NPS Form 10-900-a (7-81)

United States Department of the Interior **National Park Service**

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

OMB NO. 1024-0018 EXP. 12/31/84



Continuation sheet 74		Item number 1	,2,4,6, & 10	Page 1	
INDI	VIDUAL	DATA S	HEET		
					F1 - 45
General Description				Service Service	
Code: PU-115		Congression	nal District:	Second	
Name: St. Edwards Church Address: 823 Sherman				erend Andrew	J. McDonal
County: Pulaski	kansas	Address:	815 Sherma Little Roo	an ck, Arkansas	72202
					-
Architectural Information					nede.
Architectural Firm: Ch	arles L. Thom	pson			
Date of Construction: 1	.901	Stylistic	Influence: Go	thic	15
Original Use: Church		Present Us	e: Church		
Geographical Information					
UTM Reference: 15 5672	80/3844220	Acreage:	Less than one		
Verbal Boundary Descript	Beginni	's Addition Pt ng SE corner W S 100' E 150'		nning.	
Condition					
X Excellent Good	Rui			x_Unaltere Altered	ed
Fair	Une	exposed			

NPS Form 10-900-a (7-81) EXP. 12/31/84

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Par production of the producti

Continuation sheet 7

Item number 7 & 8

Page 2

Code: PU-115

(823 Sherman, Little Rock, AR)

Physical Description

Rising directly from the sidewalk on a corner lot, St. Edwards Catholic Church, designed by Charles L. Thompson around 1901, is one of the most most elaborately ornamented of the firm's several Gothic Revival churches. Placed on a rock-faced granite foundation, faced with smooth gray-brown brick, the church is characterized by the traditional massing of nave, transept and entrance towers. Twin symmetrical towers flank the recessed central bay; the drawings indicate two identical spires were to crown these towers, but, unfortunately they were never built. The traditional tri-partite entrance features three portals recessed within multiple stone moldings in Gothic arch shapes, flanked by slender colonnettes with foliated capitals, with tympani composed of stone tracery in quatrefoil cusps infilled with stained glass. Articulating the central portal are slender stone piers capped with crockets and a tall triangular outline infilled with quatrefoil tracery and crowned by stone cross. above the large rose window a single lancet window is ornamented with hood molding, accentuating the stone cross at the central gable peak. towers are framed by slender brick buttresses with stone coping and feature horizontal bands of cut stone inset with simple blocks which frame twin louvered lancet shaped openings.

(continued)

Statement of Significance

Charles L. Thompson's 1901 design for St. Edwards Church in Little Rock is the most academically accurate in the collection. Although the firm designed several Gothic Revival styled churches, St. Edwards Church reflects a tendency toward a more high-style design. Twin symmetrical towers flank a recessed bay. The drawings show identical spires on the towers, but they were never built. Located in the MacArthur Park Historic District, St. Edwards Church remains unaltered since its 1901 construction. With the original drawings in archival storage and the knowledge of the architect, the church has become a more important historic building within the MacArthur Park District.

NPS Form 10-900-a

UNIO INVITAVATOUS EXP. 12/31/84

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet

Item number

Page

Code:

Physical Description

(continued)

Two Victorian touches are seen in the steep gable roof dormers with wooden quarterfoil windows and lancet shaped louvers and in the variegated gray slate roof laid in herringbone patterns. Outstanding features of this church are the three rose windows of stained glass which appear in the gables of the front and both transepts and the beautiful spreading, foliate pattern in the wrought iron work embellishing the hinges of the solid entrance doors.

Statement of Significance

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only received date entered

Continuation sheet

Item number

Page 11 4/15

Multiple Resource Area Thematic Group

State	Thompson, Charles L., Arkansas			
Nomi	ination/Type of Review		1	Date/Signature
101.	Johnson House (516 E. 8th St.)	Entered in the National Register	Keeper	Helow Byen 12/22
102.	Johnson House (518 E. 8th St.)	Entered in the National Register	Keeper Attest	Helan Byen 12/2.
103.	Nash House	Substantive Rev	. 0	Buch Doub 1/24
104.	St. Edwards Church	Entered in the	Attest	Selow Bren 12/13/
./		National Registe	Attest	
13/8/2 105.	Forrey-Smith Apartments	Entered in the National Register	& Keeper	Allow Byen 12/22
106.	Stewart House	Substantive Rev	Attest	Bou le Myl 12/2
			Attest	accept Patrick Andrews 12/13/8
107.	Bush House	Entered in the National Register	/Keeper	Alone Byen Hirls
			Attest	
108.	Dunaway House	Entered in the National Register	Keeper	Albur Byen 14/20
			Attest	
109.	Baer House	Dubotantiva Krr	Keeper	Bru Am May & 1/24
			Attest	accept Patrick Andres 12/13/83
110.	Associated Reformed Presbyterian Church	Entered to the Sational Register	Keeper	Silver Byen 12/22
	The state of the s	- although modern name	Attest	

