National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

See instructions in How to Complete National Register Forms Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1. Name

I. Nam	C						
nistoric	The William	s Place			_		
and/or common	The William	s Place					
2. Loca	ntion Sa	· · · · GI	enti Sprees o	ATR S			
street & number	S.C. Seconda	ry Road	113, 3/4 mile no .C. Secondary Roa	orth of	<u>NA</u> not	for publicati	on
city, town	Glenn Spring	s mic	X vicinity of	congressional district			
atate Sout	h Carolina	code	045 county	Spartanburg		code ()8	33
3. Clas	sificatio	n					
Category X district building(s) structure site object	Ownership public brivate both Public Acquisit <u>NA</u> in process <u>NA</u> being conside		Status _X_ occupied _X_ unoccupied work in progress Accessible _X_ yes: restricted yes: unrestricted no	Present Use agriculture commercial educational entertainment government industrial military		museum park private resid religious scientific transportatio other: hunt	on
4. Own	er of Pro	pert	ty				Vac
name	Jack Sher			······	<u> </u>		, 1997 , 19977, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997,
street & number	Route 4,						
lty, town	Spartanburg		NA vicinity of	state	South	Carolina	29302
5. Loca	ntion of I	Lega	I Descripti				
ourthouse, regis	stry of deeds, etc.	Regist	er of Mesne Conve	yance, Spartanburg	Count	y Courthou	ise
treet & number		Magno1	ia Street				
lty, town		Sparta	nburg	state	South	Carolina	29301
6. Repr	resentat	ion i	n Existing	Surveys			
	y of Historic Carolina	Places	has this pr	operty been determined	eligible?	yes	no
late 1982				federalX st	ate	_ county	_ local
lepository for su	rvey records So	uth Car	olina Department	of Archives and Hi	story		
city, town	Columbi	a		state	South	Carolina	29211

OMB NO. 1623-0018 EXP. 122614 15

For NPS use only

date entered

received SEP 3 0 1982 ----

7. Description

Condition excellent _Xdeteriorated _Xgood _Xruins _Xfair unexposed	Check one X_ unaitered X_ altered	Check one _X_ original site moved date
--	---	--

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

The Williams Place, located on Cane Creek in the foothills of rural Spartanburg County, is a residential and agricultural complex of fourteen buildings and structures dating from ca. 1839-1850. The complex, which includes ten buildings of log construction, was built for Robert Rogers Williams's farm. The buildings and structures represent the various facets of rural farm life in the antebellum South Carolina Upcountry. The absence of later development or modernization, together with the heavily forested surroundings and the relative inaccessibility of the area, have provided for an extraordinary degree of historical integrity in the complex.

Additional Information: The fourteen buildings and structures at the Williams Place are: (1) Small House. A one-and-one-half-story, single-pen log building located on the crest of a hill on the northeastern part of the property. The building has a foundation of unmortared stone piers. The walls are of axe-squared logs connected with half-dovetail joints. Sawn planks cover the interstices on both exterior and interior. The hewn joists of the main floor and of the attic floor are notched into the log walls. A large, single-shouldered chimney of random rubble stone is on the southeast side of the house. Shed-roofed porches are on the northeast and southwest elevations. The house has single doors with wrought iron strap hinges which open onto the porches on the northeast and southwest elevations. There are single, unglazed windows with batten shutters on the first floor of the northwest and southeast elevations. The attic level has a single, unglazed window on the northeast, and two windows on the southeast, which are located in the gable ends above the uppermost log courses. These gable ends are weatherboarded. The gable roof is sheathed with sheet metal, which was installed in the late nineteenth century to replace the original wooden shingles.

The interior of the house is spartan with wide board flooring in its single rooms, plank chinking on the interior of the log walls, and exposed ceiling joists. The large stone fireplace on the southeast wall has two arched iron bars set into the masonry to support the fireplace arch. A steep dogleg stair without a bannister is in the southeast corner of the building. The attic is similarly spartan with exposed roof framing and unfinished walls. The pole rafters are notched into the uppermost logs of the walls and are joined by collar beams and by pegged mortise-and-tenon joints at the ridge. The roof projects to the south on bracketed extensions of the plates, sheltering the chimney. The building has no electricity, plumbing, or lighting. A small root cellar is located beneath the house on the north side. Except for the roofing and the replacement of some of the floor boards, the house is unaltered. It may have been used as either servants' quarters or as a residence for the grown children of Robert Williams, four of whom were still living on the farm as late as 1880.

