OMB No. 1024-0018

NPS Form 10-900a (8-86)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Page Section

SUPPLEMENTARY LISTING RECORD

NRIS Reference Number: 13001107

Mutual Savings Bank Building Property Name

San Francisco CA County

Date Listed: 1/22/2014

State

N/A

Multiple Name

This property is listed in the National Register of Historic Places in accordance with the attached nomination documentation subject to the following exceptions, exclusions, or amendments, notwithstanding the National Park Service certification included in the nomination documentation.

Signature of the Keeper

Date of Action

Amended Items in Nomination:

SHPO Certification:

This confirms that the documentation was submitted as a *nomination* for a property that *meets* the National Register Criteria, as per the SHPO cover letter. [The SHPO certification block was initially left blank.]

Description:

The Architectural Classification is amended to add: Modern Movement and Other: Post-Modern

These clarifications were confirmed with the CA SHPO office.

DISTRIBUTION:

National Register property file Nominating Authority (without nomination attachment)

NPS Form 10-900 United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service	JIOP
National Register of Historic Places Registration For	m and a soon
This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in Natio Bulletin, <i>How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form.</i> If any item does not apply to the pr documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance categories and subcategories from the instructions.	operty being

NAT. REGISTER OF LEGTORIC PLACES NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

1. Name of Property

Historic name: Mutual Savings Bank Building	
Other names/site number: One Kearny	
Name of related multiple property listing:	
N/A	

2. Location

City or town: San Francisc	o State: CA	County: San Francisco	
	State Manual State State State State	County. Dan Trancisco	
Not For Publication:	Vicinity:		

3. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended,

I hereby certify that this *momination* request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60.

In my opinion, the property 🖌 meets ____ does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance:

national Applicable National	and the second se	local
AB		
1.5	N.	
Carlet M/	awi, Ph.D./State Historic P	reservation Officer Date

California State Office of Historic Preservation

State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government

In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the National Register criteri		
Signature of commenting official:	Date	
Title :	State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government	

4. National Park Service Certification

I hereby certify that this property is:

entered in the National Register

determined eligible for the National Register

_____ determined not eligible for the National Register

____ removed from the National Register

other (explain:)

Signature of the Keeper

1/22/2014 Date of Action

5. Classification

Ownership of Property

(Check as many boxes as apply.) Private: х

Public - Local

Public - State

Public -	- Federal

	Federal	

Category of Property

(Check only one box.)

Building(s)	x
District	
Site	
Structure	
Object	

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property

Number of Resources within Property

San Francisco, CA County and State

Do not include previously lis Contributing	Noncontributing	
1		buildings
		sites
		structures
		objects
1		Total

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register _____0

6. Function or Use Historic Functions (Enter categories from instructions.) <u>COMMERCE/TRADE: financial institution</u> <u>DOMESTIC: multiple dwelling</u> COMMERCE/TRADE: business

Current Functions

(Enter categories from instructions.) <u>COMMERCE/TRADE: financial institution</u> <u>COMMERCE/TRADE: business</u> <u>COMMERCE/TRADE: restaurant</u>

7. Description

Architectural Classification

(Enter categories from instructions.) LATE 19TH AND 20TH CENTURY REVIVALS: French Renaissance

Materials: (enter categories from instructions.) Principal exterior materials of the property: <u>CONCRETE, CERAMIC TILE, METAL:</u> <u>copper, BRICK, STONE:</u> granite and sandstone, TERRA COTTA

San Francisco, CA County and State

Narrative Description

(Describe the historic and current physical appearance and condition of the property. Describe contributing and noncontributing resources if applicable. Begin with **a summary paragraph** that briefly describes the general characteristics of the property, such as its location, type, style, method of construction, setting, size, and significant features. Indicate whether the property has historic integrity.)

Summary Paragraph

The Mutual Savings Bank Building is located in downtown San Francisco on an irregularlyshaped gore lot formed by the intersection of Kearny, Market, and Geary Streets. The twelvestory building was designed by William Curlett in the French Renaissance Revival style and constructed in 1902. The building has a V-shaped plan, and was one of the city's earliest skyscrapers. A twelve-story addition was designed by Charles W. Moore in the Modern or early Postmodern style and constructed in 1964. A ten-story addition was designed by Charles F. Bloszies and completed in 2013; this 2013 addition was designed to complement the 1902 building and completed in 2013; this 2013 addition was designed to complement the 1902 building functioned historically as a mixed commercial and residential building; the 2013 addition shifted use to fully commercial. The 1902 building, 1964 Annex, and 2013 addition are of steel frame construction. The 1902 building has stone and terra cotta cladding, the 1964 Annex has brick veneer cladding over concrete walls, and the 2013 addition is clad in terra cotta rainscreen. The 1902 building and the 1964 Annex have undergone few alterations and retain character defining features from the building's two periods of significance (1902 and 1964). The building retains its historic integrity.

Narrative Description

Located on an irregularly-shaped gore lot bounded by Geary, Kearny, and Market Streets, the Mutual Savings Bank Building is situated in the heart of downtown San Francisco, at the juncture of the Financial and Union Square Districts. The Market Street and Kearny Street façades of the building are bordered by wide sidewalks featuring historic cast-iron lampposts and London plane street trees. In close proximity to Lotta's Fountain, the Mutual Savings Bank Building anchors the northwestern corner of the intersection of Market, Third, Geary, and Kearny Streets. This important intersection, known historically as Newspaper Angle, is also home to Central Tower, which was known as the San Francisco Call Building until that newspaper's merger in 1913; the Chronicle Building; and the Hearst/Examiner Building, which are located at the southwestern, northeastern, and southeastern corners, respectively.

The Mutual Savings Bank Building is a twelve-story steel-frame office building that was built in 1902. A twelve-story Annex addition was built in 1964, and a ten-story addition was completed in 2013. The 1902 portion of the building has a V-shaped plan that corresponds to the configuration of its lot. It is designed in a French Renaissance Revival style, heavily influenced by the architectural vocabulary of the French Renaissance. The Market Street and Geary Street

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property San Francisco, CA County and State

façades both feature tripartite compositions, consisting of a two-story rusticated base, a pilastered shaft, and a double-height attic story with a multi-faceted mansard roof. The entire building is festooned with abundant French Renaissance-inspired carved stone and terra cotta ornamentation.

The Market Street façade features a rusticated granite base articulated by a central entry portal, which is capped by a flat lintel and a broken pediment. The pediment is supported by over-size scrolled brackets. The entry portal is flanked on either side by full-height arched window openings and surmounted by a single jack-arched opening. The arched openings feature rusticated voussoirs and elaborate acanthus leaf keystones. Modern concrete balconies were inserted into the openings in 1964. Windows and entry doors at the first and second story are of contemporary anodized aluminum frame. The rusticated base is capped by a shallow intermediate cornice that marks the beginning of the sandstone-clad shaft.

Proceeding upward, the third floor level is a transitional element between the base and shaft. Clad in Colusa sandstone, this level is rusticated and punctuated by three pairs of window openings demarcated by Composite order colonnettes. The original wood sash, double-hung windows were replaced with operable and fixed anodized aluminum window units in the 1960s. The transitional level is capped by a narrow frieze embellished with acanthus leaf and egg-anddart moldings.

Floors four through nine comprise the shaft. The shaft is divided into three bays by four giant pilasters. Each bay contains a pair of window openings above spandrel panels. The pilasters terminate at the ninth floor level as large capitals which, with a course of modillions, support an intermediate cornice. Interstitial areas adjacent to the capitals are filled with carved foliate ornament. Above the intermediate cornice, the tenth floor level features the same fenestration pattern as the lower floors although it is given additional ornamental treatment through the use of sculptured pilasters and a denticulate molding. Capping the tenth floor level is a terra cotta balustrade.

Continuing with the analogy of a column, a prominent cornice with the mansard roof above serves as the capital of the Mutual Savings Bank Building. It is comprised of three floor levels: the eleventh and twelfth floors and the attic. The elaborate mansard roof is the building's iconic element. Clad in red clay tiles, the roof is further embellished with copper ridge work and three elaborately sculpted dormers featuring massive scrolled buttresses, roundels, and arched gabled pediments.

The original southwest façade of the Mutual Savings Bank Building currently abuts a ten-story addition that was completed in 2013. Prior to construction of the addition, a three-story building stood to the west of the Mutual Savings Bank Building. The exposed portion of the southwest façade of the Mutual Savings Bank Building consisted of plain unfenestrated brick, with no ornamentation below the tenth story cornice. A full-height light well at the center of the façade, which was infilled by the 2013 addition, featured double-hung wood-sash windows, housed mechanical equipment, and was enclosed by a metal screen.

