National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



	14 to 1	2000	males 20130		44.22	
	For	VPS I	ise o	niv.		
1			CC	n .		I
The same		1274	- Per 1	4		19 83
4	rece	ived		5-4 × ×	3.5	
1,00	100	400	NE SE	20.7		
	100		100		200	94.00 10.00
130	date	ent	ered	复酒的		동하

1. Nam	e			
historic Sta:	rtup Candy Facto	ry		
and/or common				
2. Loca	ation			
street & number	534 South 100) West		not for publication
city, town	Provo	vicinity of	vengressional district	
state	Utah	code 049 count	y Utah	code 049
3. Clas	sification			
Category district building(s) structure site object	Ownership public private both Public Acquisition in process A A being considere	Status _X occupied unoccupied work in progress Accessible _X yes: restricted d yes: unrestricted no	entertainment government	museum park private residence religious scientific transportation other:
4. Own	er of Prop	erty		
name street & number	Harry Startup Startup Candy P.O. Box 589	/ Jerry York / A&Y Building Suppl / 534 South 100 West	у	
city, town	Prove	vicinity of	state	Utah 84601
5. Loca	ation of Le	gal Descript	ion	
courthouse, regi	stry of deeds, etc.	Utah County Courth	ouse	
street & number		42 S. University		
city, town		Provo	state	Utah
6. Rep	resentatio	n in Existing	Surveys	
title Utah His	toric Sites Inve	ntoryProvo has this	property been determined el	ligible? yesX no
date Summer	1980		federalX sta	te county loca
depository for su	ırvey records Utah	State Historical So	ciety	
city, town	C a 1+	Lake City	state	Utah

7. Description

				·		
Condition		Check one	Check one			
excellent	deteriorated	unaltered	original sit	te		
excellent	deteriorated ruins	_X_ altered	moved	date		÷ .
•		_A_ altered	moved	uate		
fair	unexposed					: -

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

The Startup Candy Factory is a two-and-a-half story brick structure begun in 1900. It has an eclectic mixture of Victorian detailing with several additions made through the 1920s. The early part of the building has a hip roof and later additions have flat roofs. Decorative elements are provided mainly by windows and doors, brick corbeling, and stringcourses. Since the 1920s additions, alterations to the building include a modern door and an enlarged window on the southeast facade, bricking in of some windows, and two wooden lean-tos on the west rear. The lean-tos are not seen from the front and do not detract from the historic integrity of the building which remains intact. The south half of the complex has been recently renovated and is in excellent condition, while the north half is in fair condition.

The original 1900 factory building was a hip roofed rectangular block of yellowish-pink brick on a random stone foundation which formed a watertable. Horizontally, this original section has three divisions with half-story basement windows, a rather high main floor, and a second story pierced by windows. Five bays wide, the facade had a window-window-window-door-window division on the main level from south to north, but the north windows have now been bricked in at all three levels. An early addition to the south (date of addition not documented, probably pre-1915) added five more bays pierced by windows which match the original building. Both sections of the factory were united by a continuous hip roof and matching ornamental details. All the windows are six-over-six double-hung and have segmentally arched heads with three tiers of voussoirs that are flush with the wall. The slipsills project out from the wall. The original door of the factory has been altered and replaced by a modern one with a cement surround. Beside the door, to the south side, one of the windows was enlarged to a triple set united under one large arch of yellow brick.

The south end of the factory addition shows a four bay division plus a one-and-a-half story shed-roof brick lean-to on the west that was built as an integrated part at the time of the addition. The six-over-six double-hung sash windows on this end, which have had louvered shutters added, are paired and are wider and shorter than those of the facade. The second story windows have flat lintels that abut the cornice while the main level and basement windows have segmentally arched heads with three tiers of voussoirs. The basement windows were bricked in when the basement was filled during late 1970s renovation. The door, on the east side, has a one-and-a-half story height reaching from the basement level to the top of the main floor windows. To the west, the lean-to is pierced by a main floor and basement window matching the others.

The west side of the factory and its pre-1915 addition have had the windows on the main floor bricked in. A modern wooden frame addition (ca. 1970s) attaches to the north end of the brick lean-to and to the north of it is another one-story wooden lean-to (ca. 1960s) which attaches to the west wall of the original section of the factory. Four of the original corbelled chimney caps still stand on the west side.

