UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: REMOVAL PROPERTY Fort Nashborough NAME : MULTIPLE NAME : STATE & COUNTY: TENNESSEE, Davidson 10/09/1 DATE RECEIVED: DATE OF PENDING LIST: DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF 45TH DAY: 11/24/14 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST: REFERENCE NUMBER: 11000454 REASONS FOR REVIEW: APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: N NATIONAL: N COMMENT WAIVER: N ACCEPT RETURN REJECT DATE ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: REMOVED from National Register RECOM. /CRITERI

DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N

DISCIPLINE

DATE

REVIEWER

TELEPHONE

If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

N/A Page

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Fort Nashborough is located in Riverfront Park on First Avenue in downtown Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee and was listed on the National Register of Historic Places on July 13, 2011. This multi-building replica of the circa 1780 fort complex constructed by James Robertson and his band of settlers was built in ca. 1930 and substantially reconstructed in 1962. The complex was listed under Criteria A and C for its local significance in architecture, conservation, and recreation/entertainment. The fort was demolished on June 19th and 20th of 2015. As a result, Fort Nashborough has lost the significance for which it was listed and no longer retains integrity of setting, location, design, materials, workmanship, association and feeling. Therefore, Fort Nashborough should be removed from the National Register.

1

Claudette Stager, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

Date

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

N/A Page

2

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 1: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 2: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

3

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 3: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 4: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet



Figure 5: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

N/A Page

5

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 6: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 7: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

6

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 8: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 9: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

N/A Page

7

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 10: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 11: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

8

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 12: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 13: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

9

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 14: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 15: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

10

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 16: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 17: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

N/A Page 11

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 18: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.



Figure 19: Fort Nashborough in 2011 at the time of National Register listing.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

12

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 20: June 19, 2015 photograph of Fort Nashborough with demolition underway.



Figure 21: June 20, 2015 photogrpah of Fort Nashborough with demolition underway.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

13

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 22: June 20, 2015 photograph of Fort Nashborough during demolition.



Figure 23: June 20, 2015 photograph of Fort Nashborough during demolition.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

14

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 24: June 20, 2015 photograph showing clean-up after demolition of Fort Nashborough.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

N/A Page

15

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 25: June 20, 2015 photograph showing clean-up after demolition of Fort Nashborough.



Figure 26: June 20, 2015 photograph showing clean-up after demolition of Fort Nashborough.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

N/A Page 16

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 27: June 20, 2015 photograph showing clean-up after demolition of Fort Nashborough.



Figure 28: June 20, 2015 photograph showing clean-up after demolition of Fort Nashborough.

N/A

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

17

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 29: June 20, 2015 photograph showing clean-up after demolition of Fort Nashborough.



Figure 30: June 20, 2015 photograph of the future development planned for the site.

IPS Form 10-900 Oct. 2012)			RECEIVED 228	No. 10024-0018
Inited States Department of the In	terior	1		7 U
lational Park Service			JUN 0 3 2011	1 1
National Register of Hist	oric Places	NAI,	REGISTER OF HISTORIC HATIONAL PARK SERVIC	
Registration Form			INTOINS PART CLINE	12
This form is for use in nominating or requesting lational Register of Historic Places registration y entering the information requested. If an it rchitectural classification, materials, and area ntries and narrative items on continuation sho	on Form (National Register Bulletin 16A) em does not apply to the property being as of significance, enter only categories	Complete each item b documented, enter "N/A and subcategories from	y marking "x" in the ap A" for "not applicable." the instructions. Plac	For functions, e additional
. Name of Property				
istoric name Fort Nashborough				
ther names/site number NA				
. Location				
treet & number Riverfront Park on	First Avenue		NA not for	publication
ity or town Nashville			NAD 1	
tate Tennessee code	TN county Davidson	code 03		37202
. State/Federal Agency Certificat	ion			
As the designated authority under the Nat nomination in request for determination National Register of Historic Places and n	of eligibility meets the documentation sl neets the procedural and professional re	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36	properties in the CFR Part 60. In	
nomination	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be	
nomination	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May, 29, 20/1 Date	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be	
nomination	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May, 29, 20/1 Date	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be	
nomination National Register of Historic Places and normation my opinion, the property meets considered significant nationally Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Officiales State or Federal agency and bureau	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation ficer, Tennessee Historical Comr	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May 29,2011 Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation ficer, Tennessee Historical Comr	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May 29,2011 Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and m my opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Off State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.)	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation ficer, Tennessee Historical Comr	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May 29,2011 Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination ☐ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and n my opinion, the property ⊠ meets ☐ considered significant ☐ nationally ☐ s <u>E.</u> Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Off State or Federal agency and bureau	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation ficer, Tennessee Historical Comr	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May 29,2011 Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normation matter property my opinion, the property meets considered significant nationally signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Off State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation ficer, Tennessee Historical Comr	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May 24,2011 Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and m my opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Off State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.)	of eligibility meets the documentation so neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation ficer, Tennessee Historical Comr	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May 24,2011 Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and main opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con <u>4.27,20,1</u> Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normation meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Offection State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ hereby certify that the property is: State or Federal agency and bureau	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con May 24,2011 Date nission	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	Date of Action
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normy opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Offection State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certificat hereby certify that the property is: □ □ entered in the National Register.	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	Andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con marker for additional c	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normation my opinion, the property □ considered significant □ nationally □ signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Offection State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property is: Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property is: Signature of certify that the property is: Image:	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	Andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con marker for additional c	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	Date of Action 7/13/2011
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normy opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Office State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In the national Register. Signature of certify that the property is: I entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet See continuation sheet I determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet I determined not eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	Andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con marker for additional c	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normy opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Office State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In the National Register. See continuation sheet I entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet See continuation sheet I determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet See continuation sheet I determined not eligible for the National Register removed from the National The moved from the National	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	Andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con marker for additional c	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normy opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Office State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ In my opinion, the property □ meets □ □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In the National Register. Image: State or Federal agency and bureau In the National Register. Image: State or Federal agency and bureau In the National Register. Image: State or Federal agency and bureau In the National Register. Image: State or Federal agency and bureau In the National Register. Image: State or Federal agency and bureau In the National Register. Image: State or Federal agency and b	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	Andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con marker for additional c	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	
nomination □ request for determination National Register of Historic Places and normy opinion, the property □ meets □ considered significant □ nationally □ Signature of certifying official/Title State Historic Preservation Officate or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property □ In my opinion, the property □ meets □ for additional comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property is: © entered in the National Register. □ see continuation sheet □ entered in the National Register. □ See continuation sheet □ determined eligible for the National Register removed from the National Register. See continuation sheet	of eligibility meets the documentation st neets the procedural and professional re does not meet the National Register crit statewide I locally. (See continuation icer, Tennessee Historical Comr does not meet the National Register c	Andards for registering p quirements set for in 36 eria. I recommend that sheet for additional con marker for additional c	properties in the CFR Part 60. In this property be nments.)	

