society	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, t t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	of Historic Places not meet the nuation sheet f	es and meets the a National Register or additional
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.)	enal Historic Preserva entation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant Cal Society	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	of Historic Places not meet the nuation sheet f	es and meets the a National Register or additional
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.) Signature of certifying official	onal Historic Preserva ientation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant cal Society not meet the Nationa	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	of Historic Places not meet the nuation sheet f	es and meets the a National Register or additional
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.) Signature of certifying official Director, Nebraska State Histori State or Federal agency and bureau Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau	onal Historic Preserva ientation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant cal Society not meet the Nationa	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	of Historic Places not meet the nuation sheet f	es and meets the a National Register or additional
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.) Signature of certifying official Director, Nebraska State Histori State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property [] meets [] does Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau A. National Park Service Ce I, herely, certify that this property is:	onal Historic Preserva ientation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant cal Society not meet the Nationa	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	additional com	es and meets the a National Register or additional ments.)
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements is criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.) Signature of certifying official	onal Historic Preserva ientation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant cal Society not meet the Nationa	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	additional com	es and meets the a National Register or additional
As the designated authority under the Natio determination of eligibility meets the docum procedural and professional requirements s Criteria. I recommend that this property be comments.) Signature of certifying official Director, Nebraska State Histori State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property [] meets [] does Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau A. National Park Service Ce I, hereby, certify that this property is: Mentered in the National Register. [] see continuation sheet. [] determined eligible for the National I	nal Historic Preserva entation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant cal Society not meet the Nationa	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	additional com	es and meets the a National Register or additional ments.)
Director, Nebraska State Histori State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property [] meets [] does Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau 4. National Park Service Ce I, hereby, certify that this property is: M entered in the National Register. [] see continuation sheet.	nal Historic Preserva entation standards fo set forth in 36 CFR Pa considered significant cal Society not meet the Nationa rtification	or registering propertie art 60. In my opinion, f t [] nationally [] statew 	es in the Nationa the property [x] n ide [x] locally. ([)	Register neets [] do See conti ? CU 9	additional com	es and meets the a National Register or additional ments.)

The Anderson Building

Name of Property

5. Classification

Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply) X Private

- Public-local
- Public-state
- Public-federal

Category of Property (Check only one box) X Building(s) District Site Structure Object

Douglas County, Nebraska

County and State

Number of Resources within Property (Do not include previously listed resources in the count.)

Contributing Noncontributing

1		Buildings
		Sites
		Structures
		Objects
1	0	Objects Total

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register

0

Name of related multiple property listing (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing.) Apartments, Flats and Tenements in Omaha, Nebraska from 1880-1962

6. Function or Use

Historic Functions

(Enter categories from instructions.)

DOMESTIC: Multiple Dwelling

COMMERCE/TRADE: Business

COMMERCE/TRADE: Specialty Store

Current Functions

(Enter categories from instructions.)

DOMESTIC: Multiple Dwelling

COMMERCE/TRADE: Business

COMMERCE/TRADE: Specialty Store

7. Description

Architectural Classification

(Enter categories from instructions.) LATE 19th and EARLY 20th CENTURY AMERICAN MOVEMENTS: Sullivanesque

Materials

(Enter categories from instructions.)

Foundation Brick

Walls Brick

Roof Synthetic

Other Terra-Cotta Detailing

Narrative Description

(Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska

Section 7 Page 1 County and State

SUMMARY

The Anderson Building is a mixed-use three-story brick and terra-cotta Sullivanesque building located among other two to three story multiple bay/multiple lot commercial and apartment buildings on the Southwest fringe of downtown Omaha. It fills the square shaped property completely at the basement and first floors and changes to a "U" shaped plan on the second and third floors, with the open end of the "U" facing the rear (South side) of the property. The building includes two primary facades that reflect its multiple functions. A commercial façade containing 5-store fronts aligns with South 24th Street on the west, and a residential façade offers access to the apartments from Jones Street to the north.¹

This apartment building meets the criteria outlined for the Commercial Apartment building type as described in the Multiple Property Document (MPD) "Apartments, Flats and Tenements in Omaha, Nebraska from 1880-1962." It was constructed in Omaha in 1924, is three stories in height, contains 30 apartments, five commercial bays and fills the entire lot.

Structural System

The Anderson Building is constructed of concrete columns and footings, which support a concrete pan joist system.

Exterior

The Anderson Building is distinctive for its well-executed rendition of the second stage of Sullivanesque style architecture - both in planning and in facade composition.

