owner objection 0418 No. 10240018

Date of Action

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

JUL 1 7 1989

NATIONAL

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in *Guidelines* for Completing National Register Forms (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials, and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900a). Type all entries.

1. Name of Property		
historic name	Hampton Inn	
other names/site number	The Maples Inn	
2. Location		
street & number	179 Oenoke Ridge	NA_ not for publication
city, town	New Canaan	NA vicinity

			- sinda				1. Constant			
state	CT	code	CT	county	Fairfield	code	001	zip co	de 06840	

3. Classification			
Ownership of Property	Category of Property	Number of Res	ources within Property
X private	🔀 building(s)	Contributing	Noncontributing
public-local	district	2	<u> </u>
public-State	site		sites
public-Federal	structure structure		structures
	object		objects
х х		2	<u> </u>
Name of related multiple property listing:		Number of cont listed in the Na	ributing resources previously tional Register <u>NA</u>

4. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National His X nomination request for determination of elig National Register of Historic Places and meets the In my opinion, the property R meets does no	pibility meets the documentation standards for re p procedural and professional requirements set	egistering properties in the to forth in 36 CFR Part 60.
Signature of certifying official John W. Shannahar		Date
State or Federal agency and bureau		
In my opinion, the property meets does not	meet the National Register criteria.	ntinuation sheet.
Signature of commenting or other official		Date
State or Federal agency and bureau		
L		
5. National Park Service Certification		
I, hereby, certify that this property is:		
entered in the National Register.	beth Savace	8/23/89
determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet.		
determined not eligible for the		
National Register.		
removed from the National Register.		
· · · · · · ·	\mathbf{C}	

Signature of the Keeper

6. Function or Use		······································	
Historic Functions (enter categories from instructions) DOMESTIC/hotel	Current Functions (enter categories from instructions)		
7. Description			
Architectural Classification (enter categories from instructions)	Materials (e	nter categories from instructions)	
	foundation	stone	
Queen Anne/Colonial Revival	walls		
	roof	asbestos shingles	
	other		

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

The Hampton Inn is located half a mile north of New Canaan's business center on the east side of Oenoke Ridge, a main north-south road (Route 124). Next door is the Roger Sherman Inn and across the street are the New Canaan Nature Center and the Presbyterian Church. Built in 1908, the inn is a frame 3-story gable-roofed Colonial Revival structure set well back from the road and surrounded by 1.6 acres of pleasant grounds with shade trees in front and two auxiliary buildings to the rear. (See Photograph 1 and site plan.)

With overall dimensions of approximately 79' x 93', the irregular plan of the inn has a variety of projecting and recessed sections under a high gable roof with many cross gables and dormers. The first two stories are covered with clapboards, while the third-floor gable ends are shingled and flared. (Photograph 2) The front elevation is in the shape of a shallow U with a wide section at the right projecting slightly under a wide gable. A portion of the first floor at the right corner, now enclosed, originally was an open recessed porch. Windows at the first floor are 12-over-1, at the second 9-over-1 (arranged in two groups of three), and at the third

6-over-1 (in two pairs), all with blinds. A radially glazed semielliptical window under tall key block occupies the gable peak. Bargeboards are paneled and a single course of shingles is laid parallel with the bargeboards under the roof edge. (Photograph 3) The building is painted yellow with white trim and green blinds.

The recessed central section of the facade has similar fenestration. The window surrounds there and elsewhere in the building are flat and plain, except for molded caps. The left section of the front elevation is dominated by a large wraparound porch which starts at the main entrance and runs around the north side of the building. It has plain bracketed posts. The porch floor extends out beyond the roof, forming an uncovered platform with balustrade of square balusters and posts. (Photograph 4)

Fenestration of the north side elevation is similar to that of the front, but at the second floor there is a screened sleeping porch and at the third floor a row of four 10-over-1 windows. (Photograph 4) Viewed from the rear, two ells which make up the north two-thirds of the rear elevation become apparent, while the south third has a broad gable with paired windows and semi-elliptical window (Photograph 5), repeating the window arrangement of the front gable of the same section. **United States Department of the Interior** National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Hampton Inn, New Canaan, CT

Section number ____ Page __1

In the grounds, the cottage northeast of the inn is an ell-shaped vernacular 2-story frame structure having a wide front porch and a round-arched attic window. (Photograph 6) Probably built c. 1890, it was moved to this location when the inn was built to provide a residence away from the main building for the innkeeper. Because of its long association with the inn, it is considered contributing. The 2-story structure behind the inn, c. 1940 has garages in its concrete-block first floor and apartments in the shingled second floor. (Photograph 7) It is non-contributing.