Fort Nashborough	Fort	Nashbor	ough
------------------	------	---------	------

Name of Property

Davidson County, Tennessee County and State

Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)	Category of Property (Check only one box)	Number of Resour (Do not include previous)	ces within Property y listed resources in count)	
☐ private⊠ public-local	building(s)	Contributing	Noncontributing	
public-State	☐ site	5		buildings
public-Federal	structure			_ sites
	object	2	2	_ structures objects
		8	2	Total
Name of related multiple (Enter "N/A" if property is not pa	e property listing rt of a multiple property listing.)	Number of Contrib in the National Reg	uting resources previ jister	ously listed
N/A		0		
6. Function or Use				
Historic Functions (Enter categories from instruction	ons)	Current Functions (Enter categories from in	structions)	
RECREATION AND CUL	TURE: Museum	RECREATION AND	CULTURE: Museum	
		-		
		·		
7. Description				
Architectural Classification (Enter categories from instructions) OTHER: log revival (frontier revival)		Materials (Enter categories from in foundation STON		
OTHER. log revival (ironi	er revival)	walls log	E	
		roof <u>WOOD, log</u> other WOOD	l	

Narrative Description (Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

7

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

1

Section number

Page

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Background

Situated on the banks of the Cumberland River in Nashville, Fort Nashborough was constructed in 1930 to be what was then considered a good, but not exact, representation of the circa 1780 complex built by James Robertson and his band of settlers. It was not built on the site of the original fort and is smaller than the original, but the log construction techniques in 1930 were said to replicate the 1780s techniques.¹ The local chapter of the Daughters of the American Revolution (DAR), under the guidance of Lizzie Elliot, worked to build and fund the fort. Joseph W. Hart was the architect of what was called "...an accurate reproduction of a pioneer log fort"² and the Foster-Creighton company built the complex. Funding for the buildings came from state, county, and local sources. Operated by Nashville government since its construction, in 1962 the fort was substantially reconstructed. The buildings in the fort are set up to interpret various aspects of pioneer life such as cooking or weaving. Fort Nashborough retains its historic integrity from the 1930-1962 reconstruction period.

PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION

Fort Nashborough consists of three separate one- or two-story log buildings, two connected onestory log buildings, and several historic markers all enclosed by a log palisade, forming a stockade or stockade fort. All of the buildings have rear elevations that were built as part of the palisade enclosure. Buildings 4 and 5 were designed to represent blockhouses. All of the buildings have log and stone exterior chimneys, small window openings, log gable roofs, and log interior walls. Shrubs and small trees have been planted inside the complex and in the park that surrounds it. A low stone wall with stone steps at the main entry flanks the exterior of the western palisade. There are ground plantings between the stone wall and the palisade and a Tennessee Historical Commission marker is located near the northwest corner. The Cumberland River is to the immediate east of the fort and First Avenue is on the west. Surrounding areas are parkland or commercial buildings.

The buildings and the palisade are constructed of peeled locust logs. Logs for the buildings are saddle notched with v-shaped ends and concrete mortar. The mortar-covered chinking is nearly as wide as the logs. Chimneys have limestone bases with saddle notched logs above. The palisade log pickets are peeled logs with a conical top. The (side) gable roofs of the buildings are constructed of long shingles/shakes that are held down by a framework of peeled logs. All of the contributing resources were constructed 1930-1962.

¹ The exact location of the original Fort Nashborough is not known but it is thought to be north of the location of the nominated property.

² Federal Writers Project. *Tennessee: A guide to the state / compiled and written by the Federal writers' project of the Works Projects Administration for the State of Tennessee*, (Sponsored by Department of Conservation, Division of Information. New York: The Viking press, 1939: reprint ed., St. Clair Shores, MI: Scholarly Press, Inc., 1978), 155.

7

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

2

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Building 1

Located in the northwest corner of the fort, Building 1 faces east. The east façade contains a single leaf vertical board wood door and a square window opening covered with wood. A stone and log chimney is located on the north elevation and there is a single leaf wood door on the south elevation. The rear or west elevation forms part of the protective palisade of the fort and is only visible from outside the fort. Inside, the peeled logs are visible, the floor is wood, and the underside of the roof is exposed. The large stone fireplace has a peeled log mantel and a stone hearth. Building 1 is interpreted as a kitchen so the fireplace displays a swinging arm with a cast iron pot on it and there are kitchen implements in the building. A sign on the south wall reads "Food Was Scarce During The First Year Of The Settlement As Indian Attacks Kept Families Confined To The Fort. Meats Came Mainly From Domesticated Animals and Corn Was A Staple Food." The single leaf door is visible on this wall. A metal jail-like barrier allows visitors to step into the building but not go all the way inside. (c)

South of Building 1 the palisade is formed by horizontal logs for about the width of a building. A narrow shed roof (or half-gable roof) provides some shelter over this area. This part is connected to Building 2.

Building 2

Except for not having a door in the south wall, Building 2 is a copy of Building 1. This building is interpreted as a dwelling. An interpretive sign reads "In The Original Fort, All Small Cabins Were Family Dwellings. Parents Slept In The Bed; Infants Slept With The Parents For Warmth And Safety Older Children Slept On Mats On The Floor. A Loft Could Have Provided Extra Floor Space." Outside the building on the south wall is an interpretive sign about James Robertson and the original fort. (c)

Building 3

Located across the courtyard of the fort near the southeast corner, this building resembles the first two buildings described. The west façade has a single leaf door and a window opening covered with wood. The north elevation has the stone and log chimney. The chimney has been truncated and a modern clay flue placed on top, where logs have been removed. A bronze plaque to James Robertson has been placed on the stone part of the chimney. The plaque recounts his early settlement and calls him the father of Tennessee. Like the first two buildings, the horizontal logs of the rear (east) elevation are part of the protective enclosure for the fort. Inside, the floor in this building is packed earth and there is a stone chimney on the north wall. Interpreted as a work room, the sign in this building states "This Cabin Displays Woodworking Tools And Farming

7

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

3

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Implements Similar To Tools Used In The 1700's. Nashville's First Settlers Built Log Cabins Since Trees Were Plentiful. And Cabins Are Easily Assembled. The Spaces Between The Logs Were Chinked With A Mixture Of Clay, Sand, And Wood Ash." (c)

Building 4

Building 4 is located in the northeast corner of the fort and is one of two building constructed to represent a blockhouse. The two-story log building is cantilevered on the south façade and north and east elevations. A single leaf wood door and square window opening covered with wood are located on the first story of the facade. Three peeled log posts support the cantilevered second story and the ends of the log floor joists are visible. The second story of the façade reveals two symmetrically placed square window openings. A stone and log chimney is seen on the west elevation, as is a bronze plaque commemorating Colonel Richard Henderson. The horizontal logs on the first story of the blockhouse north elevation form part of the fort's palisade. Four peeled log posts support the cantilever. The only openings on this elevation are the loopholes, or slits that could be used to fire weapons, on the second story. The first story of the north and east elevations is part of the palisade. The cantilevered second stories of both these elevations have no openings. Inside the building is a stone fireplace with a log mantel. Modern lighting has been installed on the ceiling of the first floor, but the log structure of the ceiling is not impacted by them. As with the one-story buildings, there are metal bars that allow the visitor to go inside the building but not all the way into it. The second floor is not accessible. The blockhouse is interpreted as a work room for weaving and cloth making. The interpretive sign over the fireplace notes "Here Are Displayed Some Tools Used To Produce Clothing. Fabric Was Made From Sheep's Wool Or Flax Plant Fibers. Cloth Was Produced In The Winter When People Were Confined Indoors. In Warm Weather People Were Busy Raising Food, Gathering Firewood, And Doing Other Activities Necessary For Survival." (c)

Building 5

This is another two-story log blockhouse constructed in the same manner as Building 4. On this building, the north façade and south and west elevations are cantilevered. The first story of the north façade has the single leaf wood door and a square window opening, which has been altered with new wood facings. The second story of the façade has two symmetrically placed window openings. A stone and log chimney dominates the east elevation. There is a bronze plaque commemorating Colonel John Donelson on the stone part of the chimney. South of the chimney there is a small one-story log extension with a shed roof. The first stories of the south and west elevations are part of the palisade. There are two square windows on the first story of the south elevation. The easternmost window has a solid pane of glass with a "speaker," while the westernmost window has six-lights. A sign on the south elevation of the one-story extension states that the site is operated by the Metropolitan Board of Parks and Recreation; tours are self guided. The only openings on the second story of this elevation are the loopholes which are also

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page 4

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

seen on the second story of the west elevation. There are no openings on the first story of the west elevation. This building was used for office space and storage. The first story is an open space with a wood floor. A ladder-like stair in the southwest corner leads to the second story. A stone fireplace with log mantel is located on the east wall. Adjacent to this is a small restroom that was used by park staff. Like in the other blockhouse, there are exposed logs on the ceiling. The second story of the building is an open space with exposed rafters and beams. This building is not open to the public and is used only for storage. (c)