The 24th Street Commercial facade is a long rectangle with more emphasis on the center fifth of the facade than the flanking wings. It is finished in a combination of red brick and cream-colored terra cotta. Additionally, the brick joints are raked horizontally and flush-struck vertically. On the first floor, it is broken into five storefronts with brick piers between each storefront. Each storefront contains its original painted steel display window system, which sits on a terra cotta knee wall, as well as a recessed entry door, a projecting cover for a retractable awning and a prism glass transom window system. The top of the knee walls step down and the size of the transoms grow larger towards the South as the grade steps down. The entrance doors are adjacent to each other in the outer bays and centered in the middle bay. Furthermore, each storefront has at its head a terra cotta lintel with Sullivanesque scroll lugs at each end.

On the upper floors, the 24th Street Commercial facade is completely symmetrical. The brick pilasters extend up through the upper floors and become implied as they blend with the flush face of the adjacent brick. masonry. Between the piers in the outer bays are two sets of wooden six-over-one double-hung windows. The window pairs are slightly inset from the main body of the building and tied together between floors with a plain brick spandrel panel. Additionally, these windows are grouped at the second floor by continuous terra cotta sills with square Sullivanesque lugs and at the third floor by a decorative Sullivanesque terra cotta square at the top of the dividing vertical mullion. The center bay contains four evenly spaced wooden six-over-one doublehung windows at the second and third floors. These windows are inset further into the main body of the building than those in flanking bays. At the second floor level, all four are tied together by a continuous terra cotta sill with square Sullivanesque lugs. The outer vertical mullions in this window group are slightly wider than

¹ See Figures 2 and 3 in Section 10,

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

2

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Section 7 Page

the mullion between the second and third windows. The two larger mullions are capped by large, elaborate Sullivanesque capitals, while the smaller center mullion is capped by the same decorative Sullivanesque terra cotta square found between the other second story windows. Linear terra cotta accents span across the center of the wall surface over the windows in bays one and two, and three and four, ending in decorative Sullivanesque pieces. The top of the parapet is capped by a simple terra cotta coping which steps up and around a Sullivanesque medallion and flanking finials in the center of the façade; a distinctive second stage Sullivanesque detail. The weight of these centralized elements and the symmetry of the remaining ornamentation, especially on the upper floors, creates a strong and balanced composition that effectively follows the models illustrated in the Midland Terra Cotta Company catalogue.

The Residential Jones Street façade is a long rectangle, divided into almost even thirds, the center of which is slightly taller and narrower than the longer, matching, flanking wings. It is also finished in a combination of red brick and cream-colored terra cotta. The brick joints are raked horizontally and flush-struck vertically. At the first floor of the flanking wings, the windows are wooden six-over-six double-hung units evenly spaced across the facade with terra cotta sills. Centered in the wall above each window is a terra cotta accent band the width of the window with Sullivanesque detailing. Above this on the second and third floors are wooden six-over-six double-hung window units grouped in an A, B, B, A pattern, where A is three windows over 3 windows and B is a single window over a single window. Each group is accented in a manner similar to that employed on the Commercial facade. Like-wise linear terra cotta accents span across the center of the wall surface over the windows in each wing, ending in decorative Sullivanesque pieces. The top of the parapet in these areas is capped with a simple terra cotta coping. The center third of this facade is noticeably recessed from the wings and sports additional decorative terra cotta elements to emphasize the entrance location. At this center bay, the window pattern changes on all three floors to C, B, D, B, C, where C is a pair of windows and D is a set of three windows in which the outer two windows are one-fourth the width of the center window. Additionally the proportions of the D window change to accommodate the interior stair behind them adding interest to the center element. The apartment entrance is further emphasized by a projecting portico, crowned with a medallion and finials that break the parapet line in a classic second stage Sullivanesque design. This same feature is echoed at the top of this portion of this facade.

The Alley/Rear (South) façade looks like a "U" in elevation, with the first floor continuous across the bottom and the ends of the second and third floors at either end. It is finished in red brick with tan brick windowsills. There are no decorative terra cotta elements on this façade. At the first floor level, openings are punched as necessary to accommodate windows for the commercial and common spaces, including a modern steel paneled garage door for the garage bay under the center of the building and a modern steel pedestrian door for the covered exit stairs to the roof of the first floor at the interior of the "U". Windows into the utility spaces are one-over-one double-hung steel units, while the remaining windows are one-over-one wooden double-hung units. At the upper floors, windows are arranged in groups of two and three one-over-one wooden double-hung units. The parapet is capped by a red terra cotta coping.

