ARCHITECTURAL / HIJT		DAVENP	ORT, IOWA
The Pertitents Office Wehner, Nowysz, Pottschull c 201 day bulding, issue day issue \$2540		MMUNITY DEVELO	PMENT DEPARTMENT PRESERVATION
HIST. DIST. NAME Samuel, Hoff ADDRESS 2108 W. TH LEGAL DES. J.M.F. Ha SUB-DIVISION UTM 3 15 16199145,014,5 EASTING	mann, Jr. House 3 rd Aird Street- 11's Add. 1 2 BLOCK PARCEL BUB 19,9[3,1]0] ACREAGE -1 CORTHING ams and wife hird St., Davenport.	PARCEL	
MATERIALS Frame w/brick FENESTRATION Rectangular DIST. FEATURES Patterned b ALTERATIONS Veranda reb SITE & RELATED STR Nothing STATEMENT Although bearing a late	argeboard and gables uilt in c. 1915	STYLE <u>Stick/1</u>	Eastlake
Ornament is of the fla stickwork elements at and decorative strips veranda does not reall	t, scroll-saw type, along gable ends. The detail i applied to the projecting y detract from the house: mplement those of the hou	with diagonal and s continued on mol window bay on the Its details are s	l short vertical ded bargeboards e east side. The
SOURCES	•		

ц.,

.

CANCE DESCRIPTION Samuel Noffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bark, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company). Company: City Directories, 1885-86, 1887, 1888-89, 1890-91. City Directories, 1885-86, 1887, 1888-89, 1890-91. City Directories, 2004, 074, 200		•		• •	
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company). N. SOURCES City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. SARCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCHA Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCHA Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCHA Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCHA Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL LARGE EVALUATION Good ILENVIR STATURE TISSUE ILENVIR STATURE TISSUE ILENVIR STATURE EXcellent IN TO COMPTICAL LIVE OF SIGNIFICANCE ARRY BISTORICAL LTHEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMORT AND SURVEY B SECONDARY ILEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE IN ART OSTATE DICCAL HIN RRHP BILEVEN COMMA APP DISAPP DISAPP TABLED DATE BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES DICT. OF ELIGIBLITY B SECONDARY BISCOMFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES DICT. OF ELIGIBLITY B SUBJECT TRACES BIONFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE ARRMARY BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE CIGBLE D NOT ELIGIBLE D SUBJECT TRACES BISCOMFICANCE CIGBLE D NOT ELIGIBLE D COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE CIGBLE D NOT ELIGIBLE D CIGBLE D NOT ELIGIBLE D COMMY RESOURCES BISCOMFICANCE CIGBLE D NOT ELIGIBLE D CIGBLE D NOT	ICANCE				
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company). • SOURCES City Directories, 1885-86, 1887, 1888-89, 1890-91. • SOURCES EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL IARCH EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION INT OF FABRIC Excellent INT OF CONTEXT Excellent INT OF FABRIC Excellent I		. •			
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company). No. SOURCES City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. Rediffectural HISTORIAN MATCha Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCha Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION					
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company). No. SOURCES City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. Rediffectural HISTORIAN MATCha Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCha Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 EVALUATION ARCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION		•	•		
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company). No. NOURCES City Directories, 1885-86, 1887, 1888-89, 1890-91. A ACHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCHA Bowers HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 VALUATION RCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION Cood ILENVIR, STATUR TISSUE ILENVIR, SIGNIFICANCE ILENVIR, SIGNIFIC					1
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company).			ŕ		
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company). No. NOURCES City Directories, 1885-86, 1887, 1888-89, 1890-91. RCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN: MATCha Bowers HISTORIAN: MARLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP. 1981 VALUATION RCHITECTURAL IARCH EVALUATION Cood ILENVIR, STATURE <u>Tissue</u> ILENVIR, STATURE <u>Tissue</u> ILENVIR, STATURE <u>Excellent</u> NINT OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> NINT OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> NINT OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> INT OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> NINT OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> SINRHP ACTION A STATE <u>B</u> LOCAL ON ELIG ISTORICAL LITHEMEIS OF SIGNIFICANCE ARCHTECT URAL LITHEMEIS OF SIGNIFICANCE A PRIMARY B SECONDARY HILEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE ARCHTECT TRACES DAVENDER LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE NINT OF CLIGIBLE ON TELIGIBLE ON HILEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE NARP ACTION SUBJECT TRACES DAVENDERT ANY SURVEY B SUBJECT TRACES LIGIBLE ON NOT ELIGIBLE ON HILEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE NARP ELIGIBLE NOT ELIGIBLE ON HILEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE DAVENDERT ANY SURVEY B SUBJECT TRACES COMPANY SUBJECT TRA		· · · ·		•	
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company).			¢-	•	
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company).			ر.		
