# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number \_\_\_\_\_ Page \_\_\_\_\_

### SUPPLEMENTARY LISTING RECORD

NRIS Reference Number: 0400	Date Listed:	<u>9/2<b>9</b>/04</u>
Bridge No.560	Litchfield	CT
Property Name	County	State

N/A Multiple Name

This property is listed in the National Register of Historic Places in accordance with the attached nomination documentation subject to the following exceptions, exclusions, or amendments, notwithstanding the National Park Service certification included in the nomination documentation.

Entered in (200) Victional Regist

9-29-04

Date of Action

Signature of the Keeper

anded Items in Nomination:

#### 8. Statement of Significance: Period of Significance:

The period of significance for this property's historical and engineering significance under criteria A and C is 1930

This was confirmed with CTSHPO staff by telephone.

DISTRIBUTION: National Register property file Nominating Authority (without attachment)

<b></b>		-a-
	16	
i a d	h (n - 1 - 1 y company) in midato.	

### National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in *How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form* (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item be marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable". For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer to complete all items.

1. Name of Property		
historic name BRIDGE No. 5	60	
other names/site number <u>N/A</u>		·····
2. Location		
street & number <u>Routes 7 and 4 over Hou</u>	satonic River	Inot for publication
city or town <u>Cornwall and Sharon</u>		□ vicinity
state <u>Connecticut</u> code <u>CT</u> co	unty <u>Litchfield</u> code <u>005</u>	zip code <u>06754</u>
3. State/Federal Agency Certification		
As the designated authority under the National Histor request for determination of eligibility meets the do Historic Places and meets the procedural and profes The meets is does not meet the National Register crite In ationally Estatewide I locally. (I See continuar 08/ Signature of certifying official/Title Date I. Paul Loether, Division Director Deputy State Historic Preservation State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets I does not meet comments.) Signature of certifying official/Title Date	ocumentation standards for registering prop sional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Par eria. I recommend that this property be cons tion sheet for additional comments.) /10/04 c, Connecticut Commission on 0fficer	erties in the National Register of t 60. In my opinion, the property sidered significant n Culture & Tourism
State or Federal agency and bureau		
	······································	
4. National Park Service Certification	Signature of the Keepe	er Date of Action
<pre>     entered in the National Register.</pre>	Ratered in the	9-29-04
determined eligible for the National Register.	A State Participan	l
See continuation sheet. determined not eligible for the		
National Register. □ removed from the National		
Register.		
☐ other, (explain):		

Bridge No. 560 Name of Property

Litchfield	County,	СТ
County and S		

County and State

Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)	Category of Property (Check only one box)		ources within Proper iously listed resources in the	•
□ private	□ building(s)	Contributing	Noncontributing	
🗆 public-local	□ district		P 21 ann a	buildings
public-State	□ site			sites
□ public-Federal	structure	1	38.0 mm	structures
	🗆 object			objects
		1	0	Total
Name of related multiple (Enter "N/A" if property is not part		Number of con the National Re	tributing resources p egister	reviously listed ir
N/A		0		
TRANSPORTATION: ros	ad-related	TRANSP	ORTATION: road-rela	ted
······			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7. Description			5 / 4	
7. Description Architectural Classific (Enter categories from instruction		<b>Materials</b> (Enter catego	ries from instructions)	
Architectural Classific	s)		nN/A	
(Enter categories from instruction	s)	(Enter catego	nN/A	

Narrative Description (Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

### 8. Statement of Significance

#### **Applicable National Register Criteria**

(Mark an "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- A Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- □ **B** Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.

C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.

**D** Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

### Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in a II the boxes that apply.)

Property is:

- □ A owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.
- **B** removed from its original location.
- $\Box$  **C** a birthplace or grave.
- D a cemetery.
- E a reconstructed building, object, structure
- **F** a commemorative property.
- □ G less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.

### Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

### 9. Major Bibliographic References

#### Bibliography

(Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one or more continuation sheets.)