The East facade and the inner face of the "U" on the upper floors are very utilitarian in comparison to the primary facades. They are finished in a combination of red brick and exposed concrete structure where the exposed structure is painted to match the brick. Windows are punched into the façades singly or in groups according to which best suits the needs of the apartment on the interior.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Section 7 Page 3

Interior

The interior of The Anderson Building is thoughtfully designed with an eye toward function. Although the building first appears to be symmetrical, closer examination reveals that modifications were made to accommodate various programmatic needs. Overall, the symmetry of the North façade hides the fact that the East side protrudes six inches more than the West side. Additionally, the East leg of the "U" is narrower on Floors 2 and 3 than the West leg of the "U". This was likely done to leave room for a light well in the event that an equally substantial building was constructed on the lot to the East.

In the basement, along the West side of the building, each commercial tenant bay has a basement storage area equal in size to their space above. Individual stairs in the rear of each tenant space connect the two spaces. Restrooms for each commercial tenant are provided in the rear of the first floor space or in the basement. Delivery access was originally provided through a sidewalk stair in the center of the commercial façade. This has been blocked off, but the common hallway across the front of the west side that it led to is still intact. Centered in the building, a coal room accessed from the alley leads to the boiler room, which backs up to a crawl space. Adjacent to this, a hallway connects a janitor's apartment, common laundry room, storage spaces and another crawl space that line the East side of the building. Finishes throughout the basement typically consist of raw concrete floors, painted block walls and painted, exposed concrete ceilings.

On the first floor, the West half of the building is taken by five commercial tenant bays; each running east to west with main entrances on the West façade. All of the bays are finished in original materials; terrazzo floors, plaster walls and flat plaster ceilings. The Southern bay contains two original offices as well as a safe and builtin cabinets. The Northern bay contains a modern vestibule and office/ storage space. In the center of the building, behind four of these bays is a garage bay with access off the alley to the South. To the North of this is the apartment entrance and main staircase. From this point, an "L" shaped hallway connects the apartments on this floor to the entrance and to a stair at the South end that leads both down to the basement and up to the roof deck in the center of the "U" shaped plan.

The second and third floors are "U" shaped and surround a lowered roof area with a sealed wooden roof deck. Exposed concrete stairs with pipe metal rails at the East and West legs of the "U" connect the upper floors to the roof deck. Centered at the south end of the roof deck is an exposed concrete fire exit stair with corrugated green plastic canopy that leads to the alley. On the interior, a double-loaded "U" shaped corridor is not quite centered in the "U" shaped plan of the floor. It connects the main stair to the stairs mentioned previously and all of the apartments that line both sides of the hallway. The stair and hallway floor were originally finished in terrazzo. At an unknown date however, the center of the hallway floor was cut out to receive the rubber flooring which now exists. The original plaster walls and ceilings are still intact. The transom windows between the apartments and hallway however, have been sealed off and painted. The outer apartments are deeper, while the inner apartments are shallower and longer, wrapping around the interior corners of the "U". Apartment plans vary depending on their location with-in the building, but each typically contains a kitchen, dining room, living room, bedroom and bathroom. Most spaces have modern floor finishes including vinyl in the kitchen and dining room, as well as carpet in the living and bedrooms, although tile in most bathrooms is original. Walls and ceilings are generally finished in original plaster with simple, painted original wood trim around doors and windows.

OMB No. 1024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Section 7 Page

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Alterations

The building has received no alterations, additions, disturbances, or other changes that affect the property's representation of its property type. All alterations have been minimal in scope. In the basement, the original laundry room has been built-out into an apartment unit for a live-in custodian, and the original locker room has been converted to a laundry room and several storage rooms. The commercial spaces are generally intact, with minor modifications to the interior side of the display windows and a small length of demising wall reconstruction due to water damage. The Northernmost bay has received the only significant alteration, with the creation of a vestibule on the interior approximately 10' into the building, as well as an office raised over a storage room in the front of the space. In the public spaces of the apartments, the transoms have been sealed shut and painted over, the light fixtures have been replaced with modern light fixtures and the center of the terrazzo corridor floor has been removed and replaced with rubber flooring. Minor alterations have taken place with-in several apartment units as well. The most significant was the conversion of one apartment unit into two on floors 1-3. The remaining alterations were very minor, such as the removal of the wall between the dining room and the living room and new carpet in the living rooms; however, the general layout and finishes remain true to the original.

Integrity

The Anderson Building has maintained all seven aspects of integrity; including design, location, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association. The building was beautifully designed in the Sullivanesque style. It has not been moved and continues to be surrounded by a low-rise, mixed-use neighborhood, similar to its surroundings when it was constructed. Most of the buildings along Jones Street continue to be residential in nature and most of the buildings along South 24th Street and near-by Leavenworth have remained commercial structures. The excellent workmanship and quality materials used on this building have guaranteed its endurance against weathering and deterioration, and most materials are in good condition. Furthermore, the continuous occupancy of the building has protected it from vandals and vagrants. Overall the building still conveys the feeling and association of a typical Omaha Commercial Apartment building of the 1920s and would be recognizable to a contemporary if he were to see the building today.