(2) Large House. A two-story, log building located on the west side of the pond at the center of the complex. The house appears to have been built in stages with a large rectangular room on the south and a smaller square room on the north; these two parts are united at the second floor where the logs of the walls and the roof framing are continuous. The house has a foundation of unmortared stone piers. The walls are of axe-squared logs with half-dovetail joints and sawn weatherboard sheathing. The gable roof is covered in patterned metal shingles. Two exterior brick chimneys are located on the northeast and southwest ends of the house. These chimneys are single-shouldered with a brick bond described as staggered Flemish. This pattern has alternating stretcher and header bricks in each course as with regular Flemish bond, but the headers of each course are placed over the joints of the bricks in the course below. This creates a staggered vertical file of headers rather than one placed over the stretchers of the course below as with regular Flemish bond.

8. Significance



Specific dates ca.	1839-1850	Builder/Architect	unknown
---------------------------	-----------	-------------------	---------

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

The Williams Place, located on Cane Creek in the foothills of lower Spartanburg County, South Carolina, is a rural agricultural and residential complex developed between ca. 1839 and ca. 1850 by a farmer, Robert Rogers Williams, for himself and his family. The property includes ten log-wall buildings and four other buildings and structures associated with the farm. The complex is representative of the diverse aspects of a small, nineteenthcentury farm in the South Carolina Upcountry. The Williams Place is also the most intact collection of log buildings that has been identified in the state.

Additional Information: John Williams (1776-1852) was a Spartanburg County farmer of modest means and modest production.¹ He had land in lower Spartanburg County on Cane Creek and Blackstock Road.² He cultivated wheat, oats, Indian corn, potatoes, and other produce; he kept swine, cattle, and mules.³ Williams managed his farm for many years with the assistance of his son Robert and several slayes.⁴

Robert Williams (1808-1891),⁵ one of four sons of John Williams, lived in his father's household until 1840.⁶ In 1839, he bought sixty-nine acres of land on the north bank of Cane Creek and began establishing his own household.⁷ He married Eliza around 1840.⁸ By 1850, Robert Williams was living with his wife and four children on a 430-acre farm valued at \$2,800.⁹ The establishment of the Robert Williams farm on this land, with the growth of his family at this time and the structural evidence of the buildings, indicates that Robert Williams had the buildings at the Williams Place constructed between 1839 and 1850. Robert Williams owned three slaves in 1850.¹⁰ His farm produced, in modest quantities, wheat, Indian corn, cotton, peas, beans, Irish potatoes, sweet potatoes, and butter.¹¹ He had five mules, three milk cows, six other cows, and twenty-five hogs.¹²

Robert Williams's father, John Williams, was maintaining his own farm in 1850; John Williams died in 1852, leaving to his son Robert two slaves and certain land on the Blackstock Road.¹³

Robert Williams maintained his farm through the nineteenth century, with his sons Whitner and Lamar assisting him with the farming.¹⁴ His wife Eliza kept house and gave birth to nine children.¹⁵ The 1870 agricultural census described the Robert Williams farm as 70 acres improved land, 300 acres unimproved woodlands, and 800 other unimproved acres. Livestock on the farm included two horses, two mules, four milk cows, five other cows, and ten hogs. The farm produced spring wheat, Indian corn, Irish potatoes, butter, and honey in modest amounts.