#### Previous documentation on file (NPS):

- preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested
- previously listed in the National Register
- previously determined eligible by the National Register
- designated a National Historic Landmark
- recorded by Historic American Building Survey
  #
- recorded by Historic American Engineering Record # \_\_\_\_\_

Areas of Significance

(Enter categories from instructions)

ENGINEERING TRANSPORTATION

**Period of Significance** 

1915-1935

Significant Dates 1930

Significant Person

(Complete if Criterion B is marked above.) N/A

### **Cultural Affiliation**

### Architect/Builder

Connecticut Highway Department, engineers C. W. Blakeslee & Sons, contractor

### Primary location of additional data:

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Other State agency
- □ Federal agency
- Local government
- □ Other

Name of repository:

Connecticut Historical Commission, 59 South Prospect Street, Hartford, CT 06106

Bridge No. 560	Litchfield County, CT	
Name of Property	County and State	
10. Geographical Data		
Acreage of Property less than one		
<b>UTM References</b> (Place additional UTM references on a continuation sheet.)		
1 18 635090 4630830 Zone Easting Northing	<b>3</b> Zone Easting Northing	
2	4	
Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property on a continuation sheet.) Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected on a continuation sheet.)		
11. Form Prepared By		
name/title Bruce Clouette, Historian		
organization <u>Public Archaeology Survey Team, Inc.</u>	dateMarch 31, 20	)03
street & number <u>P.O. Box 209</u> telephone		723
city or town <u>Storrs</u>	state <u>CT</u> zip	code <u>06268</u>
Additional Documentation		
Submit the following items with the completed form:		
Continuation Sheets		

#### Maps

- A **USGS map** (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.
- A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources.

### **Photographs**

Representative black and white photographs of the property.

#### Additional Items

(Check with SHPO or F	PO for any additional items.)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Property Owner					
(Complete this item at the	ne request of SHPO or FPO.)				
name	Connecticut Department of Transportation				
street & number	2800 Berlin Turnpike			telephone_	860-594-3000
city or town	Newington	state	<u>CT</u>	zip code _	06141-7546

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 *et seq.*).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Projects (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

			Bridge No. 560
Section number	_7	Page <u>1</u>	Cornwall - Sharon, Litchfield County, CT

### **Description:**

Bridge No. 560 (Photograph 1) crosses the Housatonic River, the boundary between the towns of Sharon and Cornwall, at a point known as Cornwall Bridge; the locality's name reflects the series of bridges that have crossed the river there over the years. The present bridge includes six open-spandrel concrete arches, the longest of which, 180 feet, is the river span (Photographs 2 and 3). There are two additional arches on the west side and three on the east side of the river, each of which is 76 feet in span (Photographs 4 and 5). Counting the three 10-foot concrete girder approach spans at each end, the bridge has an overall length of 859 feet. The bridge soars high over the village below, a small community on both sides of the river that includes a few dozen houses, a 19<sup>th</sup>-century railroad depot, two little churches, and a cemetery. Bridge No. 560 replaced a timber covered bridge that crossed the river at a much lower level, connecting present-day River Road South in Cornwall with River Road in Sharon. These local roads, along with the railroad tracks of the Housatonic Railroad, are accommodated by the bridge's smaller arches.

For each arch, there are two parallel ribs spaced 20 feet on center (Photograph 6). The ribs are five feet in width and taper in thickness as they rise toward the crown; the river arch is 3 ½ feet thick at the crown and the others, 2 feet thick. The river arch ribs are connected by eight cross-struts, the other arches have four. Columns with simple bases and capitals rise from the ribs to support floor beams. The outside spaces between columns are joined by arched fascia beams, creating an arcaded effect that is continued along the approach spans. Because the roadway is 33 feet wide, there is an overhang to the bridge supported on extensions of the floor beams, which taper and are rounded at the ends. The large mostly hollow piers between arches each have a central recessed panel; originally, this surface had a hammered finish to contrast with the rest of the concrete, which was smooth, but over the years rehabilitation of the bridge has obscured the different treatments. The roadway (Photograph 7) originally included sidewalks, but these have been lost to widening of the travel lanes. The bridge's railing, a large tubular rail atop a concrete barrier-type base, is modern; the original concrete railing was a balustrade with round-arched openings. Formerly there were pedestrian stairways leading from the north sidewalk to the river banks below.

Completed in 1930, the bridge was designed by staff engineers with the Connecticut Highway Department. The contractor was C. W. Blakeslee & Sons, a New Haven-based contracting firm that built many of Connecticut's large highway projects in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Next page: Proposed Bridge over Housatonic River, Towns of Sharon & Cornwall, General Drawing, May 9, 1929, Connecticut Department of Transportation File 11-05.