Palisade

The palisade surrounds the buildings in the fort; part of it is formed by the buildings. Peeled logs set vertically form most of the structure. The tops of the posts or pickets are sharpened. There are log gates into the fort on all sides but the main entry to the fort is on the west. This double log gate is faced with a protective metal gate. Above the opening is a sign with "Fort Nashborough" incised on it. North of the entry gate is a second sign stating that this is a facility operated by the Metro Board of Parks and Recreation. (c)

Stone wall

A stone wall constructed circa 1930 flanks the west elevation of the palisade. There are stone steps leading to the main entry of the fort. Low plantings are seen between the wall and the fort. (c)

Tennessee Historical Commission Marker

Located at the northwest corner of the complex, between the stone wall and the fort, the marker (3A 33) commemorates Fort Nashborough and the early settlement of the city. (nc due to age)

DAR marker

Bronze plaque on a pole located by the south elevation of Building 2. Below the DAR logo reads "Tennessee Society Daughters Of The American Revolution and Cumberland District Commemorate Fort Nashborough Tennessee Bicentennial 1796 – 1996. (nc due to age)

Fort Nashborough marker

North of Building 3 is a large stone with a bronze plaque on its west side. Placed in the reconstructed fort in 1930, the plaque has a bas relief of the fort and "The Battle of the Bluff," the D.A.R. logo, and a brief history, given below. (c)

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

5

Section number

7 Page

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

FORT NASHBOROUGH

NAMED IN MEMORY OF GENERAL NASH OF NORTH CAROLINA, WHO FELL AT GERMANTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA, OCTOBER 4, 1777, IN THE WAR OF THE REVOLUTION.

ERECTED ON THE BLUFF NEAR THIS LOCATION, BY THE PIONEERS OF THE CUMBERLAND SETTLEMENT IN THE YEAR 1780, AS A CENTRAL FORT OF DEFENSE AGAINST INDIAN ATTACKS.

WAS THE SCENE OF MANY NOTED HISTORICAL EVENTS, ESPECIALLY THE INDIAN ATTACK OF APRIL 2, 1781, KNOWN AS

"THE BATTLE OF THE BLUFF."

THIS REPRESENTATION OF THE ORIGINAL FORT WAS BUILT BY APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, THE COUNTY OF DAVIDSON AND THE CITY OF NASHVILLE, THROUGH THE PATRIOTIC WORK OF THE TENNESSEE SOCIETY DAUGHTERS OF THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION AND THE PERSEVERING EFFORTS OF THE FOUR NASHVILLE CHAPTERS: VIZ.

CUMBERLAND, GENERAL JAMES ROBERTSON, CAMPBELL, AND COLONEL THOMAS MCCRORY

ERECTED IN 1930 – THE ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTIETH ANNIVERSARY OF THE SETTLEMENT OF WHAT IS NOW THE CITY OF NASHVILLE

7

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

6

Section number

Page

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



Figure 1. Sketch plan of Fort Nashborough

Fort Nashborough

Name of Property

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- A Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- B Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.

C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.

D Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in all boxes that apply.)

Property is:

- A owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.
- B removed from its original location.
- C a birthplace or grave
- D a cemetery.
- E a reconstructed building, object, or structure.
- F a commemorative property
- G less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography

(Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one or more continuation sheets.)

Previous	documentation	on	file	(NPS):	N/A	

preliminary determination of individual listing (36	
CFR 67) has been requested	
previously listed in the National Register	
Previously determined eligible by the National Register	
designated a National Historic Landmark	
recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey	

recorded by Historic American Engineering Record # Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions)

CONSERVATION ARCHITECTURE RECREATION/ENTERTAINMENT

Period of Significance Circa 1930 – circa 1962

Significant Dates

NA

Significant Person (complete if Criterion B is marked) NA

Cultural Affiliation

NA

Architect/Builder

Hart,	Joseph W.	(1930)
Billis	& Johnson	(1962)

Davidson County, Tennessee County and State

Primary location of additional data: State Historic Preservation Office Other State Agency Federal Agency Local Government

- University
 Other
- Name of repository:

Metro Historical Commission

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

7

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

8

Fort Nashborough is being nominated to the National Register under criteria A and C for its local significance in conservation, recreation/entertainment, and architecture. From its construction in 1930 to its reconstruction in 1962, the fort complex has been an important part of Nashville's efforts to conserve and promote its early history. Due to the efforts of the Daughters of the American Revolution (DAR), in 1930 Fort Nashborough was built as an approximation of the circa 1780 settlement fort. After thirty years of use and realizing the importance of Fort Nashborough to the community, the city planned and built a reconstruction of the 1930 complex in 1962. As a result, Fort Nashborough meets Criterion Consideration E. The buildings have been used for education and recreation by residents, school groups, and tourists. Architecturally, the buildings are good examples of early twentieth century log revival designs. The property retains its historic and architectural integrity.

Following the arrival of the James Robertson and John Donelson groups in the winter and spring of 1779 and 1780, the new settlers in Middle Tennessee constructed a series of forts and "stations" in the area, including Freeland's in present-day North Nashville, Eaton's (also known as Heaton's) on the east bank of the Cumberland in Nashville, Mansker's in present-day Goodlettsville, and Bledsoe's (now Castalian Springs), among others, numbering eight by 1780. Along the western banks of the Cumberland River, the central fort and settlement was called Fort Nashborough. The original Fort Nashborough has been described as approximately a two-acre settlement, rectangular in plan, with two-story log blockhouses in each corner. One-story log cabins formed the sides between each blockhouse, with a surrounding log picket fence.

Wilbur Creighton's *Building of Nashville* reprints a drawing of the plan of Fort Nashborough, by Andrew Castleman, described as an "Indian scout who perhaps lived at the Fort," and drawn from memory in the 1840s. (See Figure 4.) This plan indicates that there were log buildings standing in the center of the fort, as well as along the sides, possibly to house livestock and for storage of food for both the animals and people. According to Castleman's drawing, the fort was roughly 247 feet long and 123 feet wide. Creighton believes that the original fort sat at a right angle to the Cumberland, rather than parallel to the river. The Castleman drawing, however, was not analyzed as to the actual location of the original Fort Nashborough until 1962, when a local historian, Finley McIlwaine, "rediscovered" the drawing in the microfilmed papers of Lyman C. Draper.³

Nineteenth-century historians A.W. Putnam and W.W. Clayton have both described the original Fort Nashborough: "The fort at Nashborough was erected upon the bluff, between the south-east corner of the Square and Spring Street.... This place of defense was a log structure, two stories high, with portholes and a lookout station. Other log houses were near it, and the whole were

³Wilbur Creighton, *Building of Nashville* (Nashville: np), 1-13; Lyman Draper papers, microfilm, Tennessee State Library and Archives; *Tennessean*, 13 May 1962.

8

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page

8

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

enclosed with palisades or pickets firmly set in the earth, having the upper ends sharpened."⁴ As the English writer G.W. Featherstonehaugh traveled through the American South in the 1830s, he described a similar fort construction at Ridley's Fort, "near Buchanan's Fort, was built thus"

Next to the ground were six round logs, about twenty-one feet long, laid upon each other and well mortised; next came a log about twenty-four feet long, on the west side, and a similar one on the other sides, all well mortised. In this way, a project, even with the floor that divided the upper chamber of the blockhouse from the lower one, is formed beyond the ground tier of logs, upon which an upper wall of round logs is built; after which the building is roofed in. Upon the roof pieces of wood are fixed for the garrison to step upon and extinguish any fire the Indians might succeed in setting to it with their arrows. Loopholes, also, were made in the logs of the upper chamber to enable them to fire at any Indians who ventured to show themselves; as well as others in the projecting part of the floor, from whence they could fire perpendicularly down upon their besiegers.⁵

The historical record of early Fort Nashborough includes dramatic events such as Indian attacks and the famous "Battle of the Bluffs," the first marriage in present-day Nashville, as well as the signing of the Cumberland Compact, the first representative form of government in the new settlement, allowing for representation from each of the eight stations in proportion to the population. Nashborough, the largest and most central, received three representatives; Eaton's received two, and the other six had one representative each.