Future Plans

The apartments of the building are currently occupied and the commercial spaces are now empty. The owners are presently studying the feasibility of upgrading the mechanical system for the entire building.

The Anderson Building

Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "X" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- X A Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
 - B Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- X C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
 - D Property has yielded, or is likely to yield information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "X" in all the boxes that apply.)

Property is:

- A Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.
- B Removed from its original location.
- C A birthplace or a grave.
- D A cemetery.
- E A reconstructed building, object, or structure.
- F A commemorative property.
- G Less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography

(Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one or more continuation sheets.) Previous documentation on file (NPS): Primary location for

- Preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested
- Previously listed in the National Register
- Previously determined eligible by the National Register
- Designated a National Historic Landmark
- Recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey #
- ____ Recorded by Historic American Engineering
- Record #

Areas of Significance

(Enter categories from instructions.)

Architecture

Community Planning and Development

Period of Significance

1924

Significant Dates

1924

Significant Person

(Complete if Criterion B is marked above.)

NA

Cultural Affiliation

NA

Architect/Builder

Gernandt, William F. - Architect Soderburg, John L. Co - Builder

Primary location for additional data:

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Other State agency
- ____ Federal agency
- X Local Government
- University
- X Other
 - Name of repository: Omaha Public Library

OMB No. 1024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Section 8 Page 5

SUMMARY

The Anderson Building is significant under two criteria as outlined in the MPD "Apartments, Flats and Tenements in Omaha, Nebraska from 1880-1962." First, it is locally significant under Criteria A5 in the area of Community Planning and Development, "buildings that reflect changes in the form of the building type" as a representative of the Commercial Apartment building type. The Anderson Building represents the only type of apartment building that developed here in Omaha as a response to the need for commercial as well as housing space. All other apartment building types were completely residential in nature. Furthermore, the Anderson Building was constructed in 1924, during one of Omaha's apartment building boom periods when such Commercial Apartment buildings were most commonly constructed.

Secondly, The Anderson Building is significant in the area of Architecture at the local level under Criterion C2 "buildings that illustrate significant expressions of architectural styles" as a well-executed example of Sullivanesque architecture. Typical of most buildings on the National Register, the building is significant in the year it was constructed, 1924. In this case, the year coincides with the year of Sullivan's death when many architects designed a building in the Sullivanesque style as a tribute to his work.

The Commercial Apartment Building

The MPD "Apartments, Flats and Tenements in Omaha, Nebraska from 1880-1962," collectively refers to apartments, flats and tenements as "Apartments". Together, these buildings are defined as those constructed with the intention of being rental multi-family dwellings which are divided into self-sufficient units (with private kitchens and baths) by party walls. The dwelling units are difficult to separate and they commonly exist above and below each other. As demonstrated in the physical description, the Anderson Building fits the criteria of the related property type "Commercial Apartment" as outlined in the above-referenced MPD. This building type accounts for just 9% of all apartment, flat and tenement building types ever constructed in Omaha. With only 3% standing today, representatives of this building type are a rarity in Omaha.

The above definition of rental multi-family dwellings (Apartments with a capital "A") is generally considered a broad classification. In contrast, when the general public thinks of apartment buildings, most do not include tenements and flats. They think more in terms of one of four types of buildings: High Rise, Garden, Low-rise and Commercial apartments. With-in these four types, Commercial Apartment buildings account for 46% of all apartment building types constructed in Omaha, making it a significant expression of multiple family housing in the minds of the general public.²

The impact of commercial apartment buildings on community development in Omaha was noticeable. Of the Low-rise, High-rise, Garden and Commercial building types commonly thought of by the general public as apartment buildings, Commercial Apartment buildings were the most visible. Commonly located on major streets with-in Omaha, they became part of the social memory of our neighborhoods even for those who never stepped foot in them. Moreover, of all the apartment types, the commercial aspect of these buildings meant that more than those who lived there experienced these types of buildings.

² See Pie Chart, Figure 2, Section 10, Page 12.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Section 8 Page 6

Going one step further than many Commercial Apartment buildings, the Anderson Building takes full advantage of its corner location providing two primary facades and by separating the type of access considered most appropriate for each use. Most noticeably, it provides five storefront bays on one of Omaha's major streets, South 24th Street, which has provided a strong connection between downtown Omaha and South Omaha since its annexation in 1915. This connection gives the building visibility in an area that is often traveled by those who live in other parts of Omaha as well as those who live and work in the neighborhood. Also in the second decade of the twentieth century, Jones Street developed into a dense residential neighborhood with the development of Drake Court and the Drake Court Annex. The Anderson Building continues this trend with its residential primary facade on this street, where it flanked the entrance to the residential street and provided a highly tactile and memorable façade. Thus, with both primary facades, the Anderson Building has embedded itself into the social memory of the development of this neighborhood.