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet 1

Item number

OMB NO. 1024-0018 EXP. 12/31/84



Page

2

To the north of the original factory, offices and a printing plant were built. The office building (ca. 1905) was originally one story and detached from the factory. Later, a two-story addition was made to the north side of the offices, and another two-story addition was made to the north side of the factory. Eventually a second story was built over the offices and extended over a large segmentally arched gate to connect with the north factory addition, uniting all the additions and unifying the height. (Dates of each of these additions are not documented but they were completed before 1925.) Moving from south to north, these additions currently appear as follows:

The narrow yellow brick addition to the north of the factory is the most elaborate section. It has a flat roof with a cornice and parapet, and the wall surfaces are ornamented by pilasters and recessed panels. The second story has three six-over-six double-hung windows with segmentally arched heads formed by one tier of radiating voussoirs. A corbelled stringcourse sets off the main level which has two flat arch windows set in a recessed panel above two half-story basement windows. All the sills are brick and project at a downward slope. The doorway, on the north, reaches from the basement to the top of the main floor windows. The door is recessed and has a round arch.

Next to this addition is the large segmentally arched gate that spans the distance from the north factory addition to the original one-story office building. This office building had a corbelled brick cornice which now appears as a stringcourse, setting off the second story. The same corbelled cornice is repeated on the second story to unify it. The ground floor has a door-window-window-door division. The windows are two-over-two double-hung and have wooden sills. The window and door heads are segmentally arched and decorativley corbelled as is the cornice. The doors are paneled and have glass transoms. The second story windows are aligned with one above each of the windows to the inside of the doors, and one above the arched gateway. These windows have segmentally arched heads with two tiers of voussoirs.

The addition to the north of the office building is unified by repeating the same corbelled cornice and the same two tiered segmentally arched windows on the second story. The facade has a three-bay division with a central door flanked by two windows on the main level. The recessed door is paneled and has a glass transom. The windows are six-over-six double-hung sash and the segmentally arched heads on the main level openings have three tiers of voussoirs as do the six-pane half windows of the basement. The door is aligned over the central basement window and nothing leads from it to the ground. The yellow brick used on these sections of the building is currently painted pink.

8. Significance

Period prehistoric 1400–1499 1500–1599 1600–1699 1700–1799 1800–1899 1900–	Areas of Significance—C archeology-prehistoric agriculture architecture art commerce communications		g landscape architectur law literature military music	re religion science sculpture social/ humanitarian theater transportation
Specific dates	1900	Builder/Architect	Unknown	

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

Named after the founder, William Startup, and the family which still carries on the business, the Startup Candy Factory is a significant record of the candy industry in Provo and the state of Utah. The present building, constructed in 1900 to house the expanding business which began in Provo in 1875, is important for its longtime and continuing association with the company and its peak years of production. The Startup Candy Company was one of the earliest candy factories in the state and in 1892 became the third wholesale manufacturing company in Utah. The candy industry has thrived in Utah's ideal dry climate and, in modern years, Utah's candymakers have produced more candy than the combined Intermountain states. Renowned for several "firsts" in the industry, the Startup Candy Company originated the first candy bar made in the United States, as noted in Ripley's "Believe It or Not."4 The company is also famous for a specialty called "magnolias," tiny candies with a perfumed liquid center which were forerunners of modern day breath mints. In Provo, the Startups were the first to introduce ice cream. which was sold from their store. Also unusual, the Startup factory had its own printing and box production and was one of few companies west of the Mississippi to produce fancy boxes for candy. Along another line, theirs was the first factory in Utah to give employees a profit-sharing bonus. Of the leading early candy factories, the Startup factory is one of only three which were located outside of Salt Lake City. The second, the Shupe-Williams Candy Company building (1906) in Ogden, belonging to a company that disbanded in 1967, was listed in the National Register in 1978. The J. G. McDonald Chocolate Company building in Salt Lake City (1901), which is now the Dixon Paper Company, is also listed in the National Register (1978). The Startup building is the only documented remaining early candy factory that still houses the original company.5

The history of candy began when sweets were first produced by physicians and apothecaries to hide the taste of medicine. It was in England that candy making really began to rise in the early first half of the nineteenth century. An international confectionery exhibition was held in London in 1851 which attracted France and Germany to the candy industry. France later became famous for developing bon bons.

