| 2. INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION 3. PRIORITY 4. DANGER OF DEMOLITION? (SPECIFY THREAT) D YES M NOWN Bridges, Trestles, and Aqueducts 1 6. GOVT SOURCE OF THREAT OWNER ADMIN ARCH: concrete 7 5 9 5. DATE 1911-14 6. GOVT SOURCE OF THREAT OWNER ADMIN 7. OWNER/ADMIN City of Spokane City of Spokane City of Spokane City of Spokane |
|---|
| Bridges, Trestles, and Aqueducts 1 ARCH: concrete 7 5 9 5 1911-14 6. GOVT SOURCE OF THREAT OWNER ADMIN |
| ARCH: concrete 7 5 9 5 1911-14 6. GOVT SOURCE OF THREAT OWNER ADMIN 7. OWNER/ADMIN 7. OWNER/ADMIN |
| 7. OWNER/ADMIN |
| |
| City of Spokane |
| |
| 8. NAME(S) OF STRUCTURE 9. OWNER'S ADDRESS |
| Sunset Boulevard Bridge West 221 Wall Street |
| Spokane, Washington |
| |
| 10. STATE W A COUNTY NAME CITY/VICINITY CONG. STATE COUNTY NAME CITY/VICINITY |
| COUNTY 0 6 3 Spokane Spokane DIST. 0 5 COUNTY DIST. |
| 11. SITE ADDRESS (STREET & NO) 12. EXISTING NR NHL HABS HAER-1 HAER NPS CL6 |
| Crossing: Latah Creek |
| 13. SPECIAL FEATURES (DESCRIBE BELOW) |
| S.T.R.: 24 25N 42E |
| 14. UTM ZONE EASTING NORTHING SIGN SCALE 1:24 🕅 1:62.5 |
| 1 1 4 6 6 4 5 0 5 2 7 7 2 7 0 OTHER |
| UTM ZONE EASTING NORTHING SIGN SCALE 1:24 1:62.5 QUAD |
| |
| 15. CONDITION. 70 EXCELLENT 71 GOOD 72 FAIR 73 DETERIORATED 74 RUINS 75 UNEXPOSED 76 ALTERED 82 DESTROYED 85 DEMOLISHED |
| 16. INVENTORIED BY DATE |
| Lisa Soderberg HAER/Washington State Bridge Inventory June 1979 |
| 17. DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND HISTORY, INCLUDING CONSTRUCTION DATE(S), HISTORICAL DATE(S), PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS, MATERIALS, EXTANT EQUIPMENT, AND IMPORTANT BUILDERS, ENGINEERS, ETC. |
| In 1911, construction was begun on the second of Spokane's grand, monumental concrete arches, the Latah Creek Brid |
| This massive 1070 foot structure with distinctive classical detailing on the seven semicircular arch spans, evokes an |
| undeniable sense of power. The bridge consists of two 150 foot arches, two 135 foot arches, one 128 foot arch, and two |
| 54 foot abutment or approach arches. In order to connect two different streets on opposite ends of the valley, two |
| arches on the west end were built on a skew with their piers on radial lines. |
| In contrast to the flattened central arch of its predecessor at Monroe Street, the Latah Creek Bridge is composed |
| of a series of Roman or semi-circular arches. Carl Condit points out in his volume on American Building Art that this |
| arch form looks back to an older day in concrete arch design. However, there was some justification to the choice of |

| | because "the semicircular form exerts consideration in pier construction in | a thrust at the abutment with a minimum horizontal a bridge of such magnitude. In addition, some of | component (CONTOVER) |
|------------------|--|---|-------------------------|
| 18. ORIGINAL USE | PRESENT USE | ADAPTIVE USE | |

| vم | hi | cu ¹ | lar | |
|-----|-----|-----------------|--------|--|
| vei | n 1 | CU | l d l' | |

vehicular 19. REFERENCES-HISTORICAL REFERENCES, PERSONAL CONTACTS, AND/OR OTHER

City Engineering files.

J.F. Greene, "The Latah Creek Bridge, Spokane, Washington, " <u>Engineering News</u>, Vol. 69, 27 March 1913. Carl Condit, <u>American Building Art</u>, 2 Vols., (New York, 1961), 2:201.

(CONT OVER)

| 20. URBAN AREA 50,000 POP. OR MORE? | | 21. NPS REGION | 22. PUBLIC ACCESSIBILITY | YES, LIMITED | YES, UNLIMITED | | 23. EDITOR INDEXER | |
|--|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 24. LOCATED IN AN HISTORIC DISTRICT? | D YES | M NO | NAME | | , | DISTRICT I.D. NO | | 6 |

Description (continued)

the box type piers were heavier than usual because much of the foundation material was compact sand which necessitated the construction of heavy reinforced-concrete spread foundations. In an article in <u>Engineering News</u>, J.F. Greene, the bridge construction engineer, gave an explanation for the choice of the arch design: "In the determination of span lengths for the arches, architectural considerations were given much weight and an effort was made to effect a combination which was adapted to the ground line and site. The intrados and extrados curves are many centered, and were so laid out as to give a neutral axis which conformed very closely with the thrust line under dead load."

Each arch consists of four arch ribs. In all spans the two outer ribs are four feet wide, while the two inner ribs are 6 feet wide. They are spaced 16 feet 6 inches center to center. Each outer rib is connected to the adjacent rib by a thin slab at the intrados. The floor slab connects the inner two ribs. Three keys were inserted in each arch rib, one at the crown and one at each third point. Each of the third point keys contains a 12 x 12 inch reinforcedconcrete strut as a hinge.

Above each of the five main arches, are four spandrel arches. The two abutment arches have closed spandrels. The spandrel columns and arches which rest on the arch rings support a 45 foot roadway with two 7 foot sidewalks edged with ornamental cast iron posts. The roadway accommodates a double track for heavy interurban electric railway cars.

In the spandrel wall, concealed behind pilasters, expansion joints of steel rods and hoops have been inserted at the end of each panel to compensate for stress caused by temperature changes.

The falsework upon which the arch was centered consisted of a series of 8×8 inch posts spaced about 14 feet center to center longitudinally, and 5 feet apart transversely. Corbels were placed on these posts over which was built a rib. The ribs were designed to carry the load to the posts by arch action.

The concrete plant which consisted of a storage bin and mixer was located at the east end of the bridge. An industrial railway operated by a gasoline locomotive ran along the south half of the original timber trestle from which there were turnouts and hoppers for depositing the concrete in the arches and piers. In order to concrete each pair of arch ribs, the concrete was poured into eight voissoir sections.

The steel for the \$416,000 structure was fabricated by the Lackamanna Steel Company, and the cement for the concrete was supplied by the Inland Portland Cement Company.

As is evident in the countless similarities between the two bridges, many of the people who were responsible for the design and construction of the Monroe Street Bridge were also responsible for the design and construction of the Latah Creek Bridge. Morton McCartney, who was a key individual in the construction of the Monroe Street Bridge, supervised the design and construction of the Latah Creek Bridge as City Engineer. Plans were prepared by W.S. Maloney with consulting advise from Waddell and Harrington of Kansas City. Waddell and Harrington also participated in the design of the falsework and in the planning of construction methods. J.F. Greene and W.H. Fisher were the construction engineers. J.F. Cunningham of Spokane was the contractor.

Like the Monroe Street Bridge, the Latah Creek Bridge is an early example within the State of a long-span fixed-enc arch. Although the semi-circular arches of the Latah Creek Bridge were reminiscent of an earlier age of concrete and masonry arch construction, the steel reinforcement of the concrete structure pointed towards the future in concrete arch design.