Additionally, the Anderson Building fits many of the community development characteristics described in "Early Apartments in Omaha, 1880-1930," in the related MPD. It was constructed in 1924 during a peak era of construction in downtown Omaha as well as one of Omaha's apartment building booms. It also fills the entire lot on the lower floors to provide enough space for its commercial uses and some common amenities for the building tenants. Thus, as the Omaha community developed, the architect and owner of the Anderson Building recognized these common planning practices as beneficial and designed the building to take advantage of them.

Unfortunately for many Commercial Apartment Buildings, their location along major thoroughtares has likely been the cause of their decline as Commercial Apartment buildings were sold and replaced with new buildings constructed for other purposes. As Omaha developed, compared to the other three building types most commonly considered apartments, these have the smallest percentage of buildings remaining. The Anderson Building however, stands as a high-quality representative of the Commercial Apartment building type of Omaha during one of its flourishing periods.

The Sullivanesque

The Anderson Building is also being listed in the area of architecture under Criterion C2 "buildings that illustrate significant expressions of architectural styles" as described in the MPD "Apartments, Flats and Tenements in Omaha, Nebraska from 1880-1962" as a wonderful local level example of the Sullivanesque style of architecture. Divided into two stages, the first stage of the Sullivanesque style was dominated by the skyscraper work of Sullivan himself and lasted from 1895-1910. The second stage was a relatively minor movement lasting from approximately 1910-1930 and reflected the smaller work of Sullivan in his final years.³ The Sullivanesque style was unique during its time as it was the first originally American architectural style. During its second stage it remained comparatively obscure with-in the overall period of design eclecticism dominated by revival styles (1880-1940).⁴

 ³ Ronald E. Schmitt, Sullivanesque: Urban Architecture and Ornamentation, (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 2002) 15.
⁴ In 1924, the year of Sullivan's death, many architects attempted Sullivanesque buildings as a tribute or experiment, but with-out the philosophical commitment interest soon passed. Ronald E. Schmitt, "Sullivanesque Architecture and Terra Cotta," in The Midwest in American Architecture, ed. John S. Gamer (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1991) 177, 182.

United States Department of the Interior **National Park Service**

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska

Section Page 7 8

County and State

The Sullivanesque style of architecture derived from the buildings and writings of architect Louis H. Sullivan. It was he who first said that "form follows function" but in his prose, his true intent was lost.⁵ His design precepts were much more complex than a few simple words could convey. In the end, only his closest associates, including William Gray Purcell, George Elmslie, and Frank Lloyd Wright, ever really understood the fundamental concepts behind his designs. These men went on to establish the Chicago School and Prairie Style; each unique schools of thought in their own right that overshadowed Sullivan, but which were tied to Sullivan's foundations by a similar approach to thinking about architecture.6

Since his death, scholars have been able to review Sullivan's written and built works and interpret his basic principals into language more easily understood by the average architect. To Sullivan "form follows function" was more than creating a building that reflected its use and expressed the structural nature of the building — it also enhanced these qualities, clarifying them and giving them human meaning.⁷ Furthermore, in order for buildings to have meaning that humans could understand, ornament was a fundamental part of the building's design. As interpreted by Hugh Morrison,

"Ornament was the most subtle and gracious aspect of expression; after the basic form of the building had made itself expressive, the creative impulse was carried on into the ornament. It was organic, growing out of the mass rather than applied to it, expressing the nature of the material, and partaking of the fundamental rhythms of the building itself. But it appeared, not as something merely receiving the spirit of the structure, but as a thing expressing that spirit by virtue of differential growth... Buildings possessed as marked an individually as that which exists among men."8

In Sullivan's best works, buildings were able to create a form that reflected the use of the building and incorporate ornament in such an integral way that it strengthened the design and gave the building scale and meaning at both a civic and humanistic level.

During Sullivan's lifetime, however, it was the Midland Terra Cotta Company, and not other architects, who best grasped his design principals. They used this knowledge to promote the sale and use of their material, and in doing so, promoted the Sullivanesque style. "Midland embraced Sullivan's design solutions, reinterpreted them, infused its own vitality, and made the resulting buildings more obtainable by making them more affordable. Without written treatise or philosophy, Midland holistically conveyed the Sullivanesque possibilities through simple but effective graphics."⁹ Put into words by Professor Ronald E. Schmitt, the Sullivanesque style promoted by Midland Terra Cotta Company exhibited the following key character defining features:

⁵ "It is the pervading law of all things organic and inorganic, of all things physical and metaphysical, of all things human and all things superhuman, of all true manifestations of the head, of the heart, of the soul, that the life is recognizable in its expression, that form ever follows function. This is the law." Louis H. Sullivan, "The Tall Office Building Artistically Considered," Lippincott's Magazine vol. 407 (March 1896) 403. Over the span of his career, Sullivan's phrase, "form ever follows function" evolved into "form follows function".