San Francisco, CA County and State

The Geary Street façade closely resembles the Market Street façade described above, although the Geary Street façade is articulated as four bays instead of three and does not have a significant monumental entry portal. Otherwise, in terms of materials and detailing, the Geary Street façade is identical to Market Street. Notably, the Geary Street façade retains its original double-hung windows above the second story. This façade also has an exterior fire escape.

The interior of the 1902 portion of the Mutual Savings Bank Building was remodeled in 1964 when the Annex was constructed. Subsequently, no historic fabric remained inside the building. Furthermore, periodic tenant improvement projects since 1964 resulted in continued changes to the interior, so much so that when the rehabilitation project was undertaken in 2009, the entire interior was overwhelmingly contemporary in character.

The eleventh floor, beneath the mansard roof, was historically used as a residential unit, and the attic is given over to mechanical equipment. The 1964 remodel relocated all interior vertical circulation in the 1902 portion of the building to the Annex, including a bank of two elevators, a stairwell between the first and ninth floors, and a secondary stair linking the tenth, eleventh and twelfth floors. The 2013 rehabilitation project has shifted the major vertical circulation, which includes a dual elevator bank and a stair well, to the new addition, near the junction between it and the 1902 portion of the Mutual Savings Bank Building. The residential unit at the eleventh floor was eliminated. A single elevator and the existing stair remain in the 1964 Annex.

The Annex is a twelve-story reinforced-concrete building with two basement levels and an elevator penthouse. The irregular plan of the Annex conforms to the constrained gore corner lot facing the intersection of Market, Kearny, and Geary Streets. Visually, the Annex is composed of two massive brick piers separated by a vertical band of anodized aluminum sash fixed windows facing Kearny Street. The dominant vertical massing of the Annex is counter-balanced by the horizontal concrete floor slabs visible behind the glazing facing Kearny Street and in the brickwork of recessed bays facing Market and Geary Streets. The vertical pier elements are clad in brown facing brick and extend above the roofline. The standing seam aluminum-clad Postmodern mansard roof is punctuated by a round arched dormer.

The original function of the Annex as the vertical circulation and service core for the Mutual Savings Bank Building was made explicit by the projection of the piers above the roofline. The piers, which contain the service core, stair tower and elevator bank, emphasized the purpose of the Annex and its role as a "servant" to the older "served" Mutual Savings Bank Building. The staggered pier caps visually contribute to the massed roofline of the Annex and visually anchor the building to its compact site. The mansard roof also explicitly references the roof of the historic 1902 bank building in an early expression of Charles Moore's later Postmodern aesthetic.

The Annex's ground floor lobby is a double-height space with entrances on Market, Kearny, and Geary Streets. Entrance doors are all anodized aluminum. The lobby's floor is polished stone and the walls are clad with brown facing brick identical to that on the exterior. Until the 2013

San Francisco, CA County and State

rehabilitation project took place, visible interiors at the upper floors of the Annex were varied, reflecting four decades of incremental tenant improvement projects. The 2013 rehabilitation project restored interior features, making the Annex spaces uniform on all floors, particularly when viewed from the exterior. The elevator bank remains located along the north wall, and one shaft is converted to house utilities while the stair core is located along the south wall.

The 2013 ten-story addition abuts the southwest facade of the 1902 building and has facades at both Market Street and Geary Street. Designed by Charles F. Bloszies and constructed between 2009 and 2013, the addition is contemporary in style and designed in compliance with The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation guidelines for alterations to historic buildings. As per the adopted findings of the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission, dated December 19, 2012, the addition complies with the Secretary's Standards and does not detract from the integrity of the 1902 building.¹ A slightly recessed facade depth retains vertical visual separation between the 1902 building and the addition. The Market Street façade is organized into two asymmetrical bays by two full-height vertical piers, located at left and far left of the façade. The piers are clad in a terra cotta rainscreen and reference the service piers at the 1964 Annex. Both bays at the ground floor include fully glazed double-height retail storefront windows, with an anodized aluminum door at center. At upper stories, windows at the left bay are single fixed, and at the larger central bay are six large continuous panes with anodized aluminum sash. Floor slab placement is visually emphasized by horizontally ribbed metal panels that align with the spandrel panels at the Mutual Savings Bank Building. Some of the horizontal panels project further to form shallow shade awnings. The tenth story is recessed to form a balcony, above and below which are thick bands of vertically ribbed metal panels. The roofline is flat, and the ten-story height allows the elaborate mansard roof at the Mutual Savings Bank Building to remain the dominant feature at the site.

The Geary Street façade of the 2013 addition is similarly organized into two asymmetrical bays by two full-height vertical piers, located at right and far right of the façade. There are two double-height commercial entrances at the ground floor. At upper stories, windows and façade treatments are the same as at the Market Street façade. Above and below the tenth story there are thick bands of vertically ribbed metal panels and the roofline is flat.

The west façade of the 2013 addition angles to meet the lot line, and abuts a nine-story building on Market Street and a five-story building on Geary Street. The façade is clad in terra cotta rainscreen and includes two single pane fixed windows at the sixth floor and three single pane fixed windows at the seventh through tenth floors. The roofline is flat.

Both the Mutual Savings Bank Building and the Annex retain very high material integrity, more than sufficient to convey their periods of significance (1902 and 1964, respectively.) Location and setting are both excellent; the buildings have not been moved, and the surrounding neighborhood, though more built-up, remains the center of San Francisco's business district, as it was when the buildings were constructed. Association and feeling are also both excellent; both

¹ San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission Motion No. 0185, Permit to Alter, adopted December 19, 2012.

San Francisco, CA County and State

buildings were constructed for institutional use, and remain in use for that purpose, and both buildings express the overall aesthetic sense of their periods of significance. Integrity of workmanship remains excellent at both buildings; stone and terracotta ornament at the Mutual Savings Bank Building and simpler façade treatments at the Annex are unaltered from their date of construction and express artisans' labor and skills. Integrity of materials is excellent for the Annex and very good for the Mutual Savings Bank Building; while no notable material changes have taken place at the Annex, the Mutual Savings Bank Building has had street-level storefronts replaced by contemporary storefronts, has had original upper-story windows at the Market Street façade replaced by anodized aluminum windows, and has had small concrete balconies inserted at its two mezzanine windows. Key exterior materials dating from the building's 1902 period of significance, including stone, terracotta, and clay tile ornament, are overwhelmingly retained. Integrity of design is excellent for both buildings; both buildings' ability to express their form, plan, space, structure, and style has not been diminished by the 2013 ten-story addition designed in compliance with *The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*.

Mutual Savings Bank Building______ Name of Property San Francisco, CA County and State

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.

X

- B. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- C. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- D. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in all the boxes that apply.)

- A. Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes
- B. Removed from its original location
- C. A birthplace or grave
- D. A cemetery
- E. A reconstructed building, object, or structure
- F. A commemorative property
- G. Less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property

> Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions.) ARCHITECTURE

Periods of Significance

<u>1902</u> 1964

Significant Dates

<u>1902</u> 1964

Significant Person

(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above.) N/A

Cultural Affiliation

N/A

Architect/Builder

Curlett, William

Moore, Charles W.

Statement of Significance Summary Paragraph (Provide a summary paragraph that includes level of significance, applicable criteria, justification for the period of significance, and any applicable criteria considerations.)

The Mutual Savings Bank Building is significant at the local level for inclusion in the National Register under Criterion C for Architecture. The building is significant in San Francisco as a representative of a bank tower building type, as a representative of the French Renaissance Revival building style, and as the work of two masters: William Curlett and Charles W. Moore. The periods of significance for the building are 1902 when the original building was constructed, and 1964, when the Annex was constructed.

San Francisco, CA County and State

San Francisco, CA County and State

Narrative Statement of Significance (Provide at least one paragraph for each area of significance.)

The Mutual Savings Bank was one of several prominent banking institutions founded in San Francisco during the latter part of the nineteenth century. Originally founded in November 1889 by James Phelan, James G. Fair, and James K. Moffitt, the Mutual Savings Bank first opened its doors in February 1890. Although successful, the bank did not have the same prestige as other well-known and established banking institutions.

