RECEIVED 2280

APR 1 / 1998

### United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

#### **National Register of Historic Places Registration Form**

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and distribusional PARK SERVICE

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and distribusional PARK SERVICE

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. I	Name of Property								
historic name		Otranto Br	idge						_
othe	er names/site number								
2. I	Location								
stree	et & number	480th	Avenue	over Big C	edar River		. 🗆	not for pu	ublication
city or town 6.5 miles no			northwest of St. Ansgar					vicinity	
state	e <u>Iowa</u>	code IA	county	Mitchell	code	131		zip code	50472
3. \$	State/Federal Agency C	ertification							
	As the designated authority request for determination of Historic Places and meets property meets doe nationally x statewise.  Signature of certifying official state or Federal agency and Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and State or Federal agency and State or Federal agency and	of eligibility meets the procedural s not meet the Na locally. ( Se loc	ts the document and professional register see continuation in the second	eation standards for all requirements set criteria. I recomme sheet for additional	registering proper forth in 36 CFR F nd that this proper comments.)	ties in the Part 60. In ty be cons	Nation my coiderect	nal Register opinion, the I significant	
<u>4.  </u>	National Park Service C	<u>ertification</u>		<del></del>	$\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$	<del>/)                                    </del>	H	<b>/</b>	
	reby certify that the propentered in the National Formulation should be continuation should be continuation should be continuation should be continuation should be continuation.	Register eet ne National Re	egister	Talson	N 14, 1	Dea		<u>/ 5·</u>	15 -98 
	determined not eligible fremoved from the Nation other, (explain):		al Register						
	,								

Otranto Bridge		Mitchell County; Iowa				
5. Classification						
Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)	Category of Property (Check only one box)	Number of Resources within Property (Do not include previously listed resources in the count)				
□ private	<ul><li>building(s)</li><li>district</li><li>site</li><li>structure</li><li>object</li></ul>	Contributing	Noncontributing			
public-local			0	buildings		
public-State		0	0	sites		
□ public-Federal		1	0	structures		
		0	0	objects		
		1	0	 Total		
Highway Bridges of Ic	owa	0				
Historic Functions		Current Functi	ons			
(Enter categories from instructions)		(Enter categories from instructions)				
TRANSPORTATION/ro	oad-related	VACANT/not in use				
7. Description						
Architectural Classification (Enter categories from instructions)		Materials (Enter categories from instructions)				
other: Camelback thro	ough truss	foundation Concrete and Stone				

#### **Narrative Description**

(Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets)

Located 6.5 miles northwest of St. Ansgar, the Otranto Bridge spans the Big Cedar River in a rural Mitchell County setting that has changed little since the structure's period of significance. A description of the structure follows:

construction date: 1899 span number: 1 construction cost: \$3257.00 170.0° span length: 170.0 current condition: fair total length:

roadway wdt.: 16.0' bridge closed alterations:

superstructure: steel, 10-panel, pin-connected, Camelback through truss with subdivided panels

substructure: concrete and stone abutments and wingwalls floor/decking: asphalt-covered timber deck over wood stringers

other features: upper chord and inclined end post: 2 channels with cover plate and lacing; lower ch-

ord: 2 punched rectangular eyebars; vertical: 2 channels with lacing (2 looped round eyerods at the hips), 2 channels with lacing from upper chord to pin, 2 looped, squaresection rods below; diagonal: 2 punched rectangular eyebars; counter: 2 looped square eyebars; portal bracing: 2 angles with single-angle struts; sway bracing: 2 angles with round-section rod diagonals; floor beam: I-beam pinned to superstructure;

walls roof

other

Steel

channel upright with channel and angle rails; timber curbs, raised above deck

Other than maintenance-related repairs, the bridge remains essentially unaltered as it continues to carry vehicular traffic. The Otranto Bridge today retains a high degree of integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association.

8. Statement of Significance				
Applicable National Register Criteria (Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing)	Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions)			
□ A Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.	ENGINEERING			
□ B Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.				
■ C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses				
high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components	Period of Significance			
lack individual distinction.	1899			
□ D Property has yielded, or is likely to yield,	(The period of significance is derived			
information important in prehistory or history.	from the original construction date.)			
Criteria Considerations (Mark 'x' in all the boxes that apply)	Significant Dates			
Property is:	1899 (construction date)			
□ A owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.				
☐ <b>B</b> removed from its original location.	Significant Person (Complete if Criterion B is marked above)			
☐ C a birthplace or grave.	N/A			
□ D a cemetery.	Cuitural Affiliation			
☐ E a reconstructed building, object, or structure.	N/A			
☐ F a commemorative property.				
☐ G less than 50 years of age or achieved significance	Architect/Builder			
within the past 50 years.	designer: Chicago Bridge and Iron Company			
	fabricator:			
	Chicago Bridge and Iron Co.; Carnegie Steel			
Narrative Statement of Significance (Explain the significance of the property on continuation sheets.)	Chicago Bridge and Iron Company			
9. Major Bibliographical References				
Bibliography (Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on	n one or more continuation sheets.)			
Previous documentation on file (NPS):	Primary location of additional data:			
preliminary determination of individual listing (36	■ State Historic Preservation Office			
CFR 67) has been requested previously listed in the National Register	<ul><li>□ other State agency</li><li>□ Federal agency</li></ul>			
previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National	<ul> <li>☐ Federal agency</li> <li>☐ Local government</li> </ul>			
Register	☐ University			
<ul><li>☐ designated a National Historic Landmark</li><li>☐ recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey</li></ul>	□ other   name of repository:			
recorded by Historic American Engineering Record	name of repository.			