Robert Williams died in 1891. His will (which he had signed with an X) was proven on 19 May 1891.¹⁷ Whitner Williams, the eldest son, fell heir to the homesite, with the widow, Eliza Williams, receiving a life tenancy in the family home. Whitner Williams maintained the farm into the twentieth century. His mother and two elder sisters lived on the farm with him.¹⁸

9. Major Bibliographical References

see continuation sheet

Acreage of no Quadrangle n UMT Referenc	ame <u>Spartan</u>	y_approximately_3 burg,_S.C.	9	Quadrang	le scale <u>1:62500</u>
	2 ₁ 0 2 ₁ 5 ₁ 0 sting	318 415 91410 Northing	B <u>117</u> Zone		318 415 41810 Northing
C [], 7] [4] E [] [] G [] []		318 415 51110 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	D <u> 1,7</u> F <u> </u> H <u> </u>	411951715	318 415 91510
red line or of 1" = 400 as the pond	n the accomp O'. This bo d and immedi	anying Spartanbur	g County Tax 11 significan f the farm.	Map #6-66-00, d t buildings and	structures as wel
state	NA	code	county	NA	code
state	NA	code	county	NA	code
11. Fo	orm Pre	pared By			
name/title	John	E. Wells			
organization		Carolina Departm ves and History		date July 12,	1982
street & numb	er 1430 :	Senate Street		telephone (803)	758-5816
city or town	Colum	bia		state South Car	olina 29211
		his property within the s		Officer C	ertification
	nominate this pro	operty for inclusion in the operty for inclusion in the operation of the o	he National Registe	er and certify th <mark>a</mark> t it h	ct of 1966 (Public Law 89 has been evaluated
665), I hereby i according to th	Preservation Off	icer signature			
565), I hereby i according to th State Historic Charle	s E. Lee		(harles?	, a g	9/7/82
565), I hereby i according to the State Historic I Charle State For NPS us I hereby	s E. Lee Historic Pre se only	servation Officer property is included in t Frug A		 Align Align	9/7/82 11/10/10

NPS Form 10-900-a (7-81)				e Sinta Santa Sinta Santa	
United States National Park S	Department of the Interio ervice	r		u NRS yaa aniy	
	Register of Histor Nomination For		5 T	delyad Ne enterod	
Continuation sheet	1	Item number	7	Page	1

The house has a shed-roofed, one-story porch on both the southeast and northwest elevations. The east porch has been partially obscured by the addition of a one-story wing. The northwest porch has been enclosed and transformed into three small paneled rooms. Fenestration in the house is sparse in accord with the demands of log-wall construction. The first floor has single, six-over-six, double-hung windows on each side of the southwest chimney, a single entrance with a modern door recessed beneath the southeast porch, and a single door on the northeast elevation to the left of the chimney. The second floor has a single, six-over-six window on each elevation with the northwest window now covered by weatherboards. The interior has a large rectangular room with modern paneling and an enclosed dog-leg stair in one corner to the south and a small square room with a floor one foot lower than the floor of the larger room to the north. The second floor has unfinished walls and exposed roof framing. The pole rafters have pegged mortise-and-tenon joints at the ridgeline.

The one-story, frame addition on the northeast is weatherboarded and has a gable roof and a single brick chimney. In recent years, the house has been used as a hunting lodge, and electricity and plumbing have been installed.

(3) Kitchen. A one-story, gable-roofed, log building with unmortared stone pier foundations. The log walls are of axe-squared logs with V-notched corner joints. The roof is sheathed in sheet metal and extends over the northeast end of the building on plate extensions. A large stone and brick chimney is sheltered under this extension; the chimney is of coursed rubble stone in its lower aspect with stretcher bond brickwork above the shoulder. The kitchen building has a single door with a door jamb pegged to the log ends and wrought iron strap hinges on its northwest elevation. A single, six-over-six, double-hung window is on the southeast elevation. The log walls have boards nailed over the interstices on the interior and exterior. The floors are plank, and the roof framing is open with mortise-and-tenon jointed pole rafters. The fireplace is seven feet wide with a stone hearth and monolithic lintel. The kitchen is located just east of the main house between the house and the pond.

(4) Smokehouse. A one-story, gable-roofed, log building with monolithic pier foundations, unchinked axe-squared log walls, and V-notched corner joints. A single door with wrought iron strap hinges is on the southwest elevation. The gable roof is sheathed in metal sheeting. Bracketed plate extensions carry the roof about four feet beyond the walls on the northeast and southwest elevations. The pole rafters are butt-jointed. A five-foot square, brick and stone hearth is located in the center of the floor. The smokehouse is adjacent to the kitchen on the west bank of the pond.