⁶ Hugh Morrison, Louis Sullivan: Prophet of Modern Architecture, (New York: W.W. Norton Press, 1998) 232.

⁷ Hugh Morrison, Louis Sullivan: Prophet of Modern Architecture, (New York: W.W. Norton Press, 1998) 216-217.

⁸ Hugh Morrison, Louis Sullivan: Prophet of Modern Architecture, (New York: W.W. Norton Press, 1998) 218-219. Tense of quote changed by this author.

⁹ Ronald E. Schmitt, Sullivanesque: Urban Architecture and Ornamentation, (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 2002) 183.

Section

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places **Continuation Sheet**

The Anderson Building

Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska

County and State

- Ground level openings as required¹⁰ ٠

Page

8

- Upper levels completely symmetrical¹¹
- Brick with Sullivanesque styled terra-cotta detailing¹²
 - Commonly employed a terra cotta medallion adjacent to the parapet coping 0 0
 - All stock pieces finished in white glaze
- Ornament¹³

8

- Defines the juncture of column and beam spandrel 0
- Defines important elements such as entrances 0
- Suggests underlying structural frame
- Identifies facade envelope as non-load-bearing through belts, accents and clusters of 0 ornament
- Well composed and proportioned¹⁴

The Anderson Building faithfully follows this model. Terra cotta for the building was commissioned through the Midland Terra Cotta Company as job number 114, commissioned April 28, 1924, indicating that the building's architect, William Gernandt, may have used the company's brochures to produce an accurate reflection of the Sullivanesque style. On its two primary facades, The Anderson Building displays the following features:

- Ground level openings allow the separation of the commercial and residential functions; especially at the entries. The commercial facade is divided into 5 bays, with-in which storefront openings are paired in the outer bays and centered in the middle bay, while the apartment entrance is centered in the second primary facade.
- Both primary facades are symmetrical and extensively decorated on the upper floors, providing a strong sense of balance although the ground floor varies to accommodate changes of the surrounding grade.
- The majority of the wall surfaces are finished in red brick, with terra cotta detailing.
 - Each facade contains a medallion centered along the parapet. Additionally, a medallion is 0 centered in the lower parapet over the projecting apartment entrance.
 - All terra cotta is finished in a white glaze that improves the contrast of the shadows and emphasizes the design of the relief.
- Ornament:
 - Elaborate terra cotta pieces call attention to important elements such as entrances, and 0 provide balance to the overall facade composition
 - Decorative terra cotta pieces at the smaller structural members such as storefront heads, 0 window sills, and pilaster capitals leaves the brick work to cover the larger structural beams and columns and imply the underlying structural frame

¹⁰ Ronald E. Schmitt, "Sullivanesque Architecture and Terra Cotta," in The Midwest in American Architecture, ed. John S. Garner (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1991), 165.

¹¹ Ronald E. Schmitt, "Sullivanesque Architecture and Terra Cotta," in The Midwest in American Architecture, ed. John S. Garner (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1991), 165.

¹² Ronald E. Schmitt, "Sullivanesque Architecture and Terra Cotta," in The Midwest in American Architecture, ed. John S. Garner (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1991), 166.

¹³ Ronald E. Schmitt, Sullivanesque: Urban Architecture and Ornamentation, (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 2002) 185.

¹⁴ Ronald E. Schmitt, Sullivanesque: Urban Architecture and Ornamentation, (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 2002) 185.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Section 8 Page 9

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

- Linear terra cotta accents between the top of the third floor windows and the top of the parapet imply that the façade envelope is non-load-bearing
- The final appearance is that of a well-proportioned and consistently-composed façade.

Altogether, the building is a successful rendition of the Sullivanesque style. Other local buildings which exhibit the Sullivanesque style are:

Some of the apartments of Drake Court (Jones Street, 20th to 22nd streets) Terrace Court (836, 840, 842, Park Ave) Turner Court (3102, 3106 Dodge) Securities Building (305 S 16th Street) First National Bank (1600 Farnam Street)

In evaluating these buildings however, they are not peers of The Anderson Building for several reasons. During the second stage of the period, the Sullivanesque became a regional style. Commonly used on smaller buildings in the upper Midwest (especially Illinois, Minnesota and Iowa), it was most often employed on commercial storefronts, banks, and automobile garages, and not on apartment buildings, such as the Drake Court, Terrace Court and Turner Court buildings. As a partially commercial building, The Anderson Building fits this model better. Additionally, compared to the other small buildings in the local list, The Anderson Building adheres more closely to the design principles of the Sullivanesque style outlined earlier. It has more than a handful of Sullivanesque pieces of terra cotta, placed appropriately, including the medallions at the parapet and the overall façade has a more consistent composition. Finally, the Securities¹⁵ and First National Bank Buildings¹⁶ fall into the first phase of Sullivanesque because of their size and the national prominence of the architects responsible for the work. In conclusion, locally The Anderson Building is the most accurate reflection of the second phase of this style of architecture.

