National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

received JUN 1 9 1984 date entered JUL 1 9 1984

See instructions in *How to Complete National Register Forms*Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1. Nam	е			
historic	N/A `			
and or common	Drake Hill Roa	d Bridge		
2. Loca	ition			
street & number	Drake Hill R oa d a	t the Farmington	River N/	A not for publication
city, town	Simsbury	N/Avicinity of		
state	CT code	e 09 count	y Hartford	code 003
3. Clas	sification			
Category district building(s)x structure site object	Ownership _X public _ private _ both Public Acquisition _ in process _ being considered N/A	Status occupied N/A_ unoccupied work in progres Accessible yes: restrictedx yes: unrestricted no	entertainment government	museum park private residence religious scientific XX transportation other:
4. Own	er of Proper	'ty		
name	Town of Simsbury			
street & number	760 Hopmeadow Str	·eet		
city, town	Simsbury	N/A vicinity of	state	CT 06070
5. Loca	ition of Lega	al Descript	ion	
courthouse, regis	stry of deeds, etc.	Town Clerk's Rec	ordsTown Office Buil	ding
street & number		760 Hopmeadow St	reet	
city, town		Simsbury	state	CT
6. Repr	esentation	in Existing	Surveys	
lille ^{State Regi}	ster of Historic Pl	aces has this	property been determined elig	jible? <u>xx</u> yes
date 1984	Ŀ		federal XX state	countyloc
depository for su	rvev records Connecti	cut Historical C	ommission	
	5. Prospect Street,		state	CT

7. Description

Condition		Check one	Check one	
excellent good x fair	deteriorated ruins unexposed	unaltered x_ altered	X_ original site moved date	; :

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

Drake Hill Road Bridge, erected in 1892, is a pin-connected Parker through truss. Wrought iron, cast iron and steel comprise the various members that make up the trusses, and the abutments are brownstone. The bridge is located about one-quarter mile south of Simsbury center. Drake Hill Road runs west to east, from present-day Route 10, which is Simsbury center's main street, to Riverside Road, which runs along the east bank of the Farmington River. This bridge carries Drake Hill Road over the Farmington River. The bridge stands in a residential area of 19th-century and early 20th-century houses.

The bridge spans 183 feet and carries a 12-foot-wide roadway; the bottom of the bridge is 18 feet over the river bottom. Each side of the bridge (Photograph 1) has twelve panels, including the two triangle-shaped end The top chord is segmental in profile, angling upward at each panel-point as it proceeds from the ends to the middle of the bridge. top chord, including the inclined end posts, is made of three wrought-iron plate-sections which are riveted together using angle-sections on the sides and lacing bars on the bottom (Photograph 2). The verticals on the inside of the triangular end panels are made of two plate-sections set back-to-back with turned cast-iron spacers between them (Photograph 2); the rest of the verticals consist of two channels set back-to-back and connected with ri-The diagonals in the two rectangular veted lacing bars (Photograph 3). panels closest to each end are wrought-iron eyebars with stamped holes to accomodate the pins (Photographs 2,3), and the stiffer diagonals in the center are made of two channels with turned cast-iron spacers between them The pin joints at the upper panel points (Photograph 3) (Photograph 4). feature two spacer-plates riveted to the side of the chord, surrounding the The portal beams (Photograph 5) are c.1980 replacements of the original beams; they consist of two sets of welded angles connected with At alternating panel points, lateral members over the roadway lacing bars. tie the two sides together; these cross-braces are back-to-back angle sec-Although they are now welded in place, indicating post-1940 instaltions. these members feature rivet holes, indicating that old members were re-used during recent repairs.