In 1900, in a move to increase the bank's visibility and prestige, the Mutual Savings Bank acquired the site of a former tea store at the corner of Market and Kearny streets and began formulating plans to construct a new office building and banking hall. The bank's board of directors held an architectural competition, soliciting entries by several prominent San Francisco architecture firms, including Bliss & Faville, H.B. Maggs, F.S. Van Trees, and William Curlett. William Curlett's entry, a twelve-story steel-frame office building designed in the then-popular French Renaissance Revival style, won the day. The new building quickly became a symbol of the bank's identity. By 1908, Mutual Savings Bank saw its business increase to nearly \$9 million in annual deposits, with 9,420 depositors, and held security for depositors in real estate holdings, mortgages, loans on bonds and stocks, California and United States bonds, and cash.²

The Mutual Savings Bank Building was one of the most important commissions of architect William Curlett. Born in Belfast, Ireland in 1846, William Curlett would eventually go on to become one of the more influential immigrant architects in Victorian-era San Francisco. He received his architectural education at the Art Institute of Manchester in England. In 1871, Curlett came to San Francisco to begin his architectural apprenticeship with the prominent pioneer architect Augustus Laver.³ After various partnerships, Curlett opened his own practice in 1891. During this time he earned commissions for private residences, commercial buildings, and civic structures throughout California. After the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, he devoted his efforts to the reconstruction of San Francisco. In San Francisco, Curlett's most prominent commissions included the Mutual Savings Bank Building (1902); the Shreve Building, at the intersection of Grant Avenue and Post Street (1905); and the Phelan Building, at the corner of Market Street and Grant Avenue (1908).⁴ In 1909, he entered into a partnership with his son Aleck, called William Curlett & Son. According to *Architect and Engineer*, William Curlett & Son was one of the busiest architectural firms in the State, handling more than two million dollars' worth of work by 1912.⁵

Structural engineering at the Mutual Savings Bank Building included state of the art construction elements, many of which were designed by the Roebling Construction Company, famed builders

² "Mutual Savings Bank Makes Statement," The San Francisco Call, Vol. 103, Number 34, (January 1908), p.16.

³ J.M. Guinn, A History of California & an Extended History of Los Angeles and its Environment, Vol. 2 (LA Historical Record Company, 1915), pp. 363-364.

⁴ Henry F. Withey, *Biographical Dictionary of American Architects (deceased)* (Los Angeles: New Age Publishing Co., 1970), p. 154.

⁵ "Apartment House and Hotel Annex," *The Architect and Engineer*, Vol. 29, No 3, (July 1912), p. 112.

San Francisco, CA County and State

of the Brooklyn Bridge and pioneers of fireproof construction techniques for multi-story buildings. The floor slabs were of the Roebling System B stone concrete type, and the partitions were Roebling 2" solid plaster, with integral mesh, rods, wire lathe, and rod stiffeners. Ceilings were layered with Roebling wire lathe under flat plaster, and all vertical posts, soffits, and girders were protected with Roebling wire lathe and plaster.⁶ The incorporation of these construction techniques enabled the building to withstand much of the damage inflicted by the great earthquake and fire of 1906.

Completed in 1902, Curlett's Mutual Savings Bank Building joined a short but steadily growing list of San Francisco skyscrapers and became the third major tall building on the important downtown intersection of Market, Kearny, Geary and Third Streets, known as Newspaper Angle. Other early skyscrapers on the intersection included the Chronicle Building (1890) and the recently completed San Francisco Call Building (1898) and Hearst/Examiner Building (1898) rounding out each corner of the intersection. The tall corporate spires were visible from much of the outlying city.

The Mutual Savings Bank is an important early San Francisco skyscraper, and one of only a handful to survive the 1906 Earthquake and Fire. From the city's earliest days, land suitable for commercial development in downtown San Francisco remained in short supply. Topographical factors, namely the steep hills and vast sand dunes and environmental factors, such as frequent earthquakes, combined with the lack of adequate building materials and experienced engineers, contributed to the lack of tall buildings in San Francisco for many years. Spurred on by outsiders' criticism of San Francisco's lackluster architecture, many local business leaders and civic boosters began seeking to replace its provincial architecture with buildings more deserving of the city's growing importance. Michael H. de Young, publisher of the *San Francisco Chronicle* and one of San Francisco's most prominent business leaders, was one of the city's primary boosters and an early advocate of the skyscraper. His Chronicle Building, when completed in 1890, was San Francisco's tallest building, leading the way for others like the Mutual Savings Bank Building.⁷

The Mutual Savings Bank Building is also significant as an early banking tower in San Francisco, embodying the characteristics of a building type that would become the dominant symbol of the power of urban American banks during the first quarter of the twentieth century. From the Gold Rush onward, banking remained San Francisco's most important industry, second only to the Port. Even today the city remains one of the most important Pacific Rim banking centers. As a wealthy and fiercely competitive industry, banks in San Francisco commissioned highly visible landmark buildings to serve as their headquarters.

The immediate post-quake period was the Golden Age of bank construction in San Francisco and several excellent banking temples still remain. Accompanying the growing number of mergers in the American banking industry around the turn of the century was a growing need for office

⁶ A.L.A. Himmelwright, *The San Francisco Earthquake and Fire: A Brief History of the Disaster* (New York: The Roebling Construction Company, 1906) p. 32.

[&]quot;The Chronicle's New Home," San Francisco Chronicle (June 22, 1890), p. 1.

San Francisco, CA County and State

space. Seeking to maximize space and rental income, American bank owners began to build office towers on top of their flagship banking temples. The construction of a speculative office building above the non-income producing banking hall allowed the bank to pay for the lavish banking hall, as well as providing additional office space for the growing number of bank departments and allied business ventures. The prominent bank towers also became local visual landmarks and consequently powerful advertising tools. The combined office tower and banking hall became popular in smaller California cities such as San Jose, Stockton, Fresno, and Sacramento. In San Francisco's Financial District the bank tower did not initially prove to be as popular, partially due to the tremendous prestige accorded a freestanding banking temple in the heart of the Wall Street of the West.⁸ In addition to William Curlett's Mutual Savings Bank Building of 1902, there are only a few other comparable bank towers left in San Francisco, primary amongst which is the Bank of Italy Building, constructed in 1908 by architect F. T. Shea and listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 1978.

On April 18, 1906 at 5:12 a.m., San Francisco was hit by an extremely powerful earthquake that damaged much of the city. Within hours several fires sparked by broken gas mains swept through downtown and the South of Market district, leveling almost every building that the quake had spared. After the catastrophe, most of downtown San Francisco lay in ruins. Virtually nothing survived intact. However, although their interiors burned, the shells of a small number of skyscrapers survived, including the Mutual Savings Bank Building. Aside from its interior, which was gutted by fire, the building suffered only moderate damage to its exterior cladding and only minor damage to its structural system.

Following its reconstruction by William Curlett between 1906 and 1907, the Mutual Savings Bank Building remained the headquarters of the Mutual Savings Bank until 1919. The First Federal Trust Company, a subsidiary of the First Federal National Bank, then purchased the Mutual Savings Bank and the building and sold it to the Esberg family in October 1919.⁹ Later that year the property was purchased by real estate mogul George Whittell, Sr., the founder of the Whittell Realty Co. Whittell was a successful developer and investor who was responsible for much of the post-quake reconstruction in downtown San Francisco. Whittell was a member of San Francisco's ruling elite with extensive holdings in the Eureka & Nevada Railroad, the Donohoe Kelly Banking Company, the Associated Oil Company, and the First National Bank.¹⁰ After his death in 1922, his son George Whittell, Jr. and wife Anna Whittell took control of his holdings.¹¹

For the next fifty years, Whittell Realty Co. owned and managed the Mutual Savings Bank Building. After the Mutual Savings Bank was absorbed in 1919, the Bank of Italy established a branch office on the ground floor level of the building. By 1930 the Bank of Italy renamed itself the Bank of America. The Market-Geary branch continued to operate on the ground floor level

⁸ Carol S. Prentice, ed. 1906 San Francisco Earthquake Centennial Guides, (Boulder, CO: Geological Society of America, 2006), p. 17.

[&]quot;Financial Men Plan to Merge Two S.F. Banks," San Francisco Chronicle (July 23, 1919), p. 5. "Bank Building at Downtown Corner Bought by Esbergs," San Francisco Chronicle (October 19, 1919), p. 13. ¹⁰ "Death Calls Pioneer S.F. Capitalist," San Francisco Examiner (March 27, 1922), p. 4.

¹¹ San Francisco Assessor's Office, Deed of Transfer, March 21, 1924.

San Francisco, CA County and State

and a portion of the upper floors of the building until after the Second World War, when Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan Association took over the ground floor and a major portion of the upper floor office space.¹²

Citizens' Savings & Loan Association was originally founded in January 1885 as the Citizens' Building & Loan Association of San Francisco. During this time the company was one of thirty building and loan associations in San Francisco. Through innovative marketing tactics and strong leadership, the company became very successful in the San Francisco market. Originally headquartered in the Mills Building, the firm survived the economic dislocation brought about by the 1906 Earthquake, which ruined many of its competitors. Temporarily operating their offices out of a residence at the northeastern corner of Sutter and Buchanan streets, Citizens' slowly recovered with minimal loss to their depositors. Slowly gaining momentum, Citizens' became capitalized in excess of one million dollars by 1926. This achievement eventually led them to adopt a Federal Charter in 1935, thereby becoming known as Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan Association.

