United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Salt Lake City



Utah

state

See instructions in *How to Complete National Register Forms* Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1. Name

city, town

historic	Jens Nielson He	ouse					
and/or commo	in j						
2. Loc	ation						
street & numb	er 0H	U	1 47			not for publi	cation
city, town	Bluff		vicinity of	congressional d	istrict	01	
state	Utah	code	049 county	San Juan		code	037
3. Cla	ssificatio	n					
Category district X building(s structure site object	Ownership public X private both Public Acquisit in process being consid		Status _X_ occupied unoccupied work in progress Accessible _X_ yes: restricted yes: unrestricted no	Present Use agricultur commerci education entertainn governme industrial military	al al nent	museum park X private re religious scientific transpor other:	esidence
4. O w	ner of Pro	opert	ty				
name	Eugene and Mary	y Foush	2e				
street & numbe							
city, town	Bluff		vicinity of		state	Utah	
<u>5. Loc</u>	ation of I	.ega	l Descripti	on			
courthouse, re	gistry of deeds, etc.	San Jua	an County Courtho	use			
street & numbe	er						
city, town		Montice	e11o		state	Utah	
6. Rep	presentat	ion i	n Existing	Surveys			
			unty, ^{UT} has this pro				· · · ·
date	1975–76					county	
	survey records Utal	h State	Historical Socie				
uepository for	survey records			- J			

7. Description

Condition	
-----------	--

X

ndition		Check one	Check one
excellent	deteriorated	unaltered	X original site
_ good	ruins	\underline{X} altered	moved da
fair	unexposed		

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

Description

This is a T-plan gable-roofed vernacular house constructed of large stone blocks laid in coursed ashlar bond. The west (cross) wing of the T is a one and a half story structure whose roof flares out over the eaves. There is a brick center chimney and a symmetrical window-door-window west facade that may originally have been the front of the house. On the ends are paired first and second floor windows, and wood shingle siding on returns in the gables. The east (bottom) wing of the T is one story tall with a plain gable roof. Its south facade, with its asymmetrical window and door arrangement, now serves as the main entrance and is sheltered by a simple porch, whose original posts are no longer extant. The home may be viewed as a representative example of a traditional type, incorporating characteristics of folk building types (the broad side orientation, symmetrical piercing, chimney placement and overall proportions) with Victorian period elements (orientation moved to T wing with shed roof porch enclosing the T, and paired double hung windows on the gable ends).

date

8. Significance

Period prehistoric 1400–1499 1500–1599 1600–1699 1700–1799 1800–1899 1900–	Areas of SignificanceC archeology-prehistoric archeology-historic X agriculture architecture art commerce communications	heck and justify belo community planni conservation economics education engineering exploration/settler industry invention	ng landscape architectur law literature military music	e religion science sculpture social/ humanitarian theater transportation other (specify)
Specific dates	c. 1890	Builder/Architect	Unknown	~

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

The Jens Nielson House, constructed in about 1890, is locally significant because it is one of only half a dozen remaining houses in Bluff that documents an important phase in the history of the town: the evolution from farm village to cooperative livestock production. That development began in the late 1880s and assured Bluff's survival. Until then, its existence was in doubt. It is also significant because of its association with Jens Nielson. As bishop of the Bluff LDS Ward from the time of the town's founding in 1880 until his death in 1906, he played a key role in building the town during its first generation. The Nineteenth Century Mormon ward was more than a geographical ecclesiastical entity, and the bishop was more than a religious leader. The ward was also the most important political unit, and, except for the family, the most important social unit. The duties of the bishop were, therefore, extensive, particularly during the initial phase of colonization, when it was he who directed the process of community building.

The Mormon settlers who founded Bluff in 1880 tried to establish it on an agricultural economic base. Despite immense effort and considerable hardship, they failed. By the mid-1880s, it had become clear that the people of Bluff would either have to find another means of livelihood or abandon the town and settle elsewhere. Some did leave. Those that stayed turned to stockraising, and by 1890, San Juan County, along with Box Elder County and Rich County, were the centers of the cattle industry in Utah. In 1880, for example, there were 267 head of cattle other than milch cows and working oxen in San Juan County. That was 1.3 head for every person in the area. In 1890, there were 17,100 head of cattle in the county, 47 for every man, woman, and child. According to one historian, "Never again in Utah history would so few people live with so many cattle."

With the growth of the cattle industry, Bluff's built environment began to change. In 1890, all of Bluff's approximately 25 families lived in log houses, and all of the public buildings, including the post office, the hotel, the church, and the school house, were built of logs. Gradually, the log buildings began to be replaced with stone ones. Built of stone quarried in nearby mountains, they reflect Bluff's new found prosperity and stability.