With this knowledge and the desire to honor the early pioneer settlers of Nashville, in the 1920s, local members of the DAR began planning to celebrate the Sesquicentennial of the city of Nashville, in 1930. They sought to mark the area where Nashville's original settlement was, and to provide a way to educate and entertain Nashvillians and tourists, both children and adults, about the lives, hardships, and legacies of those individuals who founded the city. Historical reconstructions were nothing new to Nashvillians, who were at the same time watching the reconstruction of the Parthenon (NR 2/23/72) in Centennial Park (NR 7/15/08).

Lizzie Elliott, a noted Nashville historian and member of the DAR, led the research and efforts to obtain the land and funding needed to reconstruct the fort. She worked with local architect Joseph Hart, who provided a drawing, based on the research of Miss Elliott and others. The city of Nashville provided the land upon which the reconstruction was built, and funding was provided by

⁴A.W. Putnam, *History of Middle Tennessee*, (Nashville: by the author, 1859; reprint, Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 1971), 86-87; W.W. Clayton, *History of Davidson County, Tennessee* (Philadelphia: np, 1880; reprint, Nashville: Charles Elder, Bookseller, 1971), 24-25.

⁵Quoted in H.W. Crew, *History of Nashville, Tennessee* (Nashville: Methodist Publishing House, 1890; reprint, Nashville: Charles Elder, Bookseller, nd), 77-79.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 8 Page 9 Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

the city, the county, and the state. Hart's drawing is at the Tennessee State Library and Archives, (See Figure 8) and Lizzie Elliott made the following notation on the rear of the drawing

We have no description of our fort. That must be picked up here and there in the old histories. This picture is exactly according to the ideas which I have gathered.... The fort, as we have reproduced it, it's a mixture of other forts around here, with Fort Nashborough. I consider it approximately correct. There is nothing there but that was really to be found in our Forts done here, on Cumberland.⁶

At the time of construction, most local historians considered the site to be approximately accurate; one local newspaper reported that "the replica is located on the bank of the Cumberland River on the exact site of the old fort."⁷ (See Figure 5.) The *Nashville Evening Tennessean* reported on 10 December 1930, that the plans were prepared by the firm of Hart and Stone, architects, "and the fortress is being erected by Foster and Creighton." In a 1962 newspaper article, architect Joseph Hart recalled that he had travelled to study log construction in Kentucky in preparation for his work on this project, and that he and the DAR members knew that they were creating a "representation" of the original fort, "being considerably smaller and containing fewer cabins."⁸

Lizzie Elliott, well known in Nashville for her work *The Early History of Nashville*, which had been used for years as a textbook in Nashville schools, was the daughter of (Mr.) Collins D. Elliott, the noted women's educator, founding president of the Nashville Female Academy. Miss Elliott had graduated from Peabody College, and taught at Howard School for thirty years. In addition to her membership in the DAR, she was also a member of the Anti-Saloon League and the United Daughters of the Confederacy (UDC), and was an officer in the Tennessee Historical Society. Her obituary in the Nashville Tennessean recalled "her work as a historian served to extend her influence far beyond the schoolroom so that it touched every person interested in the story of their city and state." Miss Elliott passed away in the spring of 1932, shortly after seeing her dream of a reconstructed Fort Nashborough come to pass.⁹

Joseph W. Hart was born in Little Rock, Arkansas on November 6, 1903. He finished school in 1922, worked as a draftsman for Nashville architect Donald W. Southgate until 1924, when he went to Cornell University (1924-1926). He returned to work with Southgate from 1926-1927 doing designs, specs, and drafting. In 1927-1928 he was a draftsman for John Russell Pope. His firm of Joseph W. Hart, Architect was established in 1928 and was active in various forms until at least

Library.

⁶Collins D. Elliott Papers, Tennessee State Library and Archives.

⁷Clipping from unnamed newspaper, dated 10 December 1930, in files at Nashville Room, Nashville Public

⁸Tennessean, 13 May 1962.

⁹Nashville Tennessean, 15 May 1932; Nashville Banner 14 May 1932, both clippings in Collins D. Elliott Papers, TSLA. See also John A. Simpson, *Edith D. Pope and Her Nashville Friends: Guardians of the Lost Cause in* the Confederate Veteran (Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 2003), 192.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 8 Page 10 Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

1956. He established the firm of Hart and Stone with architect J. Ralph Stone in the 1930s.¹⁰ Hart was registered in Tennessee and Mississippi and was a member of the American Institute of Architects from 1930-1939.¹¹ He designed "Wherry" housing for Smyrna, Tennessee, a hotel at Paris Landing State Park, and worked on several other buildings in Nashville. Hart died in Florida in 1974.

As stated on the marker within Fort Nashborough: "This representation of the original fort was built by appropriations from the state of Tennessee, the county of Davidson and the city of Nashville, through the patriotic work of the Tennessee Society of the Daughters of the American Revolution and the preserving efforts of the four Nashville Chapters, Viz.: Cumberland, General James Robertson, Campbell, and Colonel Thomas McCrory." The Fort Nashborough plaques were dedicated at War Memorial Auditorium on 20 November 1930, with Judge Lytton Hickman (Davidson County), Mayor Hilary Howse (City of Nashville), Governor Henry Horton (State of Tennessee, Judge John H. DeWitt (Historical Committee Chair), and Mrs. Joseph Hayes Acklen (DAR State Regent) giving addresses on the program. Other DAR members presented the historic tablets, and the Hume-Fogg (NR 10/16/74) school band played at both the opening and the close of the program.¹²

Shortly after the construction and opening of the fort, E.F. Corbin was named "Custodian and Historian", replaced in 1938 by Annie Long Crutcher, who was appointed to that post by Nashville Mayor Cummings on 20 May 1938. Crutcher was a well-known and well-respected Nashvillian. Like Lizzie Elliott, Mrs. Crutcher was a member of the DAR, as well as the Ladies' Hermitage Association, the Daughters of 1812, the Association for the Preservation of Virginia Antiquities, and many other women's groups. She was also a charter member and one-time president of the Nashville No. 1 chapter of the UDC, and was the president of the Tennessee Parent-Teacher Association. Mrs. Crutcher retired from Fort Nashborough in 1952, and passed away in 1955. During the early years of Fort Nashborough, several local families donated valuable artifacts and other items to assist in the displays and interpretation. The disposition of those artifacts is currently unknown (some are possibly used in the 1802 House at Two Rivers [NR 2/23/72]). Artifacts may have been lost due to theft, as burglaries in 1956 and 1969 received some press coverage.¹³ (See Figure 6 and Figure 7.)

Newspaper articles indicate that the city of Nashville made a \$10,000 appropriation for repairs at Fort Nashborough in 1950, and that a large-scale reconstruction of the 1930 fort complex was

¹⁰ Hart is listed in the 1956 American Architects Directory, <u>http://communities.aia.org/sites/hdoaa/</u> accessed September 28, 2010. Hart is not listed in the 1970 directory.

¹¹ Ibid. See also Nashville City Directories 1930, 1931, 1933, 1937.

¹² Program, "Dedication of Fort Nashborough," 20 November 1930. Copy in files at Metropolitan Nashville Historical Commission; originals in files at Metropolitan Nashville Archives; *Tennessean*, 30 November 1930. See also memorandum from Mary Enoch to Louise Davis, 9 June 1989, copy in files at Metropolitan Nashville Historical Commission.