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company).	· ·	· .			,
Samuel Hoffman, Jr. built this house in 1888 in the west end German- American neighborhood. Hoffman worked as a bookkeeper for the German Savings Bank, the predecessor of the American Commercial and Savings Bank (Davenport Bank and Trust Company).	ESCRIPTION				
DURCES City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. CHITECTURAL HISTORIAN MATCHA BOWETS HISTORIAN MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 VALUATION RCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION Good LIRCH EVALUATION Good ILENVIR. STATURE Tissue ILINT OF CONTEXT Excellent INIT OF FABRIC Excellent INIT OF FABRIC EXCELL MAT I STATE MACAL IN FLIG. STORICAL ILTHEMESS OF SIGNIFICANCE: INAT I STATE MARY B. SECONDARY ILLEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INAT I STATE LOCAL ILEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INAT I STATE LOCAL IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN INT OF SUBNIFICANCE: IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE IN INT OF SIGNIFICANCE IN RHP IN REVENCES IL EVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE IN NEWP IL EVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE IN NEWP IL EVEL OF	American neighborhood. Bank, the predecessor	Hoffman worked as a b of the American Commer	ookkeeper for	r the German Sa	vings
City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. ICHITECTURAL HISTORIAN: MATLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 VALUATION RCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION	•				.
City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. CHITECTURAL VALUATION RCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION FOR DIVISION OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION USE ONLY ILENVIR. STATURE Tissue III.INT. OF CONTEXT. Excellent IN.INT OF FABRIC B.SECONDARY III.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: III.LEVEL OF SIGN	49 mj .			• • • • • •]
CHITECTURAL HISTORIAN: MATCha Bowers HISTORIAN: MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 VALUATION CHITECTURAL CARCH EVALUATION Good CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION CONTRACTIO		•		•	1 1
CHITECTURAL HISTORIAN: MATCha Bowers HISTORIAN: MARLYS SVENDSEN ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 VALUATION CHITECTURAL CARCH EVALUATION Good CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION GOOD CONTRACTION CONTRACTIO	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}_{i}$, $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i}$	· .		• • • • • • • •	·
City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. CHITECTURAL VALUATION RCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION FOR DIVISION OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION USE ONLY ILENVIR. STATURE TISSUE ILENVIR. STATURE TISSUE ILENVIR. STATURE TISSUE ILENVIR. STATURE TISSUE ILENVIR. STATURE THERVIR. STATURE ILENVIR. STATURE THERVIR. STATURE ILENVIR. STATE DARCH EXACULATION STORICAL NAT. STORICAL THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN.T. B. SECONDARY IN. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INAT. IN. RH.P III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INAT. IN. RH.P III. INT. OF CONTEXT. ELIGIBLE NR.P III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: III. NRH.P III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: IIII. NRH.P ELIGIBLE <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4 · * .</td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4 · * .
City Directories, 1885–86, 1887, 1888–89, 1890–91. RCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN: MATLYA BOWERS HISTORIAN: MARLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 VALUATION RCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION				• •	
RCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN: MATCHA BOWERS HISTORIAN: MARLYS SVENDSEN - ROESLER SURVEY COMP 1981 VALUATION FOR DIVISION OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION USE ONLY Image: Survey comp 1981 RCHITECTURAL i date received Image: Survey comp 1981 IARCH EVALUATION Good I date received Image: Survey comp 1981 I.ARCH EVALUATION Good I date received Image: Survey comp 1981 I.ARCH EVALUATION Good I date received Image: Survey comp 1981 I.I.ARCH EVALUATION Good I date received Image: Survey comp 1981 I.I.ARCH EVALUATION Good I date received Image: Survey comp 1981 III.INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent Image: Survey comp 1981 Image: Survey comp 1981 III.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent Image: Survey comp 1981 Image: Survey comp 1981 IV.T. OF FABRIC Excellent Image: Survey comp 1981 Image: Survey comp 1981 IV.T. OF FABRIC Excellent Image: Survey comp 1981 Image: Survey comp 1981 IV.T. OF FABRIC Excellent Image: Survey comp 1981 Image: Survey comp 1981 IV.T. OF FABRIC Image: Survey comp 1981 Image: Survey comp 1981 Ima	ting and a second s		- • •		
VALUATION FOR DIVISION OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION USE ONLY RCHITECTURAL i date received LARCH EVALUATION Good II. LARCH EVALUATION Good II. LARCH EVALUATION Good II. LARCH EVALUATION Good II. LARCH EVALUATION A. ARCHITECTURAL B. ARCHITECTURAL B. HISTORICAL III. INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent IN INT OF FABRIC Excellent INT. OF FABRIC Excellent INAT. Istate STORICAL N. ELIG I. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A PRIMARY B. SECONDARY B. SECONDARY III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: OF SIGNIFICANCE: III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN RHP III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: OF SIGNIFICANCE: III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: ORANT III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: ORANT III. NRH.P GRANT ELIGIBLE NOT ELIGIBLE					