The Depression wrought havoc upon the financial industry but Citizens' Savings & Loan Association emerged relatively unscathed. In 1953, the company merged with Golden Gate Federal Savings. Just one year later, Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan Association moved their corporate headquarters to the Mutual Savings Bank Building. Throughout the late 1950s, branch offices opened all over San Francisco and Northern California. In 1961, Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan Association purchased the Mutual Savings Bank Building and the neighboring five-story Flannery Building.¹³ The Mutual Savings Bank Building had undergone few significant alterations since 1906, and Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan soon began to formulate plans to clear the site and construct a new "strictly modern, efficient tower."¹⁴

In 1964, the firm of Clark & Beuttler was hired, in association with designers Charles W. Moore and Alan Morgan, to undertake the project. Charles Moore, the lead architect on the project, convinced the bankers to retain the old Mutual Savings Bank Building and construct an addition on the site of the old Flannery Building. This decision was rather unique during the height of High Corporate Modernism, when older skyscrapers in San Francisco were rapidly being torn down and replaced.

According to interviews with the architect, Charles Moore fought hard to convince Citizens' Federal not to either demolish or modernize the exterior of the old Mutual Savings Bank Building, the latter of which had just happened to the nearby Chronicle Building a year before. In an interview in Heinrich Klotz' 1973 book, *Conversations with Architects*, Moore discussed the circumstances behind the decision to retain and rehabilitate the Mutual Savings Bank Building:

¹² Polk-Langley Company, San Francisco City Directories.

¹³ San Francisco Assessor's Office, Deed Record, 29 August 1961.

¹⁴ San Francisco Public Library, History Room, File: Citizens' Saving and Loan Association, pamphlet titled "A San Francisco Landmark."

San Francisco, CA County and State

HK: I think we can illustrate with one of your earlier projects, the Citizens' Federal Savings Bank in San Francisco. You had an existing late nineteenthcentury, classicizing, Beaux Arts building, and built an extension. I think this can illustrate how you use an existing physical reality.

CM: Yes. I was an associate in the office of Clark and Beuttler in San Francisco, in charge of the design. We all had to fight hard to get that to happen, because the bankers were interested in tearing the building down, or facing it over to make it look modern. It was one of the few buildings left from the 1906 fire—as they call the earthquake there. It wasn't a great building, but it was a legitimate piece of 1904 [*sic*].

HK: What was your argument?

CM: It was a fine building, it was old, part of San Francisco; what could you gain by defacing it or tearing it down? We had the chance, because the clients had bought the corner as well, to do all the code stuff, elevators, stairs, toilets, in the new spaces and to get...

HK: You cleaned it out.

CM: Cleaned out the inside of each floor. It was much more interesting to me to design the little corner piece that made it all happen than to design an ordinary office building. On the ground floor, we opened it to the corner. It was important to them to get a Kearney [*sic*] Street address in San Francisco, so we put a door over there.¹⁵ And, as far as I was concerned, that was as real a reality as anything else.

HK: It now turns out that your corner extension gives the existing building a new value. You didn't hesitate to use forms which were not fashionable.

CM: That's right. I'd been at Princeton in the years before that, an assistant to Enrico Peressutti, who would come every year from Milan. He and I would work out the previous year what the graduate class would do, and I would lay the groundwork. We had a series of problems in the three years I helped him, in which old things were looked at and new things were added to them. Each time it was, in Peressutti's words, an exercise in learning to live with old things, and to complement them and to make them exciting. Like being a friend of somebody's, he kept saying, making the thing what was there more special than it had been

¹⁵ The inclusion of this new entrance on Kearny Street gave the Annex the address 1 Kearny Street, and gave the combined building its common moniker One Kearny. The Mutual Savings Bank Building was historically addressed as 700 Market Street, and the lot now includes eight addresses: 1 Geary Street, 11 Geary Street, 23 Geary Street, 31 Geary Street, 1 Kearny Street, 700 Market Street, 710 Market Street, and 714 Market Street.

San Francisco, CA County and State

before. So this was a quite simple outgrowth of those attempts in graduate classes at Princeton.¹⁶

Moore's authorship has also been confirmed by those who knew him well, including Donlyn Lyndon, a former partner of Moore's in the firm of Moore, Lyndon, Turnbull & Whitaker (MLTW), as well as scholars in the field, including Kevin Keim, director of the Charles Moore Center for the Study of Place at the University of Texas, Austin. According to Lyndon, who shared a studio with Moore at the time that the Mutual Savings Bank Building project was underway, Moore was wholly in charge of the rehabilitation of the Mutual Savings Bank Building and the design of the Annex. Although Keim had originally believed that Alan Morgan, who was also a member of Clark & Beuttler's team, was responsible for the design, he now believes that Moore deserves the credit. Donlyn Lyndon described how the decision to give the historic 1902 building new life through a new addition was unprecedented in Postwar San Francisco. It was pointed out that while downtown San Francisco has several examples of contextual additions from the 1930s, namely Lewis Hobart's Mills Tower of 1931 and Miller & Pflueger's Pacific Coast Stock Exchange Tower of 1930, there do not appear to be many examples from the postwar period. Mr. Lyndon agreed and said that Moore's 1964 Annex was probably the earliest in this era, inspiring later contextual additions such as Anshen & Allen's 1967 addition to the historic Bank of California.¹⁷

Briefly, Moore's scheme included gutting the interior of the Mutual Savings Bank Building and constructing a new twelve-story concrete addition on the site of the old Flannery Building.¹⁸ As part of this work the vertical circulation was removed from the 1902 building and placed in the Annex, freeing up the entire floorplate of the historic building for office space. Joseph L. Barnes was the general contractor and H. J. Brunnier was the engineer.¹⁹ Completed in 1964, the construction came to a total of \$2,117,000.²⁰ Upon its completion, the project received extensive praise from the local architecture and, perhaps surprisingly, the local preservation community. In July 1964, the California Historical Society placed a plaque on the building that honored both its historic significance as well as acknowledging its new appearance:

Citizens' Building (formerly Mutual Savings Bank Building) was built in 1902. It was one of the few downtown buildings, which withstood the 1906 Earthquake and Fire without major damage. Plaque dedicated July 20, 1964 by California Historical Society upon remodeling, addition of new tower, and renaming the structure Citizens' Building, home office of Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan Association.²¹

The project earned Clark & Beuttler and Charles Moore the American Institute of Architects Award of Merit in 1966.

¹⁶ John Cook and Heinrich Klotz, Conversations with Architects (New York: Praeger Publishers, 1973), p. 224.

¹⁷ Telephone conversations with Donlyn Lyndon and Kevin Keim by Page & Turnbull staff, August 10, 2004.

 ¹⁸ John Cook and Heinrich Klotz, *Conversations with Architects* (New York: Praeger Publishers, 1973), p. 224.
¹⁹ San Francisco Building Permit #267075, September 19, 1962.

²⁰ "Ten buildings that point to the future," Fortune Magazine (December 1965), p. 179.

²¹ "Earthquake Survival Plaque," San Francisco Chronicle (July 21, 1964), p. 40.

San Francisco, CA County and State

As discussed, the 1964 remodeling and addition to the Mutual Savings Bank Building is a notable example of an early adaptive reuse project in San Francisco and one of the earliest examples of Postmodern contextual design during the Postwar period. Another notable adaptive reuse project opened to the public in San Francisco in 1964. Ghirardelli Square, a former chocolate factory constructed in the late 1890s by William Mooser, was redeveloped by landscape designer Lawrence Halprin and architects Wurster, Bernardi & Emmons as an urban shopping and dining plaza.²² The fact that these projects occurred in the mid-1960s, an era many consider to be the apogee of High Corporate Modernism, perhaps should not be as surprising as it may seem on the surface, considering that the 1960s also witnessed the birth of the historic preservation and Postmodern movements.

Both movements arose during the early 1960s, at least partly in response to the universalistic, one size fits all ethos of Postwar Corporate Modernism. Landmark events in the birth of both movements took place within a few years of 1964, including the passage of the National Historic Preservation Act and the publication of Robert Venturi's Postmodernist manifesto, *Complexity and Contradiction in Architecture* in 1966.