The bottom chords (Photograph 6) are single plates with angles riveted to each side at top and bottom. They hang from the pins at the panel points. Floor beams are I-sections that are riveted to the bottom chords with the use of stem plates. Timbers are placed across the floor beams, and a layer

(continued)

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Drake Hill Road Bridge Simsbury, CT

Item number

6

For NPS use only received date entered

Page ¹

Representation in Existing Surveys (Continued):

Title: Inventory of Historic Engineering and Industrial Sites in Connecticut

Date: 1980

Continuation sheet

Federal/State

Depository for Survey Records: Connecticut Historical Commission

Hartford, CT

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Drake Hill Road Bridge

Continuation sheet Simsbury, CT

Item number

For NPS use only received date entered

Page 1

Description (continued):

of planks rests on the timbers. The blacktop road surface is laid over these planks. Abutments consist of large, squared brownstone blocks. At the east end of the bridge, the abutments are surmounted by brownstone parapets. At the west end are wrought-iron railings with cast-iron newels (Photograph 7).

Drake Hill Road Bridge retains its historic appearance, and most of its original members continue to function in their original locations. Besides the new portal beams and reconfigured cross-bracing, mentioned above, alterations include welded repairs on the laced verticals, where the lacing has been removed from the bottoms of the members and replaced with steel channels (Photograph 3).

8. Significance

Period	Areas of Significance—C	heck and justify below		
prehistoric	archeology-prehistoric	community planning	landscape architecture	religion
1400–1499	archeology-historic	conservation	law	science
1500–1599	agriculture	economics	literature	sculpture
1600–1699	architecture	education	military	social/
1700–1799	art	X engineering	music	humanitarian
XX 1800-1899	commerce	exploration/settlement	philosophy	theater
1900–	communications	industry	politics/government	_x_ transportation
Criteria A,C		invention		other (specify)

Specific dates 1892--built

Builder/Architect J.H. Buddington, New Haven, CT--engineer

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

Drake Hill Road Bridge is significant because it embodies the distinctive characteristics of metal-truss bridge construction in the 19th century It has further significance as one of only three Parker (Criterion C). trusses known to survive in Connecticut, all erected by J.E. Buddington, consulting engineer from New Haven. When this bridge was erected, 1890s, American bridge-building was in a period of rapid change. Steel had begun to replace wrought iron and riveted joints had begun to replace pins. Besides these major changes in materials and joint types, the 1890s also saw the proliferation of variations in standard designs and construction techniques, as bridge-builders large and small sought to capture contracts on the basis of their innovations. The idiosyncratic features of Drake Hill Road Bridge reveal that Buddington participated fully in this episode of market-driven innovation. The bridge is also significant in the local history of Simsbury (Criterion A). While the bridge was not the center of acrimonious conflict in town, the circumstances surrounding its construction do illustrate the rising responsibilities and expense of local government in the late 19th century, the careful approach to fiscal matters that characterized Connecticut towns, and the successful search for ways to raise revenues other than by increasing taxes.

The Parker truss is actually a Pratt truss with a segmental top chord. Like the Pratt, the Parker places compression on its verticals and tension on its The principal differences between the two are the more graceful appearance of the Parker and the greater difficulty in making the Parker, because of all the angled connections at the upper panel points. appearance may have helped Buddington to win the contract for Drake Hill Road Bridge, but the project apparently strained his technical resources. Buddington used several unusual techniques that would not have appeared in bridges made by a firm that had its own fabricating facilities. designed the bridge and supervised its construction, but he sub-contracted all fabrication. He saved costs in at least one instance by using a unique type of member -- the channels or plates bolted together with turned cast-iron These members were very easy to assemble, requiring only wrenches and not the small forges and array of hammers needed for riveting. designed around his fabrication sub-contractor's apparent lack of a press large enough to punch rivet holes in plates greater than one-half-inch The riveted plates surrounding the upper pin joints provide the proper bearing thickness for the pins, a thickness that usually would have

9. Major E	3ibliographic	al References	3	
nterview with Ri	chard L. Sawitzke, S	imsbury Town Engineer,	January 1984.	
		in Service," The Amer	ican City and County	
(September 19	978): 95-96.	(continued)	
0. Geogr	raphical Data	1	:	
creage of nominated	property less than 1			i gips
Quadrangle name $\frac{Avc}{c}$			Quadrangle scale 1:24000	
JT M References				
1 8 6 8 2 5		B L L		
Zone Easting	Northing	Zone Eastin	g Northing	1
'				_
		H		
				