Across the sea, the United States was already involved in the industry with twenty small factories in Philadelphia by 1816 and as many more in New York. The first candies were mostly limited to an assortment of stick and molasses candies and some called "sugar plums," all made by hand. Other fancy candies had to be imported. Due to the introduction of machinery the industry began

on 1 1 1		aphical					· ·
	'Startup Candy "Candy Indust:						••
Salt Lake Trib	une. "Utah Ca	ters to Natio	on in Candy	y Products.	" Febr		
Utah Economic a							f Economic
	ess Research, C		usiness, U	niversity o	I Utah.		
10. Geo	graphica	ı Data	: ·				•
Acreage of nominat	• •	s than one	_	+1 + +		1 -	24000
Quadrangle name _ UMT References	rrovo	-			Quadrangl	e scale <u>1</u> :	44000
A 1 2 4 4 3 Zone Easting	8 7 0 4 4 5 Northing	1 2 81 51 0	B Zo	ne Easting		Northing	1-1-1
c			D		11.1		<u> </u>
E			F				1: 1
			н				
Verbal boundary	description and j	ustification (Commencing	48 feet N o	of SE co	rner of I	ot 1. Block
lat A, Provo C	ity Survey, th	ence W 99 fe	et, N 49.5	feet, W 36	feet,	N 99 feet	., E 135 fee
148,5 feet to							ock 2, S 78
135.8 feet, N						ng,	
		code	county	-		code	•
state N/A			County	N/A		Code	
state -NI/A							
N/A	n Prepare	ed By	county	N/A		code	: :
11. Forn	n Prepare	ed By	county	N/A		code	
11. Forn		ed By			ny 1983	code	
11. Forn name/title Cha	eryl Hartman,	ed By			<u></u>	533-6017	
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta	eryl Hartman,	ed By		date Ma	<u></u>		
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City	ed By Researcher rical Societ	у	date Ma telephone state	(801) Utah	533-6017	ation
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City e Histori	ed By Researcher rical Societ C Prese	y ervatio	date Ma telephone state	(801) Utah	533-6017	ation
11. Form name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated significant	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City e Histori licance of this propo	Researcher rical Societ C Prese	y ervatio	date Ma telephone state	(801) Utah	533-6017	ation
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signif	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state	y Prvatio ate is: local	date Matelephone state Offic	(801) Utah er C	533-6017 ertific	
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signif As the designated S 665), I hereby nomin	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori icance of this propertional xtate Historic Preservate this property for	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the	y ervatio ate is: local r the National Regional Regio	date Matelephone state Offic Historic Preserister and certif	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signif As the designated S 665), I hereby nomin	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori icance of this propertional xtate Historic Preservate this property for	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the	y ervatio ate is: local r the National Regional Regio	date Matelephone state Offic Historic Preserister and certif	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Ut: street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signif As the designated S 665), I hereby nominaccording to the crit	eryl Hartman, ah State Histor 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori icance of this proportational xtate Historic Preservate this property for the salt and procedure.	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the	y ervatio ate is: local r the National Regional Regio	date Matelephone state Offic Historic Preserister and certif	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signif As the designated S 665), I hereby nomin according to the crit	eryl Hartman, ah State Histor 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori Cicance of this proport atate Historic Preservate this property for the series and procedure revation Officer sign	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the ature	ervational en National Regentational Regentational Regentational Regentational Par	telephone state n Offic Historic Preser ister and certific Service.	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signif As the designated S 665), I hereby nominaccording to the crit State Historic Presentitle A. Kent Power	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori Cicance of this propert atate Historic Preser tate this property for teria and procedure rvation Officer sign ell, Deputy St	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the ature	ervational en National Regentational Regentational Regentational Regentational Par	telephone state n Offic Historic Preser ister and certific Service.	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signification As the designated S 665), I hereby nominaccording to the crit State Historic Presentitle A. Kent Power For NPS use only	eryl Hartman, ah State Histor 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori icance of this proport national xtate Historic Preservate this property for teria and procedure rvation Officer sign ell, Deputy St.	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the ature ate Historic	y ervationate is: local r the National Regional Regional Par	telephone state n Offic Historic Preser ister and certific Service.	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signification As the designated S 665), I hereby nominaccording to the crite State Historic Presentitle A. Kent Power	eryl Hartman, ah State Histo 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori Cicance of this propert atate Historic Preser tate this property for teria and procedure rvation Officer sign ell, Deputy St	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the ature ate Historic	y ervationate is: local r the National Regional Regional Par	telephone state n Offic Historic Preser ister and certific Service.	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Ut: street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signification As the designated S 665), I hereby nominaccording to the crit State Historic Presentitle A. Kent Power For NPS use only increby certify I hereby certify The evaluated signification in the crit State Historic Presentition in the crit The evaluated signification in the crit The evaluated	eryl Hartman, ah State Histor 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori Grance of this property atate Historic Preservate this property for the control of	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the ature ate Historic	y ervationate is: local r the National Regional Regional Par	telephone state n Offic Historic Preser ister and certific Service.	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Uta street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signification As the designated S 665), I hereby nominaccording to the crit State Historic Presentitle A. Kent Power I hereby certify	eryl Hartman, ah State Histor 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori Grance of this property atate Historic Preservate this property for the control of	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the ature ate Historic	y ervationate is: local r the National Regional Regional Par	telephone state n Offic Historic Preser ister and certific Service.	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-
11. Forn name/title Che organization Ut: street & number city or town 12. State The evaluated signif As the designated S 665), I hereby nomin according to the crit State Historic Prese title A. Kent Pow	eryl Hartman, ah State Histor 300 Rio Grande Salt Lake City Histori Grance of this property atate Historic Preservate this property for the control of	Researcher rical Societ C Prese erty within the state vation Officer for inclusion in the set forth by the ature ate Historic	y ervationate is: local r the National Regional Regional Par	telephone state n Offic Historic Preser ister and certific Service.	(801) Utah er Co	533-6017 ertific	plic Law 89-