On the local level, *Here Today*, the Junior League's survey of San Francisco's architecture, was published in 1968. This initial effort at surveying the city's historic architecture was prompted in large part by growing concern over rampant redevelopment of downtown San Francisco and the loss of historic buildings throughout the city. As indicated by the book's title, the authors of *Here Today* sought to catalog the best of the city's historic architecture before it was "gone tomorrow." Charles Moore's scheme for the Mutual Savings Bank Building was considered by the authors of *Here Today* to be a rare success story in an era known for the rapid erosion of San Francisco's architectural legacy:

The Citizens' Federal Savings and Loan Building (originally the Mutual Building), at 700 Market Street, provides an excellent example of preservation combined with a thoughtful modern enlargement. William Curlett designed the building in 1902 on a site which did not include the corner lot. When the present owners decided to renovate the structure, they purchased the corner lot and commissioned Clark and Beuttler to design a new annex. The addition is modern in materials and basic concept yet entirely compatible with original building's Mansarded [*sic*] style. Sensitivity and imagination have given the owners an exceptionally fine Market Street headquarters.²³

Although the Annex predates the apogee of the Postmodern movement during the late 1970s and early 1980s, its design does hint of things to come. Constructed relatively early in his professional career, the Annex is one of the first buildings designed by Moore to explicitly play

²² Ghirardelli Square, http://tclf.org/landscapes/ghirardelli-square.

²³ Roger Olmsted and T.H. Watkins, *Here Today: San Francisco's Architectural Heritage* (San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1968), pp. 86-7.

San Francisco, CA County and State

with contextual design. Especially obvious is how the roof forms of the Annex reference the mansard roof of the 1902 building. Less apparent is the how the floor slabs of the Annex are expressed on the façade in order to align with the spandrels of the historic building. Also, the juxtaposition of the stair and elevator towers with the vertical fenestration band on the Kearny Street façade of the Annex subtly reference the alternating arrangement of pier and fenestration bands on the façade of the Mutual Saving Bank Building.

The design of the Annex also illustrates the influence of Louis Kahn. Charles Moore worked closely with Kahn at Princeton in the late 1950s and Kahn's theories and formal design elements permeate the design of the Annex. Housing the vertical circulation, mechanical chases, toilet rooms and storage, the Annex acted as the "servant" to a "served space." One of Kahn's best-known theories, the served/servant idea, is best illustrated in his Richards Medical Building in Philadelphia of 1957-61. Here the servant spaces are attached to the outside of the laboratory building, housing circulation, mechanical rooms and other functions ancillary to the building's primary purpose, research laboratories. Formally, Moore's Annex also shows the influence of the Richards Medical Building through its use of stair and elevator towers that project above the roofline, the partially exposed concrete frame, and brown brick. It is also worth noting that like the Annex, the Richards Medical Center was designed to relate to an existing historic context, in this case, the Collegiate Gothic context of the University of Pennsylvania campus.

The Mutual Savings Bank Building can be considered significant for a variety of reasons. As one of a handful of buildings in downtown San Francisco to survive the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, the Mutual Savings Bank Building is a significant landmark testifying to what was arguably the single most important event in San Francisco's history. The 1906 Earthquake and Fire destroyed the majority of downtown San Francisco, sparing only a handful of early steel-frame fireproof skyscrapers, including the Mutual Savings Bank Building. Although the interior of the building was gutted, it suffered little structural damage. An engineer's technical report prepared after the disaster stated: "This building undoubtedly has a first class foundation. The workmanship and materials are of the best. The floors and ceilings are still intact; it withstood the earthquake and fire without any structural damage whatever."²⁴

The 1964 Annex represents an important benchmark in the history of the Historic Preservation and Postmodern movements in San Francisco. During the height of San Francisco's building boom of the late 1950s and mid-1960s, the impulse to preserve a historic building rarely occurred. When presented with an older building, developers during this era frequently opted to tear it down and build anew. If total replacement was not feasible, developers would hire an architect to cloak the dated façade behind modern materials, such as what happened to the Chronicle Building in 1963. The decision to retain the 1902 Mutual Savings Bank Building was therefore relatively unique in 1964. In the process it created an addition that was described by contemporary publicity as one that complements rather than matches the old style building. This concept was emulated in other notable projects completed during the late 1960s and early 1970s

²⁴ Himmelwright, p. 96.

San Francisco, CA County and State

in San Francisco, including the Bank of California Tower by Anshen & Allen of 1967 and the St. Francis Annex by William Pereira of 1972.

In addition to these notable events and trends, the following paragraphs detail the significance of the Mutual Savings Bank Building in three distinct categories:

Representative of a Building Type

As a representative of a building type and time period, the original 1902 section of the Mutual Savings Bank Building is an excellent and well preserved example of an early bank tower in San Francisco. The development of the steel frame during the 1870s and 1880s enabled the construction of such multi-story bank towers. Flagship banks built between 1870 and 1920 in the United States were given a monumental architectural treatment in order to convince depositors of the stability and "infinite endurance" of their financial institution. Due to their vast financial resources, major banks could usually afford to buy the choicest lot, hire the best architect, and purchase the highest quality materials for the construction of their banks, especially for the flagship branch in the heart of the city.²⁵ In return, the banking tower subsidized the expense of building the banking hall by providing an income-producing office building above it, provided additional space for the growing number of bank departments, and free advertising for the bank, as well as serving as a symbol of the institution. In San Francisco there are only a few surviving early bank towers and the Mutual Savings Bank Building appears to be the earliest of these in San Francisco's downtown.

Representative of Style

The Mutual Savings Bank Building possesses high artistic value as one of the finest examples of French Renaissance Revival style architecture in downtown San Francisco. William Curlett skillfully adapted the predominantly residential style for a major downtown office building by adopting the tripartite composition consisting of base, shaft, and capital popularized by Louis Sullivan. Unlike other relatively restrained examples, Curlett employed abundant rustication and carved ornament inspired by the architecture of the French Renaissance, as well as a massive two-and-a-half-story mansard roof. Curlett's use of the French Renaissance Revival style was not widely followed by other San Francisco architects, who generally preferred the Italian Renaissance Revival style because it was simpler to apply to a multi-story office building.

The Mutual Savings Bank Building Annex also possesses high artistic value as probably the earliest known Postmodern contextual addition to a historic building in downtown San Francisco during the Postwar period. It clearly exhibits characteristics in keeping with the Postmodern idiom, including articulation of structural forms, variation of surfaces and materials, and stylistic references to traditional forms. It also implements the well-known modern architectural theory of servant and served spaces that was developed by Louis Kahn and referenced by his protégé, Charles Moore.

²⁵ Charles Peter Weeks, "Monumental Bank Buildings," The Architect & Engineer," (June 1916).

San Francisco, CA County and State

Representative of the Work of a Master

The Mutual Savings Bank Building is representative of the work of two master architects. Designed by architect William Curlett, the 1902 portion of the building is, along with the Phelan Building, the most prominent example of the architect's work in San Francisco. The Mutual Savings Bank Building was completed during the height of Curlett's career, which spanned more than five decades. Curlett's prominence in California's architectural community is indicated by his attainment of high level positions in the AIA and his election as the president of the California State Board of Architects in 1912.

The 1964 Annex can also be considered the work of a master as an early important work of Charles Moore, who is regarded as one of the most important American architects of the latter half of the twentieth century. The significance of the project is attested to by the decision of the AIA to bestow the Merit Award on Clark & Beuttler and Charles Moore in 1966. Moore went on to become a nationally known leader of the Postmodern movement. Charles W. Moore was presented with the distinguished AIA Gold Medal in 1991.²⁶

²⁶ Sea Ranch Village http://www.searanchvillage.com/Charles-Moore.html.

San Francisco, CA County and State

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography (Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form.)

Public Records

San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission. Motion No. 0185, Permit to Alter, adopted December 19, 2012.

San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder. Sales Ledgers.

San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, Building Permits.

Published Sources

"Apartment House and Hotel Annex." The Architect and Engineer. Vol. 29, No 3, July 1912.

"Bank Building at Downtown Corner Bought by Esbergs." San Francisco Chronicle. 19 October 1919.

"The Chronicle's New Home." San Francisco Chronicle. 22 June 1890.

Cook, John and Heinrich Klotz. Conversations with Architects. New York: Praeger Publishers, 1973.

"Death Calls Pioneer S.F. Capitalist." San Francisco Examiner. 27 March 1922.

"Earthquake Survival Plaque." San Francisco Chronicle. 21 July 1964.

"Financial Men Plan to Merge Two S.F. Banks." San Francisco Chronicle. 23 July 1919.

- Guinn, J.M. A History of California & An Extended History of Los Angeles and its Environment. Vol. 2. Los Angeles, California: LA Historical Record Company, 1915.
- Himmelwright, A.L.A. *The San Francisco Earthquake and Fire: A Brief History of the Disaster.* New York: The Roebling Construction Company, 1906.
- Kyman, Isabelle and Marvin Trachtenberg. Architecture: From Prehistory to Post-Modernism/The Western Tradition. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1986.

"Mutual Savings Bank Makes Statement." San Francisco Call, 3 January 1908.