CONCLUSION

Overall, The Anderson Building is a strong local example of a Commercial Apartment building, displaying all of the key features outlined in the associated MPD, "Apartments, Flats and Tenements in Omaha, Nebraska from 1880-1962". As a building with a high level of integrity in an increasingly rare historic type of apartment building, it deserves recognition. In the area of community planning and development, it is a good example of the design and planning practices used for Commercial Apartment buildings during one of Omaha's apartment building booms. Furthermore, in the area of architecture it is a beautiful local-level example of the second stage of Sullivanesque architecture. Utilizing terra cotta from the company best known for promoting this style, it stands as a textbook example of the Sullivanesque design principals as promoted by Midland Terra Cotta Company.

¹⁵ Constructed 1918; designed by A. Moorman and Company

¹⁶ Constructed 1915; designed by Graham-Burnham Company, formerly the firm Burnham and Root.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Section 9 Page 10

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Fitzpatrick, F.W. "American Architecture," the Western Architect vol XIX, Sept 1913, 75-77.

Garner, John S. ed. The Midwest in American Architecture. Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1991.

Morrison, Hugh. Louis Sullivan: Prophet of Modern Architecture. New York: W.W. Norton Press, 1998.

Schmitt, Ronald E. Sullivanesque: Urban Architecture and Ornamentation. Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 2002.

Sullivan, Louis H. "The Tall Office Building Artistically Considered," Lippincott's Magazine vol. 407, March 1896, 403.

Omaha City Directories

10. Geographical Data

ACI	reage of	property	Less than one acre		_				
JTI	M Refere	ences (place	additional UTM references	s on a continu	ation she	et).			
	Zone	Easting	Northing		Zone	Easting		Northing	
1.	15	253139	4570812	3.					
2.				4.					
				[]	See con	tinuation she	et		
Ver	bal Bou	ndary Desc	ription						
(Des	scribe the l	ooundaries of t	he property on a continuation she	et.)					
Bo	undary .	Justificatio							
Boi (Exp	undary . Iain why th	Justificatio	n were selected on a continuation s				_		
Bou (Exp	undary . Iain why th Form	Justification ne boundaries Prepared	n were selected on a continuation s By						
Box (Exp 11.	Form	Justification ne boundaries Prepared Jennifer H	n were selected on a continuation sl By Ionebrink, AIA, LEED AP	heet.)	da	ate July 20	009		
Bou (Exp 11.	Form he/title	Justification ne boundaries Prepared Jennifer H Alley Po	n were selected on a continuation si By lonebrink, AIA, LEED AP byner Macchietto Archite	heet.)		ite July 20		1544	
Bou (Exp 11. nam orga	Form	Justification ne boundaries Prepared Jennifer H Alley Po	n were selected on a continuation sl By lonebrink, AIA, LEED AP byner Macchietto Archite Cuming Street	heet.)	te	A CONTRACTOR OF THE	402) 34	11-1544 zip code	

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

Continuation Sheets

Maps

A USGS map (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location. A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources.

Photographs

Representative black and white photographs of the property.

Additional items

(Check with the SHPO or FPO for any additional items.)

Property Owner

(Complete this item at the request of the SHPO or FPO.)

name/title Kneisel Enterprises, L		
street & number P.O. Box 54081	telephone (402) 680-1	661
city or town Omaha	state Nebraska zi	p code68154-0818

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determined eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, (15 USC 470 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Project (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

The Anderson Building

Name of Property

Section 10 Page 11

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Verbal Boundary Description

The property includes lot 13, the East 7.8 ft of lot 11 and all of lot 12 as well as 7.8 x 101.12-57.7 x 101.97 57 x 102.87 of Block 0 of the Hillcrest Addition to the City of Omaha.

Boundary Justification

The nominated property includes the parcels historically associated with the Anderson.

Section

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Page

The Anderson Building Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska County and State

Number of Buildings Construction of The Anderson Building Year

Total Buildings Extant

Figure 1: Commercial Apartment Buildings, comparing total constructed with those extant



Figure 2: Commercial Apartment Buildings as a Percentage of all apartment building types ever constructed in Omaha.

