## United States Department of the Interior

۲

determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet.

removed from the National Register.

determined not eligible for the

National Register.

]other, (explain:) \_

## National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in Guidelines
for Completing National Register Forms (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering
the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials,
and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets
(Form 10-900a). Type all entries.

1. Name of Property							
historic name Bridge No. L4013							
other names/site number Black Hammer Township Stone-Arch Bridge							
	-						
2. Location							
street & number Twp. Rd. 126 over Riceford Creeknot for publication N/							
city, town Black Hammer Township							
state Minnesota code MN county Houston code 055 zip code 55921							
3. Classification							
Ownership of Property	Category of Property		urces within Property				
private	building(s)	Contributing	Noncontributing				
x public-local	district		buildings				
public-State	site		sites				
public-Federal	x structure		structures				
	object		objects				
		_1	0 Total				
Name of related multiple property listin	g:	Number of contr	ibuting resources previously				
Minnesota Masonry-Arch High	<u>nway Brid</u> ges	listed in the Nat	onal Register _0				
4. State/Federal Agency Certifica	tion						
4. State/Federal Agency Certifica							
As the designated authority under the	ne National Historic Preservation Act	of 1966, as amended	, I hereby certify that this				
x nomination request for determined	nination of eligibility meets the docu	mentation standards for	registering properties in the				
National Register of Historic Places							
In my opinion, the property - meet	ts 🗌 does not meet the National Re	egister criteria. 🗌 See	continuation sheet.				
5/14/98 5/14/98							
Gignature of continuing official Jan R. Stewart							
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer							
State or Federal agency and bureau Minnesota Historical Society							
In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the National Register criteria. See continuation sheet.							
In my opinion, the property mee		gister criteria. 🛄 See	continuation sneet.				
Signature of commenting or other officia	I		Date				
State or Federal agency and bureau							
5. National Park Service Certification							
I, hereby, certify that this property is:							
Ventered in the National Register.	0.0		/ /				
See continuation sheet.	Sett Bolero	K	7/5/90				

Signature of the Keeper

**Date of Action** 

OMB No. 1024-0018

MAY 2 2 1990

NATIONAL REGISTER

6. Function or Use	
Historic Functions (enter categories from instructions)	Current Functions (enter categories from instructions)
ransportation, road-related (vehicular)	Transportation, road-related (vehicular)
7. Description	
Architectural Classification (enter categories from instructions)	Materials (enter categories from instructions)
	foundation Stone, limestone
Other: Stone-arch bridge	walls
	roof
	other Stone, limestone

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

Located in a wooded rural area of Houston County about 8 miles west of the City of Caledonia, the structure is a singe-span, stone-arch bridge that carries an unpaved east-west road over a branch of Riceford Creek.

Built of coursed-ashlar, buff-colored limestone, the bridge displays a semicircular arch with a 12-foot, 6-inch span. The limestone matches farmstead foundations in the general vicinity, strongly suggesting a local origin. The quarry, however, has not been identified. The voussoirs are well blocked and uniform, measuring 8 inches in width and 12 inches in height. Joints are about 1-inch thick. Except for a few crude patches of repointing, the mortar has almost completely disintegrated from the intrados joints. The arch, however, retains its shape without any signs of settling. The arch springs about 4 feet above grade from sharply defined impost ledges that extend outward from the intrados about 6 inches. Constructed on a slight skew, the bridge has an overall width of approximately 18 feet. Spandrel walls are continuous with slightly flared wing walls.

To protect the foundations from the scour, the streambed under the bridge has been paved in concrete. Photographs from the 1950s and 1960s show stone parapet walls with pipe-metal railings on both sides of the roadway. These features have been been removed, leaving a ragged masonry line flush with the roadway. None of the alterations affects the bridge's most significant elements, which are the design of the impost ledges and the configuration of the arch itself.

See continuation sheet

8. Statement of Significance										······································
Certifying official has considered the	-	nce of t ationali		erty in x statev		to other		s:		
Applicable National Register Criteria		Шв	хc	D						
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)		В	□c	D	E	F	G			
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions) Engineering			-		Period (		ficance			Significant Dates
					Gultural	I Affiliat	ion			
Significant Person N/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Archited	ct/Builde	er Rasmus	sen,	Alfred	J., engineer

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

Bridge No. L4013 embodies engineering significance in the context of Minnesota masonry-arch highway bridges of the period 1870 to 1945. Constructed in 1915, the structure is important as the only surviving, authenticated example of an early twentieth-century, state-designed, stone-arch bridge. Since its design is replicated in other counties, the bridge provides strong evidence that the Minnesota State Highway Commission (MHC) attempted to standardize stone-arch bridge construction in much the same way that it sought to create uniformity in the design of steel and concrete bridges.