Olmsted, Roger and T.H. Watkins. *Here Today: San Francisco's Architectural Heritage*. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1968.

San Francisco, CA County and State

Polk-Langley Company, San Francisco City Directories.

Prentice, Carol S., ed. 1906 San Francisco Earthquake Centennial Guides. Boulder, CO: Geological Society of America, 2006.

"Ten buildings that point to the future." Fortune Magazine. December 1965.

Weeks, Charles Peter. "Monumental Bank Buildings." The Architect & Engineer, June 1916.

Withey, Henry F. *Biographical Dictionary of American Architects (deceased).* Los Angeles: New Age Publishing Co., 1970.

Internet Sources

- SeaRanchVillage.com. "Charles Moore." Internet: http://www.searanchvillage.com/Charles-Moore.html. Accessed 27 February 2008.
- The Cultural Landscape Foundation, tclf.org. "Ghirardelli Square." Internet: http://tclf.org/landscapes/ghirardelli-square. Accessed October 24, 2013.

Other

Keim, Kevin. Telephone conversation with Page & Turnbull staff. 10 August 2004.

Lyndon, Donlyn. Telephone conversation with Page & Turnbull staff. 10 August 2004.

"A San Francisco Landmark" (pamphlet). Building File: Citizens' Saving and Loan Association, San Francisco Public Library, History Room.

Previous documentation on file (NPS):

- x preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested
- _____ previously listed in the National Register
- _____previously determined eligible by the National Register
- designated a National Historic Landmark
- recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey #_____
- _____recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #_____
- _____ recorded by Historic American Landscape Survey #______

Primary location of additional data:

- <u>x</u> State Historic Preservation Office
- ____ Other State agency
- ____ Federal agency
- Local government
- University
- ____ Other

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property

Name of repository:

Historic Resources Survey Number (if assigned): _____

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of Property less than one acre

Latitude/Longitude Coordinates

Datum if other than WGS84:_______(enter coordinates to 6 decimal places)

1.Latitude: 37.787873

Longitude:-122.404067

San Francisco, CA County and State

Mutual Savings Bank Building, 700 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94102



City & County of San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder Parcel Information System Map

Sections 9-end page 23

Mutual Savings Bank Building

San Francisco, CA County and State

Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property.)

The boundary of the nominated property consists of the entirety of lot 0312 / 031. This is a trapezoidal lot with boundaries measuring 144.417ft along Geary Street on the north; 28.094ft along Kearny Street on the east; and 137.052ft along Market Street on the southeast. The western side of the lot is bordered by an adjacent parcel and has an angled boundary line. The southern leg measures 54', while the northern leg measures 65'-6".



GEARY

O'FARRELL

City and County of San Francisco Parcel Map. Boundaries of nominated property defined in thick outline.

Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected.)

The boundary includes the 1902 Mutual Savings Bank Building, the 1964 Charles Moore Annex addition, and the 2013 Charles Bloszies addition. The three building elements fill the parcel and do not extend beyond the parcel boundaries.

Mutual Savings Bank Building

San Francisco, CA County and State

11. Form Prepared By

name/title: <u>Caitlin Harvey. Architectural Historian: Stacy Farr, Architectural Historian</u> organization: <u>Page & Turnbull, Inc.</u> street & number: <u>1000 Sansome Street, Suite 200</u> city or town: <u>San Francisco</u> state: <u>CA</u> zip code: <u>94111</u> e-mail: <u>farr@page-turnbull.com</u> telephone: (415) 593-3229 date: <u>September 2013</u>; updated November 2013

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

- Maps: A USGS map or equivalent (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.
- Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources. Key all photographs to this map.
- Additional items: (Check with the SHPO, TPO, or FPO for any additional items.)

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C.460 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 100 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Office of Planning and Performance Management. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, 1849 C. Street, NW, Washington, DC

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property

Historic Photographs

San Francisco, CA County and State



Figure 1: 1902 image of Mutual Savings Bank Building, view from southeast (image courtesy of San Francisco Architectural Heritage).

Sections 9-end page 26

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property San Francisco, CA County and State



Figure 2: 1906 image of Mutual Savings Bank Building after Earthquake and Fire, view from northwest (image courtesy of UC Berkeley, Bancroft Library).

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property San Francisco, CA County and State



Figure 3: Mutual Savings Bank Building, c. 1910, view from south (image courtesy of San Francisco Public Library).

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property San Francisco, CA County and State



Figure 4: Mutual Savings Bank Building, c. 1965, view from southeast (image courtesy of San Francisco Public Library).

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property San Francisco, CA County and State



Figure 5: Sketch map showing where the photographer was standing for each contemporary digital photograph.

Digital Photographs

Submit clear and descriptive photographs. The size of each image must be 1600x1200 pixels (minimum), 3000x2000 preferred, at 300 ppi (pixels per inch) or larger. Key all photographs to the sketch map. Each photograph must be numbered and that number must correspond to the photograph number on the photo log. For simplicity, the name of the photographer, photo date, etc. may be listed once on the photograph log and doesn't need to be labeled on every photograph.

Mutual Savings Bank Building Name of Property

Photograph Log

San Francisco, CA County and State

Name of Property:	Mutual Savings Bank Building		
City or Vicinity:	San Francisco		
County:	San Francisco	State:	California
Photographer:	William Porter; Page & Turnbull, Inc.		

Date Photographed: March, April, August, 2013

Description of Photograph(s) and number, include description of view indicating direction of camera:

Photo #1 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0001.tif) Southeast façade, camera facing north.

Photo #2 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0002.tif) East façade (center) and southeast façade (left), camera facing west.

Photo #3 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0003.tif) North façade (right) and east façade (left), camera facing southwest.

Photo #4 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0004.tif) West façade (center) and north façade (left), camera facing southeast.

Photo #5 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0005.tif) Southeast façade detail, street level, primary entrance, camera facing northwest.

Photo #6 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0006.tif) Southeast façade detail, entry portal pediment, rusticated base, and granite ornament, camera facing northwest.

Photo #7 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0007.tif) Southeast façade detail, street level, 2013 addition (center and left) where it meets 1902 building (right), camera facing northwest.

Photo #8 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0008.tif) West façade detail (center), street level, 1964 Annex entrance, camera facing west.

Photo #9 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0009.tif) North façade detail, street level, 1902 building (center) and 1964 Annex (left), camera facing southeast.

Sections 9-end page 31

San Francisco, CA County and State

Photo #10 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0010.tif) North façade detail, street level, 2013 addition, camera facing south.

Photo #11 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0011.tif) Detail, north façade 1902 building, rusticated base, granite ornament, and upper story fenestration pattern and fire escape, camera facing south.

Photo #12 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0012.tif) Southeast façade detail, upper story fenestration pattern and rooflines, camera facing north.

Photo #13 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0013.tif) East façade (center) 1964 Annex, detail of upper stories and mansard roofline, camera facing west.

Photo #14 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0014.tif) Southeast façade, 1902 building, detail of upper stories and mansard roofline, camera facing northwest.

Photo #15 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_00015.tif) Southeast façade, detail of upper stories and roofline of the 2013 addition (center) and 1902 building (right), camera facing northeast.

Photo #16 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0016.tif) North façade, detail of 1964 Annex cladding (left) and seam with 1902 building, camera facing southwest.

Photo #17 (CA_San Francisco County_Mutual Savings Bank Building_0017.tif) North façade detail, secondary entrance to lobby, 1964 Annex, camera facing south.


































National Register of Historic Places Memo to File

Correspondence

The Correspondence consists of communications from (and possibly to) the nominating authority, notes from the staff of the National Register of Historic Places, and/or other material the National Register of Historic Places received associated with the property.

Correspondence may also include information from other sources, drafts of the nomination, letters of support or objection, memorandums, and ephemera which document the efforts to recognize the property.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: NOMINATION

Mutual Savings Bank Building PROPERTY NAME:

MULTIPLE NAME:

CALIFORNIA, San Francisco STATE & COUNTY:

DATE OF PENDING LIST: 1/07/14 DATE RECEIVED: 12/06/13 1/22/14 1/22/14 DATE OF 45TH DAY: DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:

REFERENCE NUMBER: 13001107

REASONS FOR REVIEW:

LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N OTHER: Ν PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N Ν SLR DRAFT: N NATIONAL: N SAMPLE: **REQUEST: Y** COMMENT WAIVER: N

ACCEPT RETURN REJECT DATE

ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS: The Mutual Savings Bank Building is locally significant under National Register Criterion C in the area of Architecture. The initial phase of building construction was completed in 1902, resulting in an imposing, twelve-story, masonry and steelframe, bank tower in the French Renaissance Revival style. The work of local master architect William Curlett, the prominent skyscraper reflected both the prosperity of the local banking institution and San Francisco's pre-earthquake emergence as a major regional banking center. The 1902 building is one of only a few such early skyscrapers to survive the 1906 earthquake. In 1964, innovative mid-century architect Charles Moore (Clark & Beuttler) designed a unique annex addition to the landmark commercial building. Rather than acquiesce to bank's plans to demolish the 1902 building in favor of a modern corporate highrise, as had been the fate of other early twentieth century towers, Moore developed a design that "learned to live with old things, and to complement them and make them exciting." Widely praised for his innovative design approach and the use of uncommon "Post-Modern" design forms for the bank building project (AIA Merit Award), Moore's 1964 work is recognized as one of the earliest examples of contextual addition/adaptive reuse in post-World War II San Francisco, predating such recognized projects as the Bank of California and Ghirardelli Square. The property represents an important benchmark in the history of the historic preservation and Post-Modern architectural movements in San Francisco.