### **Statement of Significance:**

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

 Bridge No. 560

 Section number 8
 Page 1

 Cornwall - Sharon, Litchfield County, CT

### **Summary**

Bridge No. 560, crossing the Housatonic River between the towns of Cornwall and Sharon, is significant as a large and representative example of the open-spandrel concrete arch, one of the early 20<sup>th</sup> century's major innovations in bridge design (Criterion C). It also has historical significance because it recalls an important episode in Connecticut's transportation history, the establishment of the state highway system in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century (Criterion A). Replacing an antiquated 19<sup>th</sup>-century covered bridge, Bridge No. 560 reflected the period's concern for rising motor vehicle traffic and the need to establish wide, safe bridges on the state's "Trunk Lines," as its major highways were known at the time. Although the State built hundreds of Trunk Line bridges, very few were as large and imposing as the structure at Cornwall Bridge.

### **Engineering Significance**

The early 20<sup>th</sup> century was a period of great development in the use of reinforced concrete as a bridge-building material. Introduced at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, the technique went through a short period of experimentation and was essentially standardized by 1915. The ingredients were remarkably inexpensive: concrete was just a mixture of sand, gravel, Portland cement, and water. The largest material cost was for the steel reinforcing rods that gave the hardened concrete its tensile strength. Erecting the centerings and building the forms into which the concrete was poured were labor-intensive tasks, but well within the abilities of the carpenters and masons found in any large community. In fact, it is known that some highway officials favored concrete construction because the expenditures for the bridge went to local contractors and workers rather than some faraway bridge company. Although it had only an advisory role in guiding town officials at the time, the Connecticut Highway Department identified reinforced-concrete as the bridge-building material of choice as early as 1907. Concrete had tremendous strength in both compression and tension, it was competitive if not cheaper than steel-girders and trusses, and it was thought to be totally impervious to decay, promising low maintenance costs. In Connecticut as well as many other parts of the country, most state-highway bridges built before World War II were built of reinforced-concrete in one form or another.\*

Concrete slabs and beams sufficed for spans up to around 30 feet, but over that length engineers of the period generally chose arches. There were two main types of arches: filled-spandrel (also called solid-spandrel) and open-spandrel. The former was appropriate for spans of up to about 80 feet; beyond that, the open-spandrel type was usually chosen. The open-spandrel design eliminated the heavy fill, contained between spandrel walls that supported the roadway, and substituted a system of columns and floor beams. This allowed the arch itself to be reduced to the slenderest of ribs and the size of the footings to be minimized, effecting a substantial savings that were only partially offset by the greater complexity of design and construction. Because they eliminated all but the most

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Around 1940, changes in the relative importance of material and labor costs, greater ability to move large prefabricated beams, and the fact that most state-highway crossings had already been upgraded spelled an end to the era of the concrete arch.

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

		Bridge No. 560
Section number <u>8</u>	_ Page <u>2</u>	Cornwall - Sharon, Litchfield County, CT

essential structural components and usually consisted of multiple arches, open-spandrel-arch bridges also assumed a graceful appearance that, along with the typically restrained Neo-Classical detailing, recalled the appearance (and the longevity) of Roman aqueducts.

The broad river valley crossed by Bridge No. 560 is typical of the landforms that called for the open-spandrel design. Filled-spandrel arches of the same size would require a massive amount of fill and an extremely thick arch barrel and massive footings for the piers and abutments. To have used trusses for this crossing, even deck trusses, would have necessitated expensive tall piers. Instead, the open-spandrel arch kept the cost of the piers to a minimum and provided the necessary height by means of the arch itself. Because they were used for crossing large river valleys, open-spandrel arches usually ranked among the largest projects undertaken by state-highway departments in the first phase of building their systems. Connecticut is no exception: its six open-spandrel bridges are among the largest and most expensive bridges built in the period.