As the historical context points out (see Section E, pp. 6-7), the MHC was officially organized in 1905 to improve the quality of roads and bridges in the state. To fulfill its responsibilities, the MHC assigned field engineers to assist county governments with highway projects, and prepared a series of standard bridge plans, including "plans for beam spans, plate girders, low trusses and high trusses, reinforced concrete slab and girder bridges." Although MHC reports do not mention a stone-arch plan, the commission's field engineers apparently had at their disposal a standard short-span design appropriate for the limestone region of southeastern Minnesota. In January 1915, for example, Houston County requested Alfred J. Rasmussen, the MHC engineer for that county, "to make a survey and draw plans" for a bridge in Section 20 (southwest quarter of northwest quarter) of Black Hammer Township. The county approved the plans a month later, and, although county records provide no further information on the project, the bridge presumably was built by the end of the summer.<sup>2</sup>

X See continuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

## National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Bridge No. L4013, Houston County, MN
Section number \_\_\_\_\_ Page \_\_\_\_

In its basic design, Bridge No. L4013 is a 12-foot-span, semicircular stone arch with distinctive, 6-inch-wide impost ledges, which probably served as supports for the arch centering. Although it is perhaps not surprising that a nearby bridge in Black Hammer Township (Bridge No. L4009) incorporates the same kind of construction, it is remarkable that the design repeats itself on early twentieth-century, rural bridges in Fillmore County (L4770) and Wabasha County (Bridge Nos. L1122, 93741). The construction history of these bridges is unknown, but it is unlikely that Rasmussen was their designer. MHC records indicate that he was assigned only to Fillmore County, which hired him away from the state to fill the newly created position of county engineer in the summer of 1915, reappointing him the next year. By 1920, Rasmussen had relocated to Lacrosse, Wisconsin where he established a private business as "engineer and contractor." Instead of a single designer, the geographic range of the "impost-ledge type" of stone-arch bridge suggests the existence of a state-sponsored standard plan, which would have been in keeping with the MHC's general policy toward bridge design.

Notes

- Minnesota State Highway Commission, <u>Report of the State Highway Commission of</u> Minnesota for 1914 (n. pub., 1915), p. 222.
- Proceedings of the Houston County Board of Commissioners, January 6, February 13, 1915. Houston County Courthouse.
- 3. Proceedings, June 22, 1915, March 29, 1916; "Roster of Membership," The Bulletin of the Affiliated Engineering Societies of Minnesota, 5 (August 1920), 23.

## 9. Major Bibliographical References

Minnesota State Highway Commission. Report of Minnesota for 1914. N. pub., 1915.	the State Highway Commission of
Proceedings of the Houston County Board of Comm June 22, 1915; March 29, 1916. Houst	
"Roster of Membership." <u>The Bulletin of the Af</u> <u>Minnesota</u> , 5 (August 1920), 18-24.	ffiliated Engineering Societies of
	tuz tari se ili k
Previous documentation on file (NPS):	See continuation sheet
preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67)	Primary location of additional data:
has been requested	X State historic preservation office
previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National Register	Other State agency
designated a National Historic Landmark	Local government
recorded by Historic American Buildings	
Survey #	Other
recorded by Historic American Engineering     Record #	Specify repository:
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of property Less than one acre.	
UTM References A 115 6 014 4 310 4 8 310 51510 Zone Easting Northing	B L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
	See continuation sheet
Verbal Boundary Description	
The nominated property is defined by a rectangl north-south, whose vertices coincide with the	
	See continuation sheet
Boundary Justification	
Based on field measurements, as well as measure of Transportation, the boundaries enclose the b including wing walls.	
	See continuation sheet
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title _Jeffrey A. Hess, Historical Consultant	,
organization N/A	date August 1988
street & number 305 Grain Exchange Building	telephone
city or town <u>Minneapolis</u>	state <u>Minnesota</u> zip code <u>55415</u>

.•