RECOM. / CRITERIA CRITERION	_
REVIEWER TAUR. LUSIGNAN	DISCIPLINE HISTOPIAN (ARCH)
TELEPHONE	DATE 1/22/2014

DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR(Y)N

If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.

Mutual Savings Bank Building San Francisco, San Francisco County Staff Report

The Mutual Savings Bank Building is located in downtown San Francisco on an irregularly-shaped gore lot formed by the intersection of Kearny, Market, and Geary Streets. Constructed in 1902, the twelve-story building is one of the finest examples of French Renaissance Revival style architecture in downtown San Francisco, and one of the most prominent local examples of architect William Curlett's work. The building has a V-shaped plan, and was one of the city's earliest skyscrapers. It survived the 1906 San Francisco Earthquake and Fire with little structural damage, and was rapidly reconstructed.

A twelve-story addition was designed by Charles W. Moore in the early Postmodern style and constructed in 1964. The Annex is an example of an early adaptive reuse project in San Francisco at a time when many turn of the century buildings were being demolished, and one of the earliest examples of Postmodern contextual design during the Postwar period.

The building functioned historically as a mixed commercial and residential building. A certified rehabilitation project completed in 2013 shifted use to fully commercial. The 1902 building, 1964 Annex, and 2013 addition are of steel frame construction. The 1902 building has stone and terra cotta cladding, the 1964 Annex has brick veneer cladding over concrete walls, and the 2013 addition is clad in terra cotta rainscreen. The 1902 building and the 1964 Annex have undergone few alterations and retain character defining features from the building's two periods of significance: 1902 and 1964.

The Mutual Savings Bank Building is eligible for listing in the National Register under Criterion C for Architecture at the local level. The building is significant in San Francisco as a representative of a bank tower building type, as a representative of the French Renaissance Revival building style, and as the work of two masters: William Curlett and Charles W. Moore. The 1964 Annex is effectively 50 years old so the Criteria Consideration G requirement was waived.

As per the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission findings adopted December 19, 2012, the addition designed by Charles F. Bloszies and constructed between 2009 and 2013 complies with The Secretary of the Interior's Standards and does not detract from the integrity of the 1902 building. A slightly recessed façade depth retains vertical visual separation between the 1902 building and the 2013 addition. A Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives Historic Preservation Certification Application Part 3 (Certification of Completed Work) was approved by the National Park Service on July 24, 2013 for rehabilitation that meets The Secretary of the Interior's Standards.

The property is nominated on behalf of its owner, One Kearny LLC. In its role as representative of a Certified Local Government, the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission approved the nomination. OHP staff supports the nomination and recommends the State Historical Resources Commission determine that the Mutual Savings Bank Building meets National Register Criterion C at the local level of significance. Staff recommends the State Historic Preservation Officer approve the nomination for forwarding to the National Park Service for listing in the National Register.

Amy H. Crain Historian II November 13, 2013



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

National Register Nomination Case Report

HEARING DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2013

Date:	October 8, 2013	4-
Case No.:	2013.1426U	
Project Address:	1 Kearny Street (Mutual Savings Bank Building) Fa	
Zoning:	C-3-0 (Downtown-Office) and C-3-R (Downtown-Retail)	
	150-X and 80-130-F Height and Bulk District	PI
Block/Lot:	0312/031	4
Project Sponsor: Carol Roland-Nawi, Ph.D., State Historic Preservation Officer		4
	California Office of Historic Preservation	
	1725 23rd Street, Suite 100	
	Sacramento, CA 95816	
Staff Contact:	Jonathan Lammers – (415) 575-9093	
	jonathan.lammers@sfgov.org	
Reviewed By:	Timothy Frye – (415) 575-6822	
	tim.frye@sfgov.org	
Recommendation:	Send resolution of findings recommending that, subject to mino revisions, OHP approve nomination of the subject property to the National Register	

1650 Mission St. Sulte 400 San Francisco. CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

BACKGROUND

In its capacity as a Certified Local Government (CLG), the City and County of San Francisco is given the opportunity to comment on nominations to the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). Listing on the National Register of Historic Places provides recognition by the federal government of a building's or district's architectural and historical significance. The nomination materials for the individual listing of the Mutual Savings Bank Building at 1 Kearny Street was prepared by Caitlin Harvey and revised by Stacy Farr of Page & Turnbull, Inc.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

1 Kearny Street is located in San Francisco's Financial District on the west side of Kearny Street between Market and Geary streets. The building is a French Renaissance Revival style, 12-story steel frame office building designed by the architect William Curlett and completed in 1902. In 1964, a 12-story addition designed by the architect Charles Moore was completed along the east side of the original building. In 2013, a 10-story addition designed by the architect Charles F. Bloszies was completed along the west side of the original building.

The property is listed as a Category I – Significant Building under Article 11 of the Planning Code. The building has also been assigned a California Historical Resource Status Code of 3S, meaning that it appears eligible for the National Register of Historic Places as an individual property through survey evaluation.

www.sfplanning.org

NATIONAL REGISTER CRITERIA

The National Register is the official list of the Nation's cultural resources worthy of preservation. The National Register's criteria for evaluating the significance of properties were designed to recognize the accomplishments of all peoples who have made a contribution to the Nation's heritage. The following four National Register criteria are designed to guide state and local governments, federal agencies and others in evaluating potential entries into the National Register:

Criterion A (Event):	that are associated with events that have made significant contribution to the broad patterns of history; or
Criterion B (Person):	that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or
Criterion C (Design/Construction):	that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
Criterion D (Information Potential):	that yielded, or may likely yield, information important in prehistory or history.

According to the nomination's summary, the Mutual Savings Bank Building is locally significant and eligible for the National Register under Criterion C (Design/Construction) for being a rare example of a turn-of-the-century multi-story banking tower; for being one of the finest expressions of the French Renaissance Revival style in downtown San Francisco; for being the earliest example of a Postmodern contextual addition to a historic building in downtown San Francisco; and for representing the work of two masters: William Curlett and Charles Moore. The identified periods of significance are 1902, representing the original construction of the building, and 1964, which corresponds with the completion of the 12-story addition.

The Department agrees that the property is locally significant under Criterion C for its architecture and design. The building was one of only a handful of steel-frame buildings that survived the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, and is an extremely rare example of its style, particularly as it applies to large-scale commercial buildings. The Department likewise concurs that both the original building and 1964 addition qualify as notable works by master architects, and that the 1964 addition has acquired significance in its own right as an early and significant example of a contextual Postmodern building addition. In addition, the Mutual Savings Bank Building anchors the northwest corner of what is arguably one of the most historic intersections in downtown San Francisco, as three of the four buildings are pre-1906 office towers.

Based on the Department's review of the document, it appears that the nomination would benefit from minor revisions in a few areas. Primary among these is a discussion of historic integrity, particularly as it relates the 2013 addition designed by Charles F. Bloszies. In accordance with Historic Preservation

Commission Motion No. 0185 dated December 19, 2012, the Department agrees that this addition meets the standards of Article 1111.6 of the Planning Code and complies with the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*. The concept of integrity is currently alluded to in Section 7, pages 4 and 7, but it should be consolidated into a brief, focused discussion of the building's overall integrity, with specific mention of how the 2013 addition does, or does not, affect the building's historic integrity

Similarly, because the 12-story addition designed by Charles Moore was completed in 1964, the Department also questioned the need for an evaluation of the building under National Register Criteria Consideration G, which deals with properties that have achieved significance within the past 50 years. However, officials from the California Office of Historic Preservation have stated that the nomination will not be received by the Keeper of the National Register until 2014, and thus a discussion of Criteria Consideration G is not necessary.