¹³ Annie Long Crutcher Papers, Tennessee State Library and Archives; Simpson, 84, 117, 191.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

11

Section number 8 Page

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

made in 1962, when \$115,000 was appropriated by City Council. Billis & Johnson served as the architectural firm overseeing the restoration; N.H. Barker & Company was the contractor. According to newspaper reports in 1962, the logs used for the restoration were "peeled 'striped' locust logs", used because of the "striping" treatment to prevent termites and "to prevent some of the bark peeling that has been done by sightseers." Mrs. Ruth Martin was curator of the fort during this time; almost all the newspaper accounts report "summer visitation" in excess of 25,000.¹⁴

Announcing the reconstruction of Fort Nashborough in April 1962, Mayor Ben West stated: "This pioneer stockade is a valuable part of our city's heritage. The old logs have rotted away but we intend to save this bit of history for future generations through a complete rebuilding."¹⁵ Mayor West also presided over the rededication ceremonies in November 1962.

The 1962 "discovery" of the Castleman map in the Draper papers caused some angst and concern among historians and planners in that it was learned that the DAR reconstruction was not actually on the site of the original fort, however, the city went ahead with the reconstruction work at the current site, and historians, journalists, and visitors lauded the city for its commitment to its historic sites.¹⁶ Following the creation of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County in 1963, Executive Order No. 12 (15 June 1963) transferred Fort Nashborough to the management of the Metropolitan Board of Parks and Recreation.¹⁷

Throughout the 1970s, Wesley Paine served as director of Fort Nashborough. During that time, a popular large-scale living history program was offered, with costumed docents providing historical interpretation to visitors at the fort. It was reported that the fort could average as many as two or three hundred visitors in a day's time, and the fort was a popular field trip destination.¹⁸ Although budget constraints ended the living history program and there is currently no on-site docent, Fort Nashborough remains a popular attraction, featured in many tourist guidebooks, and remains a destination for field trips and tour groups. Unfortunately, the lack of current interpretative signage or a docent hampers historical understanding, and the deferred maintenance and lack of general housekeeping is apparent to visitors.

The reconstruction of Fort Nashborough in 1930 is representative of the larger national movement by the DAR to mark historic sites important in the colonial and revolutionary history of the United States. Similarly, the restoration and reconstruction of Colonial Williamsburg (NHL 10/9/60 as

¹⁴ Tennessean, 12 August 1962.

¹⁵ Nashville Banner, 26 April 1962.

¹⁶ See for example, *Tennessean*, 18 May 1962 and 12 August 1962; *Nashville Banner*, 21 November 1962; and an undated article in *The Christian Science Monitor* (in files at Metropolitan Nashville Archives).

¹⁷Leland R. Johnson, *The Parks of Nashville: A History of the Board of Parks and Recreation* (Nashville: Metropolitan Nashville Board of Parks and Recreation, 1986), 165, 240-241.

¹⁸ Interview with Wesley Paine by Tara Mitchell Mielnik, 9 April 2010; see also Fort Nashborough Scrapbook, 1975-1976, in files at Metropolitan Nashville Historical Commission.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 8 Page 12 Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Williamsburg Historic District) in Virginia led the way for a larger Colonial Revival movement in architecture and interiors. In Tennessee, Fort Nashborough is one of the most important "Frontier Revival" reconstructions, while the style became moderately popular for new residential construction as well. There are log houses in the North Edgefield part of Nashville and in south Nashville. However, none of these 1930s residences attempt to represent the settlement period buildings of Nashville. Most have a Craftsman influence and all use modern construction methods. Fort Nashborough is the best local example of reconstructed log buildings that attempt to replicate a historic building. Other examples of architecture in Tennessee that commemorates early settlement buildings include the James White Fort in Knoxville and Fort Loudon (NHL 6/23/65) in Monroe County. James White's log fort has been dismantled and moved twice, the last time being in 1973. Reconstruction of Fort Loudoun's buildings and palisade began in the 1930s as WPA project. When TVA created Tellico Lake in the mid-1970s, the fort was raised seventeen feet above the water level and rebuilt. Both of these forts are approximations of the original settlement era buildings that are not on their original sites.

Traveling to see historic sites, whether to a nearby city or a faraway place, has been and continues to be an important American pastime. "See America First" began with the railroads encouraging the wealthy to travel by rail in the US during the first decade of the twentieth century. By the 1930s, when Fort Nashborough was built, traveling to historic and recreational sites in the US had become a popular pastime that was "a ritual of citizenship."¹⁹ During the Depression years, the WPA's Federal Writers' Project state travel guides not only provided work for writers, they let people know what they could see within their own areas, including historic sites. John Jakle notes in *The Tourist* that visiting historic sites "...was usually a well-developed preoccupation in travel."²⁰ He calls many of these historic places contrivances and states that they are important to the traveler.

Celebrating the past was important to a sense of nationhood, statehood, and locality. It was educational as a means of orientation to one's cultural heritage, but above all, visiting historical attractions could be entertaining and relaxing. Historical sites offered a sense of permanence in an ever-evolving world of new, highly standardized landscapes.²¹

Tennessee's WPA guide showcases Fort Nashborough on the first page of its architecture section. The relatively new fort is mentioned for its log construction and the history of James Robertson's early settlement. The book has a photo of the fort and erroneously states that the property is an accurate reproduction on the original site of the fort. Like many battlefields and collections of

¹⁹ Marguerite Shaffer. See America First: Tourism and National Identity, 1880-1940 (Washington DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 2001), 309.

 ²⁰ John Jakle. The Tourist: Travel in Twentieth-Century North America (Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press, 1985), 286.
 ²¹ Ibid.

8

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page 13

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

historic or reproduced buildings in complexes, such as Colonial Williamsburg or Greenfield Village (NHL 12/21/81 as Edison Institute), Fort Nashborough was not an accurate reproduction. The 1930 fort was built in a park-like setting with plantings that were never at the original fort. The modern setting enshrined the buildings, giving a sense of veneration to the complex. In this sense, a property such as Fort Nashborough, while not original or even accurate, was more than a museum that held artifacts. "Historical places were lived-in landscapes that preserved aspects of context, but which were strongly overlaid by aspects of contemporary life, including the imprints of tourism."²²

The importance of Fort Nashborough in developing a sense of Nashville history is seen in other published works. Critic and author Robert Penn Warren, one of the Agrarians, in his work *Segregation: The Inner Conflict in the South*, calls the replica of Fort Nashborough "the ground of history and heroism... hallowed ground."²³ Meanwhile, another of the Agrarians, Donald Davidson, cited the reconstruction of Fort Nashborough as the result of a "wave of reverence" to a locale's individualized past.²⁴ Numerous travel guides for Nashville, Tennessee, and the South, beginning with the Federal Writers Guide mentioned earlier and continuing almost annually to the present-day (including Fodor's, Frommer's, Collier's, and others), call out Fort Nashborough as a site worth visiting.²⁵

Along with its importance to tourism, Fort Nashborough is a good example of early- to midtwentieth century historic preservation and conservation. During this timeframe, the colonial era was the premier area that historic preservation was concerned with and much of the work the DAR did for historic preservation was with colonial era buildings.²⁶ The idealized past, as rebuilt in the twentieth century, did not strive for an accurate reproduction but only a feeling that the building was historic. Fort Nashborough has many of the features recommended for reproduction buildings.

The building should rest closely on the ground; the pitch of the roof and its eave and cornice line should be correct in relation to the width and height of the wall surface; the windows (double-hung or, in the seventeenth-century examples, casements) should be properly scaled with the appropriate division of lights; paneled doorways,

22 Ibid., 300.

²³Robert Penn Warren, Segregation: The Inner Conflict in the South (1956; reprint, Athens: University of Georgia Press, 1994), 10-11.