INACH EVALUATION Good I.ARCH EVALUATION Good I.ARCH EVALUATION Good II.ENVIR. STATURE Tissue III.INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent IN.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent IV.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent ILEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: 3. NRHP ACTION INT. ISTATE DIOCAL IN.ELIG. IN.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A STATE REVIEW IV. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: DISAPP. I. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: DISAPP. I. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: DISAPP. II. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: OUNTY RESOURCES IV. STATE DOCAL IV. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NRHP IV. NRHP DAVENPORT A/H SURVEY IV. NRHP DAVENPORT A/H SURVEY IV. NRHP DISAPP. IV. REGIBLE NR HP IV. REGIBLE SUBJECT TRACES IV. NRHP. DIOCOL IV. RELIGIBLE DIVENCES <td>RCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN Martha Bowe</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>في المراجع المراجع</td> <td>1. The stand and been</td>	RCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN Martha Bowe		,	في المراجع المراجع	1. The stand and been
RCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION Good LARCH EVALUATION Good LENVIR. STATURE Tissue III.ENVIR. STATURE Tissue III.INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent IN.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent IV.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent IV.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent IV.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent IV.INT. OF SIGNIFICANCE: 3. NRHP. ACTION A. STATE M.IOCAL IV.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: 3. NRHP. ACTION A. PRIMARY B FEDERAL REVIEW APP. B. SECONDARY DOT ELIGIBLE IV.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: WSHIELD SURVEY IV.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: WSHIELD SURVEY IV.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NRHP IV.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NRHP IV.NRHP IV.NRHP IV.NRHP <td>and the second sec</td> <td>FOR DIVISION OF HIST</td> <td>ORIC PRESERV</td> <td>ATION USE ONL</td> <td>Y Ar</td>	and the second sec	FOR DIVISION OF HIST	ORIC PRESERV	ATION USE ONL	Y Ar
I.ARCH. EVALUATION Good III.ENVIR. STATURE Tissue III.ENVIR. STATURE Tissue III.INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent III.INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent III.INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent III.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent III.INT. OF FABRIC Excellent III.INT. OF SIGNIFICANCE: 3. NRHP ACTION III.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A STATE REVIEW COMM. APP. I.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: B FEDERAL REVIEW I.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: I DH.P. SOURCES III.EVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: I DH.P. SOURCES III.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: I W'SHIELD SURVEY III.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INRHP III.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INRHP III.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INRHP III.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INRHP III.NRH.P III.GRANT ELIGIBLE NOT ELIGIBLE	VALUATION	FUK DIVISION OF TIST		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the second
II. ENVIR. STATURE Tissue III. INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent III. INT. OF FABRIC III. INT. OF FABRIC III. INT. OF FABRIC Excellent III. INT. OF FABRIC III. INT. OF FABRIC III. INT. OF FABRIC III. INT. OF FABRIC III. INT. OF FABRIC III. INT. OF FABRIC III. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A PRIMARY III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: III. COUNTY RESOURCES III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: III. WR.H.P. III. NR.H.P III. OCAL III. NR.H.P III. INT. OF ELIGIBLE III. INT. P III. INT. III. STATE ELIGIBLE NOT ELIGIBLE		I DATE RECEIVED		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
III. INT. OF CONTEXTExcellent Excellent IV. INT. OF FABRICExcellent NOT ELIGIBLE FOR NRHP. I IV. INT. OF FABRICExcellent INT. I STATE I LOCAL IN. ELIG. INT. P III. INT. P IV. INT. OF FABRICExcellent INT. I STATE I LOCAL IN. ELIG. INT. P III. INT. P III. INT. OF FABRICExcellent IIII. INT. OF FABRICExcellent <td>RCHITECTURAL</td> <td>I DATE RECEIVED</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	RCHITECTURAL	I DATE RECEIVED			
IV. INT. OF FABRIC Excellent LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INT. ISTATE INAT. ISTATE ILOCAL IN ELIG. INTHEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A STATE REVIEW COMM. A.PRIMARY INTHEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A.PRIMARY INTHEME B. SECONDARY INTHE II.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INTHE II.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INTHE II.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INTHE III.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INTHE III.NRH.P INTHE ELIGIBLE INOT ELIGIBLE INTELIGIBLE	RCHITECTURAL	I DATE RECEIVED	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	
In NAT. In STATE In NAT. In STATE In THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: In HP. In THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: In HP. In Level of Significance: In Level of Significance: In AT. In State In Level of Significance: In NAT. In State In Level of Significance: In NAT. In State In Level of Significance: In NAT. In State In NAT. In State In Level of Significance: In NAT. In State In Level of Significance: In NAT. In State In Level of Significance: In NAT. In State In State In State In State In State In State In State	RCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION Good II.ENVIR. STATURE Tissue	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P.	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	
