National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ Page ____

SUPPLEMENTARY LISTING RECORD

Date Listed: 1/20/94 NRIS Reference Number: 93001476

Roseland Plantation Property Name

Marengo ALABAMA County State

Plantations of the Alabama Canebrake MPS Multiple Name

This property is listed in the National Register of Historic Places in accordance with the attached nomination documentation subject to the following exceptions, exclusions, or amendments, notwithstanding the National Park Service certification included in the nomination documentation.

Mig M. My Signature of the Keeper

<u>Z/10/94</u> Date of Action

Amended Items in Nomination:

Section No. 8

This nomination is amended to add Archeology: Historic-Non-Aboriginal as an area of significance and to show the cultural affiliation as American.

These changes were confirmed by telephone with the Alabama SHPO.

					Fre per per er	1476
NPS Form 10-90 (Oct. 1990)	00					TOMENO. 10024-0018
United State	s Department of t	he Interior	R	ECEIVED		· · · · ·
National Parl	k Service		DE	C 0 6 199 3	FEB 1	2 1993
National	Register of H	listoric Place	es	NATIONAL		
Registrat	ion Form			REGISTER	Ala. Idictorica	! Commission
National Register by entering the in architectural class	r of Historic Places Reg information requested. ssification materials, au	istration Form (Nation If an item does not ap nd areas of significance	al Register Bulle oply to the prope ce, enter only ca	tin 16A). Complete rty being document tegories and subcat	ricts. See instructions each item by marking ted, enter "N/A" for ' tegories from the inst	in <i>How to Complete the</i> g "x" in the appropriate box or not applicable." For functions, ructions. Place additional er, to complete all items.
1. Name of	Property					······································
historic name	Roseland Pla	Intation	<u>.</u>	- 		
other names/	site number	e Frank Gaines	Belace			
2. Location						
			······		*****	
street & num	ber <u>Marengo Co</u>	ounty Road 54			N(A not for publication
city or town _	Faunsdale					_ 🖄 vicinity
state _Alaba	<u>ma</u>	code _AL c	county <u>Mar</u>	engo	code <u>091</u>	zip code <u>36738</u>
2 State/Fed	eral Agency Certi	liestion				
Signature Alabar State of F	Places and meets the p does not meet the ally statewide to of certifying official/Titl ma Historical rederal agency and bur nion, the property rs.)	National Register crit bocally. (Dise continu- le Commission (Sizeau	teria. I recomme uation sheet for / (J/ Date tate Histo	nd that this property additional comments (133 pric Preserva	y be considered signif s.) ation Office)	icant
Signatura	of certifying official/Tit		Date			
Signature	or certifying onicial/110		Dan	•		
State or F	Federal agency and bur	reau				
4. National	Park Service Certi	fication				
	that the property is:		fo Signatu	re of the Keeper		Date of Action
Rentered	in the National Registe	er.	Mal) Inn in	a. –	11201011
determir Nation	See continuation sheet hed eligible for the hal Register See continuation sheet	_	- may		M	120/44
determin Nation	ned not eligible for the nal Register.	-				
removed Regist	t from the National ter.					
		- .				

5. Classification				
Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)Category of Property (Check only one box)		Number of Resources within Property (Do not include previously listed resources in the count.)		
☑ private ☐ public-local	G building(s) ☐ district	Contributing	Noncontributing 0	buildings
public-State public-Federal	☐ site ☐ structure ☐ object	1	0	sites
				structures
,		6	0	Total
Name of related multiple p (Enter "N/A" if property is not part	or a multiple property listing.)	Number of con in the National	tributing resources pre Register	viously listed
Plantations of the Al Associated outbuildin	abama Canebrake and their ngs (1818-1942)	0		
6. Function or Use				
Historic Functions (Enter categories from instructions)	· · · · ·	Current Functions (Enter categories from		
Domestic/single dwelling		Domestic/single dwelling/ruinous		
Domestic/secondary structure		Domestic/secondary_structure/vacant		
Domestic/Subsistence/animal_facility		Agriculture/Su	ubsistence/animal	facility/vaca
7. Description				
Architectural Classification (Enter categories from instructions) Mid-19th Century		Materials (Enter categories from	instructions)	
		foundation Brick		
Greek Revival		walls Wood		
		roofAspha	lt	·····
		other		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Narrative Description (Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

.