The interior of the inn is substantially unaltered. The main entrance opens from the front porch to the lounge, which has a beamed ceiling. Α fireplace is canted across the southwest corner of the room. (Photograph 8) A dogleg stairway with ramped handrail rises in the opposite corner (Photograph 9) to the second floor where rooms and suites are laid out to take advantage of the multiple windows. (Photograph 10) On the third floor, wooden bumpers continue to protect the corners in the hallway, and the channeled door jambs with molded cornices are still in place. (Photograph Bedrooms in the dormers, smaller than on the second floor, retain a 11) cozy atmosphere with their lower ceilings. (Photograph 12) There are ap**po**ximately 20 bedrooms. There is no longer a dining room in the inn; it was discontinued in mid-20th century.

Comparison of the present appearance with a picture from an early advertising brochure (Photograph 13) demonstrates how little the inn has changed since it was built. Even the porch extension is original, albeit originally with an awning. The picture suggests that the thirdfloor shingles were a dark color, and paint scrapings confirm that the original color scheme was a light green for the clapboards of the first two floors, dark brown for the attic shingles, and white for the trim. Interior views show that the beams of the lounge (called the reception room) were dark but the ceiling between them white, and the walls and the fireplace mantel dark. The trim was natural cypress. The grounds, then larger (13 acres), are depicted as carefully tended, featuring fruit trees, flower gardens, and neatly trimmed hedges.

8. Statement of Significance	
Certifying official has considered the significance of this property in	relation to other properties:
nationally state	wide 🛛 locally
Applicable National Register Criteria XA B XC D	
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)	E F G
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions)	Period of Significance Significant Dates 1908
Entertainment/Recreation	1908-c. 1925 1908
	Cultural Affiliation NA
Significant Person	Architect/Builder
1453	Architect - Robert Elwood Builder - Elwood Brothers
	DUTTACT DIMONA DIOCHEID

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

An early-20th-century country inn constructed in the Colonial Revival style, which continues in its original use, the Hampton Inn is the only example of its building type in New Canaan. The structure possesses fine integrity, having been little altered on either the interior or exterior since it was built. (Criterion C) In its function as a resort, where guests spent leisurely summer days, the inn reflects a way of life that was important in Connecticut and the northeast, but now has almost entirely disappeared. (Criterion A)

History

New Canaan began to attract summer visitors soon after the Civil War. As early as 1869 homes were opened to boarders from New York City drawn by the alleged special, magical quality of New Canaan's air and "nearness to salt water, thus in a measure combining both seaside and mountain."¹ Until the end of the century, boarding houses continued to offer the only accommodations for vacationers, with the exception of the New Canaan Hotel (also known as the Birdsall House), a commercial hostelry near the center of town whose porches were filled with summer people.²

The William E. Bond House, c. 1874, next door to the Hampton Inn, was one of the boarding houses. It was enlarged to become the Holmewood Inn, and in 1960 became the Roger Sherman Inn. The Roger Sherman now functions primarily as a restaurant. In 1906, a home two blocks from the railroad station was converted into a year-round boarding house called the Ardsley Inn, continuing in business to 1934.

In 1908, Mrs. W.H. Thompson of New York City, an experienced inn keeper, bought property on Oenoke Ridge, demolished the existing house, and built the Hampton Inn, which she named for her son. She managed the inn until the mid-1920s, during the era when guests made the trip from New York by train, or perhaps "motored" up, with a trunk or two, a lady's

9. Major Bibliographical References	
"Hampton Inn." New Canaan <u>Messenge</u>	er (no month or day), 1908.
Hampton Inn advertisement. New Can	aan <u>Messenger</u> , June 6, 1908, 3:5.
"Hampton Inn." Brochure, c. 1908.	
New Canaan Historical Society Annual	<u>1, 1982</u> , v. 9, no. 2.
"New Canaan, Connecticut," v iew. Bo	oston: O.H. Bailey, 1878.
	See continuation sheet
Previous documentation on file (NPS):	Primary location of additional data:
has been requested	State historic preservation office
previously determined eligible by the National Register	Federal agency
designated a National Historic Landmark	Local government
Survey #	Other
recorded by Historic American Engineering	Specify repository:
Record #	
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of property <u>1.6</u>	
UTM References	
A 1 8 6 2 5 8 6 0 4 5 5 6 7 7 0 Zone Easting Northing	B J Zone Easting Northing
	See continuation sheet
Verbal Boundary Description	
	d in the New Canaan Land Records at
volume 275, page 592.	·
	See continuation sheet
Boundary Justification	
The boundary is the limit of the la	and that now goes with the inn.
	See continuation sheet
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title David F. Ransom/Consultant, r	Reviewed by John Herzan, National Register Coordin
organization <u>Connecticut Historical Commi</u> street & number 59 South Prospect Street	<u>LSS101</u> date <u>NOVERIDEL 25, 1980</u>
street & number <u>59 South Prospect Street</u> city or town <u>Hartford</u>	telephone203_566-3005 stateCTzip code 06106