²⁴ Donald Davidson, *Regionalism and Nationalism in the United States: The Attack on Leviathan* (Raleigh: University of North Carolina Press, 1938; reprint, New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction Publishers, 1991), 87.

²⁵ The Agrarians were a prominent early twentieth century group of social critics based at Vanderbilt University. They promoted southern rural culture as an antidote to industrialization and capitalism. Published in 1930, *I'll Take My Stand: The South and the Agrarian Tradition* is one of their best known collection of essays. The Agrarians are closely tied to a literary movement, The Fugitives.

²⁶ David Gebhard. "The American Colonial Revival in the 1930s." Winterthur Portfolio 22, no. 2/3 (Summer-Autumn, 1987), 119.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 8 Page 14 Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

fireplace mantels, and stair and other details should be based on historic precedent; and everything should contribute to a sense of delicate and intimate scale.²⁷

While the WPA writers were telling people where to travel for historic sites, programs like the Historic American Buildings Survey were recording the architecture and history of buildings throughout the nation. Architectural magazines and journals also depicted some of the colonial era buildings and they had designs for new colonial buildings in their pages.

Throughout the country, hereditary organizations and women's groups, notably the DAR, led the way in the early and mid-twentieth century with restorations and reconstructions of significant early American historic sites. Over 210 DAR-assisted restoration and reconstruction projects were documented in 1941. Wakefield, the birthplace of George Washington, in Virginia; Mount Washington Tavern at Fort Necessity, in Pennsylvania; Stratford (NR 10/15/66), the birthplace of Robert E. Lee, in Virginia; and the Old Exchange Building in Charleston, South Carolina; are just a few of the most notable examples of the work of the DAR in historic preservation in the 1920s and 1930s. Others include the Nancy Hart Cabin in Georgia (constructed 1931, now a part of Nancy Hart State Outdoor Recreation Area); Fort Harrod in Kentucky (constructed circa 1925, now Old Fort Harrod State Park); Thomas Lincoln Cabin in Charleston, Illinois (constructed in 1936 by the Civilian Conservation Corps); and the Pioneer Memorial Cabin in Woodburn, Oregon (constructed in 1931, now a part of the Champoeg State Heritage Area).²⁸ In Tennessee during this period, the DAR was active in the preservation of Brainerd Mission Cemetery (NR 12/6/79) near Chattanooga, and the preservation of Rock House (NR 8/14/73), near Sparta, in addition to the reconstruction of Fort Nashborough. Perhaps the most significant site associated with the preservation work of the DAR in Tennessee is that of Blount Mansion in Knoxville (NR 10/15/66, NHL 1/12/65), where the Bonny Kate Chapter of the DAR purchased the house in 1925 and opened it as a museum in 1926, forming the Blount Mansion Association in the process. Fort Nashborough is one of several reconstruction projects that the DAR was involved in nationally in the 1920s and 1930s.

In Tennessee, another example of early preservation or conservation work, although not associated with the DAR, is the Andrew Johnson tailor shop in Greeneville. The state constructed a brick Colonial Revival building to encase the frame tailor shop and acquired the property in 1923. A local organization called the "Mothers Club" and later known as the Andrew Johnson Woman's Club had "custody" of the building.²⁹ The building became a part of the Andrew Johnson National Historic Site (NR 10/15/66) when the site was established in 1942. The Mothers Club also erected

²⁷ Ibid., 120.

²⁸Lewis Barrington, *Historic Restorations of the Daughters of the American Revolution* (New York: Richard R. Smith, 1941), passim; Martha Lynn Fuquay Cummings and (Dee) Ida Garrett Herod Smothers, *Historical Markers Placed by the Tennessee Society Daughters of the American* Revolution (Knoxville: Tennessee Valley Publishing, 2007), 4-13; Tara Mitchell Mielnik, "Blount Mansion" in *Tennessee Encyclopedia of History and Culture*, Carroll Van West, ed. (Nashville: Tennessee Historical Society, 1998), 74.

²⁹ Cameron Binkley. Andrew Johnson National Historic Site Administrative History (Atlanta: National Park Service, 2008), 6.

8

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page 15

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

a reproduction of the capitol building for the Lost State of Franklin in 1966, a few years after Fort Nashborough had been rebuilt.

The reconstruction of Fort Nashborough is one of the watershed events in Nashville and Tennessee's preservation movements, and is architecturally and historically significant not so much for its association with the settlement history of Nashville, but with the cultural history of Nashville and the historical and preservation movements in the twentieth century.
National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 9 Page 16 Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alsberg, Henry G. (ed.). The American Guide: A Source Book and Complete Travel Guide for the United States. NY: Hastings House, 1949.
- American Institute of Architects. The AIA Historical Directory of American Architects. <u>http://communities.aia.org/sites/hdoaa/wiki/Wiki%20Pages/Home.aspx</u>, accessed 28 September 2010.
- Barrington, Lewis. *Historic Restorations of the Daughters of the American Revolution.* New York: Richard R. Smith, 1941.
- Binkley, Cameron. Andrew Johnson National Historic Site Administrative History. Atlanta: National Park Service, 2008.
- Clayton, W.W. History of Davidson County, Tennessee. Philadelphia: np, 1880; reprint, Nashville: Charles Elder, Bookseller, 1971.
- Collins D., Elliot, Papers, Tennessee State Library and Archives.
- Creighton, Wilbur. Building of Nashville. Nashville: np, c1969.
- Crew, H.W. History of Nashville, Tennessee. Nashville: Methodist Publishing House, 1890; reprint, Nashville: Charles Elder, Bookseller, nd.
- Crutcher, Annie Long, Papers. Tennessee State Library and Archives.
- Cummings, Martha Lynn Fuquay and (Dee) Ida Garrett Herod Smothers, Historical Markers Placed by the Tennessee Society Daughters of the American Revolution. Knoxville: Tennessee Valley Publishing, 2007.
- Daughters of the American Revolution, Fort Nashborough Chapter. <u>http://www.tndar.org/~fortnashborough/fortnashboroughhistory.html</u>, accessed 24 September 2010.
- Davidson, Donald. Regionalism and Nationalism in the United States: The Attack on Leviathan. Raleigh: University of North Carolina Press, 1938; reprint, New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction Publishers, 1991.
- "Dedication of Fort Nashborough," 20 November 1930. Copy in files at Metropolitan Nashville Historical Commission; originals in files at Metropolitan Nashville Archives.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 9 Page

e 17

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Draper, Lyman papers, microfilm, Tennessee State Library and Archives

Federal Writers Project. Tennessee: A guide to the state / compiled and written by the Federal writers' project of the Works Projects Administration for the State of Tennessee. Sponsored by Department of Conservation, Division of Information. New York: The Viking press, 1939: reprint ed., St. Clair Shores, MI: Scholarly Press, Inc., 1978.

Fort Nashborough Scrapbook, 1975-1976, in files at Metropolitan Nashville Historical Commission.

- Gebhard, David. "The American Colonial Revival in the 1930s." Winterthur Portfolio 22 (no. 2/3, Summer-Autumn 1987), 109-148.
- Herndon, Joseph L. "Architects in Tennessee until 1930: A Dictionary." Master's thesis, Columbia University, May 1975.
- Hollis, Tim. Dixie Before Disney: 100 Years of Roadside Fun. Jackson, MS: University Press of Mississippi, 1999.

House and Garden Williamsburg Issue. November 1937.

- Jakle, John. The Tourist: Travel in Twentieth-Century North America. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press, 1985.
- Johnson, Leland R. The Parks of Nashville: A History of the Board of Parks and Recreation. Nashville: Metropolitan Nashville Board of Parks and Recreation, 1986.
- Memorandum from Mary Enoch to Louise Davis, 9 June 1989, copy in files at Metropolitan Nashville Historical Commission.
- Mielnik, Tara Mitchell. "Blount Mansion" in Tennessee Encyclopedia of History and Culture, Carroll Van West, ed. Nashville: Tennessee Historical Society, 1998.