Roseland		Marengo County, Alabama County and State			
Name	of Property				
8. S	latement of Significance				
Applicable National Register Criteria (Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property		Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions)			
	tional Register listing.)	Architecture			
— •	o the transmission built arrests that have made	<u>Menifecture</u>			
	Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of				
	our history.				
⊔B	Property is associated with the lives of persons				
	ignificant in our past.				
C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics					
	of a type, period, or method of construction or				
	represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and				
	distinguishable entity whose components lack	Period of Significance			
	individual distinction.	1835-1850			
57 D	Property has yielded, or is likely to yield,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
φD	information important in prehistory or history.	•			
	ria Considerations "x" in all the boxes that apply.)	Significant Dates			
(1835, 1850			
Prop	erty is:				
	owned by a religious institution or used for				
	religious purposes.				
~ •		Significant Person (Complete if Criterion B is marked above)			
ЦВ	removed from its original location.	N/A			
□c	a birthplace or grave.				
		Cultural Affiliation			
L D	a cemetery.	N/A			
ΞE	a reconstructed building, object, or structure.				
	a commemorative property.				
цг	a commenjorative property.				
□G	less than 50 years of age or achieved significance	Architect/Builder			
within the past 50 years.		<u>N/A</u>			
	ative Statement of Significance				
	in the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)				
	ajor Bibliographical References				
	he books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one	e or more continuation sheets.)			
Prev	ious documentation on file (NPS): $_{ m N/A}$	Primary location of additional data:			
preliminary determination of individual listing (36		State Historic Preservation Office			
CFR 67) has been requested previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National		Other State agency Federal agency			
		Local government			
	Register				
 designated a National Historic Landmark recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey 		Differ Name of repository:			
	recorded by matoric American buildings burvey	Hame of repository.			

Roseland	Marengo County, Alabama
Name of Property	County and State
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of Property	
UTM References (Place additional UTM references on a continuation sheet.)	
$1 \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property on a continuation sheet.)	
Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected on a continuation sheet.)	
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title Jeff Mansell; Melanie Betz/AHC Reviewer	- 205/242-3184
	date February 10, 1993
street & number Route 1, Box 147	telephone (205) 665-7982
city or townBrierfield	
Additional Documentation Submit the following items with the completed form:	
Continuation Sheets	
Maps	
A USGS map (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the pro	operty's location.
A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having	large acreage or numerous resources.

Photographs .

Representative black and white photographs of the property.

Additional items

(Check with the SHPO or FPO for any additional items)

Property Owner					
(Complete this item at the request of SHPO or FPO.)					
name Frank Gaines					
street & number5904 Mt. Eagle Drive	telephone				
city or townAlexandria	state Virginia	_ zip code <u>22303</u>			

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief. Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 26013/7127; and the Office of Management and Budget. Paperwork, Beductions, Projects (1024-9013), Washington, DC 20503.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ Page ____

Roseland Plantation Marengo County, AL

UIM REFERENCES continued

- 5) 16 447440 3588940
- 6) 16 446040 3588920

VERBAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

The 495 acres of land lying in Section 15, Township 17 North, Range 5 East, belonging to Frank Gaines, Jr. For exact boundaries, please refer to tax map.

Boundary Justification

The boundary lines of the Roseland Plantation were drawn to include the acreage associated with the organization and settlement of the original plantation and to include all historic resources significant to the development of the plantation.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number ____7 Page ___1

Roseland Plantation Marengo County, AL

Located approximately four miles from Uniontown, Alabama on the old Lower Uniontown to Demopolis Road (present day Marengo County Road 54), Roseland Plantation contains a number of significant outbuildings and the ruins of a once imposing and unique plantation house. The site occupies a small rise at the end of a long drive. Today, the estate is overgrown with small trees, shrubs, and vines and the entire complex is threatened by demolition by neglect.

The ruins of the main house are encircled by a dairy or cooler, an outbuilding believed to be a kitchen, a privy, a barn and a modern garage. At one time, a Greek Revival apothecary stood on the site but this structure was moved to the grounds of Sturdivant Hall in Selma, Alabama to ensure its preservation. The remains of the plantation house consist of the original log dogtrot core of the dwelling, which is now visible, and the remnants of the mortise and tenon construction of the later addition. The log core dates back to 1835, the approximate time of the acquisition and initial residence of the Fitts family while the frame addition probably dates from the 1850s.