Otranto	<b>Bridge</b>
---------	---------------

	-3-		<b>,,</b>
10. Geographic	al Data		
Acreage of Prop	erty less than one acre		
UTM References (Place additional UTM	s I references on a continuation sheet)		
1 15 5014 zone easting	60 4811460 2	zone easting	northing
centered on the	Description  Tries of the property)  I property is a rectangular-shaped parce  E UTM point(s) listed above. Included w  substructure, approach spans and floor s	ithin this re	g 18 feet by 170 feet, which is ectangular parcel are the bridge's
Boundary Justific	cation ndaries were selected)		
The nominated proach spans a	l structure includes the bridge's superstr nd the property on which they rest. Thes erty that has been historically associated	e boundarie	es encompass, but do not exceed,
11. Form Prepa	red By		
name/title	John Lauber		
organization	Fraserdesign	date	31 August 1994
street & number	1269 Cleveland Avenue	telephone _	303-669-7969
city or town	Loveland	state	Colorado zip code 80537
Additional Docur	nentation		
Submit the following i	items with the completed form:		
Continuation She	eets		
A Sketch Photographs	map (7½ or 15 minute series) indicating the prop map for historic districts and properties having tative black and white photographs of the prop	large acreage	
•	talive black and write protographs of the prot	City	
Additional Items (Check with the SHPC	O or FPO for any additional items)		
Property Owner			
(Complete this item at	t the request of SHPO or FPO)		
name/title	Mitchell County		
street & number	Route 2 - Box 6C	telephone	515-732-5849
city or town	Osage	state	Iowa zip code 50461

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Projects (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

## **National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet**

Section Number	8	Page _	1	Otranto Bridge	Mitchell County; Iowa

The Otranto Bridge carries an abandoned county road across the Big Cedar River just east of the hamlet of Otranto in Mitchell County. On January 3, 1899, the Chicago Bridge and Iron Company signed a contract with the Mitchell County Board of Supervisors to build two bridges near Otranto and Osage for the aggregate sum of \$5000.00. The Otranto Bridge accounted for \$3257.00 of that amount. According to an undated proposal for the construction project, "old bridges in each case [were] to be carefully taken down and piled at a convenient place on [the] bank." CB&I was established in 1899 by Horace E. Horton, a civil engineer who first began building iron and steel bridges for Mitchell County during the late 1870s, while practicing in Rochester, Minnesota. The Otranto Bridge illustrates Horton's penchant for the unusual in his truss design. A Camelback through truss with subdivided panels, this structure is supported by concrete and stone abutments and features pinned connections throughout. Functioning in place today, the Otranto Bridge is a structurally sound example of this peculiar bridge configuration.

Straight-chorded Pratt through trusses were used extensively throughout Iowa for medium-span crossings in the late 19th and 20th centuries. For longer crossings, however, bridge companies could develop greater efficiency with polygonal-chorded Pratt variants - primarily Parker, Pennsylvania and Camelback trusses. With its distinctive five-faceted upper chords, the Camelback configuration was disdained by some engineers (including the redoubtable J.A.L. Waddell, who called it "uncompromisingly ugly") for its tendency under certain circumstances to reverse compressive and tensile forces acting on their individual members. As a result, Camelback trusses never received widespread acceptance. Relatively few were ever built on Iowa's roads, and only a handful has survived to the present. The Otranto Bridge is technologically significant as a well-preserved example of this uncommon Pratt variant.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

# **National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet**

Section Number 9 Page 2 Otranto Bridge Mitchell County; Iowa

Contracts for the Otranto Bridge, included in the Mitchell County Engineer's Records, 1875-1910, located at the Mitchell County Auditor's Office, Mitchell County Courthouse, Osage IA.

Victor C. Darnell, Directory of American Bridge-Building Companies, 1840-1900 (Washington, D.C.: Society for Industrial Archaeology, 1984).

Field inspection by Charlene Roise, 16 July 1991.