Nashville Banner, 21 November 1962 and 26 April 1962.

Nashville City Directories.

Nashville Room, Nashville Public Library. Clipping from unnamed newspaper, dated 10 December 1930

Paine, Wesley. Interview by Tara Mitchell Mielnik, 9 April 2010.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____9 Page ____18 Davidson County, Tennessee

- Putnam, A.W. History of Middle Tennessee. Nashville: by the author, 1859; reprint, Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 1971.
- Shaffer, Marguerite. See America First: Tourism and National Identity, 1880-1940. Washington DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 2001.
- Simpson, John A. Edith D. Pope and Her Nashville Friends: Guardians of the Lost Cause in the Confederate Veteran. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 2003.

Tennessean, 12 August 1962, 13 May 1962, 18 May 1962, and 30 November 1962.

The Christian Science Monitor, undated article. Metropolitan Nashville Archives.

- Warren, Robert Penn. Segregation: The Inner Conflict in the South. 1956; reprint, Athens: University of Georgia Press, 1994.
- West, Carroll Van. "Fort Nashborough," in *Tennessee Encyclopedia of History and Culture*, Carroll Van West, ed. Nashville: Tennessee Historical Society, 1998.

Fort Nashborough	Davidson County, Tennessee
Name of Property	County and State
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of Property Less than one acre	Nashville West 308 NE
UTM References (place additional UTM references on a continuation sheet.)	
16 520279 4002131 Zone Easting Northing	3 Zone Easting Northing 4
Verbal Boundary Description Describe the boundaries of the property on a continuation sheet.) Boundary Justification Explain why the boundaries were selected on a continuation sheet.)	
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title Tara Mitchell Mielnik/Historic Preservation Specialist & organization Metro (Nashville) Historical Commission & TN Historical C street & number 300 Granny White Pike & 2941 Lebanon Re	commission date November 2010
ity or town Nashville	state TN zip code 37204 & 37214
Additional Documentation	
Continuation Sheets Maps A USGS map (7.5 0r 15 minute series) indicating the p A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having	
hotographs	ng large dereage of namerous resources.
Representative black and white photographs of the	property.
dditional items Check with the SHPO) or FPO for any additional items	
Property Owner	
(Complete this item at the request of SHPO or FPO.)	

Name/title Metropolitan Board of Parks and Recreation, c/o Tommy Lynch, Director

street & number Post Office Box 186340			telephone	615/ 862-8400	
city or town	Nashville	state	TN	zip code	37219

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listing. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.)

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P. O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Projects (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20303.

10

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number

Page 19

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

VERBAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION

The nominated property includes the log palisade and the buildings of Fort Nashborough that are enclosed. It also includes the historic stone wall on the west. The boundary extends north from the northern palisade approximately thirty-five feet to a non-historic marker. The east boundary extends from the eastern palisade approximately fourteen feet and includes the adjacent sidewalk. The south boundary extends from the southern palisade approximately fourteen feet and includes the adjacent sidewalk. The south boundary extends from the southern palisade approximately fourteen feet and ends before the modern bathrooms. The west boundary extends approximately five feet from the palisade and includes the historic stone wall. This is part of parcel 09306210300. Outside the boundary is the Cumberland River, a modern park with structures and buildings, and First Avenue. The nominated area includes the most intact area that represents historic Fort Nashborough. The boundary is marked on the attached tax map.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ 10 Page _____ 20 Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee



National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number ______photos _ Page ____21 ___ Davidson County, Tennessee

 PHOTOGRAPHS

 Photos by:
 Claudette Stager

 Date:
 September 2010

 Digital Negative:
 Tennessee Historical Commission

Main entry gate to Fort Nashborough and stone wall, facing east 1 of 35

West elevation of fort and stone wall, facing northeast 2 of 35

West elevation of fort showing stone wall and buildings 1, 2, 4, and 5, facing southeast 3 of 35

West elevation of fort showing stone wall and buildings 1, 2, and connector, facing southeast 4 of 35

West elevation of fort showing stone wall and buildings 1, 2, and 3, facing east 5 of 35

Southwest corner of fort showing stone wall and Building 5 6 of 35

North elevation of fort, showing Building 4, facing south 7 of 35

East elevation of fort, facing south southwest 8 of 35

East elevation of fort, facing north northwest 9 of 35

South entry to fort, overview showing buildings 3 and 4, facing, north 10 of 35

South elevation of fort, showing Building 5, facing northwest 11 of 35

Northwest corner of fort showing Building 4 and north entry, facing southeast 12 of 35

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number photos Page 22

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Building 1 east façade, facing southwest 13 of 35

Building 1 interior, facing southwest 14 of 35

Building 1 interior, facing northwest 15 of 35

East facades of buildings 1 and 2, facing, southwest 16 of 35

Building 2 interior, facing northwest 17 of 35

Building 2 interior, facing southwest 18 of 35

Overview inside fort showing buildings 2, 3, 5, and marker, facing south 19 of 35

South elevation of Building 2 and main entry, facing west 20 of 35

North elevation and west façade of Building 3 and marker, facing south 21 of 35

West façade and south elevation of Building 3, facing northwest 22 of 35

Overview showing buildings 2, 3, and 4, facing south 23 of 35

South façade of Building 4, facing south 24 of 35

Building 4 interior, facing northwest 25 of 35

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number photos Page 23

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

North façade of Building 5, facing south 26 of 35

Building 5 east elevation and south entry to fort, facing west 27 of 35

Building 5 south elevation, facing northwest 28 of 35

Building 5 interior, facing southwest 29 of 35

Building 5 interior, facing east showing former bathroom 30 of 35

Building 5 interior, facing east 31 of 35

Building 5 interior, facing south 32 of 35

Building 5 interior second floor 33 of 35

Overview of fort showing buildings 1, 2, 3, and 5, facing south 34 of 35

Overview from across Cumberland River, facing west 35 of 35

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Fort Nashborough Section number Davidson County, Tennessee figures Page 24

FORT HASHBOROUGH . FIRST AVE. AND CHURCH ST. . NASHVILLE - TENN .--- 26

Figures 2 and 3. Undated postcards from the Norton Collection of Vintage Nashville Postcards, 2002

This replica of Fort Nashborough, the stock-ade and cabins that housed the pioneers, who established the settlement more than 150 years ago, is erected on the site of the original en-closure. It is built entirely of logs and handhesen planks. No nails or metal was used in its con-struction. Hinges, latches, etc. are made of wood and it is throughout an authentic repro-duction of American frontier construction,



FORT NASHBOROUGH

(Named for General Francis Nash, Fart Nash-barough was changed to Nashville in 1784)

This representation of the original Fort was erected in 1930, the 150th anniversary of the settlement of what is now the City of Nashville, Tennessee, (see rablet)

The visitor will be interested in the pioneer con-struction without the use of builder's hardware, or nails.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

25

Section number

figures Page

Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

THE NASHVILLE RETROSPECT, OCTOBER 2010

its sketch of French Lick Station is among the Draper Papers (6XX:80) and was apparently drawn by Lyman Draper during an terview with Andrew Castleman, who lived in the frontler fort. (Image: Tennessee State Library & Archives)



This photo of the replica station on the Bluff, Fort Nashborough, was taken not long after its completion in 1930. (Image: Tennessee State Library & Archives) Figures 4 and 5. Nashville Retrospect, October 2010.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____figures Page ____26 ___ Davidson County, Tennessee





Figures 6 and 7. Nashville Retrospect, October 2010. Photos are from the Nashville Banner Archives at the Nashville Public Library. Left is Curator Ruth Martin and right is Caretaker Dewey Kirkpatrick looking at Building 1 after an October 1956 burglary.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____figures Page ____27 Fort Nashborough Davidson County, Tennessee