The Roseland Plantation complex includes two rare structures, the dairy /cooler and the privy, the only two structures of their type discovered in an initial survey of the Canebrake area. The cooler is a rectangular structure with a deep brick lined basement. The roof extends out over the southeast elevation to cover a well. The privy is a two room structure with a simple gable roof. The structure has a two bay facade and louvered ventilation openings on each side.

The kitchen structure is a simple rectangular structure with simple gable roof and a fireplace centered along the northeast wall. The barn is a rectangular, gable front, one and a half storied structure with decorative diamond shaped pierced openings around the exterior. Also contained on the grounds is a garage structure which may possibly have served as a carriage house at one time. All of the outbuildings probably date from ca. 1850.

While the main house of Roseland Plantation lies in ruins, the complex contains a high degree of integrity in regard to materials, craftsmanship, feeling, overall design and plan. The plantation complex is significant due to the number of outbuildings remaining, all of which retain a high degree of integrity. The Roseland Plantation consists of five contributing buildings and one contributing site.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _

Page ____

Roseland Plantation Marengo County, AL

Significance Statement

Criterion C - Architecture

The Roseland Plantation is significant under National Register Criterion C as a collection of early to mid-nineteenth century plantation complex in the Canebrake region of Alabama. The complex contains some outstanding examples of vernacular outbuildings, including two rare structures, a dairy/cooler and a privy. Included in the complex is the ruin of the plantation main house, a once imposing structure.

Historical Summary

The Roseland Plantation was the home of Samuel Fitts who came to the Canebrake region in the 1830s. Samuel Fitts probably constructed the log core of the plantation house around 1835. Fitts prospered as a planter and by 1860 had amassed land and slaves valued at over \$95,000. including 637 acres of land and 67 slaves. The later addition of the dwelling probably dates from the mid 1850s. A two story addition was attached to the log dogtrot, the front porch of the original structure became a cross hall while the dogtrot was extended to become a very long central hall. The hallway contained a reverse stair. The Roseland plantation house, with its cross hall and reverse staircase, resembles the main house at the nearby Cedar Grove plantation, which also underwent a extensive renovation in the mid-1850s. Around the main house of Roseland arose the necessary outbuildings needed for the operation of a large plantation, including the dairy/cooler, kitchen, privy, barn, and an apothecary for the medical practice of Samuel Alston Fitts, son of Samuel Fitts, the original patentee of the plantation. A simple Greek Revival structure, the apothecary was moved to the grounds of Sturdivant Hall, a house museum in Selma, Alabama for preservation. Roseland remained in the Fitts' family, becoming the property of Mr. and Mrs. Frank Gaines. Upon the death of Mrs. Gaines, the property was leased for pastureland. Hay was stored in the main house and the dwelling fell into ruins. Today, the plantation is owned by Mr. Frank Gaines, Jr. and the significant collection of outbuildings is threatened by demolition by neglect. 1

Archeological potential

Although no formal archeological survey has been made at the Roseland plantation, the potential for subsurface remains may be high. Properties of this type were sited within a constellation of dependencies such as kitchens and other outbuildings. Buried portions may contain significant information that may be useful in interpreting the entire property.

¹John Witherspoon Dubose, "Chronicles of the Canebrake," Alabama Historical Quarterly, Winter, 1947, pp. 596; United States Federal Census of 1860, Marengo County.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number <u>8</u> Page <u>3</u>

Roseland Plantation Marengo County, AL

CRITERION D (Archaeology: Historic--Non-Aboriginal)

In spite of their dilapidated condition, the plantation house ruin and associated standing structures at Roseland indicate the essential integrity of the below-ground archaeological remains of this plantation. The site has obviously disintegrated gradually, so the archaeological deposits would have suffered little impact, as evidenced by the unusually intact pattern of above-ground structures, still in their original locations. In combination with the above-ground architectural features and the available historical documentation, archaeological data from Roseland Plantation can address several important research questions regarding the development and functioning of nineteenth-century mid-South plantations.

