United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ Page ____

SUPPLEMENTARY LISTING RECORD				
NRIS Reference Number:	89002182	Date Listed:	1/4/90	
			<u> </u>	
Long Shoals Bridge Property Name		Bourbon County		KS State
Metal Truss Bridges in	Kansas 18611	939 MPS		

Metal Truss Bridges in Kansas 1861--1939 MPS Multiple Name

This property is listed in the National Register of Historic Places in accordance with the attached nomination documentation subject to the following exceptions, exclusions, or amendments, notwithstanding the National Park Service certification included in the nomination documentation.

Sett Dolard

Signature of the Keeper

Amended Items in Nomination:

Item #7, Description: Materials include 1) Metal: wrought iron; and 2) Wood.

Item #8, Significance: The applicable area of significance is engineering only.

Item #10, Geographical Data: The acreage is less than one.

OMB No. 1024-0018 2182

MOV 2 8 1989

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in *Guidelines* for *Completing National Register Forms* (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials, and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900a). Type all entries.

69 & F.A.S. 1741	
69 & F.A.S. 1741	
69 & F.A.S. 1741	
69 & F.A.S. 1741	
	not for publication
	x_ vicinity
code 11	zip code 66738
	an a tha an
Number of Heso	urces within Property
Contributing	Noncontributing
	buildings
1	sites
	structures
	objects
	Total
Number of contri listed in the National States (National States)	buting resources previously onal Register <u>0</u>
N THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	ng stage stage a some fanning de par stage. Die verste finder an einer og forder fin verste inder en sammen de se
Мантельновая района и колони про на полого у на село на округа и на округа и на округа на на округа на на округ	and the second
	 Date
ster criteria. 🗌 See c	ontinuation sheet.
	Date
	ana ny farita dia mampina amin'ny faritr'o amin'ny faritr'o amin'ny faritr'o amin'ny faritr'o amin'ny faritr'o
	, ,
	1/4/90
	1/4/90
	1/4/90
	1/4/90
	1/4/90
	1/4/90
	1/4/90
	1/4/90
	Number of Reso Contributing

Signature of the Keeper

6. Function or Use	
Historic Functions (enter categories from instructions)	Current Functions (enter categories from instructions)
Transportation: Road related (Vehicular): Bridge	Transportation: Road related (Vehicular): Bridge
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. Description	
Architectural Classification (enter categories from instructions)	Materials (enter categories from instructions)
	foundation
	walls
utner: Parker Inrough Truss	
	roof
	other Metal: Wrought Tron

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

The Long Shoals bridge, erected in 1902, is a pin connected Pratt through truss. It is 176 feet long and 14 feet wide. The wooden deck lies 14 feet above the water level.

The members of a truss bridge are designated either as chord members or web members. Chord members are those mainly defining the outlines of the structure and they are termed lower or upper chord members depending on whether they are found at the bottom or the top of the structure. Memberss between the chords are web members. They are called posts or ties if they sustain compression or tension respectively. In the instance of the Long Shoals bridge, as with all Parker trusses, the web members are alternately vertical and inclined. The inclined members are in tension and the verticals in compression.

As with all Parker trusses, the bridge features a polygonal top chord. It also features vertical end posts. In the Long Shoals bridge, the top chords and endposts are fabricated from two steel channels, a top plate and tied together with single bar lacing. The posts are fabricated from channel plate and single bar lattice. The ties consist of flat bars. The portal bracing is fabricated from angle stock and flat bars and forms a lattice design. Each end post is topped with a crown shaped finial. All main connections are pinned. The bridge has not been altered and retains a high degree of its structural integrity.

8. Statement of Significance	an a			
Certifying official has considered the significance of this p	property in	relation to other pro	perties:	
ationally	x state	vide locally		
Applicable National Register Criteria]c 🗌 d			
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)]c 🔲 D]6	
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions) Engineering		Period of Significar 1902	ncə	Significant Dates 1902
Transportation		1902		1902

		Cultural Affiliation		
		n/a		
	-			
Significant Person n/a		Architect/Builder Midland Bridge	Company	
				·····

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

The great evolution of truss bridge construction began in the United States soon after the publication of Squire Whipple's historic work on stresses in 1840. Prior to this the design work was essentially that of trial and error, experience and judgement. The Warren and Pratt trusses were rational designs and lent themselves readily to the system of analysis postulated by Whipple. They were therefore readily and rapidly accepted and formed the foundation for a greater part of American Truss design. The Parker polygonal top chord is a variant of the Pratt truss. This arched top chord made for a stronger bridge while using the same amount of material.

The vertical end posts or batter braces were generally deemed uneconomical to build in the late nineteenth century. Inclined braces, it was found, also contributed to the overall rigidity of the truss by facilitating a better distribution of stresses.

The bridge is unique in that it is one of only two vertical end post Parker trusses in Kansas, and retains a high degree of its integrity. Research into inventories of bridges conducted by various other states failed to locate any similar structures. It is also the most highly ornamented bridge in the state.

In a letter dated April 30, 1985, Eric N. DeLony, Principal Architect, Historic American Engineering Record, stated, "Until proven otherwise, we can assume that the high-portal, Parker truss type does not exist in other Great Plains states. We can conclude that the Long Shoals bridge (1902) and the Onion Creek bridge (1911) are two unusual and possibly unique variations of the Parker truss.

The Long Shoals bridge began making the news on July 3, 1902 when the Fort Scott <u>Monitor</u> reported its collapse before construction had even been completed. The article stated that there had been some concern about the

See continuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number __8__ Page __1_

condition of the abutments which "were built some time ago and the work on them was reported to have been in a very careless way."

It was believed that the stone used in the abutments was too soft to withstand the combined attacks of the weather and the river. By the time construction had begun on the bridge superstructure, cracks already began to appear in the masonry work.

The <u>Monitor</u> article went on to say that C. E. Stewart, the engineer in charge of the Midland Bridge Company's construction work, had told the county commissioners that the abutments were unsafe and would not even hold the dead weight of the bridge itself, but he was told to go ahead with the construction. Stewart continued under protest and was killed the morning of July 3, 1902 when the bridge collapsed. According to the Fort Scott <u>Monitor</u> of July 5, 1902, John Mozier, another contractor, was also killed and several other workmen had been injured. The article further stated, however, that the abutments were not to blame for the accident but that the "false piers on which the men were compelled to stand while at work were known to be unsafe."

Whatever the cause, the abutments were to be rebuilt. The Fulton <u>Independent</u> of July 18, 1902 reported that this time the county was to furnish the materials and the contractors, Griffith and Herman, would do the work without charge.

Several lawsuits followed the accident and on December 5, 1902 the Fulton <u>Independent</u> wrote, "The county authorities refuse to pay the bridge company for the Long Shoals bridge, so we learn, unless the bridge company will idemnify the county against certain damage suits now pending in the courts."

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) carried out a statewide inventory of historic bridges between 1980 and 1983. The bridges to be included were identified through computer printouts developed by KDOT, from information supplied by the counties (since almost all of the historic bridges were located on secondary rather than the primary road system), and by direct observation by field personnel. All bridges were inspected by KDOT personnel to verify the data on file. That information was jointly evaluated by representatives of KDOT, Kansas State Historical Society, and the State Historic Preservation Officer. United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ Page ____

Each structure was evaluated using a points rating system adapted from the points evaluation rating developed by the Ohio Department of Transportation and Ohio Historic Preservation Office. Consideration was given to areas such as age, builder, number of spans, length, special features, history, integrity, surviving numbers, and preservation potential.

In many instances there is little information about individual structures. Often bridge plaques which may have contained information have been removed, or the county's records are not complete or have been destroyed. Due to the large numbers of similar structures there is often little to choose from in differentiating among individual bridges other than condition and the likelihood of preservation.

The purpose of the KDOT study and subsequent evaluation was to identify a representative selection of bridges of each class. Through this approach KDOT and KSHS hope to preserve for posterity some examples of each type.

9. Major Bibliographical References	
Victor C. Darnell, <u>American Bridge Buildin</u> Society for Industrial Archeology Occ	<u>g Companies</u> , Washington, DC: asional Publication 4, 1984.
David Weitzman, <u>Traces of the Past: A Fiel</u> New York: Charles Schribner's Sons, 1	<u>d Guide to Industrial Archeology</u> , 980.
James L. Cooper, <u>Iron Monuments to Distant</u> F.H.W.A., Indiana Dept. of Highways, N.P.S., 1987.	<u>Posterity</u> , DePauw University, Indiana Dept. Natural Resources,
Dan G. Deibler, A Survey and Photographic	Inventory of Metal Truss Bridges
in Virginia, Charlottesville: Virgin	ia Highway & Transporation
Research Council, 1975.	
	See continuation sheet
Previous documentation on file (NPS):	
preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67)	Primary location of additional data:
has been requested	
previously listed in the National Register	Other State agency
previously determined eligible by the National Hegister	
designated a National Historic Landmark	
]recorded by Historic American Buildings	
Survey #	
Irecorded by Historic American Engineering	Specify repository: Konona State Historical Society
Hecord #	Nalibas State mistorical Society
10. Coorsephinel Data	
TU, Geographical Data	
Acreage of property	
UTM Deferences	
$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	
Zone Easting Northing	Zone Easting Northing
가 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있다. 같은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 없다. 것이 있는 것이 없는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는	
	See continuation sheet
Verbal Boundary Description	
he nominated property is located on the SE	E 1/4, SE 1/4, SE 1/4, SE 1/4.
section 35, township 235, range 25E, on a t	ract measuring 176' x 14' whose
ortheast corner is represented by the nort	heast corner of the bridge.
eginning at the northeast corner the bound	lary proceeds 176' southwest, 14'
orthwest, 176' northeast, and 14' southeas	t to the point of beginning.
그는 것 같은 것 같	See continuation sheet
Boundary Justification	
ne boundary includes only that area that i	s historically associated with
the nominated property.	그는 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 그 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요.
	See continuation sheet

11. Form Prep	bared By	
name/title	Larry Jochims	
organization	Kansas State Historical Society	date September 20, 1989
street & number	120 W. 10th	telephone (913) 296-3251
city or town	Topeka	state KS zip code 66612