(5) Smithy. A one-story, log building on the west shore of the pond, to the north of the center of the complex. The building is collapsing, apparently due to the failure of some of the unmortared stone pier foundations. The log walls and the roof still appear sound. The logs are axe-squared with half-dovetail joints and no chinking. A large, seven-foot square, brick oven/forge is in the northwest corner of the building. The interior is ruinous and overgrown with considerable wooden and iron junk scattered about.

(6) Well. A cylindrical shaft sunk into the earth, lined with stone rubble. A brick and concrete cover with a hinged wooden lid was built on top of the well in the mid-twentieth century.

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet 2

Item number 7

Per NPG use only received

Page

2

FAR REFUILT

ate entered

(7) Crib. A two-level, gable-roofed, log structure. The logs are axe-squared with halfdovetail joints and tight board chinking on the interior. A small, broad door on the northeast side has a wooden latch. A wooden ladder on the same elevation leads to a hatch, allowing access to the upper level of the structure. The roof, which is sheathed in sheet metal, extends over the north elevation, sheltering the entrances. The joists and rafters are sawn and butt-jointed. Beneath the structure is a large root cellar, which is accessible from the south. The crib is set into the side of a hill and is located south of the large house.

(8) Privy. A small, frame, single-seat outhouse clad in corrugated metal, which is located behind the crib.

(9) Ruined house. Ruins of a small, log-wall, single-pen dwelling with a stone chimney. The lower part of the chimney, the foundation piers, and the log sills remain. The ruins are heavily overgrown. The small size of the building and its location near the crib, kitchen, and main house suggest that it was a servants' quarters.

(10) Crib. A small, one-story, square, log building with a gable roof. The logs are axe-squared with V-notch joints. A single door on the north end of the building has wrought iron strap hinges. The gable ends are weatherboarded. The building was apparently used for seed and grain storage. It is located west of the center of the complex.

(11) Log Barn. A one-story, log barn with a wooden shingled gable roof. The logs are axe-squared with half-dovetail joints. The northeast elevation has four openings: a large doorway on the left, two small livestock portals in the center, and a loft opening above. Shed additions on the right and left sides of the barn are collapsing. The building was used to shelter the livestock and to store produce. It is located at the west end of the property.

(12) Frame Barn. A large, frame building with a gable roof. The building has three portals on its southeast elevation and a loft opening in the center of the gable end. The building was used to shelter livestock and to store produce. It appears to have been built later than the majority of the buildings on the property, perhaps in the late nineteenth century. It is located at the far west end of the complex.

(13) Barn/Stable. A small, gable-roofed, log building constructed of axe-squared logs, some of which are eighteen inches in diameter. The roof is covered with sheet metal. There is a door on the southwest side and a livestock portal on the northeast. The interior has a central partition with two stalls on the north side. The building is located at the western end of the complex.

(14) Dam. An earthen barrier wall, southeast of the large house, which has created a small pond by the obstruction of a small stream.

The surroundings of the Williams Place are heavily forested foothills with very little modern development nearby.

Whitner Williams died intestate in 1919. His brothers and sisters divided his estate. The appraisal of the estate listed the implements of the farm, including plows, scything cradles, axle grease, a saw, a grindstone, a wagon, two old mill wheels, and a shotgun; 19 livestock, including swine and four mules; and produce, including corn, cotton, and wheat. The farm was apparently abandoned after the death of Whitner Williams. The property, described in various deeds as "the old Robert Williams Home Place," remained in the possession of the Williams family for many years.²⁰ The current owner, Jack Sher, purchased the property in 1974. The Williams Place has been vacant for many years, except for the occasional use of the large house as a hunting lodge.

Agriculture: The various outbuildings associated with the houses of the Williams Place represent the diverse aspects of rural farm life and management in the nineteenth century. Those buildings and structures associated with food storage and preparation are located in a close group around the main house; these include the kitchen, the smokehouse, one crib, the main root cellar, and the well. The kitchen has a massive stone chimney with sevenfoot wide fireplace which has a monolithic lintel. The kitchen is the only building on the property other than the large house to have window glazing. The smokehouse retains its brick and stone hearth in the middle of its floor. The crib is tightly boarded on the interior surface of its log walls to facilitate safe storage of grains and to deter marauding rodents. The root cellar is an excavation in the side of a hill beneath the crib, where constant cool temperatures could provide for long-term storage of foodstuffs. The ruinous house next to the crib was probably built for household servants.