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Hampton Inn, New Canaan, CT Section number <u>8</u> Page <u>1</u>

maid, and possibly a chaffeur. Suites on the first and second floors provided appropriate living for the guests and the smaller rooms on the third floor acommodated their staffs. Leisurely meals in the comfortable dining room, sleepy afternoons on the wide veranda under brightly colored striped awnings, and enjoyment of the spacious grounds with a splendid view of Long Island Sound were the order of the day. Mme. Chiang Kai Shek, Lillian Gish, and the artist Ernest Albert were among the distinguished guests over the years.

In its heyday, the Hampton Inn was an early form of "destination resort" that provided a full program of low-key activities then in popular demand. While built as a hotel, the atmosphere was domestic. Guests had their own tables in the dining room, greeting ano another when entering the room. The menu was table d'hote. Some stays were prolonged, providing interim residence between major moves. This pattern of usage was commonplace along the eastern seaboard, but has now declined to close to the vanishing point.

Patronage of the Hampton Inn declined during the 1930s Depression years and the World War II period. By the 1950s, few vacationers were willing to spend their summers in rocking chairs. The fortunes of the Hampton Inn declined accordingly, with the property changing hands several times while maintenance and upkeep of the premises suffered for lack of attention. In 1982, to signal a reversal in conditions, the name was changed to The Maples Inn when the present owner acquired the property and began its rehabilitation. The inn is significant because it is the only structure built in New Canaan as a summer inn and the only structure continuing to function as an inn.

Architecture

In architecture, the structure reads as the Colonial Revival style articulated by its relative symmetry, gable roofs, and 12-over-1 window sash. The present color scheme of yellow with white trim is appropriate to the style. Several individual Colonial Revival features, such as the radially glazed attic windows and paired and triple windows, add to the effect. The row of four10-over-1 windows at the third floor in the north elevation (Photograph 4) reflect influence from the Shingle style.

Some components of the structure, however, are lingering features of the Queen Anne style, which enjoyed great popularity toward the end of the 19th century but was retardataire by 1908 when the inn was built. The irregular ground plan of projecting and receding sections (see Site Plan), **United States Department of the Interior** National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Hampton Inn, New Canaan, CT
Section number 8 Page 2

use or varied sheathing materials (clapboards and shingles), and the steep pitch of the asymmetrical gable roofs all bespeak the Queen Anne. The paneled bargeboards are unusually late. The sleeping porch on the north elevation is a function of turn-of-the-century preoccupation with the perceived benefits of fresh air. Also, the original darker color scheme of green and brown is more characteristic of Queen Anne than Colonial Revival buildings.

A similar mixture of styles prevails on the interior. Again, the first impression, in the lounge, is of the Colonial Revival. The beamed ceiling, simple fireplace mantel, and wide stairway with ramped handrail are up to date for 1908. Yet the channeled doorway surrounds and hallway corner bumpers found on the upper floors are holdovers from 19th-century practice. The layout in the rooms, with more bedrooms than bathrooms, still in effect today, is another feature that dates the inn.

The contractor for construction was the New Canaan firm of Elwood Brothers. It is presumed that Robert Elwood, the architect, was associated with the contractor.

¹New Canaan Historical Society Annual, 1982, v. 9, no. 2, p. 7.

²An 1878 O.H. Bailey view depicts the Birdsall House as a three-story Second Empire structure with central mansard-roofed tower. The building was demolished, c. 1951, as being unsafe.

United States Department of the interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Hampton Inn, New Canaan, CT

Section number Photos Page _____

Front elevation View east Photograph 1	Copy of picture from 1908 brochure Photograph 13
Front and south side elevations View northeast Photograph 2	
Detail of Photograph 2 Photograph 3	Photographs were taken by D. Ransom in August 1988.
North side and front elevations View southeast Photograph 4	Negatives are on file at the Connecticut Historical Commission
Rear elevation View northwest Photograph 5	
Cottage View east Photograph 6	
Garage and apartments View northeast Photograph 7	
Lounge View southwest Photograph 8	
Lounge View northeast Photograph 9	
Second-floor suite View west Photograph 10	
Third-floor hall View north Photograph ll	
Third-floor bedroom View west Photograph 12	