This house was built ca. 1890 for Jens Nielson. He was born in Denmark in 1820 and married Elsie Rasmussen in 1850. They emigrated to Utah in 1856 as converts to the Mormon Church. In 1879 they were among the nearly 250 people whom the Mormon Church "called" to settle what is now San Juan County.² It was a rugged and isolated area, 300 miles from the nearest centers of Mormon population.

9. Major Bibliographical References

Walter, Don D., "The Cattle Industry of Historical Quarterly, XXXII (Summer 1	istory and Genealogy (N.P., N.P.). It Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1959 ns, Provo, Utah: Brigham Young Univ. Press, f Utah, 1850–1900, An Historical Profile," <u>Ut</u> 1964).	1975
10. Geographical Data		
Acreage of nominated property <u>Less than one</u> Quadrangle name <u>Bluff</u> , Utah UMT References	Quadrangle scale 1:62500	e
A 112 6 218 41510 411 217 21510 Zone Easting Northing	B Zone Easting Northing	
	$\begin{array}{c c} P \sqsubseteq L L L L L L L L$	
Verbal boundary description and justification		
The nominated property includes all of	Lot 2, Block 12, Plat A.	
List all states and counties for properties overla state code	apping state or county boundaries county code	
state code	county code	
organization Utah State Historical Society	oie Temme, Architectural Historian date Summer 1980	
street & number 300 Rio Grande	telephone 801-533-6017	
city or town Salt Lake City	state Utah	
12. State Historic Prese The evaluated significance of this property within the st	37	
national state		
As the designated State Historic Preservation Officer fo	— local or the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89 e National Register and certify that it has been evaluated	
As the designated State Historic Preservation Officer fo 665), I hereby nominate this property for inclusion in the according to the criteria and procedures set forth by the	— local or the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89 e National Register and certify that it has been evaluated	
As the designated State Historic Preservation Officer fo 665), I hereby nominate this property for inclusion in the	or the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89 e National Register and certify that it has been evaluated e Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service.	

United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



Continuation sheet

Item number 8

The founding was part of the effort that Mormons began soon after they arrived in the Salt Lake Valley in 1847 to systematically explore and colonize the surrounding area. In order to build a commonwealth that would guarantee them permanent security, they intended to settle everywhere they could as fast as they could. By the end of the Nineteenth Century, Mormons had founded nearly 500 cities and towns throughout present Utah and the surrounding states.

The group that Mormon officials called to settle the San Juan River Valley set out in the fall of 1879. Their journey with wagons and stock during midwinter was almost a catastrophe. The settlers thought their route was a shortcut. Later geographers deemed it the most difficult that any wagon train had encountered in the history of the west. So rugged was the territory over which they travelled that it took them 6 months to go 260 miles. Two of those months they spent cutting and dynamiting a path through high cliffs above the Colorado River. The precipitious trail over which they finally moved has been known ever since as Hole-in-the-Rock. Bluff was not originally their intended destination, but they settled there, according to one settler because, "We were too tired to go on, and it was too far to go back."³

Soon after he arrived in Bluff, Nielson was named bishop. He served in that office until his death 26 years later. As bishop of a ward in an isolated and remote area of the state, he faced greater problems and duties than did many of his counterparts. Having only irregular contact with church headquarters in Salt Lake City, he served, in effect, as "theologian, stateman, pastor, constable, judge, arbitrator, and foreman." His charge was to take the lead "in every domestic improvement" establish and supervise schools; assist the farmers: supervise the cultivation of public property and the repair of ward fences; assign to new arrivals their farm and town lots; see personally to the distribution of irrigation water and the maintenance and construction of ditches; keep cattle out of the fields, impose sanctions on uncooperative owners; assign men to work on community road crews; and direct construction of schools, meetinghouses, and other public buildings. Because the scope of the bishop's duties were so broad, his character and personality to a large extent shaped his ward. Historian Charles Peterson saw Nielson as the glue that held Bluff together in its early years.⁴ It was largely because of his leadership and personality that the original settlers of Bluff stayed on, rather than abandoning the town after a few years in the face of very difficult times.

¹Don D. Walter, "The Cattle Industry of Utah, 1850-1900, An Historical Profile," Utah Historical Quarterly, XXXII (Summer 1964), 190.

²Lyman, Albert R. Bishop Jens Nielson History and Genealogy (N.P., N.P.).

- ³The Standard Account of the Hole-in-the-Rock Expedition is David E. Miller, Hole-in-the-Rock, (Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1959).
- ⁴Look to the Mountains, Southeastern Utah and the La Sal National Forest (Provo, Utah: Brigham Young University Press, 1975), 51.