A second group of outbuildings, three barns and a crib, are located some distance from the main house and its outbuildings. These buildings provided shelter for the livestock of the farm, as well as their feed. A plank fence enclosing a yard in front of one barn appears to have been designed to control the swine. Another barn has two stalls for mules or horses.

An isolated outbuilding contained the blacksmith's forge. The farm equipment and tools were likely maintained in this shop. It is possible that some of the wrought iron hardware of the farm, including hasps, pintles, and strap-hinges, was shaped here.

<u>Architecture</u>: The buildings and structures of the Williams Place embody the distinctive characteristics of log-wall construction as practiced in South Carolina in the nineteenth century. The buildings indicate the relative simplicity of this mode of construction. The logs were shaped using only a felling axe and a broad axe. The corner joints, which are critical for the stability of the buildings since the individual logs usually have no other lateral or vertical support, are of high quality workmanship with both V-notch and half-dovetail notches in evidence; yet the joints are simple, having been shaped with only an axe and a crosscut saw. The walls have few doors and windows, since they weaken the corner-to-corner stability of log-wall construction. Where doors and windows are used, they have massive vertical jambs designed to provide lateral and vertical support for the logs which are interrupted by the openings. Wooden pegs secure the ends of the logs to the jambs. The interstices of the log walls are covered, in many of the buildings on the Williams Place, by simple nailed planks. Plaster or mud chinking is not in evidence.



Other noteworthy construction features of the Williams Place buildings are the unmortared, free-stone foundation piers; the uncoursed-rubble stone chimneys of the two small houses and the kitchen; the brick chimney of the large house with its staggered Flemish bond brickwork; and the wooden shingle roofing which is intact on one of the barns.

The Williams Place has not been occupied for many years. The preservation of the complex is due to the isolation of the site, the absence of modern improvements, and the good sheet-metal roofing that was installed on most of the buildings in the late nineteenth century. The exceptional integrity of the complex, both in terms of individual buildings and in terms of the whole, is rare in South Carolina. No identified properties in South Carolina are more representative of log-wall construction than the Williams Place.

<u>Archeology-Historic</u>: Although there has been no formal archeological study of the farm, certain archeological resources, including cellars, a well, and structural remains, have been identified; and it is possible that other resources, including trash pits, may be present. Historical information concerning use patterns, social and economic standing, and mobility may be evident from a full archeological record. The likelihood of archeological remains on the Williams Place and the potential for fruitful archeological investigation should be considered in any development of the property.

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet	5	 Item number	9	Page 1

` · a

.

- Columbia, South Carolina. South Carolina Department of Archives and History. Spartanburg County Probate Records. File No. 1088 and File No. 3979.
- Population Schedules of the Eleventh Census of the United States, 1900: South Carolina [Spartanburg County] . n.p.: Bureau of the Census, n.d.
- Population Schedules of the Fifth Census of the United States, 1830: South Carolina [Spartanburg District]. Washington, D.C.: National Archives Publications, 1944.
- Population Schedules of the Fourth Census of the United States, 1820: South Carolina [Spartanburg District]. Washington, D.C.: National Archives Publications, 1958.
- Population Schedules of the Ninth Census of the United States, 1870: South Carolina [Spartanburg County]. Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1965.
- Population Schedules of the Seventh Census of the United States, 1850: South Carolina [Spartanburg District]. Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1964.
- Population Schedules of the Sixth Census of the United States, 1840: South Carolina [Spartanburg District]. Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1967.
- Population Schedules of the Tenth Census of the United States, 1880: South Carolina [Spartanburg County]. n.p.: Bureau of the Census, n.d.
- Population Schedules of the Third Census of the United States, 1810: South Carolina [Spartanburg District]. Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1958.

Spartanburg, South Carolina. Spartanburg County Courthouse. Register of Mesne Conveyance. Deed Books K, M, X, 6-H, 15-F, 16-B, 41-U, 41-C, 41-L, 17-Q, 39-Z, 38-U; Probate Records. File No. 6543, File No. 9102, and File No. 11902; Plat Book 29.