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet

OMB NO. 1024-0018 EXP. 12/31/84



Page

2

to grow during the 1840s. A revolving steam pan, the first machinery for the candy industry, was developed by Sebastian Chaveau of Philadelphia in 1843. In 1844 a lozenge making machine was produced by Oliver R. Chase of Boston. The industry was advancing rapidly in the U.S. and grew from 383 large factories employing 1,733 in 1850, to 4297 factories employing 33,000 and producing \$80,000,000 worth of goods in 1900. In 1909 the value of goods produced had jumped to \$135,000,000 and by 1924 the U.S. was leading the world in candy production—and also consumption. 6

Item number

In the western United States the development of the candy industry was spurred because of the expense of shipping from the East. The beginning of the candy making in Utah is not well documented, as it was generally done in private homes. Some attribute the start of the industry to Henry Wallace in 1862. 1863 John Taffee McDonald, who had begun business by selling salt water taffy from saddlebags on horseback, opened a confectionery store. By 1869 there were eight confectioners listed in the first Salt Lake City Directory. The cost of sugar in the west was a discouragement to the early development of the Until the coming of the railroad in 1869 sugar was hauled into the Salt Lake valley by ox teams, making it extremely expensive and sometimes selling for as high as \$130 a bag. Thus, the pioneers turned to their own resources deriving sorghum molasses from sugar cane, and attempting to extract sugar from sugar beets--later, a successful venture. Home grown products were used in Utah's candy as Salt Lake City became a center of dairy and sugar production. Locally grown fruits were also used, and Salt Lake City, served by efficient transportation, could produce candy that rivaled the quality and cost of the candy produced in the East. The high altitude and dryness in Utah were favorable to candy production which eventually became one of the state's leading industries.⁸ The leading candy manufacturers in the state, listed in a 1924 article in the Salt Lake Tribune, 9 included a majority based in Salt Lake City, the Shupe-Williams Company in Ogden to the North. a firm in Logan, and the Startup Candy Company located in Provo to the south.