Figure 8. Hart drawing of Fort Nashborough. Courtesy of Tennessee State Library and Archives



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: NOMINATION

PROPERTY Fort Nashborough NAME:

MULTIPLE NAME :

STATE & COUNTY: TENNESSEE, Davidson

DATE OF PENDING LIST: 6/24/11 6/03/11 DATE RECEIVED: DATE OF 16TH DAY: 7/11/11 DATE OF 45TH DAY: 7/19/11 DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:

REFERENCE NUMBER: 11000454

REASONS FOR REVIEW:

APPEAL:	N	DATA PROBLEM:	N	LANDSCAPE:	Ν	LESS THAN 50 YEARS:	N
OTHER:	N	PDIL:	N	PERIOD:	N	PROGRAM UNAPPROVED:	N
REQUEST:	Y	SAMPLE:	N	SLR DRAFT:	N	NATIONAL:	N

COMMENT WAIVER: N

ACCEPT RETURN

13/2011 REJECT DATE

ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:

Reconstruction of Fort that was the founding of Nashville. Done in 1930 and Pabuilt in 1962, it reflects the wave of Commensation that the populated with Williamsburg. The Fort is not an according Replice, but the DAR did the best possible Jub ransiding the lack & infor Served the Commonity as no I con of losal thistory + as an educational tool.

RECOM. / CRITERIA AUCOL ASC	
REVIEWER J Constant	DISCIPLINE
TELEPHONE	DATE

DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/ see attached SLR Y/

If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.






















































Welcome to: FORT NASHBOROUG: A SELF-GUIDED TOUR FACILITY

62

....

Operated By: The Metropolitan Board of Parks and Recreation





















1	RECEIVED 2280
	JUN 0 3 2011
W	REGISTER CE HISTORIC PLACES NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

TENNESSEE HISTORICAL COMMISSION

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION 2941 LEBANON ROAD NASHVILLE, TN 37243-0442 (615) 532-1550

May 24, 2011

Carol Shull Keeper of the National Register National Park Service 1201 Eye Street NW 8th floor Washington DC 20005

Dear Ms. Shull:

Enclosed please find the documentation to nominate *Fort Nashborough* to the National Register of Historic Places.

If you have any questions or if more information is needed, contact Claudette Stager@ 615/532-1550, extension 105 or <u>Claudette.Stager@tn.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Patilite

E. Patrick McIntyre, Jr. State Historic Preservation Officer

EPM:cs

Enclosures



TENNESSEE HISTORICAL COMMISSION 2941 LEBANON ROAD NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0442 OFFICE: (615) 532-1550

September 29, 2015

J. Paul Loether Deputy Keeper and Chief National Register and National Historic Landmarks Programs National Register of Historic Places 1201 Eye Street NW 8th floor Washington, DC 20005

Dear Mr. Loether:

Enclosed please find the documentation to remove Fort Nashborough from the National Register of Historic Places. The building was demolished on June 19-20, 2015.

If you have any questions or if more information is needed, contact Peggy Nickell at 615/770-1087 or Peggy.Nickell@tn.gov.

Sincerely,

audella po

Claudette Stager Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

Cs:pn

Enclosure



METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHWILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

KARLF. DEAN MAYOR

SAUL SOLOMON DIRECTOR OF LAW DEPARTMENT OF LAW METROPOLITAN COURTHOUSE, SUITE 108 PO BOX 196300 NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37219-6300 (615) 862-6341 • (615) 862-6352 FAX

August 12, 2015

Ms. Peggy Nickell **Tennessee Historical Commission** State Historic Preservation Office 2941 Lebanon Road Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0442

RE: Fort Nashborough

Dear Ms. Nickell:

Please find enclosed the removal document for Fort Nashborough that was sent to Mayor Dean with your letter of June 22, 2015. The removal has been reviewed and the document has been signed by Mayor Dean after review and recommendation from the Metro Historical Commission.

Sincerely,

Susan T. Jones



CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL REGISTER REVIEW

11

CLG: Nashville, Tennessee PROPERTY: Fort Nashborough ADDRESS: **Riverfront Park on First Street, Davidson County, Tennessee**

CHIEF ELECTED OFFICIAL EVALUATION

NAME OF OFFICIAL: Karl F. Dean

Mayor, Metropolitan Nashville and Davidson County TITLE:

ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER

NOT ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER

REASONS FOR ELIGIBILITY OR NON-ELIGIBILITY:

The THC staff has made a compelling argument about removing Fort Nashborough from the National Register since the complex no longer exists as it was described when listed back in 2011. The Historical Commission (MHC) voted on this at its meeting on July 20. The MHC concurred with the Tennessee Historical Commission (THC) staff that Fort Nashborough is no longer eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places due to demolition and should therefore be removed from the National Register.

SIGNATURE:

TITLE: Karl Dean, Mayor, Metropolitan Government of Nashville & Davidson County DATE:

THC STAFF EVALUATION

ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER ☑ NOT ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER

REASONS FOR ELIGIBILITY OR NON-ELIGIBILITY:

Fort Nashborough is located in Riverfront Park on First Avenue in downtown Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee was listed on the National Register on July 13, 2011. This multibuilding replica of the circa 1780 fort complex constructed by James Robertson and is band of settlers was built in ca. 1930 and substantially reconstructed in 1962. The complex was listed under Criteria A and C for its local significance in architecture, conservation, and recreation/entertainment. The fort was demolished on June 19-20, 2015. As a result, Fort Nashborough has lost the significance for which it was listed and no longer retains integrity of setting, location, design, materials, workmanship, association and feeling. Therefore, Fort Nashborough should be removed from the National Register

SIGNATURE: Keggy Rukel

TITLE: Peggy Nickell, National Register Coordinator-Historic Preservation Specialist DATE: June 22, 2014

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN BEFORE: May 18, 2015

RETURN FORM TO:

PEGGY NICKELL TENNESSEE HISTORICAL COMMISSION 2941 LEBANON ROAD NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0442

CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL REGISTER REVIEW CENVED

JUL 2 9 2015

CLG: Nashville, Tennessee TN. HIS LONG COMMISSION **PROPERTY:** Fort Nashborough Riverfront Park on First Street, Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee ADDRESS: 40

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION EVALUATION

NAME OF COMMISSION: Motropolitan (Nashuile) Mistorical Commission DATE OF MEETING: July 20,2015 HOW WAS THE PUBLIC NOTIFIED OF THE MEETING? Meeting ascade posted on website ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER X NOT ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER

REASONS FOR ELIGIBILITY OR NON-ELIGIBILITY:

Fort Nashhorough was demolished in June 2015. See below.

SIGNATURE: SANGET CALE- for Two Mellik TITLE: Tara Mietnik, Metro Nashville Historical Commission, Certified Local Government DATE: July 21, 2015

THC STAFF EVALUATION

ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER ☑ NOT ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER

REASONS FOR ELIGIBILITY OR NON-ELIGIBILITY:

Fort Nashborough is located in Riverfront Park on First Avenue in downtown Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee was listed on the National Register on July 13, 2011. This multibuilding replica of the circa 1780 fort complex constructed by James Robertson and is band of settlers was built in ca. 1930 and substantially reconstructed in 1962. The complex was listed under Criteria A and C for its local significance in architecture, conservation, and recreation/entertainment. The fort was demolished on June 19-20, 2015. As a result, Fort Nashborough has lost the significance for which it was listed and no longer retains integrity of setting, location, design, materials, workmanship, association and feeling. Therefore, Fort Nashborough should be removed from the National Register

SIGNATURE: Pagay Richald TITLE: Peggy Nickell, National Register Coordinator-Historic Preservation Specialist

DATE: June 22, 2015

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN BEFORE: May 18, 2015

RETURN FORM TO:

PEGGY NICKELL TENNESSEE HISTORICAL COMMISSION 2941 LEBANON ROAD NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0442