United States Census: Agriculture, Industry, Social Statistics and Mortality Schedules for South Carolina, 1850-1880. Columbia, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Archives and History, 1971. ¹Population Schedules of the Third Census of the United States, 1810: South Carolina (Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1958), microcopy M-252, roll 61 [Spartanburg District, p. 201]; Population Schedules of the Fourth Census of the United States, 1820: South Carolina (Washington, D.C.: National Archives Publications, 1958), microcopy M-33, roll 120 [Spartanburg District, p. 255]; Population Schedules of the Fifth Census of the United States, 1830: South Carolina (Washington, D.C.: National Archives Publications, 1944), microcopy M-19, roll 171 [Spartanburg District, p. 254]; Population Schedules of the Sixth Census of the United States, 1840: South Carolina (Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1967), microcopy M-704, roll 515 [Spartanburg District, p. 107]; Population Schedules of the Seventh Census of the United States, 1850: South Carolina (Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1967), microcopy M-704, roll 515 [Spartanburg District, p. 107]; Population Schedules of the Seventh Census of the United States, 1850: South Carolina (Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1964), microcopy M-432, roll 858 [Spartanburg District, p. 387]; United States Census: Agriculture, Industry, Social Statistics, and Mortality Schedules for South Carolina, 1850-1880 (Columbia, S.C.: Department of Archives and History, 1971), microcopy no. SCARM2, roll 2 (Agriculture, 1850), p. 533; and <u>infra</u>.

²Spartanburg County Deed Book K, p. 294, and Deed Book M, p. 408, Register of Mesne Conveyance, Spartanburg County Courthouse, Spartanburg, S.C.; Will of John Williams and Appraisal of the Estate of John Williams, Spartanburg County Probate Records, File No. 1088, South Carolina Department of Archives and History, Columbia, S.C.

³Agriculture Census, 1850; Appraisal of the Estate of John Williams.

⁴Fifth Census; Sixth Census; Will of John Williams; Seventh Census [Spartanburg District Slave Schedules], microcopy M-432, Roll 867, p. 575.

⁵<u>Seventh Census</u>, p. 387; Will of Robert Rogers Williams, Spartanburg County Probate Records, File No. 3979, South Carolina Department of Archives and History, Columbia, S.C.

⁶Sixth Census.

⁷Deed Book X, p. 108, Register of Mesne Conveyance, Spartanburg, S.C.

⁸Seventh Census and infra.

⁹Ibid.; <u>Agriculture Census</u>, 1850, p. 645.

¹⁰Seventh Census [Spartanburg District Slave Schedules], p. 575.

¹¹Agriculture Census, 1850, p. 645.

¹²Ibid.

¹³Will of John Williams.

¹⁴Population Schedules of the Ninth Census of the United States, 1870: South Carolina (Washington, D.C.: National Archives Microfilm Publications, 1965), microcopy M-593, roll 1508 [Spartanburg County, p. 468]. ¹⁵Ibid.; <u>Population Schedules of the Eleventh Census of the United States</u>, <u>1900: South Carolina</u> (n.p.: microfilmed by the Bureau of the Census, n.d.), microcopy T-623, roll 1541 [Spartanburg County, p. 280].

¹⁶Agriculture Census, 1870, roll 6, p. 429.

¹⁷Will of Robert Rogers Williams.

¹⁸Ibid.; Eleventh Census.

¹⁹Appraisal of the Estate of Whitner Williams decd., Spartanburg County Probate Records, File No. 6543, Spartanburg County Courthouse, Spartanburg, S.C.

²⁰Spartanburg County Deed Book 6-H, p. 38; Deed Book 15-F, pp. 319, 322, 325, 328; Deed Book 16-B, p. 14; Plat Book 29, p. 242; Deed Book 41-U, p. 765; Deed Book 41-C, p. 154; Deed Book 41-L, p. 301; Deed Book 17-Q, p. 317; Deed Book 39-Z, p. 487; Deed Book 38-U, p. 403, Register of Mesne Conveyance, Spartanburg County Courthouse; Will of Mildred Williams and Appraisal of the Estate of Mildred Williams, decd, Spartanburg County Probate Records, File No. 9102; Will of James Manning Williams, Sr., Spartanburg County Probate Records, File No. 11902, Spartanburg County Courthouse, Spartanburg, S.C.