Section

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

10

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Page

13

The Anderson Building

Name of Property

Douglas County, Nebraska

County and State



Figure 3: Sanborn Map of Omaha 1934, Partial View of p. 55 Showing Anderson Building and Surrounding Neighborhood

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: NOMINATION

PROPERTY Anderson Building, The NAME:

MULTIPLE Apartments, Flats and Tenements in Omaha, Nebraska from 1880 NAME: -1962

STATE & COUNTY: NEBRASKA, Douglas

DATE RECEIVED: 10/09/09 DATE OF PENDING LIST: 10/27/09 DATE OF 16TH DAY: 11/11/09 DATE OF 45TH DAY: 11/22/09 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:

REFERENCE NUMBER: 09000938

REASONS FOR REVIEW:

APPEAL:	Ν	DATA PROBLEM:	N	LANDSCAPE:	N	LESS THAN 50 YEARS:	N
OTHER:	Ν	PDIL:	Ν	PERIOD:	N	PROGRAM UNAPPROVED:	N
REQUEST:	Ν	SAMPLE:	N	SLR DRAFT:	Ν	NATIONAL:	N

COMMENT WAIVER: N

CCEPT

DECOM /ODTEDT

1.200 DATE RETURN REJECT

ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:

Entered in The National Register of Historic Places

RECOM./CRITERIA	
REVIEWER	DISCIPLINE
TELEPHONE	DATE
the second second second second second	- 2 - S - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C

DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N

If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.



PHOTO 1 of 9; WEST FACADE LOOKING SOUTH EAST; JENNIFER HONEBRINK; APMA; 7/10/09; ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY; NEBRASKA NE_DOUGLAS (OUNTY_ANDERSON BUILDING_DOOL



PHOTO 2 of 9; NORTH FACADE LOOKING SOUTH; JENNIFER HONE BRINK; APMA; 7/7/09 ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS LOUNTY; NEBRASKA NE_DOUGLAS (OUNTY_ANDERSON BUILDING_0002



PHOTO 4 of 9; EAST FACADE LOOKING SOUTHWEST; JENNIFER HONEBRINK; APMA 717/09; ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS (OUNTY; NEBRASKA NE_DOUGLASCOUNTY_ADIDERSON BUILDING_0004



PHOTO 3 of 9; DETAIL OF SULLIVANESQUE ORNAMENT -NORTH FACADE; JENNIFER HONEBRINK; APMA: 7/7/09: ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS (OUNTY; NEBRASKA NE_DOUGLAS (OUNTY_ ANDERSON BUILDING_0003



PHOTO 5 of 9; SOUTH FACADE LOOKING NORTHEAST; JENNIFER HONEBRINK; APMA 7/7/09; ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY; NEBRASKA NE_ DOUGLAS COUNTY_ ANDERSON BUILDING_000 5



PHOTO & of 9; INT. OF STOREFRONT AT TYPICAL COMMERCIAL BAY; JENNIFFR HONEBRINK; APMA; 717109; ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY; NEBRASKA NE- DOUGLAS COUNTY_ANDERSON BUILDING- 0006



PHOTO 7 of 9; PRIMARY INTERIOR STAIR (ASE; JENNIFER HONEBRINK; APMA 7/7/09; ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY; NEBRASKA NE_ DOUGLAS COUNTY_ ANDERSON BUILDING_0007



PHOTO 8 of 9; TYPICAL HALLWAY; JENNIFER HONEBRINK; APMA; 7/7/09; ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS (OUNTY; NEBRASKA NE. DOUGLAS (OUNTY. ANDERSON BUILDING_0008



- NE. DOUGLAS COUNTY_ ANDERSON BUILDING-0009
- NEBRA SKA
- APMA; 7/11/09; ANDERSON BUILDING; OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY;
- PHOTO 9. F9; TUPICAL APT ETTERIOR WALL; JENNIFER HONE BRINK





RE	CEIVED 22801
	OCT 09 2009
Inter Bar	TORIC PLACES

October 5, 2009

J. Paul Loether National Register—National Historic Landmarks Programs National Park Service 1201 "I" Street NW, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20005

RE: Anderson Building (DO09:0122-042) Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska

Dear Mr. Loether:

Please find enclosed the National Register of Historic Places nomination form for the above resource. This form has met all notification and other requirements as established in 36 CFR 60.

If you have any questions concerning this nomination, please let me know.

Sincerely,

L. Robert Puschendorf

Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure

1500 R Street PO Box 82554 Lincoln, NE 68501-2554 p: (800) 833-6747 (402) 471-3270 f: (402) 471-3100 www.nebraskahistory.org