The founder of the Startup Candy Company, William Daw Startup, carried to America a candymaking tradition which began at his father's home in England. Born to William Startup and Selina Morris on 8 September 1846 in Widcombe. England, William grew up helping his father make candy in a basement below their retail store. The family wished to move to America and this desire led them to name one of their products American Cough Candy. Only William Daw, the son, after being baptized a member of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, immigrated to the United States. Following a friend, Hagar Hick, William left in 1869 for America and married Hagar on November 14, 1869 in Salt Lake City's Endowment House. The couple first settled in Salt Lake City where William tried to earn a living as a teacher. In 1874 they moved to Provo, taking the scales that William had brought from England and some other tools that were either bought in Philadelphia or also brought from England.

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet

•

Item number

Page

ge

3

They built a factory next to their home at 60 South 300 West and began making candy in 1875. (The homestead and the factory still exist today but the factory does not retain its historic integrity.)

In 1878 William was struck by a limestone cooling slab which broke a blood vessel and caused his death 10 days later on January 28th. His widow Hagar, who had four children to care for, carried on the business, and in 1892 the company became the third wholesale manufacturing company in Utah. The company had a manufacturing kitchen and a separate shop downtown where candy and ice cream were sold.

In 1895 the sons William, Walter, and George took over as the owners. It was in 1896 that the company produced the Opera Bar, the first candy bar made in this country. Sold for ten cents, the bar came in a wrapper that said, "Provo, Utah, The Candy City." The company was also an early distributor of Coca-Cola which they found to work well in making candy bars. The name was used on their bars for so many years that they obtained the right to use it. That right was later sold back to Coca-Cola.

In the first decades of the twentieth century the Startup Candy Company was known throughout the western states and their distribution was expanding to several foreign countries. At their peak in the 1920s, the company employed 175 workers including 15 salesmen. The present building complex was begun in 1900 as the business outgrew the original factory. Later expansion necessitated additions which included offices and a printing and box plant. The factory had special features for the comfort, entertainment and health of its employees, and the owner, George A. Startup, interested in the welfare of his workers, was active in lobbying for the minimum wage bill for females. This was also the first factory in Utah to give employees a profit sharing bonus, which ranged from 5 to 15% based on merit.

Business was strong until the depression. During the depression Walter Startup bought out his brothers' interests in the factory but he soon lost it to the bank. He accumulated enough money to buy back the north half of the factory complex, the box plant, where the company is still based today. Products that were difficult to manufacture, including real marshmallows, chewing gum, and real milk chocolates, were produced at the factory and competed in quality and price with the Atlantic Coast. Still famous for hand dipped chocolates and clear candy toys, the company manufactures products with the finest ingredients and traditional integrity.

OMB NO. 1024-0018 EXP. 12/31/84

United States Department of the InteriorNational Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet

Item number

8



Page 4

Note s

¹The original 1875 factory remains but no longer retains historic integrity. It is severely deteriorated physically, has been sided, and is currently used for storage.

2"Startup Candy Factory," Church News, Provo, March 24, 1973.

³L. Victor Riches, "The Sweetest Story Ever Told," <u>Utah Economic and Business Review</u>. Bureau of Economic and Business Research, College of Business, University of Utah.

⁴Still in Provo, John Walter Startup, the fifth generation of candymaking Startups, is preparing to follow after his father, Harry, in the century old tradition of fine candy production.

⁵Newspaper article from unknown source, Sunday May 18, 1969 by Owen Zura, in file on Startup Candy Factory at Utah State Historical Society.

6"Utah Caters to Nation in Candy Products," <u>Salt Lake Tribune</u>, February 3, 1924, p. 2.

⁷Robert Mitchell, "Candy Industry Makes Big Gains," <u>Desert News</u>, December 27, 1965.

 $^8{\rm In}$ making hard candies the water of crystallization is driven from the sugar by heat. In lower altitudes and more humid atmospheres, some of the moisture returns during cooling and the candy becomes sticky and hard to handle.

9"Utah Caters to Nation in Candy Products," <u>Salt Lake Tribune</u>, February 3, 1924, p. 2.