ARCHAEOLOGICAL ADDENDUM WILLIAMS PLACE SPARTANBURG COUNTY

,

(

Attached is the archaeological addendum for the Williams Place, a significant historic farm complex in Spartanburg County. The addendum was prepared by Ben Resnick with a Survey and Planning grant from the State Historic Preservation Office.

When the Williams Place was listed on the National Register of Historic Places, it was recognized by the State Historic Preservation Office and the Review Board that the complex represented a unique opportunity to obtain valuable information on nineteenth century rural life. At that time, however, archaeological expertise was not available.

Mr. Resnick utilized historical resources as well as archaeological testing to compile the information contained in the addendum.

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only SEP 1 2 1550 received date entered

OMB No. 1024-0018

Exp. 10-31-84

Continuation sheet 1

Item number

Page

Historic Archaeology

The Williams Place, located on Cane Creek in Spartanburg County, South Carolina was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in November 1982, based upon the agricultural and architectural significance of the site. The following addendum to the National Register of Historic Places nomination evaluates the archaeological potential at the Williams Place and establishes the significance of the property in the area of historical archaeology.

Initial archaeological investigations at the Williams Place represented a discovery phase of fieldwork.¹ The primary goal of these investigations was to: 1) evaluate the integrity of the archaeological record, 2) determine a more refined site chronology than available through historical records and 3) integrate the archaeological and historical and architectural records of the site. Furthermore, efforts were made to define the socioeconomic position of the site in respect to the surrounding cultural system. These questions form the basis for more intensive excavations.

This introductory stage of archaeological fieldwork included the excavation of six 2.5 X 2.5 ft.test units placed in association with various structures at the site (See Figure 1): Units 1 and 4 (detached kitchen), Units 2 and 3 (ruined house), Unit 5 (smokehouse) and Unit 6 (smithy). These units were selected due to their ability to reflect various activities at the Williams Place. Moreover, a site map was constructed detailing the spatial distribution of standing structures.

Archaeological test units were excavated by natural stratigraphy; however, in the absence of natural strata, units were excavated by arbitrary levels. Vertical control was established with the use of a line level and measurements were consistently taken from the southwest corner of each unit, in tenths of ft. (engineers scale). Whenever possible, these units were located in relation to the permanent datum of the site with the use of a transit and stadia rod. Otherwise, they were located in relation to standing structures, previously sighted with the transit. Soil descriptions include notations of color (Munsell Soil Color Charts) and texture in an attempt to standardize field observations. Moreover, soil profiles were drawn (Units 2 and 4) and soil samples were taken (Units 2,4 and 5) for purposes of floating organic matter. The stratigraphy of these units are representative of the overall strata at the site. All materials were sifted through 1/4" mesh.

Excavations at the Williams Place contained .25 ft of a humus/topsoil layer overlying a dark yellowish brown sandy soil approximately .30 ft.in thickness. This latter stratum agrades (texturally) into a strong brown clayey matrix. Cultural materials were predominantly associated with these two uppermost strata (e.g. historic ceramics, glass, nails) with artifact densities substantially decreasing in the clay subsoil. Ceramics recovered from excavated units consistently demonstrate a stratified depositional sequence. This evidence indicates

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



Continuation sheet 2

Item number

Page

the presence of an intact archaeological record at the Williams Place that can be more intensively examined in the future.