		The nominated prope f the bridge itself and	rty includes only the d the land beneath the	
butments.		•		
ist all states and c	ounties for properties over	erlapping state or county b	oundaries N / A	
tate	code	county	code	
		oounty	·	
tate	code	county	code	
11. Form	Prepared By		· -	
name/title Matthew	Roth & Bruce Clouette	e, Partners edited by	John Herzan, Nationa	11 Regis
			Coordin	_
rganization Histori	c Resource Consultant	date F	ebruary 20, 1984	
treet & number 55	Van Dyke Ave.	telephone	203 547-0268	
ity or town	rtford	state	CT	
	Historic Pres	servation Offi	cer Certificat	ion
	ance of this property within th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	ionalX_ state	local	+ 3 ¹	
			servation Act of 1966 (Public La	w 89-
65), I hereby nominate		n the National Register and cer		
•		y the National Park Service.		_
tate Historic Preserva	ition Officer signature	Jam m	o count	
tle Director,	Connecticut Historica	al Commission	date 6/13/84	
For NPS use only				······································
•	hat this property is included in			
1 Stela	ris Byun	Entered in the Entired Register	date 7/19/8	84
keeper of the Natio	onal Register		,	,
Attest:			date	
Chief of Registration	on			

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use gnly
received
date entered

Drake Hill Road Bridge

Continuation sheet Simsl

Simsbury, CT

Item number 8

8

Page

1

Significance (continued):

been gained by using thicker material for the chord. Of course, Buddington's technique also saved some material cost in the top chord, but that saving may well have been offset by the consequent need to use larger members for the cross-bracing. In its other members, this bridge is wholly typical of its period. Built-up members using plates, channels and angles riveted together with lacing bars are part of virtually every metal-truss bridge built between 1870 and 1930. Eyebars with stamped pin holes began replacing eyebars with forge-welded loops in the 1880s.

Buddington had a degree of early success with his personalized designs. He won a bridge contract in 1893 from the town of Canton, and another one from Simsbury in 1894 (the extant Route 315 bridge). These were among the first jobs he obtained after opening his consulting firm in 1892. The structural problems that have led to the alterations on Drake Hill Road Bridge may account for Buddington's abandoning such work. Although he stayed in business until the late 1920s, the two bridges in Simsbury and one in Canton are the only spans in the state that are known to be the work of Buddington. The competitive aspect of his use of the Parker truss is suggested by the fact that these are the only such trusses known to survive in the state. By using it he could offer something different from the bridges of other builders.

The town of Simsbury did not easily undertake the expense of erecting a new bridge at this crossing. In 1890 the town recognized the need to replace the existing timber bridge, but dragged out the process with piecemeal appropriations. The first appropriation allotted money "to strengthen the present abutments and piers at a moderate cost and without a great deal of inconvenience to the travel across the bridge." Once the abutments were ready, the Selectmen were authorized to receive proposals for construction of an iron bridge and to borrow money to pay for it. But the town meeting did not authorize any increase in tax assessments to service the debt construction. The Selectmen turned to the commercial credit market found little appetite there for what amounted to unsecured foreclosure was not a viable recourse for lenders. What would they do with The town administrators thus were forced to issue bonds for construction, backing up the bonds with the overall fiscal integrity of the The total issue of \$10,000 consisted of five groups of bonds, at \$2,000 each, that would reach maturity on a staggered basis over five years. This means of financing public improvements was again applied in 1894, when it was used for the other Buddington bridge in Simsbury. Wary of overContinuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form Drake Hill Road Bridge

Simsbury, CT

Item number

8

For NPS use only received date entered

Page

2

Significance (continued):

extending the town treasury, the Selectmen delayed maturity of the 1894 bonds so that the town would not have to begin paying on them until 1899.

NOTE

Simsbury Town Meeting Records, volume 43, p. 257, 1890.

Continuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Drake Hill Road Bridge Simsbury, CT

Item number

9

For NPS use only received date entered

Page 1

Bibliography (continued):

New Haven City Directories, 1892-1930.

Simsbury Town Meeting Records, volume 43, 1890-1894, pp. 256-57, 277-78, 291-92.