# **Transportation History Significance**

The Connecticut Highway Department was given responsibility for bridges on the state's major highways in 1915, but because Route 1 (the Boston Post Road along the shoreline) was its first priority, the Department did not get to replacing all the state's deficient Trunk Line bridges until the late 1920s and early 1930s. Although located in a relatively sparsely populated quarter, the Cornwall Bridge crossing cried out for improvement. Present-day Route 7 was the major north-south highway in this corner of the state, and Route 4 was the principal east-west route. Yet the bridge that served here until 1930 was a narrow 19<sup>th</sup>-century timber-truss covered bridge. In addition to correcting the problems of width and load-bearing capacity, the new high-level bridge addressed several other objectives: it bypassed the village, freeing state-highway and local traffic from interference with one another; it raised the bridge well above the level of any potential flood damage from the sometimes-turbulent Housatonic River; and it eliminated the grade crossing associated with the railroad line and a nearby freight spur.

Of the six open-spandrel-arch bridges built in Connecticut, this is the largest. The river span, at 180 feet, is the longest single arch, its six arches are the most in any one project, and the total length of open-spandrel arches, 608 feet, is the longest in the state. It cost over \$400,000, a substantial portion of which was provided by Federal-aid funds. Because of the project's importance, it was featured as the frontispiece in the Highway Commission's 1931 *Annual Report*, and it was one of eight bridges cited as "notable and interesting" projects in the Department's 40<sup>th</sup>-anniversary history, published in 1935. In addition to the bridges' function of addressing the needs of rising motor-vehicle usage, the Department praised Bridge No. 560 and other large arches as being "of more than ordinary artistic worth."

Although it has lost a few secondary features, such as its original railing, the pedestrian amenities, and the hammered-concrete surfaces, the bridge remains an outstanding illustration of the open-spandrel type, and its soaring arches continue to serve as a monument to both the technical expertise and aesthetic intents of the engineers responsible for the development of Connecticut's state-highway system.

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number <u>9</u> Page <u>1</u>

Bridge No. 560 Cornwall - Sharon, Litchfield County, CT

### **Bibliography**:

- Clouette, Bruce, and Matthew Roth. Connecticut Historic Bridge Inventory. Connecticut Department of Transportation, 1990.
  - \_\_\_\_\_. Connecticut's Historic Highway Bridges. Newington, Conn.: Connecticut Department of Transportation, 1991.
- Condit, Carl W. American Building: Materials and Techniques from the First Colonial Settlements to the Present. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968.
- Connecticut Highway Commission. Annual Report, 1930, p. 154; 1930, p. 151.
- Connecticut State Highway Department. Forty Years of Highway Development in Connecticut, 1895-1935. New Haven: Connecticut Tercentenary Commission, Publication No. 46, 1935.
- Hool, George A., and W. S. Kinne. *Reinforced Concrete and Masonry Structures*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1924.
- Legat, Arthur W. Design and Construction of Reinforced Concrete Bridges. London: Concrete Publications, 1948.
- McCullough, Conde B. Economics of Highway Bridge Types. Chicago: Gillette Publishing co., 1929.
- Urquhart, Leonard C., and Charles-Edward O'Rourke. *Design of Concrete Structures*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1926.
- Waddell, J. A. L. Economics of Bridgework. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1921.

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number <u>10</u> Page <u>1</u>

Bridge No. 560 Cornwall - Sharon, Litchfield County, CT

# Verbal Boundary Description:

The nominated property includes the bridge, abutments, and piers.

# **Boundary Justification:**

The nominated property embraces the entire historic structure.

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Bridge No. 560Section number <a href="Photographs">Photographs</a> Page <a href="Photographs">Page 1</a> Cornwall - Sharon, Litchfield County, CT</a>

### All Photographs:

- 1. Bridge No. 560
- 2. Cornwall Sharon, Litchfield County, CT
- 3. PAST, Inc. Photo
- 4. March 2003
- 5. Negative filed with PAST, Inc., Storrs, CT

### **Captions**:

Overview of bridge from west end, showing south side, camera facing east Photograph 1 of 8

River span, south side, camera facing northeast Photograph 3 of 8

River span, north side, camera facing southeast Photograph 3 of 8

West spans, including span over River Road, camera facing northeast Photograph 4 of 8

East spans over railroad and River Road South, Cornwall Bridge depot in background, camera facing north Photograph 5 of 8

Detail of underside of bridge, north side from east end, camera facing west Photograph 6 of 8

Roadway level from east end, camera facing northwest Photograph 7 of 8

Detail of west abutment, camera facing west Photograph 8 of 8