The integrity of the archaeological record permits a better understanding of the chronology at the site. Ceramics are an important data class at the Williams Place due to their broad distribution and utility for establishing an historical chronology. Of the twelve types of ceramics recovered from archaeological context, seven have median dates of manufacture of 1830 or before demonstrating an early nineteenth century occupation at the site. The presence of several sherds of creamware may indicate an eighteenth century occupation. In addition, two brass buttons dating ca. 1800-1865 have been recovered. Furthermore, one of the six excavated units (Unit 1-detached kitchen) reveals a mean ceramic date of 1817, a substantially earlier date than the temporal range of 1839-1850 provided in the original nomination. Overall, the median dates for the site (based on the ceramic analysis) range from 1791-1860 with a mean ceramic date of 1822, seventeen years prior to that noted in the original nomination (See Table 1).²

According to Miller, transfer printed wares were one of the most expensive ceramics in the nineteenth century and therefore reflect high socioeconomic status. He lists three additional levels of decorated ceramics reflecting differential status from painted wares (Third Level) to minimally decorated wares (Second Level, e.g. mocha, shell edge, sponge decorated) to undecorated wares (First or lowest level).³ At the Williams Place, nine out of the twelve wares present contain decorations of some kind (including a high percentage of hand painted wares, 32%) indicating a somewhat affluent occupation. Given the lack of understanding concerning the socioeconomic position of small farmers in the rural South, these data are significant to our knowledge of the socioeconomic variability in the southern United States.

The spatial patterning of mean ceramic dates in relation to standing structures affords the opportunity to analyze the use of buildings in respect to time. For example, the detached kitchen yields mean ceramic dates of 1817 (Unit 1) and 1820 (Unit 4) as opposed to 1840 for the ruined house (Unit 3). This information is especially important when dealing with log construction, a building tradition not easily dated to its earliest period of use.

The archaeological record at the Williams Place possesses: 1) stratigraphic integrity, 2) information that enables a better understanding of the chronology at the site and 3) knowledge that illuminates the socioeconomic character of an historic farmstead.⁴ For these reasons, the archaeological component at the site is significant. Future archaeological investigations can provide information concerning the location of other structures at the site and the lifeways of the farmstead including such things as dietary behavior. Generally, the archaeological record has the ability to monitor diachronic change in the above.

SFP 12

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Continuation sheet 3

Item number

Page

For NPS use only

received

date entered

FOOTNOTES

1

Kenneth E. Lewis, "Camden: A Frontier Town," Occasional Papers of the Institute of Archeology and Anthropology, The University of South Carolina, <u>Anthropological Studies</u> 2, 1976.

2

Stanley South, <u>Method and Theory in Historical Archaeology</u> (New York: Academic Press), 1977, pp. 201-274.

3

George L. Miller, "Classification and Economic Scaling of 19th Century Ceramics" <u>Historical Archaeology</u> 14(1980):1-40.

4

Benjamin Resnick, "The Archaeology, Architecture and History of the Williams Place: A Scotch-Irish Farmstead in the South Carolina Piedmont" (Masters Thesis, University of South Carolina, Columbia, 1984).

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



Continuation sheet 4

Item number

Page

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Lewis, Kenneth E. "Camden: A Frontier Town," <u>Anthropological Studies 2</u>, Occasional Papers of the Institute of Archeology and Anthropology, The University of South Carolina, 1976.
- Miller, George L. "Classification and Economic Scaling of Eighteenth Century Ceramics" <u>Historical Archaeology</u> 14(1980):1-40.
- Resnick, Benjamin. "The Archaeology, Architecture and History of the Williams Place: A Scotch-Irish Farmstead in the South Carolina Piedmont" (Masters Thesis, University of South Carolina, 1984).
- South, Stanley. <u>Method and Theory in Historical Archaeology</u>. New York: Academic Press.

Charles E. Lee State Historic Preservation Officer

8-22-85 (date)

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



OMB No. 1024-0018 Exp. 10-31-84

Continuation sheet	Item number	Page

TABLE 1. MEAN CERAMIC DATES

Ceramic Type Description	Type Median Date	Sherd Count	Product
Spongeware	1840	2	3680
Mocha	1843	2	3686
Underglaze polychrome whiteware	1860	2	3720
Ironstone whiteware	1860	9	16740
Annular whiteware	1860	1	1860
Underglaze polychrome pearlware	1830	2	3660
Blue edged pearlware	1805	2	3610
Underglaze blue hand painted pearlware	1800	10	18000
Undecorated pearlware	1805	8	14440
Annular pearlware	1805	1	1805
Finger painted pearlware	1805	1	1805
Creamware	1791	4	7164
Totals		44	80170

80170/44 = 1822.045 = 1822.1