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

t. Edwards Church (Thompson, Charles L., Design	
Collection TR) ulaski County	
ARKANSAS	. 16
	Working No. 11/9/82-3026
	Fed. Reg. Date: 2.7.84 Date Due: 12/9/82 - 12/24
	Date Due: 12/9/82 - 12/24/
	Action: ACCEPT 12/22/8
resubmission	Entered in the RETURN
nomination by person or local government	Mational Register REJECT_
owner objection	Federal Agency:
appeal	
Substantive Review: sample request	appeal NR decision
Reviewer's comments:	equipment to not action of
	Tellio
	Recom. / Criteria
	Reviewer
	Discipline
	Date
	see continuation sheet
substantive reasons	
substantive reasons 1. Name	
substantive reasons 1. Name 2. Location	
substantive reasons 1. Name	
substantive reasons 1. Name 2. Location	
substantive reasons 1. Name 2. Location 3. Classification Collegery Summarship Status Present Use	
2. Location 3. Classification Cotogory Comorable Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessibile 4. Owner of Property	
2. Location 3. Classification Cotogory Ourorable Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description	
2. Location 3. Classification Cotegory Comments Status Proceed Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Oursership Status Proceed Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description	discussed below
1. Name 2. Location 3. Classification Cotopery Sumership Status Proceed Use Public Acquisition Accessibile 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Cotopory Sumership Status Proceed Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Surrorship Status Precent Use Public Acquisition Assessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible? 7. Description Category Surveys has this property been determined eligible? ———————————————————————————————————	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Cotogory Ournership Status Precent Use Public Acquiletten Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible? 7. Description Condition Check one Check one original site	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Function Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible? 7. Description Canadition Check one Check one original site escollent deteriorsed unaftered noved date	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Surrorship Status Proceed Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Surrorship Status Proceed Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Assessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Assessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined oligible?	discussed below
2. Location 3. Classification Category Demorship Status Precent Use Public Association 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys less this property been determined eligible? 7. Description Description	discussed below

8. Significance			
Period Areas of Significance—Check	and justify below		
Specific dates Buil	Ider Architect		
tetoment of Significance (in one paragraph)			Collection TRU Pulsaid County
summary paragraph	MONTH OF THE PARTY		ARTCANSAS
completeness			
clarity			
applicable criteria			
justification of are checked	eas		
	to to		
relating significance the resource			
context			
relationship of inte			
justification of exc other	ception		
Other			
	. ,		
	al References		
O. Geographical Data reage of nominated property partengle nome Tr Reterences	Rad bello anollositos		Not because neighbors.
O. Geographical Data reaps of nominated property odrangle name 7 References	red belig shortperion		tof becourer norrangees.
O. Geographical Data respondent community orange name If References The boundary description and justification	red belig shortperion		of becourer neitenistes.
O. Geographical Data respondent community orange name If References The boundary description and justification	red belig shortperion		nation returned for
O. Geographical Data respond nominated property references Theterences The boundary description and justification	iad ballo snortositop pasaupaib enosaet ev	Hinateoua	Marrier returned for
O. Geographical Data respond reminered property introduced property If References Their boundary description and justification 1. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic Pressured significance of this property within the	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	And benuter neutrolands British Briti
O. Geographical Data respond nominated property references The form Prepared By 2. State Historic Pres	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	Annation returned for an analysis of the state of the sta
O. Geographical Data respond nominated property infrage reme If References Their boundary description and justification 1. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic President and property within the state	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	Non-institute not be a second to a second to a second to a second to a second a seco
O. Geographical Data respond numbered property of regions The boundary description and justification 1. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic President and posterior in the property within the state	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	And because notenies and annual annua
O. Geographical Data respond nominated property	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	Annali Canada Ca
O. Geographical Data respond nominated property adrengle name IT References It has boundary description and justification 1. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic Pres a evaluated significance of this property within th state see Historic Preservation Officer signature determined state 3. Other	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	ANT Decrurer nortenies & armali - antico & antic
O. Geographical Data respond nominated property advengts name IT References I. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic Press a evaluated significance of this property within the state state Historic Preservation Officer signature the date 3. Other Maps	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	Age beauter notes in a series of the series
O. Geographical Data reage of nominated property intraction rame If floterenous 1. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic Pres • evaluated significance of this property within the national state the Historic Preservation Officer signature determined the graphical property within the state 3. Other Maps Photographs	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	A Character of French to the control of the control
O. Geographical Data respondent of the property	servation Officer Cer	Hinateoua	A CONSTRUCT OF THE PROPERTY OF
O. Geographical Data respect nominated property advangle reme If Returnoss The boundary description and justification 1. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic Preservation Officer signature the date 3. Other Maps Photographs Other	servation Officer Cer	tification	A CONTRACTOR PRODUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
O. Geographical Data reage of reminested property advangle name IT flaterenous 1. Form Prepared By 2. State Historic Pres e evaluated significance of this property within th	is nomination may be	tification	And Denturer neutranterex. Street

Comments for any item may be continued on an attached sheet



STRUCTURES IN ARKANSAS REPRESENTED BY THE CHARLES L. THOMPSON DESIGN COLLECTION - A THEMATIC GROUP

Code Number: PU-115 (St. Edwards Church)
823 S. Sherman, Little Rock, Arkansas

Photographed by: Greg Hursley May, 1982 Negative on file at AHPP Viewed from the South