ISTORICAL B FEDERAL REVIEW APP [] DISAPP. [] TABLED [] DATE I. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A PRIMARY	RCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION Good II.ENVIR. STATURE Tissue III. INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P.	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	
I. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A. PRIMARY	RCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION Good II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III. INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE:	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3. N.R.H.P. ACTION	ION A. ARCHITECTURAL	B HISTORICA	
I. THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: 4 D H.P. SOURCES Def. OF ELIGIBILITY A. PRIMARY	RCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION Good II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III. INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE:	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3 N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM.	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	
A PRIMARY	RCHITECTURAL LARCH. EVALUATION Good II.ENVIR. STATURE Tissue III. INT. OF CONTEXT Excellent IV. INT. OF FABRIC Excellent LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: IN AT. INAT. STATE	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3 N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM.	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	
B. SECONDARY DAVENPORT A/H SURVEY DAVENPORT A/H SURVEY	ARCHITECTURAL LARCH. EVALUATION <u>Good</u> II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III. INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NAT. STATE CLOCAL N. ELIG. ISTORICAL	I DATE RECEIVED 2. DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3. N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM. B FEDERAL REVIEW 4 D.H.P. SOURCES	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B HISTORICA	
II. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: I GRANT II. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INAT. ISTATE ILOCAL 5 SUBJECT TRACES 6 PHOTO 1602-2	ARCHITECTURAL LARCH EVALUATION <u>Good</u> HENVIR STATURE <u>Tissue</u> HEINT OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IN INT OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: INAT. STATE SIGNIFICANCE: ISTORICAL I.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE:	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3 N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM. B FEDERAL REVIEW 4 D.H.P. SOURCES COUNTY RESOURCES	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	
Imat. Istate Ilocal State Ilocal HI. NR.H.P 5 SUBJECT TRACES ELIGIBLE INOT ELIGIBLE I	ARCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION <u>Good</u> II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III.INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NAT. STATE LOCAL N. ELIG. ISTORICAL I.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A.PRIMARY	L DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3 N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM. B FEDERAL REVIEW 4 D.H.P. SOURCES COUNTY RESOURCES W'SHIELD SURVEY	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	EY
III. NR.H.P 5 SUBJECT TRACES 6 PHOTO ELIGIBLE NOT ELIGIBLE 1602-2	ARCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION <u>Good</u> II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III. INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NAT. STATE SIGNIFICANCE: I.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A PRIMARY B. SECONDARY	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3. N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM. B FEDERAL REVIEW 4 D.H.P. SOURCES COUNTY RESOURCES U COUNTY RESOURCES U SHIELD SURVEY N.R.H.P.	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	EY
	ARCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION <u>Good</u> II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III. INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NAT. STATE SIGNIFICANCE: A.PRIMARY B. SECONDARY II.LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE:	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3. N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM. B FEDERAL REVIEW 4 D.H.P. SOURCES COUNTY RESOURCES U COUNTY RESOURCES U SHIELD SURVEY N.R.H.P. GRANT	A. ARCHITECTURAL	B. HISTORICA	EY
	ARCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION <u>Good</u> II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III. INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NAT. STATE STATE LOCAL N. ELIG. APRIMARY B. SECONDARY I. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: NAT. STATE LOCAL	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3. N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM. B FEDERAL REVIEW 4 D.H.P. SOURCES COUNTY RESOURCES U COUNTY RESOURCES U SHIELD SURVEY N.R.H.P. GRANT	A. ARCHITECTURAL APP. DISAPP. APP. APP. DISAPP. APP. APP.	B. HISTORICA	EY
NETADIC DICIDICI CLASSFILATION I	ARCHITECTURAL I.ARCH. EVALUATION <u>Good</u> II.ENVIR. STATURE <u>Tissue</u> III. INT. OF CONTEXT <u>Excellent</u> IV. INT. OF FABRIC <u>Excellent</u> LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: I.THEME(S) OF SIGNIFICANCE: A.PRIMARY B. SECONDARY I. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: II. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: III. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: IIII. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: IIIII. LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE: IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	I DATE RECEIVED 2 DATE OF STAFF EVALUATI ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. NOT ELIGIBLE FOR N.R.H.P. 3. N.R.H.P. ACTION A STATE REVIEW COMM. B FEDERAL REVIEW 4 D.H.P. SOURCES COUNTY RESOURCES U COUNTY RESOURCES U SHIELD SURVEY N.R.H.P. GRANT	A. ARCHITECTURAL APP. DISAPP. APP. APP. DISAPP. APP. APP.	B. HISTORICA	EY

5.1