Until archaeological survey reveals the location of slave and tenant quarters at Roseland Plantation, the most important research questions that can be addressed at that site would necessarily focus on the slave owners and plantation managers. Most recent archaeological studies of comparable sites in the South have examined the material expressions of race, class, and status (Singleton 1990:75). Data sets of artifacts (resulting from primary and secondary refuse and loss) generated by the plantation elite, overseers, and servants would permit comparisons across social divisions, with secondary information on slave activities associated with individuals working in the main house and kitchen. There is also considerable potential at Roseland to study changing social roles through time, particularly across the transition from ante-bellum slave economy to post-war tenant economy (Goodwin, Yakubik, and Goodwin 1984; Orser 1988, 1992).

Another useful approach to archaeological research at Roseland Plantation would involve a comparative study of plantation landscapes and physical geography (Orser 1990:137; Vlach 1991). At Roseland, the evolution of the built environment is still apparent in the ruined and decaying structures. Further documentary research on the specific layout and uses of structures--combined with an archaeological survey of structure function, field location, and landscape gardening--could yield important insights on ante-bellum concepts of spatial organization and use.

Perhaps the principal archaeological value of Roseland Plantation derives from its relatively pristine condition, in light of the virtual absence of research on this type of plantation. Archaeological investigations of slave and tenant plantations have mainly occurred along the Atlantic coastal region of Georgia and South Carolina, and in the piedmont regions of South Carolina, Georgia and Tennessee. Consequently, there are vast regions (including the Gulf coastal plain) with little research (cf., Norrell and Meyer 1992). Historical research has demonstrated the diversity that existed in southern plantations during the 19th century, but more sites like Roseland Plantation need to be investigated archaeologically before we understand the material life and world view of plantation occupants.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number ____9 Page ___4

Roseland Plantation Marengo County, AL

Bibliographical References

 Goodwin, R. Christopher, Jill-Karen Yakubik, and Cyd H. Goodwin
 1984 <u>Elmwood: The Historic Archeology of a Southeastern Louisiana Plantation</u>. Jefferson Parish Historical Commission, Metairie, Louisiana.

Norrell, Robert J., and Catherine C. Meyer

1992 "History and Archaeology of Nineteenth-Century Alabama." <u>University of</u> <u>Alabama, Division of Archaeology, Report of Investigations</u> 64.

Orser, Charles E., Jr.

- 1988 <u>The Material Basis of the Postbellum Tenant Plantation: Historical Archaeology</u> in the South Carolina Piedmont. University of Georgia Press, Athens.
- 1990 "Archaeological Approaches to New World Plantation Slavery." <u>Archaeological</u> <u>Method and Theory</u> 2:111-154.
- 1992 "Beneath the Material Surface of Things: Commodities, Artifacts, and Slave Plantations." <u>Historical Archaeology</u> 26(3):95-104.

Singleton, Theresa A.

1990 "The Archaeology of the Plantation South: A Review of Approaches and Goals." Historical Archaeology 24(4):70-77.

Singleton, Theresa A., editor 1985 <u>The Archaeology of Slavery and Plantation</u>. Academic Press, New York.

Vlach, John M.

1991 "Plantation Landscapes of the Antebellum South." In <u>Before Freedom CAme:</u> <u>African-American Life in the Antebellum South</u>, edited by Edward D.C. Campbell, Jr., and Kym S. Rice, pp. 21-49. University of Virginia Press, Charlottesville.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ Photographs _____6

Roseland Plantation Marengo County, AL

Photographs

For photographs 1-12, the information contained in section #1-5 is the same.

1. Roseland Plantation

2. Faunsdale Vicinity, Marengo County, Alabama

3. Jeff Mansell, Photographer

4. Negatives on file at the Alabama Historical Commission

- 5. November 1990; There has been no change to the property after a site visit on August 8, 1991.
- 6. Ruins of front facade of main house, camera facing southeast

7. #1

6. Ruins of main house 7. #2

6. Detail of reverse stair 7. #3

6. Detail of fireplace

7. #4

6. Cooler, camera facing northwest 7. #5

6. Cooler, camera facing northeast 7. #6

6. Privy, camera facing south7. #7

Garage, camera facing south
 #8

6. Barn, camera facing southeast 7. #9

6. Kitchen

7. #10

6. Side elevation of kitchen 7. #11

6. Privy, side elevation
 7. #12