Other, more minor improvements include revising the text to clarify the following points:

- The Summary Paragraph in Section 7, page 4 should more clearly integrate the 2013 addition within the overall building chronology.
- The Narrative Description of "newspaper angle" in Section 7, page 5 should clarify that the Central Tower is the former San Francisco Call building.
- The Narrative Statement of Significance in Section 8 should provide a brief discussion of the original building's structural engineering, including a notation that the building's floor slabs, ceilings and columns incorporated systems and materials designed by the Roebling Construction Company.
- The Narrative Statement of Significance in Section 8, page 11 should be revised to clarify that the
 office spaces provided additional income, rather than describe the banking hall as "non-income
 producing."
- Section 8, page 12 would benefit from a brief discussion of what other early banking towers remain extant in San Francisco.
- Section 8, page 12 should be revised to clarify that the fires that erupted in the wake of the 1906 Earthquake caused the majority of damage, rather than the earthquake itself.
- Section 8, page 13 should be revised to state that "older buildings in San Francisco were rapidly being torn down" rather than "older skyscrapers."
- Section 8, page 15 should mention that the 1964 addition, while pioneering, also occurred contemporaneously with the adaptive reuse project at Ghirardelli Square.
- Section 8, page 16 should clarify that *Here Today* was not primarily prompted by the redevelopment of downtown with "glass boxes," but rather by the overall loss of historic buildings throughout the city.

ACTION REQUESTED

- Review the completed National Register of Historic Places Registration Form;
- Provide comments on whether the Mutual Savings Bank Building meets the criteria of significance of the National Register; and
- Recommend or not recommend the nomination of the Mutual Savings Bank Building for listing on the National Register.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

 The property is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion C (Design/Construction).

RECOMMENDATION: Send resolution of findings recommending that, subject to minor revisions, SHPO should approve nomination of the property to the National Register

Attachments:

-Draft Resolution National Register of Historic Places Registration Form Letter from Carol Roland-Nawi, Ph.D., State Historic Preservation Officer



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 717

HEARING DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2013

Date:	October 8, 2013
Case No.:	2013.1426U
Project Address:	1 Kearny Street (Mutual Savings Bank Building)
Zoning:	C-3-0 (Downtown-Office) and C-3-R (Downtown-Retail)
	150-X and 80-130-F Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot:	0312/031
Project Sponsor:	Carol Roland-Nawi, Ph.D., State Historic Preservation Officer
	California Office of Historic Preservation
	1725 23 rd Street, Suite 100
	Sacramento, CA 95816
Staff Contact:	Jonathan Lammers – (415) 575-9093
	jonathan.lammers@sfgov.org
Reviewed By:	Tim Frye – (415) 575.6822
	tim.frye@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

ADOPTING FINDINGS RECOMMENDING TO THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER THAT 1 KEARNY STREET (MUTUAL SAVINGS BANK BUILDING), LOT 031 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 0312, BE NOMINATED TO THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES AND THAT, SUBJECT TO MINOR REVISIONS, THE OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROCESS THE NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATION.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, On October 7, 2013, Carol Roland-Nawi, Ph.D., State Historic Preservation Officer, forwarded a request to the San Francisco Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for review and comment on the nomination of 1 Kearny Street, also known as the Mutual Savings Bank Building, on Lot 031 in Assessor's Block 0312, to the National Register of Historic Places (hereinafter "National Register").

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Certified Local Government Agreement between the Office of Historic Preservation (hereinafter "OHP") and the City and County of San Francisco, the Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter "Commission") is provided with a forty-five (45) day review and comment period to provide written comments to the OHP before the State Historical Resources Commission takes action on the above-stated National Register nomination.

WHEREAS, The National Register is the official list of the Nation's cultural resources worthy of preservation. The National Register's criteria for evaluating the significance of properties were

www.sfplanning.org

designed to recognize the accomplishments of all peoples who have made a contribution to the Nation's heritage in the areas of Events, Persons, Design/Construction, and Information Potential. The four National Register criteria are designed to guide state and local governments, federal agencies and others in evaluating potential entries into the National Register.

WHEREAS, At its hearing on October 16, 2013, the Commission, acting in its capacity as San Francisco's Certified Local Government Commission, reviewed the nomination of 1 Kearny Street, the Mutual Savings Bank Building, to the National Register.

WHEREAS, In reviewing the nomination, the Commission has had available for its review and consideration reports, photographs, and other materials pertaining to the nomination contained in the Department's case file, and has reviewed and heard testimony and received materials from interested parties during the public hearing on the Project.

WHEREAS, According to the nomination's summary, 1 Kearny Street, the Mutual Savings Bank Building, is locally significant under National Register Criterion C (Design/Construction) for being a rare example of a turn-of-the-century multi-story banking tower; for being one of the finest expressions of the French Renaissance Revival style in downtown San Francisco; for being the earliest example of a Postmodern contextual addition to a historic building in downtown San Francisco; and for representing the work of two masters: William Curlett and Charles Moore.

WHEREAS, The Commission recognizes that the Mutual Savings Bank is already locally designated per Article 11 of the Planning Code as a Category I (Significant) building, and agrees that the property is locally significant under National Register Criteria C (Design/Construction), the nomination should nevertheless include a discussion of the building's historic integrity, particularly as it relates the 2013 addition designed by Charles F. Bloszies.

WHEREAS, The Commission recognizes that the Mutual Savings Bank is an extremely rare survivor of the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, and that the building's steel frame was a crucial aspect of its ability to remain standing, the nomination should therefore include a brief discussion of the Mutual Savings Bank's structural system, including a notation that the building's floor slabs, ceilings and columns incorporated systems and materials designed by the Roebling Construction Company.

WHEREAS, The Commission relies on National Register nominations as vital documentation and evidence for the significance of historic resources in San Francisco, the nomination would benefit from additional minor revisions and clarifications as outlined in the Planning Department's National Register Nomination Case Report.

WHEREAS, Properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places are automatically included in the California Register of Historical Resources and afforded consideration in accordance with state and local environmental review procedures.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby supports the nomination of 1 Kearny Street, the Mutual Savings Bank Building, but recommends that it be revised with information regarding the building's steel frame construction, as well as a discussion of its historic integrity. The Commission further recommends that the nomination include minor revisions and clarifications as outlined in the Planning Department's National Register Nomination Case Report.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, subject to these revisions, the Historic Preservation Commission hereby **recommends** that the property located at 1 Kearny Street, the Mutual Savings Bank Building, located on Lot 031 in Assessor's Block 0312, be nominated to the National Register of Historic Places, and that the Office of Historic Preservation process the National Register nomination.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby directs its Recording Secretary to transmit this Motion, and other pertinent materials in the case file 2013.1426U to the State Historic Preservation Officer.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was **Adopted** by the Historic Preservation Commission on October 16, 2013.

Jonas P. Ionin Acting Commission Secretary

AYES: A. Hyland, E. Johnck, D. Matsuda, J. Pearlman, A. Wolfram

NAYS:

ABSENT: R. Johns

RECUSED: K. Hasz

ADOPTED: October 16, 2013

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., Governor

DEC 09 2013

NAT. REGISTER OF THIS TORIC PLACES

2280

OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

1725 23rd Street, Suite 100 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-7100 (916) 445-7000 Fax: (916) 445-7053 calshpo@parks.ca.gov www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

December 2, 2013

Ms. Carol Shull, Keeper National Register of Historic Places National Park Service 2280 1201 I (Eye) Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

Subject: Mutual Savings Bank Building San Francisco County, California National Register of Historic Places Nomination

Dear Ms. Shull:

Enclosed please find the **Mutual Savings Bank Building** nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. On November 7, 2013 in Sacramento, California, the California State Historical Resources Commission unanimously found the property eligible for the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion C at the local level of significance.

The 1902 Mutual Savings Bank Building is eligible at the local level of significance as one of the finest examples of French Renaissance Revival style architecture in San Francisco, and one of the most prominent local examples of architect William Curlett's work. A twelve-story addition was designed by Charles W. Moore in the early Postmodern style and constructed in 1964. The Annex is an example of an early adaptive reuse project in San Francisco at a time when many turn of the century buildings were being demolished, and one of the earliest examples of Postmodern contextual design during the Postwar period. The 1964 Annex is effectively 50 years old so the Criteria Consideration G requirement was waived.

A Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives Historic Preservation Certification Application Part 3 (Certification of Completed Work) was approved by the National Park Service on July 24, 2013 for rehabilitation that meets The Secretary of the Interior's Standards (Standards). As per the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission findings adopted December 19, 2012, the addition designed by Charles F. Bloszies and constructed between 2009 and 2013 complies with the Standards and does not detract from the integrity of the 1902 building.

In its role as representative of a Certified Local Government, the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission approved the nomination. If you have any questions regarding this nomination, please contact Amy Crain of my staff at (916) 445-7009.

Sincerely a

Carol Roland-Nawi, Ph.Ø. State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure